

K-stability from a non-Archimedean perspective

Mattias Jonsson

University of Michigan

Tropical Geometry, Berkovich Spaces, Arithmetic D-Modules and
p-adic Local Systems

Imperial College, London. Dec 8–10, 2020

Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture

(X, L) polarized smooth complex variety of $\dim n \geq 1$.

Question: Does X admit cscK metric $\omega \in c_1(L)$?

$$\text{Ric } \omega \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \bar{S} \omega^n$$

True when $n = 1$. Obstructions when $n > 1$.

YTD conjecture: \exists cscK metric $\Leftrightarrow (X, L)$ “K-stable”.

Much activity in recent years:

Chen–Donaldson–Sun, Tian: true when X Fano, $L = -K_X$.

Other proofs in Fano case:

Datar–Székelyhidi.

Chen–Sun–Wang.

Berman–Boucksom–J: variational method.

Li–Tian–Wang: X possibly singular.

This talk: NA interpretation of K-stability.

Test configurations

(X, L) polarized variety over alg closed field k of char 0.

A *test configuration* $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ for (X, L) consists of

flat projective morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$;

semiample \mathbb{Q} -lb \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} ;

\mathbb{G}_m -action on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ lifting action on \mathbb{A}^1 ;

\mathbb{G}_m -equivariant isom $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}} \simeq (X, L) \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$.

Invariants and definitions:

$$DF(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = a(\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{n+1}) + b(\overline{\mathcal{L}}^n \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{P}^1}).$$

$J(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ = “norm” of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$.

Say that (X, L) is

K-semistable if $DF(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ for all $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$;

uniformly K-stable if $DF(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq \varepsilon J(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ for all $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$.

Conjecturally, need to generalize test configurations. Proposals:

Filtrations of $R(X, L) = \bigoplus_m H^0(X, mL)$.

A certain class of functions on X^{an} .

Goal: explain these from NA analytic point of view.

Plurisubharmonic functions

X^{an} = Berkovich analytification wrt trivial absolute value on k .

View elements $v \in X^{\text{an}}$ additively as semivaluations.

Any tc $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ induces a function $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}} \in C^0(X^{\text{an}})$.

(\approx model metric on L^{an} .)

Write $\mathcal{H} \subset C^0(X^{\text{an}})$ for the set of such functions. Analogies:

$\mathcal{H} \approx$ convex \mathbb{Q} -PL functions on \mathbb{R}^n .

$\mathcal{H} \approx$ smooth positive Hermitian metrics on L^h if $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Construct new classes: $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\uparrow}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{E}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{E}^1 \subset \text{PSH}$.

$\text{PSH} := \{\text{decreasing limits of functions in } \mathcal{H}\}$.

$\mathcal{E}^{\infty} := \{\text{bounded functions in PSH}\}$.

$\mathcal{E}_{\uparrow}^{\infty} := \{\text{increasing limits of functions in } \mathcal{H}\}$.

Rmk: Can view functions in PSH as semipositive singular metrics on L^{an} , cf Zhang, Gubler, Chambert–Loir, Boucksom–Favre–J, C–L–Ducros, G–Künnemann, . . .

Plurisubharmonic functions of finite energy

Define *Monge–Ampère energy* $E: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$E(\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}) = \frac{(\mathcal{L}^{n+1})}{(n+1)(L^n)}.$$

It naturally extends to $E: \text{PSH} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$. The space

$$\mathcal{E}^1 := \{E > -\infty\} \subset \text{PSH}$$

is a NA analogue of a space considered in complex geometry by Guedj–Zeriahi, Darvas,...

Technical assumption: *continuity of envelopes*: if $f \in C^0$, then

$$P(f) := \sup\{\varphi \in \text{PSH} \mid \varphi \leq f\}$$

is continuous. This is true if X smooth.

Equip \mathcal{E}^1 with the *Darvas metric* d_1 . Given $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^1$, set

$$d_1(\varphi, \psi) = E(\varphi) + E(\psi) - 2E(P(\varphi \wedge \psi))$$

Thm [Boucksom–J] The space (\mathcal{E}^1, d_1) is a complete metric space. It contains \mathcal{H} as a dense subset.

Filtrations and norms

Section ring $R = R(X, L) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R_m = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, mL)$.

Consider norm $\|\cdot\|$ on R (submultiplicative and NA)

Additively: $\chi = -\log \|\cdot\|$.

Norms are in bijection with filtrations: $\mathcal{F}^\lambda R = \{\chi \geq \lambda\}$.

Will restrict to *graded* norms:

$$\chi\left(\sum_m s_m\right) = \min_m \chi(s_m)$$

Any tc $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ induces a norm/filtration $\chi_{\mathcal{L}}$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{F}^0 H^0(X, mL) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{X}, m\mathcal{L})$$

Such a norm is of *finite type* i.e. determined by $\chi|_{R_m}$, $m \leq m_0$.
Converse also true (up to replacing L by multiple).

The space of graded norms

Write \mathcal{N} for the space of graded norms on R that satisfy the boundedness condition

$$-Cm \leq \chi \leq Cm \text{ on } R_m \setminus \{0\}.$$

The restriction of χ to $R_m \setminus \{0\}$ takes values (with multiplicity)

$$\lambda_{m,1} \geq \lambda_{m,2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{m,N_m}.$$

Boucksom-Chen '10: the following limit exists

$$\text{vol}(\chi) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N_m} \lambda_{m,j}$$

Can show that we get a semi-distance d_1 on \mathcal{N} by

$$d_1(\chi, \chi') := \text{vol}(\chi) + \text{vol}(\chi') - 2 \text{vol}(\chi \wedge \chi') \geq 0$$

Say that χ, χ' are *asymptotically equivalent* if $d_1(\chi, \chi') = 0$.

Get a metric space $(\mathcal{N}/\sim, d_1)$. Not complete.

Comparing graded norms and psh functions

Have generalized test configurations in two ways: psh functions on X^{an} and graded norms on R . Now compare the constructions.

Define a *Fubini–Study operator*

$$\text{FS}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\dagger}^{\infty}$$

by $\text{FS}(\chi) = \lim_m m^{-1} \text{FS}_m(\chi)$, where

$$\text{FS}_m(\chi)(v) = \sup\{\chi(s) - v(s) \mid s \in R_m \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Thm [Boucksom–J]. We have $\text{FS}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{E}_{\dagger}^{\infty}$. Moreover:

- (i) if $\chi, \chi' \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\text{FS}(\chi) = \text{FS}(\chi')$ iff $\chi \sim \chi'$;
- (ii) $\text{FS}: (\mathcal{N}/\sim, d_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}^1, d_1)$ is an isometry with dense image.

Key ingredient in proof:

$$E(\text{FS}(\chi)) = \text{vol}(\chi)$$

This uses Boucksom–J–Hisamoto '17 and Okounkov bodies.

Energy functionals

Can formulate K-stability in terms of functionals on \mathcal{H} or \mathcal{E}^1 .

These functionals are modeled on their Archimedean cousins used in Kähler geometry.

Two types of functionals:

Energy functionals: E, I, J, \dots

Entropy functionals: H, L, \dots

The energy functionals involve mixed Monge–Ampère measures.

For example:

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \int \varphi \text{MA}(\varphi, \dots, \varphi, 0, \dots, 0)$$

When $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{H}$, this becomes an intersection number.

Energy functionals are continuous under monotone limits.

Entropy functionals

The entropy functionals also use the *log discrepancy function*

$$A_X: X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

for X is smooth (or klt). Related to Temkin's canonical metric.

For example,

$$H(\varphi) = \int A_X \text{MA}(\varphi)$$

Here H is *not* continuous under monotone limits.

Entropy regularization conj: given $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1$ there exists a decreasing sequence $(\varphi_n)_n$ in \mathcal{H} s.t. $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ and $H(\varphi_n) \rightarrow H(\varphi)$.

The *Mabuchi functional* is defined by

$$\text{Mab}(\varphi) = H(\varphi) + F(\varphi)$$

for a suitable energy functional F .

Stability and cscK metrics

Are these spaces and functionals useful?

Chi Li '20 gave a sufficient stability criterion for the existence of cscK metrics.

Thm. Assume X smooth, $k = \mathbb{C}$, and that (X, L) satisfies

$$M_{ab} \geq \varepsilon J \quad \text{on } \mathcal{E}^1 \quad (*)$$

Then there exists a cscK metric $\omega \in c_1(L)$.

Idea of proof:

- (1) Using geodesic rays in the Archimedean version \mathcal{E}^1 , propagate (*) above to a similar inequality there.
- (2) Use deep results by Darvas–Rubinstein and Chen–Cheng to conclude.

The entropy regularization conjecture implies that (*) is also a *necessary* condition, by work of Boucksom–Hisamoto–J.