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## History (18th century bc.)

The old babylonian clay tablet called "Plimpton 322"


## History (18th century bc.)

According to J. Conway and R. Guy, the first lines on this tablet should be read as

| 119 | 169 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3367 | $4825^{*}$ |
| 4601 | 6649 |
| 12709 | 18541 |
| 65 | 97 |
| 319 | 481 |

What are these numbers?

## History (18th century bc.)

They verify the following relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
169^{2}-119^{2} & =120^{2} \\
4825^{2}-3367^{2} & =3456^{2} \\
6649^{2}-4601^{2} & =4800^{2} \\
18541^{2}-12709^{2} & =13500^{2} \\
97^{2}-65^{2} & =72^{2} \\
481^{2}-319^{2} & =360^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## History (17th century ad.)

## Theorem (Fermat's last theorem, Wiles)

If $p>2$, any integral solution of the equation

$$
x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}
$$

satisfies $x y z=0$.

## History (17th century ad.)

## Theorem (Fermat's last theorem, Wiles)

If $p>2$, any integral solution of the equation

$$
x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}
$$

satisfies $x y z=0$.

## Question

Why are the situations for $p=2$ and $p>2$ so different?

## Rational points on the circle



$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{2}+y^{2}=1 \\
y=t(x+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Rational points on the circle



$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x ^ { 2 } + y ^ { 2 } = 1 , } \\
{ y = t ( x + 1 ) . }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=\frac{1-t^{2}}{1+t^{2}}, \\
y=\frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}} .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

## Primitive solutions of $X^{2}+Y^{2}=Z^{2}$.

The rational solutions of $x^{2}+y^{2}=1$ are of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=\frac{1-t^{2}}{1+t^{2}} \\
y=\frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $t \in \mathbf{Q}$. One may show that, up to permutation, and multiplication by an integer, any integral solution of the equation $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$ is of the form

$$
\left(u^{2}-v^{2}, 2 u v, u^{2}+v^{2}\right)
$$

for some $(u, v) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}$.

## Plimpton 322

| $u$ | v | x | z |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 12 | 119 | 169 |
| 27 | 64 | 3367 | 4825 |
| 32 | 75 | 4601 | 6649 |
| 54 | 125 | 12709 | 18541 |
| 4 | 9 | 65 | 97 |
| 9 | 20 | 319 | 481 |

More precisely the cardinal $N(B)$ of the set

$$
\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{Z}^{3} \left\lvert\,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2} \\
\max (|x|,|y|,|z|) \leqslant B \\
\operatorname{gcd}(x, y, z)=1
\end{array}\right\}\right.\right.
$$

is up to some constant, equivalent to the cardinal

$$
\sharp\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2} \mid u^{2}+v^{2} \leqslant B\right\}
$$

## Points in a disk

$$
\left|\sharp\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2} \mid 0<u^{2}+v^{2} \leqslant B\right\}-\pi(\sqrt{B})^{2}\right| \leqslant C \sqrt{B} .
$$



## Bernoulli's lemniscate



## Bernoulli's lemniscate (parametrisation)



## genus

Let $F \in \mathbf{Z}[X, Y, Z]$ be a homogeneous polynomial and let

$$
C=\left\{(x: y: z) \in \mathbf{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C}) \mid F(x, y, z)=0\right\}
$$

The set $C$ is a Riemann surface (a complex curve). We denote by $g$ the genus of $C$. We also denote by $N_{F}(B)$ the cardinal of the set

$$
\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{Z}^{3} \left\lvert\,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F(x, y, z)=0, \\
\operatorname{gcd}(x, y, z)=1, \\
\max (|x|,|y|,|z|) \leqslant B .
\end{array}\right\}\right.\right.
$$

## Conclusion for curves

## Conclusion

(1) If $g=0$, the number $N_{F}(B)$ is either 0 or equivalent to $C B^{a}$ for some $a>0$;
(2) if $g=1$, the number of primitive integral solutions is finite or $N_{F}(B)$ is equivalent to $C \log (B)^{a / 2}$ for some strictly positive integer $a$;
(3) if $g \geqslant 2$, the number of primitive integral solutions is finite (theorem of Faltings).

## Higher dimension

Let $F\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{N}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{N}\right]$ be some homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$. We are interested in $N_{F}(B)$, the cardinal of

$$
\left\{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{3} \left\lvert\,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=0 \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=1 \\
\max \left(\left|x_{0}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{N}\right|\right) \leqslant B
\end{array}\right\}\right.\right.
$$

## Birch's theorem

If $A$ is a ring, a solution $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in A^{N+1}$ of $F\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=0$ is said to be primitive if $A$ is generated, as an ideal, by $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}$. We also define

$$
V=\left\{\left(x_{0}: \cdots: x_{N}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{N}(\mathbf{C}) \mid F\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=0\right\}
$$

## Theorem (Littlewood, Davenport, Birch)

Assume that $N>2^{d-1}(d+1)$, that $V$ is smooth and that there exists a primitive solution in $\mathbf{R}^{N+1}$ and $(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{N+1}$ for any integer $m \geqslant 2$. Then there exists a real constant $C>0$

$$
N(B) \sim C B^{N+1-d}
$$

## Quartic surface

Noam Elkies found the following remarkable relations, thus disproving a long standing conjecture of Euler:

$$
95800^{4}+217519^{4}+414560^{4}=422481^{4}
$$

and

$$
2682440^{4}+15365639^{4}+18796760^{4}=20615673^{4}
$$

## The end of history?

## Theorem (Davis, Putnam, Robinson, Matijacevič, et al.)

There exists a polynomial $f \in \mathbf{Z}\left[T, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{11}\right]$ such that the application

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow\{0,1\} \\
n & \longmapsto\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if }\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{11}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{11} \mid f\left(n, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{11}\right)=0\right\} \neq \emptyset \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

can not be computed with an algorithm.
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can not be computed with an algorithm.

## Remark

The problem of the existence of an algorithm for homogeneous polynomials is still open.

## Rational points on Iskovskih surfaces

Image of the points of bounded size on the Iskovskih surface

$$
Y^{2}+Z^{2}=X(X-1)(X+1)
$$

using the projection mapping $(X, Y, Z)$ to $(x, y)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{(1+\sqrt{2}) X-1}{X+(1+\sqrt{2})} \\
& y=\frac{Y}{(X+(1+\sqrt{2}))^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

