

MathOverflow is a question and answer site for professional mathematicians. Join them; it only takes a minute:

Sign up x

## Representations of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ vs representations of the special orthogonal group $SO(n)$ , over an arbitrary field

Let  $O(n)$  and  $SO(n)$  denote the split orthogonal linear algebraic group and its special subgroup, over some fixed field of characteristic not two. I am looking for a reference that explains how to describe the simple (finite-dimensional) representations of  $O(n)$  in terms of the simple representations of  $SO(n)$ .

The relation between the complex representations of the corresponding compact Lie groups is explained in section VI.7 of Bröcker and tom Dieck's *Representations of Compact Lie Groups*. I believe the key statements made there also hold in the above algebraic situation, and I have worked this out in a fair amount of detail, but I am currently unwilling to believe that this has not already been done somewhere in the literature. Roughly, these statements are as follows:

- When  $n$  is odd,  $O(n)$  is a direct product of  $SO(n)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . Thus, every simple  $SO(n)$ -representation can be lifted to two distinct  $O(n)$ -representations, and every  $O(n)$ -representation arises in this way. (See also [this question regarding simple representations of products](#).)
- When  $n$  is even,  $O(n)$  is only a semi-direct product of  $SO(n)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . In this case, only some simple  $SO(n)$ -representations can be lifted. Those that can be lifted can again be lifted to two distinct  $O(n)$ -representations. The remaining simple  $SO(n)$ -representations occur in pairs whose direct sum can be lifted to a unique simple  $O(n)$ -representation. All simple  $O(n)$ -representations arise in either of these ways.

Of course, in this question I am mainly interested in references concerning the case when  $n$  is even.

[reference-request](#) | [rt.representation-theory](#) | [algebraic-groups](#)

edited Apr 13 '17 at 12:58

 Community 1 2 3

asked Jul 27 '13 at 10:50

 Communicative Algebra 36 5

For the time being, the "fair amount of detail" I am referring to may be found in Proposition 3.18 and in Section 4.2 of [arXiv:1308.0796](#). – [Communicative Algebra](#) Aug 7 '13 at 7:49

3 For  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , it's explained in Section 5.5.5 of Goodman-Wallach's book "Symmetry, representations, and invariants". – [emilicoba](#) Aug 13 '13 at 22:17

As long as the characteristic of the field of definition is *good* (not 2), as you assume, it doesn't seem to matter over which field the groups are defined and split. Representations will be studied over an algebraically closed field, where for  $n$  even the methods will rely on standard induction/restriction. Older group representation texts for physicists probably cover orthogonal groups, while Jantzen's book on algebraic groups may be overkill. – [Jim Humphreys](#) Aug 14 '13 at 17:34

P.S. As pointed out in a comment, the Springer GTM 255 by Goodman-Wallach is likely to be a reliable source for your purpose. I don't have that 2009 edition, but the first edition titled *Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups* (Cambridge, 1998) has the relevant material in sections 5.2.2 and 10.2.5. – [Jim Humphreys](#) Aug 14 '13 at 19:00

@JimHumphreys: I'm not sure what you mean by "representations will be studied over an algebraically closed field"—I want the representations to be defined over the same field as the group itself. Do I need to make this more precise in the question? – [Communicative Algebra](#) Aug 15 '13 at 7:48