

A p -adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms. I

Haruzo Hida

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

§ 0. Introduction

Let p be a prime number. The aim of this paper is to construct a p -adic bounded measure of several variables, which establishes the p -adic interpolation of the special values of the Rankin product of two elliptic modular forms of different weight. Let N be an arbitrary positive integer. Let f be a cusp form of weight $k \geq 2$ for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ with character ψ modulo N , which is, in addition, a primitive form (= normalized new form of level dividing N). Let g be a modular form of weight $l < k$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$, with character ω . Write $e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz)$. Suppose that the Fourier expansions of f and g are given by

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) e(nz), \quad g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n) e(nz).$$

The Rankin product of f and g is defined by

$$(0.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_N(s, f, g) = L_N(2s + 2 - k - l, \omega\psi) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) b(n) n^{-s},$$

where $L_N(2s + 2 - k - l, \omega\psi)$ denotes the Dirichlet L -series of $\omega\psi$ with the Euler factors at the primes dividing N removed from its Euler product. It is well known that $\mathcal{D}_N(s, f, g)$ has a holomorphic continuation over the whole complex plane as a function of s . Moreover, when the Fourier coefficients $b(n)$ of g are algebraic numbers (note that the Fourier coefficients of f are automatically algebraic because f is primitive), Shimura [25, 26] has proven the basic result that

$$(0.2) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_N(m, f, g)}{\pi^{2m+1-l} \langle f, f \rangle_N}$$

is algebraic for all integers m with $l \leq m < k$;

here

$$\langle f, f \rangle_N = \int_{B(N)} |f(z)|^2 y^{k-2} dx dy,$$

where $B(N)$ denotes a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_0(N)$. Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{C} . Let Ω denote the completion of an algebraic closure of the field of p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p , and we normalize its valuation $|\cdot|_p$ by $|p|_p = p^{-1}$. We fix once and for all an embedding

$$(0.3) \quad i: \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Omega$$

(when there is no danger of confusion, we will omit i from our subsequent formulae). We assume for the rest of the paper that the form f is *ordinary* for p (or more correctly i) in the following sense

$$(0.4) \quad \text{the image under } i \text{ of the } p\text{-th Fourier coefficient of } f \text{ is a unit in } \Omega.$$

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{Q} , and let $n: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be a positive definite quadratic form on V . Define a symmetric bilinear form $S: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$S(u, v) = n(u + v) - n(u) - n(v).$$

(We note that $n(v) = \frac{1}{2}S(v, v)$). Fix a lattice I in V so that $n(v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $v \in I$. It is then clear that $S(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all u and v in I , and hence, if we define

$$I^* = \{v \in V | S(v, I) \subset \mathbb{Z}\},$$

we have $I^* \supset I$. Write M for the smallest positive integer such that $Mn(I^*) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. This integer M is called the level of I , and we note that I^*/I is annihilated by M . Throughout this paper except in § 1, we assume that *the dimension of V over \mathbb{Q} is even*. Let

$$\eta: V \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$$

be a spherical function on V with algebraic values (see § 1), and let

$$\phi: V \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$$

be an arbitrary locally constant function for the p -adic topology on I such that the theta series

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{v \in I} \phi(v) \eta(v) e(n(v)z)$$

gives a modular form of weight l and of character ξ . We now take g to be the theta series $\theta(z)$ and assume that ϕ factors through $I/p^\beta I$ for a positive integer $\beta \geq 1$. Take $N = Mp^{2\beta}$. It is known that the level of θ divides N . Composing ϕ , η and n with the embedding i , we obtain continuous functions from $I_p = I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ to Ω , which we denote by the same symbols. Let C be the divisor of N which is the exact level of f (i.e. the conductor of f), and define the root number $W(f)$ by

$$f|_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} = W(f) f^p,$$

where $f^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}(n) e(nz)$ is the complex conjugate form of f . Write

$$M = M' p^\lambda, \quad C = C' p^\mu,$$

where $(M', p) = (C', p) = 1$ (note that C' divides M' because C divides N).

Theorem 0.1. *Assume that $\mu \geq 1$. For each integer $b > 1$, with $(b, N) = 1$, there exists a unique bounded measure φ_b on $I_p = I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ with values in Ω satisfying the following interpolation property: for every integer r with $0 \leq 2r < k - l$, we let $j = l + 2r$ and we have that the value of the p -adic integral*

$$\int_{I_p} \phi \eta n^r d\varphi_b$$

is given by the image under i of

$$W(f)^{-1} t(1 - b^{k-j} \psi \bar{\xi}(b)) a(p)^{\mu - \lambda - 2\beta} \frac{\mathcal{D}_N(j-r, f|_k \gamma, \theta|_l \tau)}{\pi^{j+1} \langle f, f \rangle_C},$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} M'/C' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and

$$t = (\sqrt{-1})^{k+j} 2^{1-k-j} p^{(\mu-\lambda)(1-k/2)+\beta j} M^{(j-k)/2+1} \Gamma(j-r) \Gamma(r+1).$$

A slightly stronger result, including the case when p does not divide C , is given in §2. We also obtain results on the p -adic interpolation of the values (0.2) when g runs over the twists of a modular form (of weight strictly less than k) by all Dirichlet characters whose conductor is a power of p (see Theorem 2.2). Moreover, in a later paper, we shall show that one can naturally extend φ_b to a measure $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times I_p$ by allowing the p -ordinary form f to vary.

Our motivation for studying these p -adic measures has been our desire to investigate the Iwasawa theory of certain p -adic Lie extensions of number fields, which arise from abelian varieties and modular forms. Some work has been done in this direction in the complex multiplication case (see [5] and [29]), but the non-abelian theory remains shrouded in mystery.

Here is a summary of the contents of the paper. The detailed statements of our results are given in §2. As far as the construction of the measure φ_b is concerned, we first construct a measure on I_p with values in the space of p -adic modular forms. This measure can be thought of as a p -adic convolution of the Katz's Eisenstein measure in [12] with the p -adic measure attached to a theta series. The measure φ_b is then obtained by combining this measure with a bounded linear form on the space of p -adic modular forms, which is studied in §4 (our hypothesis that p is ordinary for f is essential for the construction of this linear form). We make use of Shimura's differential operators [25] to evaluate the p -adic integral as in the theorem.

Notation

Let \mathfrak{H} be the upper half complex plane. Then the group $GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ of real 2×2 matrices with positive determinant acts on \mathfrak{H} via linear fractional transfor-

mations. If $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to $GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ and $f(z)$ is any function on \mathfrak{H} , we define, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(f|_k \gamma)(z) = (\det(\gamma))^{k/2} f(\gamma(z)) (cz + d)^{-k}.$$

For each positive integer N , let $\Gamma_0(N)$ (resp. $\Gamma_1(N)$) be the subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $c \equiv 0 \pmod N$ (resp. $c \equiv 0 \pmod N, a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod N$). If Γ denotes either of these two subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we write $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ for the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight k for Γ , and $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$ for the space of cusp forms of weight k for Γ . As usual, for each character ψ modulo N , we write

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \left| \begin{array}{l} f|_k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \psi(d) f \\ \text{for all } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

and we put $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi) = \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \cap \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$. Finally, we recall that the automorphism group of \mathbb{C} has a natural action on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ given by

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) e(nz) \right)^{\sigma} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)^{\sigma} e(nz)$$

for each automorphism σ of \mathbb{C} .

§ 1. Theta series

Our aim in this section is to briefly recall those transformation formulae of θ -series defined by positive definite quadratic forms, which will be used later in the paper. See Shimura [21], §2 for further details.

In this section, we use the notation defined in Introduction, and we allow the dimension of the quadratic space V to be odd. Let κ denote the half of the dimension of V ; therefore, κ is a positive integer or half a positive integer. We also write S for the natural extension of S to a \mathbb{C} -bilinear form on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. Throughout this section, we write $\eta: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for an arbitrary complex-valued spherical function on V . We recall that this means that either η is homogenous of degree ≤ 1 , or that η can be expressed as follows: there exist finitely many w in $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ with $n(w) = 0$ such that

$$\eta(v) = \sum_w c(w) S(w, v)^{\alpha},$$

where $c(w) \in \mathbb{C}$ and α is an integer ≥ 2 . In general, we write α for the degree of η (or, as it is often called, the order of η). Write Φ for any complex-valued function on I^*/I . For any function $h: I^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define formally

$$(1.1) \quad \theta(h)(z) = \sum_{v \in I^*} h(v) e(n(v)z).$$

When $h = \Phi\eta$, this series converges, and defines a holomorphic function on \mathfrak{H} . We define an action of $\Gamma_0(M)$ on the set of all functions $\Phi: I^*/I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via

$$(1.2) \quad (\gamma \cdot \Phi)(v) = e(dbn(v)) \Phi(dv),$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. If m, n are non-zero integers, let the quadratic residue symbol $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ be as defined on p.442 of [21]. Moreover, we let $\varepsilon_d = 1$ if $d \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, and $\varepsilon_d = \sqrt{-1}$ if $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. For each non-zero complex number x , we fix $x^{1/2}$ by taking its argument to be in the interval $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$. Finally, let $\Delta = [I^*: I]$.

Proposition 1.1. *The function $\theta(\Phi\eta)(z)$ satisfies the transformation formula:*

$$(1.3) \quad \theta(\Phi\eta)(\gamma(z)) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{d}\right) \left(\frac{2c}{d}\right)^{2\kappa} \varepsilon_d^{-2\kappa} (cz+d)^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta((\gamma \cdot \Phi)\eta)(z),$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(M)$.

The proof of this proposition is essentially contained in [21]. Note, however, that Shimura supposes that $4|M$ and then proves (1.3) for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $c \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{M}{2}}$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. To derive (1.3) from Shimura's result, one needs only to verify (using the Poisson summation formula) the invariance, relative to weight $\kappa + \alpha$, of $\theta(\Phi\eta)(z)$ under the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ M & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -M \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We omit the details.

§ 2. Statement of main results

We begin by defining the space on which our p -adic measure exists. As in §1, let V be a quadratic space over \mathbb{Q} . We shall now assume that V has *even* dimension 2κ over \mathbb{Q} (i.e. that κ is an integer). As before, I will denote a lattice in V with $n(I) \subset \mathbb{Z}$, I^* the dual lattice, and M the least positive integer such that $Mn(I^*) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. For each integer $v \geq 0$, $p^v I$ is a lattice with level Mp^{2v} . Define

$$X = \lim_{\longleftarrow v} I^*/p^v I.$$

In addition, let $\mathscr{W} = \{v \in I^* \mid n(v) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and put

$$W = \lim_{\longleftarrow v} \mathscr{W}/p^v I.$$

Plainly W is a subset of X , and the quadratic form n has a natural extension $n: W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let $\eta: V \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an arbitrary spherical function on V of degree $\alpha \geq 0$, taking algebraic values. Composing η with the fixed embedding (0.3), we obtain a unique extension of η by continuity to a function from W to Ω , which we again denote by η . Note that the group

$$Z = \lim_{\leftarrow v} (\mathbb{Z}/M p^v \mathbb{Z})^\times$$

has a natural action on the space X , which leaves stable W . Let $\phi: W \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an arbitrary locally constant function satisfying the following property: there exists a character χ of finite order of Z such that

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(z w) = \chi(z) \phi(w) \quad (z \in Z, w \in W).$$

We then define the θ -series

$$\theta(\phi \eta)(z) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \phi(w) \eta(w) e(n(w)z).$$

Put

$$\xi(a) = \chi(a) \left(\frac{-1}{a}\right)^\kappa \left(\frac{\Delta}{a}\right),$$

where the symbols on the right are Legendre symbols, and $\Delta = [I^*: I]$. Proposition 1.1 shows that there exists $\beta \geq 0$ such that the conductor of ξ divides $M p^\beta$ and $\theta(\phi \eta)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa+\alpha}(\Gamma_0(M p^\beta), \xi)$. In the following, β will denote any fixed integer satisfying this property with $\beta \geq 1$.

As in the introduction, let $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a(n) e(nz)$ be a fixed primitive cusp form of weight $k \geq 2$ with conductor C , and character ψ modulo C . We define the Petersson inner product of f with $g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C), \psi)$ by

$$\langle g, f \rangle_C = \int_{\mathfrak{H}/\Gamma_0(C)} \overline{g(z)} f(z) y^{k-2} dx dy.$$

We now fix the embedding (0.3) of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ into Ω and assume that this embedding i satisfies the condition (0.4), i.e. that $i(a(p))$ is a unit in Ω . We then write γ for the unique root of the Euler factor

$$X^2 - i(a(p))X + i(\psi(p))p^{k-1}$$

which is not a unit in Ω (hence $\gamma=0$ if p divides C). We now define the modular form $f_0(z)$ to be either $f(z)$ or $f(z) - i^{-1}(\gamma) f(pz)$, according as p does or does not divide the conductor C of $f(z)$. It is well known (see [28, p. 88] and Lemma 3.3 in the next section) that $f_0(z)$ is a common eigenform of all Hecke operators $T(n)$ ($n \geq 1$) of level pC , including those with n dividing pC . Moreover, $f_0(z)$ is a unique ordinary form of level pC with the same n -th Fourier coefficient as $f(z)$ for every n prime to p (see Lemma 3.3). Let C_0 be the smallest possible level of f_0 , i.e. $C_0 = C$ or pC according as p does or does

not divide the conductor C of f . We then define non-negative integers μ, λ , and C', M' prime to p , by

$$(2.3) \quad C_0 = C' p^\mu, \quad M = M' p^\lambda, \quad (M', p) = (C', p) = 1.$$

Finally, we impose the hypothesis

$$(2.4) \quad C' \text{ divides } M'.$$

This assumption is not very restrictive, since it can always be achieved by replacing I by a suitable sub-lattice. Let us also write

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} M'/C' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ M p^\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *For each integer $b > 1$, with $(b, Mp) = 1$, there exists a unique bounded measure φ_b on W with values in Ω satisfying the following interpolation property: for each non-negative integer r with $0 \leq 2r + \alpha < k - \kappa$, we let $j = \kappa + \alpha + 2r$, and we have that the value of the p -adic integral*

$$\int_W \phi \eta n^r d\varphi_b$$

is given by the image under i of

$$(2.5) \quad t(1 - b^{k-j} \psi \bar{\xi}(b)) a(p, f_0)^{\mu - \lambda - \beta} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{Mp^\beta}(j - r, f_0|_k \gamma, \theta(\phi \eta)|_{\kappa + \alpha} \tau_\beta)}{\pi^{j+1} \langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0}},$$

where $a(p, f_0)$ is the p -th Fourier coefficient of f_0 ,

$$h = f_0^\beta|_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ C_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$t = t(r, \alpha, \beta) = (\sqrt{-1})^{k+j} 2^{1-k-j} p^{(\mu-\lambda)(1-k/2) + \beta j/2} M^{(j-k)/2+1} \Gamma(j-r) \Gamma(r+1).$$

Here are several remarks about this theorem, whose proof is given in § 7. Firstly, it is easy to see directly that (2.5) does not depend on the choice of β . Secondly, the uniqueness of φ_b follows from the fact that any locally constant function on W is a finite sum of those satisfying the condition (2.1). Finally, we note that we do not give the p -adic interpolation at all of the special values

$$\mathcal{D}_{Mp^\beta}(m, f_0|_k \gamma, \theta(\phi \eta)|_{\kappa + \alpha} \tau_\beta),$$

with m an integer satisfying $\kappa + \alpha \leq m < k$, where algebraicity is known. This can be partly remedied by using the functional equation (see § 9 for the discussion of a special case of this functional equation), but this does not cover all aspects of this interesting question.

We next discuss a result in which g is no longer assumed to be a theta series. Let

$$g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n) e(nz)$$

be an arbitrary modular form of weight $l < k$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$ with character ω and assume that

$$b(n) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

Define a compact ring Y by

$$Y = \varprojlim_v \mathbb{Z}/Np^v\mathbb{Z}, \quad Y^\times = \varprojlim_v (\mathbb{Z}/Np^v\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

Let $\phi: Y \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an arbitrary locally constant function on Y with the property: there is a character χ of finite order of the group Y^\times such that

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(zy) = \chi(z)\phi(y) \quad (z \in Y^\times, y \in Y).$$

We then define the twist of g by

$$g(\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \phi(n)b(n)e(nz).$$

Put $\xi = \chi^2\omega$, and write $N = N'p^\lambda$ with $(N', p) = 1$. Then it is known that $g(\phi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_l(\Gamma_0(NN'p^\beta), \xi)$ for a sufficiently large $\beta \geq 1$. Now we fix such a $\beta \geq 1$. Parallel to (2.4), we assume that

$$(2.7) \quad C' \text{ divides } N'.$$

and write

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} N'^2/C' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ NN'p^\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *For each integer $b > 1$ prime to Np , there exists a unique bounded measure φ_b on Y with values in Ω satisfying the following property: for each non-negative integer r with $0 \leq r < (k-l)/2$, we let $j = l + 2r$, and we have that the value of the p -adic integral*

$$\int_Y \phi(y) y_p^r d\varphi_b(y)$$

is given by the image under i of

$$t(1 - b^{k-j}\psi \bar{\xi}(b)) a(p, f_0)^{\mu-\lambda} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{Mp^\beta}(j-r, f_0|_k \gamma, g(\phi)|_l \tau_\beta)}{\pi^{j+1} \langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0}},$$

where y_p is the projection of $y \in Y = (\mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ to the factor \mathbb{Z}_p , and

$$t = t(r, \beta) = (\sqrt{-1})^{k+j} 2^{1-k-j} p^{(\mu-\lambda)(1-k/2) + \beta j/2} (NN')^{(j-k)/2+1} \Gamma(j-r) \Gamma(r+1).$$

Since this theorem can be proven in a similar fashion as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, merely a sketch of the proof will be given in §8. By taking the Eisenstein series in [25, (4.3)] as g of Theorem 2.2, we see that $\mathcal{D}_N(s, f, g)$ is a product of Mellin transforms of f and its twist. This suggests to us a relation between our measure and those constructed by Mazur-Swinnerton-Dyer [16] and by Manin [14, 15]. It is an interesting problem to clarify these relations.

§ 3. Some results on Fourier coefficients

For every modular form f , we hereafter write $a(n, f)$ for the n -th Fourier coefficient of f , namely,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, f) e(nz).$$

Terminology. We define a *normalized eigenform* of level N to be a non-zero common eigenform in $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ of all Hecke operators $T(n)$ for $\Gamma_1(N)$ (including those with n dividing N) such that $f|T(n) = a(n, f) f$ for all n . We say that a form f in $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ is *primitive* if there exists a divisor C of N such that (i) f is a new form (in the sense of Miyake [17]) of level C , and (ii) f is a normalized eigenform of level C . The number C is called the *conductor* of f . We say that a normalized eigenform f of level N is *ordinary* for p (or more precisely, for the embedding i fixed in (0.3) if p divides N and if $i(a(p, f))$ is a unit in Ω (i.e. $|i(a(p, f))|_p = 1$). (It is technically important for us to insist that p divides N in our definition of ordinary forms.)

If there is no danger of confusion, we hereafter drop the embedding i from our notation, when we consider algebraic numbers of \mathbb{C} in the field Ω .

Let f be a primitive form of conductor C of weight k and with character ψ . Let $C(\psi)$ be the conductor of the character ψ , and define non-negative integers t and s by

$$C = C' p^t, \quad C(\psi) = C'(\psi) p^s,$$

where $(C', p) = (C'(\psi), p) = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $a(p, f)$ is a unit in Ω (i.e. $|a(p, f)|_p = 1$), then we have either $t = s$ or $k = 2$, $t = 1$ and $s = 0$.*

Before proving this fact, we recall the following result in Doi-Miyake [7, Th. 4.6.17], whose proof we recall because [7] is written in Japanese.

Lemma 3.2. *Let ψ_0 be the primitive character modulo $C(\psi)$ associated with ψ . Then we have*

$$(3.1a) \quad a(p, f) a(p, f)^{\rho} = p^{k-1} \quad \text{if } t = s,$$

$$(3.1b) \quad a(p, f)^2 = \psi_0(p) p^{k-2} \quad \text{if } t = 1 \text{ and } s = 0,$$

$$(3.1c) \quad a(p, f) = 0 \quad \text{if } t \geq 2 \text{ and } t > s,$$

where ρ denotes complex conjugation.

The facts (3.1a, b) can be proven in exactly the same manner as in Asai [1, Lemma 3], where these are shown for every square-free conductor C . A proof of (3.1c) is as follow: put

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{C/p}, c \equiv 0 \pmod{C} \right\}.$$

Then it is well known that, if p^2 divides C ,

$$\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_1(C/p) = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma \quad \text{as a subset of } M_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

and thus the Hecke operator $T(p)$ takes $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$ into $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(C/p))$. Then, the assumption of (3.1c) shows that $f \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$ and we know that

$$a(p, f) f = f | T(p) = f \left[\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_1(C/p) \right]$$

is of level C/p . Since C is the smallest possible level of f , we know that $a(p, f) f = 0$ and therefore $a(p, f)$ must vanish.

Now we can prove Proposition 3.1. When $k \geq 2$, the proposition is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2. We now assume that $k = 1$. Since $a(p, f)$ must be an algebraic integer, the case (3.1b) is impossible, whence the proposition is true for $k = 1$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the weight k of f is greater than or equal to 2 and that $|a(p, f)|_p = 1$. Then, there is a unique ordinary form f_0 of weight k such that $a(n, f) = a(n, f_0)$ except for those n divisible by p . Moreover, f_0 is explicitly given by*

$$f_0(z) = \begin{cases} f(z) & \text{if } p \text{ divides } C, \\ f(z) - \gamma f(pz) & \text{if } C \text{ is prime to } p, \end{cases}$$

where γ is the unique root of $X^2 - a(p, f)X + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ with $|\gamma|_p < 1$.

Proof. The cusp form given as above is known to be a normalized eigenform of level pC (cf. [28, Remark 3.59, p. 88]). Thus our task is to show that f_0 is ordinary and that f_0 is unique. If there is a normalized eigenform with the same Fourier coefficients as f except for those for which n is divisible by p , such a form must belong to $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(Cp^v), \psi)$ for a suitable v by the theory of primitive forms (cf. [17] and [4]). Put

$$(3.1) \quad U(Cp^v, f) = \{g \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(Cp^v)) \mid g | T(l) = a(l, f)g \text{ except for finitely many primes } l\},$$

and $f^{(n)}(z) = f(p^n z)$ for $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, it is known (e.g. [17]) that $\{f^{(0)}, \dots, f^{(v)}\}$ gives a basis of $U(Cp^v, f)$. Let β and γ be the roots of $X^2 - a(p, f)X + \psi(p)p^{k-1}$ with $|\beta|_p = 1$ and $|\gamma|_p < 1$. Then, we can choose another basis of $U(Cp^v, f)$ in the following manner:

(3.2a) If C is prime to p , then we put

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(z) &= f(z) - \gamma f(pz), & f_1(z) &= f(z) - \beta f(pz), \\ f_2(z) &= f_0(z) - \beta f_0(pz) & \text{and } f_n(z) &= f_2(p^{n-2}z) \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq n \leq v$;

(3.2b) If p divides C , then we put

$$f_0 = f, \quad f_1(z) = f(z) - a(p, f) f(pz) \quad \text{and} \quad f_n(z) = f(p^{n-1}z)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq v$.

In the case (3.2a), f_0, f_1 and f_2 are normalized eigenforms (of level Cp^v for every $v \geq 2$) and their eigenvalues for $T(p)$ are β, γ and 0 , respectively. Thus f_0 is ordinary, but neither f_1 nor f_2 can be ordinary. Similarly, f_0 and f_1 are normalized eigenforms in the case (3.2b) with eigenvalues $a(p, f)$ and 0 , respectively. For the action of $T(p)$ on f_n for a general n , we know that

$$f_n | T(p) = f_{n-1}$$

if $n \geq 3$ in the case (3.2a) and if $n \geq 2$ in the case (3.2b) (cf. [28, p. 88]). This shows that for any $v \geq 1$, the operator $T(p)$ is nilpotent on $\sum_{n=1}^v \mathbb{C} f_n$ or $\sum_{n=2}^v \mathbb{C} f_n$ according as p does or does not divide C . Thus the uniqueness of f_0 follows from this if we prove the \mathbb{C} -linear independence of $\{f_0, \dots, f_v\}$ in $U(Cp^v, f)$. We consider the matrix $(a(p^i, f_j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq v}$ of the Fourier coefficients of f_n at the powers of the prime p ; namely, it is equal to

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & \\ \beta & \gamma & \\ \beta^2 & \gamma^2 & 1_{v-1} \\ \hline \beta^{v-2} & \gamma^{v-2} & \\ \hline \beta^{v-1} & \gamma^{v-1} & \\ \beta^v & \gamma^v & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ a(p, f) & \\ a(p, f)^2 & 1_v \\ \hline a(p, f)^{v-1} & \\ \hline a(p, f)^v & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

according as p does not or does divide C . Here 1_v is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Since $\beta \neq \gamma$ and $a(p, f) \neq 0$, these matrices are non-singular and thus $\{f_0, \dots, f_v\}$ gives a basis. Q.E.D.

§ 4. p -adic Modular Forms and Hecke Operators

We begin by recalling the definition of the space of p -adic modular forms in a manner rather more similar to Serre [19] than Katz [11, 12]. Let Γ denote either of the two congruence subgroups $\Gamma_0(N)$ or $\Gamma_1(N)$ for a positive integer N . For any subring A of \mathbb{Q} , define an A -module $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; A)$) for each Dirichlet character ψ modulo N with values in A to be the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$) consisting of all modular forms with A -rational Fourier coefficients. For every modular form $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, f) e(nz)$ with algebraic Fourier coefficients, define a p -adic norm $|f|_p$ of f by

$$|f|_p = \text{Sup}_n |a(n, f)|_p.$$

It is well known (see [24, Th. 1] and [28, Th. 3.52]) that the norm $|f|_p$ is a well defined real number. Let K_0 be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , and K be the closure of K_0 in Ω (relative to the fixed embedding $i: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \Omega$ of (0.3)). Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K)$) denote the completion of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K_0)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K_0)$) for the norm $| \cdot |_p$. Then these spaces become Banach spaces over K .

Let $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the compactified canonical model of \mathfrak{S}/Γ defined over \mathbb{Q} [28, 6.7 and (7.3.5)]. Then the space $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K_0)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K_0) \otimes_{K_0} K$) can be identified with the space of global sections over K_0 (resp. K) of a certain line bundle on $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ rational over \mathbb{Q} (cf. [6, VII.3], [23, Th. 6] and [24, Th. 3]). Let A be either of the two fields K_0 or K . From this interpretation of these spaces, we know the following three facts: $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; A)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; A)$ are finite dimensional; $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K) = \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K_0) \otimes_{K_0} K$, $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K) = \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K_0) \otimes_{K_0} K$; $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K)$ are determined independently of the choice of the dense subfield K_0 . Furthermore, the abstract Hecke ring introduced in [28, (3.3.3) and Th.3.34] acts naturally on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; A)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; A)$. This action on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K_0)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K_0)$ is induced from the usual action of the Hecke operators $T(n)$ and $T(n, n)$ on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ as in [28, 3.4, 3.5]. See below for the precise definition of the action of these operators.

By writing q for $e(z)$, we can embed $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K_0)$ into $K_0[[q]]$. Then we may regard $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K)$ as the closure of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K_0)$ in $K[[q]]$. Thus every element of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K)$ has a unique q -expansion. For $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, f)q^n \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K)$, the norm of f is again given by $\text{Sup}_n |a(n, f)|_p$. Let \mathcal{O}_K denote the ring of p -adic integers in K , and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; \mathcal{O}_K) &= \{f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K) \mid |f|_p \leq 1\} = \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K) \cap \mathcal{O}_K[[q]], \\ \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K) &= \{f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K) \mid |f|_p \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

These spaces are complete normed \mathcal{O}_K -modules of finite rank. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; \Omega) = \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma; K) \otimes_K \Omega, \quad \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; \Omega) = \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K) \otimes_K \Omega.$$

As already seen, these spaces do not depend on the choice of the subfield K of Ω . All the definitions as above for modular forms can be formulated naturally for cusp forms and the corresponding spaces of cusp forms will be written as $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma; K)$, $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K)$, etc.

Let N be an arbitrary positive integer and ψ be a character modulo N . Let A denote either of the field K or the ring \mathcal{O}_K . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_k(N; A) &= \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N p^n); A), \\ \mathcal{M}_k(N, \psi; A) &= \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N p^n), \psi; A). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, these spaces do not depend on the p -primary part of N . Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; A)$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(N, \psi; A)$) be the completion of $\mathcal{M}_k(N; A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(N, \psi; A)$) for the norm $|\cdot|_p$. Any element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; K)$ will be called a p -adic modular form. The suffix “ k ” is dropped for the notation “ $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; A)$ ”, because, as a subspace of $A[[q]]$, the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; A)$ is determined independently of the weight k if $k \geq 2$. This fact is implicit in the papers of Katz and Serre on p -adic modular forms, but we refrain from discussing it in detail, since we do not need this fact later.

However, the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(N, \psi; A)$ does depend on the weight k and the suffix “ k ” must be retained (cf. [12, Lemma 5.4.10]).

Let us give here an explicit description of the action of the Hecke operators $T(l)$ and $T(l, l)$ of level N for primes l . For any integer n prime to N , let $\sigma_n \in \Gamma_0(N)$ be the matrix with $\sigma_n \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \pmod N$. As shown in Deligne-Rapoport [6, VII, Cor. 3.11] and Katz [12, 5.3.2], the action: $f \mapsto f|_k \sigma_n$ of σ_n on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N); K)$ leaves $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N); \mathcal{O}_K)$ stable. Then the action of the Hecke operators $T(l)$ and $T(l, l)$ for primes l on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N); K)$ is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a(n, f|T(l)) &= \begin{cases} a(ln, f) + l^{k-1} a\left(\frac{n}{l}, f|_k \sigma_l\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is prime to } N, \\ a(ln, f) & \text{if } l \text{ divides } N, \end{cases} \\ a(n, f|T(l, l)) &= \begin{cases} l^{k-2} a(n, f|_k \sigma_l) & \text{if } l \text{ is prime to } N, \\ 0 & \text{if } l \text{ divides } N. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When N is divisible by p , (4.1) shows that $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N); \mathcal{O}_K)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ are stable under the operators $T(l)$ and $T(l, l)$. Let A denote either of the field K or the ring \mathcal{O}_K , and let $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(N); A)$) be the A -subalgebra of the ring of all A -linear endomorphisms of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N); A)$) generated by $T(l)$ and $T(l, l)$ for all primes l . Especially, we know that (if p divides N)

$$|f|T|_p \leq |f|_p \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(N); \mathcal{O}_K),$$

and therefore, any operator in $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(N); \mathcal{O}_K)$ is uniformly continuous. These algebras are the Hecke algebras of the corresponding spaces of modular forms.

Next we consider the Hecke algebras of the space of p -adic modular forms. The restriction of operators in $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(Np^m); \mathcal{O}_K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(Np^m), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$) to the subspace $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(Np^m); \mathcal{O}_K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(Np^m), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$) for $n \geq m \geq 1$ gives a \mathcal{O}_K -algebra homomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(Np^m); \mathcal{O}_K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(Np^m), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$) onto $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(Np^m); \mathcal{O}_K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(Np^m), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$). This fact follows from [28, Th. 3.34–5]. Taking the projective limit of these morphisms, we obtain compact topological algebras:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(N; \mathcal{O}_K) &= \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(Np^m); \mathcal{O}_K), \\ \mathcal{H}_k(N, \psi; \mathcal{O}_K) &= \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(Np^m), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K) \end{aligned}$$

which naturally act on $\mathcal{M}_k(N; A)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(N, \psi; A)$ for $A = K$ or \mathcal{O}_K . The action of $\mathcal{H}(N; \mathcal{O}_K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_k(N, \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$) can be naturally extended to an action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; A)$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(N, \psi; A)$) by the uniform continuity.

Let us now introduce the idempotent e attached to $T(p)$ in the Hecke algebra. Let R denote either of the two algebras $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(Np^m), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ or $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_1(Np^m); \mathcal{O}_K)$ for $m \geq 1$. Then the algebra R/pR over the field \mathbb{F}_p with p -elements is commutative and finite dimensional [28, Th. 3.51]. The image $\tilde{T}(p)$

of $T(p)$ in R/pR can be decomposed into the unique sum $s+n$ of a semi-simple element s and a nilpotent element n of R/pR . Thus, for a sufficiently large integer r , the element $\tilde{T}(p)^{p^r}$ coincides with s^{p^r} and becomes semi-simple. Then, we can choose a positive integer u so that $\tilde{T}(p)^{p^r u}$ gives an idempotent of R/pR . This idempotent can be lifted to a unique idempotent e_m of R (cf. [3, III.4.6]). In fact, this idempotent can be given as a p -adic limit in R by

$$(4.3) \quad e_m = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(p)^{p^r u}.$$

This idempotent is clearly independent of the choice of the integer u , and its construction is plainly compatible with the projective limit (4.2). Thus we can define an idempotent e of $\mathcal{H}(N; \mathcal{O}_K)$ and $\mathcal{H}_k(N, \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ by the projective limit $\varprojlim_m e_m$. For any module \mathcal{M} over these Hecke algebras, we define the ordinary

part \mathcal{M}^o of \mathcal{M} to be the corresponding component $e\mathcal{M}$ for the idempotent e . A remarkable fact is

Proposition 4.1. *The ordinary part $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^o(N, \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ of the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(N, \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ is free of finite rank over \mathcal{O}_K . Moreover, let $C(\psi)$ be the conductor of the Dirichlet character ψ , and define positive integers N' and s by*

$$N = N' p^r \text{ and } s = \max(s', 1) \text{ for } C(\psi) = C'(\psi) p^{s'},$$

where $(N', p) = (C'(\psi), p) = 1$. Then the ordinary part $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^o(N, \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ is contained in $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_k(N' p^s), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$.

Proof. As shown in the proof of Lemma 3.2, the Hecke operator $T(p)^m$ for a sufficiently large integer m takes $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N p^n), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ into $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N' p^s), \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then the assertion is clear from the definition (4.3) of the idempotent e .

In contrast with this result, the ordinary part of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; \mathcal{O}_K)$ is usually of infinite rank. The relation between ordinary forms and the idempotent e is given as follows: Let f be an element of $\mathcal{M}_k(N, \psi; K)$ and let C be the smallest possible level of f . Assume that $f|T_C(p) = af$ with $a \in K$ for the Hecke operator $T_C(p)$ of level C .

Lemma 4.2. *If C is divisible by p , then the image $f|_e$ of f under e is either f itself or 0 according as the eigenvalue a is or is not a unit in \mathcal{O}_K .*

Proof. We may assume that f is a modular form for $\Gamma_0(N p^m)$ for a suitable positive integer m . Note that the action of the operator $T(p)$ of level $N p^m$ on f is the same as that of $T_C(p)$. Then, with the notation of (4.3), the eigenvalue of e at f is given by the p -adic limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} a^{p^r u}.$$

The lemma is obvious from this.

From this lemma, it is clear that, especially when f is a normalized form with level divisible by p , then

$$f \text{ is ordinary if and only if } f|_e = f.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Put, for each non-negative integer m ,*

$$f^{(m)}(z) = f(p^m z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, f) q^{p^m n},$$

and for a positive integer n ,

$$U = \sum_{m=0}^n K f^{(m)}.$$

Then the subspace U of $\mathcal{M}_k(N; K)$ is stable under the idempotent e . Moreover, assume that either $k \geq 2$ or p divides C . Then, if a is not a unit in \mathcal{O}_K , the space U is annihilated by e .

Proof. Let $T(p)$ be the Hecke operator in $\mathcal{H}(N, \psi; \mathcal{O}_K)$. Note that $T(p)$ and $T_C(p)$ are different if C is prime to p . It is well known (e.g. [28, p. 88]) that

$$f^{(m)} | T(p) = f^{(m-1)} \quad \text{for } m \geq 1,$$

$$f^{(0)} | T(p) = \begin{cases} a f^{(0)} & \text{if } p \text{ divides } C, \\ a f^{(0)} - \psi_0(p) p^{k-1} f^{(1)} & \text{if } C \text{ is prime to } p, \end{cases}$$

where ψ_0 is the primitive character associated with ψ . This shows that U and even its \mathcal{O}_K -lattice $U(\mathcal{O}_K) = \sum_{m=0}^n \mathcal{O}_K f^{(m)}$ are stable under $T(p)$ and hence, under e . Let \mathfrak{P} be the prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K . Assume that $a \in \mathfrak{P}$ (i.e. that a is not a unit). Then the above formulae show that if $k \geq 2$ or p divides C ,

$$U(\mathcal{O}_K) | T(p)^{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{P} U(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

Then the second assertion follows from the definition (4.3) of e .

Hereafter, let f be a primitive form of conductor C in $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi; K_0)$. Thus N is a multiple of C . Put, for each integer $n \geq 1$,

$$U(N p^n, f; K) = \{g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N p^n); K) | g | T(l) = a(l, f) g \text{ except for finitely many primes } l\}.$$

Define non-negative integers t, r, N' and C' by

$$N = N' p^r \quad \text{and} \quad C = C' p^t,$$

where $(N', p) = (C', p) = 1$.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that $k \geq 2$ and $|a(p, f)|_p = 1$. Let f_0 be the ordinary form associated with f defined in Lemma 3.3. Then we have, for every $n \geq 1$,*

$$e U(N p^n, f; K) = \sum_{0 < t | N'/C'} K f_0(t z).$$

Before proving this result, let us give some remarks. Firstly, the ordinary part $e U(N p^n, f; K)$ does not depend on the integer n , and we have

$$(4.4a) \quad \dim_K e U(N p^n, f; K) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if } C' = N'.$$

Secondly, let P be the set of all primitive forms in $\mathcal{M}_k(N, \psi; \Omega)$ whose p -th Fourier coefficients are units in Ω . Then P is a finite set, and thus we may assume that $P \subset \mathcal{M}_k(N, \psi; K)$ by replacing K by its finite extension if necessary. By the theory of primitive forms, Propositions 4.1 and 4.4 show

$$(4.4b) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^\circ(N, \psi; K) = \sum_{g \in P} e U(Np, g; K).$$

Thirdly, let P_0 be the subset of P consisting of all elements with the property (4.4a), and put

$$U_0 = \sum_{g \in P_0} K g_0,$$

where g_0 is the ordinary form associated with g . Write \mathcal{H}_0 for the subalgebra of the ring of all K -linear endomorphisms of U_0 generated over K by all Hecke operators $T(l)$ and $T(l, l)$. Then

$$(4.4c) \quad \mathcal{H}_0 \text{ is a semi-simple algebra over } K.$$

In view of these properties, one may regard the ordinary forms g_0 for $g \in P_0$ as an analogue of primitive forms of conductor N in the theory of ordinary forms.

Now we shall prove Proposition 4.4. Let us take a basis $\{f_m\}_{m=0, \dots, j}$ of $U(Cp^j, f)$ as in (3.2a, b). For $j = n + r - t$, we see $Np^n/Cp^j = N/Cp^{r-t} = N'/C'$. We see then from [17] that

$$U(Np^n, f; K) = \sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{0 < t | N'/C'} K f_m(tz).$$

Since t is prime to p , the operation: $g(z) \mapsto g(tz)$ commutes with the Hecke operator $T(p)$, and hence, with the idempotent e . This shows that

$$e U(Np^n, f; K) = \sum_{0 < t | N'/C'} K f_0(tz),$$

since f_0 is a unique ordinary form in $U(Cp^j, f)$ and f_m with $m \geq 1$ is annihilated by e .

Let f be a primitive form of conductor C , of weight $k \geq 2$ and with character ψ . Assume that $|a(p, f)|_p = 1$. Now we are ready to define a continuous linear form ℓ_f (attached to f) on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(C, \psi; K)$ into K . Let f_0 be the ordinary form associated with f and let C_0 be the exact level of f_0 . By Proposition 4.4 (or more precisely by (4.4c)), the natural ring homomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K)$ onto K , which assign $a(n, f_0)$ to $T(n)$, is split, and thus, there is a simple direct summand of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K)$, isomorphic to K , through which this morphism factors. Let A be the subalgebra of this Hecke algebra which is the complementary direct summand. Namely, we have the algebra direct sum decomposition:

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K) \cong A \oplus K.$$

Let 1_f be the idempotent corresponding to the direct summand K of (4.5). Note that the idempotent e sends $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(C_0, \psi; K)$ into $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K)$ by

Proposition 4.1. Then the linear form $\ell_f: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(C_0, \psi; K) \rightarrow K$ is defined by

$$(4.6) \quad \ell_f(g) = a(1, g|_e 1_f) \quad \text{for } g \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(C_0, \psi; K),$$

where $a(1, g|_e 1_f)$ is the first q -expansion coefficient of $g|_e 1_f$.

Proposition 4.5. Assume that K_0 contains all the Fourier coefficients of the ordinary form f_0 . Then, the linear form ℓ_f has values in the finite algebraic number field K_0 on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0 p^n), \psi; K_0)$ for every $n \geq 0$. Furthermore, we have

$$\ell_f(g) = a(p, f_0)^{-n} p^{n(k-1)} \frac{\langle h_n, g \rangle_{C_0 p^n}}{\langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0}} \quad (g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0 p^n), \psi; K_0)),$$

where $h = f_0^{\rho}|_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ C_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $h_n(z) = h(p^n z)$ for the complex conjugation ρ .

Proof. First we shall deal with the case: $n=0$. We know that $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K) = \mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K_0) \otimes_{K_0} K$. Since K_0 contains the eigenvalues for f_0 of all Hecke operators, the decomposition (4.5) is induced from the similar decomposition:

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K_0) \cong A_0 \oplus K_0 \quad (\text{algebra direct sum}).$$

Thus, by definition, the linear form ℓ_f has values in K_0 on $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K_0)$. Now we consider the general case: $n > 0$. As explained in the proof of Lemma 3.2, the operator $T(p)^n$ takes $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0 p^n), \psi; K_0)$ into $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K_0)$. By the definition of 1_f , it commutes with $T(p)$ and e . Thus, we have

$$g|T(p)^n e 1_f = g|_e 1_f T(p)^n = a(p, f_0)^n g|_e 1_f \quad (g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0 p^n), \psi; K_0)).$$

This shows that

$$(4.7) \quad \ell_f(g) = a(p, f_0)^{-n} \ell_f(g|T(p)^n) \in K_0 \quad (g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0 p^n), \psi; K_0)).$$

Next, we shall show that

$$\ell_f(g) = \langle h, g \rangle_{C_0} / \langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0} \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K_0).$$

We can naturally extend ℓ_f to a linear form of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi)$ with values in \mathbb{C} so that it coincides with the original one on K_0 -rational modular forms. We denote it by the same symbol. Since Eisenstein series are contained in the kernel of ℓ_f , we can find an element h' in $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi)$ so that

$$\langle h', g \rangle_{C_0} = \ell_f(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi).$$

For each primitive form \mathcal{g} in $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi)$, let

$$U(\mathcal{g}) = \{g \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi) \mid g|T(l) = a(l, \mathcal{g}) g \text{ except for finitely many primes } l\}.$$

Then, we have the well known orthogonal decomposition under \langle , \rangle :

$$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{g}} U(\mathcal{g}).$$

Therefore, h' must be in $U(f)$, since ℓ_f annihilates $U(g)$ for $g \neq f$. Put $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ C_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $T^*(m)$ be the adjoint operator of $T(m)$ under \langle, \rangle . Then, it is known (cf. [28, Chap. 3] and [7, Th. 4.5.5]) that, as operators on $\mathcal{L}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi)$,

$$\tau^2 = (-1)^k, \quad T^*(m) = \tau^{-1} \circ T(m) \circ \tau \quad \text{for all } m > 0,$$

and if m is prime to C_0 ,

$$T^*(m) = \overline{\psi(m)} T(m).$$

Especially, f^p is an eigenform of $T^*(m)$ with eigenvalue $a(m, f)$ if m is prime to C_0 . Thus, we see that, for m prime to C_0 ,

$$h | T(m) = (f_0^p | T^*(m)) | \tau = a(m, f) h.$$

This shows that $0 \neq h \in U(f)$. When C is divisible by p , then $C_0 = C$, $f_0 = f$ and $U(f) = \mathbb{C}f$. Then, it is obvious that

$$h' = h / \langle h, f_0 \rangle.$$

We now assume that C is prime to p . Take a basis $\{f_0, f_1\}$ of $U(f)$ as in (3.2a). Then, we know that $f_0 | T(p) = \beta f_0$ and $f_1 | T(p) = \gamma f_1$ for the elements β and γ of K_0 with $|\beta|_p = 1$ and $|\gamma|_p < 1$. Thus $\ell_f(f_0) = 1$ and $\ell_f(f_1) = 0$. Then, in order to see $h' = h / \langle h, f_0 \rangle$, what we have to show is the vanishing:

$$\langle h, f_1 \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\beta \neq \gamma$, this is a consequence of the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \langle h, f_1 \rangle &= \langle h, f_1 | T(p) \rangle = \langle h | T^*(p), f_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle (f_0^p | T(p)) | \tau, f_1 \rangle = \langle \beta^p h, f_1 \rangle = \beta \langle h, f_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the last assertion for $n=0$. For $g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0 p^n), \psi)$ with each $n > 0$, we know $g | T(p)^n \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(p^n, f_0) \langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0} \ell_f(g) &= \langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0} \ell_f(g | T(p)^n) \quad \text{by (4.7)} \\ &= \langle h, g | T(p)^n \rangle_{C_0} \\ &= \left\langle h, g \left| \left[\Gamma_0(C_0 p^n) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^n \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_0(C_0) \right] \right\rangle_{C_0} \\ &= \left\langle h \left| \left[\Gamma_0(C_0) \begin{pmatrix} p^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_0(C_0 p^n) \right], g \right\rangle_{C_0 p^n} \quad \text{by [28, (3.4.5)].} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma_0(C_0) \begin{pmatrix} p^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_0(C_0 p^n) = \Gamma_0(C_0) \begin{pmatrix} p^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$h \left| \left[\Gamma_0(C_0) \begin{pmatrix} p^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_0(C_0 p^n) \right] = p^{n(k-1)} h(p^n z).$$

This shows the last assertion for every $n \geq 0$.

§ 5. Differential operators

In this section, we recall some of Shimura's results on differential operators on \mathfrak{H} , and prove several additional facts. Define the differential operators on \mathfrak{H} by

$$\delta_s = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \left(\frac{s}{2\sqrt{-1}y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right),$$

$$d = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = q \frac{d}{dq} \quad (q = e(z), z = x + \sqrt{-1}y),$$

$$\delta_s^r = \delta_{s+2r-2} \cdots \delta_{s+2} \delta_s \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where we understand that $\delta_s^0 = 1$ is the identity operator. These operators satisfy

$$(5.1) \quad \delta_{s+t}(fg) = g \delta_s(f) + f \delta_t(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_k^r(f|_k \gamma) = (\delta_k^r f)|_{k+2r} \gamma$$

for $\gamma \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ and every positive integer k [23, (1.5), (1.8)]. The relation between δ and d is given in [27, (1.16a, b)] as

$$(5.2) \quad \delta_s^r = \sum_{0 \leq t \leq r} \binom{r}{t} \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s+t)} (-4\pi y)^{t-r} d^t.$$

Let K_0 be a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and l and m be positive integers. Let $g \in \mathcal{M}_l(\Gamma_0(N), \xi; K_0)$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}_m(\Gamma_0(N), \chi; K_0)$. Then we have

$$(5.3) \quad g \delta_m^r h = \sum_{n=0}^r \delta_{k-2n}^n g_n \quad \text{with elements } g_n \text{ of } \mathcal{M}_{k-2n}(\Gamma_0(N), \xi \chi; K_0)$$

for $k = l + m + 2r$.

These modular forms g_n are uniquely determined by g and h . This fact is shown in [25, Lemma 7]. We write $H(g \delta_m^r h)$ for g_0 in (5.3), and call it the holomorphic projection of $g \delta_m^r h$. This terminology is justified by the property given in [25, Lemma 6] (see also [27, Lemma 2.3]):

$$(5.4) \quad \langle f, g \delta_m^r h \rangle_N = \langle f, H(g \delta_m^r h) \rangle_N \quad \text{for every element } f \text{ of } \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \xi \chi).$$

Here the Petersson inner product $\langle f, g \delta_m^r h \rangle_N$ is defined as usual, since $g \delta_m^r h$ transforms under $\Gamma_0(N)$ as if it were an element of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \xi \chi)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}_{K_0} = \{x \in K_0 \mid |x|_p \leq 1\}$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M}_l(N; \mathcal{O}_{K_0})$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}_m(N; \mathcal{O}_{K_0})$. Then, we can find a positive integer C independently of g and h such that*

$$CH(g \delta_m^r h) \in \mathcal{M}_k(N; \mathcal{O}_{K_0}) \quad (k = l + m + 2r).$$

The integer C depends only on l, m and r .

Proof. By applying (5.2) to the equality (5.3), we have

$$\sum_{0 \leq t \leq r} \binom{r}{t} \frac{\Gamma(m+r)}{\Gamma(m+t)} (g d^t h) (-4\pi y)^{t-r}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^r \sum_{0 \leq t \leq n} \binom{n}{t} \frac{\Gamma(k-n)}{\Gamma(k-2n+t)} (d^t g_n) (-4\pi y)^{t-n}.$$

We consider this to be an equality of polynomials in the variable $(-4\pi y)^{-1}$. By comparing the coefficients of $(-4\pi y)^{-t}$ for each $0 \leq t \leq r$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \binom{r}{t} \frac{\Gamma(m+r)}{\Gamma(m+t)} g d^t h = \sum_{n=r-t}^r \binom{n}{t-r+n} \frac{\Gamma(k-n)}{\Gamma(k-n+t-r)} d^{t-r+n} g_n.$$

When $t=0$, we see from (5.5) that $gh = \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(k-r)}{\Gamma(m+r)\Gamma(k-2r)} g_r$. Define C_r to be the numerator of $\frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(k-r)}{\Gamma(m+r)\Gamma(k-2r)}$. Then $C_r g_r$ has Fourier coefficients in \mathcal{O}_{K_0} whenever g and h have their Fourier coefficients in \mathcal{O}_{K_0} . Now, let j be an integer with $0 \leq j \leq r$, and assume that there are positive integers C_n for $j < n \leq r$ such that $C_n g_n$ has Fourier coefficients in \mathcal{O}_{K_0} whenever g and h do. Then we see from (5.5) for $t=r-j$ that

$$\frac{\Gamma(k-j)}{\Gamma(k-2j)} g_j = \binom{r}{r-j} \frac{\Gamma(m+r)}{\Gamma(m+r-j)} g d^{r-j} h - \sum_{n=j+1}^r \binom{n}{n-j} \frac{\Gamma(k-n)}{\Gamma(k-n-j)} d^{n-j} g_n.$$

Since $C_n d^{n-j} g_n$ for every $n > j$ has coefficients in \mathcal{O}_{K_0} , we can find a positive integer C_j so that $C_j g_j$ has \mathcal{O}_{K_0} -integral Fourier coefficients whenever g and h are \mathcal{O}_{K_0} -integral. Thus, by induction on j , we obtain the lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M}_l(\Gamma_1(N); K_0)$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}_m(\Gamma_1(N); K_0)$, and define $g_n \in \mathcal{M}_{k-2n}(\Gamma_1(N); K_0)$ ($0 \leq n \leq r$, $k=l+m+2r$) for a positive integer r by (5.3). Put $g' = -\sum_{n=0}^{r-1} d^n g_{n+1}$. Then, the p -adic norm $|a(n, g')|_p$ of the Fourier coefficients of g' for all n is bounded, and we have that*

$$H(g \delta_m^r h) = g d^r h + dg'.$$

Moreover, $H(g \delta_m^r h)$ is a cusp form if $r > 0$.

Proof. We see from (5.5) for $t=r$ that

$$(5.6) \quad g d^r h = H(g \delta_m^r h) + \sum_{n=1}^r d^n g_n = H(g \delta_m^r h) - dg'.$$

Since g_n is a K_0 -rational modular form by (5.3), the norm $|g'|_p$ is a well defined real number; namely, $|a(n, g')|_p$ is bounded. For an arbitrary $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$, by substituting $g|_l \gamma$ and $h|_m \gamma$ for g and h in (5.3), we see easily from (5.1) and (5.6) that

$$H(g \delta_m^r h)|_k \gamma = H[(g|_l \gamma) \delta_m^r (h|_m \gamma)] = (g|_l \gamma) d^r (h|_m \gamma) - \sum_{n=1}^r d^n (g_n|_{k-2n} \gamma).$$

This vanishes at $i\infty$, and therefore $H(g \delta_m^r h)$ is a cusp form when $r > 0$.

Lemma 5.3. For arbitrary elements g of $\mathcal{M}_l(\Gamma_1(N))$ and h of $\mathcal{M}_m(\Gamma_1(N))$, we have

$$H(g \delta_m^r h) = (-1)^r H(h \delta_l^r g).$$

Proof. The assertion is trivially true for $r=0$; thus, we assume that $r>0$. Then $H(g \delta_m^r h)$ and $H(h \delta_l^r g)$ are cusp forms. For any C^∞ -functions f and f' with the same automorphic property as elements of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$, put

$$\langle f, f' \rangle = \int_{\mathfrak{H}/\Gamma_1(N)} \overline{f(z)} f'(z) y^{k-2} dx dy,$$

if it is well defined. Let ϕ and ψ be arbitrary C^∞ -functions on \mathfrak{H} satisfying $\phi|_i \gamma = \phi$ and $\psi|_j \gamma = \psi$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(N)$. If ϕ and ψ are slowly increasing in the sense of [27, (2.17)], then $\langle f, \phi \delta_j \psi \rangle$, $\langle f, \psi \delta_i \phi \rangle$ and $\langle f, \delta_{i+j}(\phi \psi) \rangle$ for every f of $\mathcal{S}_{i+j+2}(\Gamma_1(N))$ are finite. Especially, $\langle f, \delta_{i+j}(\phi \psi) \rangle$ vanishes by [27, Lemma 2.3]. In addition to this, we see from (5.1) that $\delta_{i+j}(\phi \psi) = \phi \delta_j \psi + \psi \delta_i \phi$. Then, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \langle f, \phi \delta_j \psi \rangle = -\langle f, \psi \delta_i \phi \rangle \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{S}_{i+j+2}(\Gamma_1(N)).$$

Substituting $\delta_l^{r-n} g$ and $\delta_m^{n-1} h$ for ϕ and ψ in (5.7), we have

$$\langle f, (\delta_l^{r-n} g) (\delta_m^{n-1} h) \rangle = -\langle f, (\delta_l^{r-n+1} g) (\delta_m^{n-1} h) \rangle.$$

Then, by induction on n , we know

$$\langle f, g \delta_m^r h \rangle = (-1)^r \langle f, h \delta_l^r g \rangle.$$

Then (5.4) shows that, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ ($k=l+m+2r$),

$$\langle f, H(g \delta_m^r h) \rangle = (-1)^r \langle f, H(h \delta_l^r g) \rangle.$$

Since $H(g \delta_m^r h)$ and $H(h \delta_l^r g)$ are cusp forms, the non-degeneracy of the Petersson inner product on $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ shows the lemma.

§ 6. Bounded measures with values in p -adic modular forms

Firstly, we recall the theory of bounded measures according to Mazur and Swinnerton-Dyer [16]. Let A be a closed subring of Ω . Let \mathcal{M} be an A -module complete under a norm $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{M}}$ with the following properties: $|x|_{\mathcal{M}}=0$ if and only if $x=0$ ($x \in \mathcal{M}$); $|ax|_{\mathcal{M}}=|a|_p |x|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for $a \in A$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$; $|x+y|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \max(|x|_{\mathcal{M}}, |y|_{\mathcal{M}})$. For our later use, A will be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathcal{M} will be the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; A)$ of p -adic modular forms. Let T be a projective limit of finite discrete sets T_n . Let $\mathcal{C}(T; A)$ be the space of all continuous functions on T with values in A . We can define a norm $\|\phi\|$ of $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(T; A)$ by

$$\|\phi\| = \text{Sup}_{t \in T} |\phi(t)|_p.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}(T; A)$ becomes a complete normed A -module [2, X.1.6, X.3.3]. A linear functional ψ on $\mathcal{C}(T; A)$ into \mathcal{M} is called a bounded measure on T with values

in \mathcal{M} if there is a positive constant B such that $|\psi(\phi)|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq B \|\phi\|$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(T; A)$. Usually, the value $\psi(\phi)$ is written as $\int_T \phi d\psi$. For a point $t \in T_n$, let $\chi_{n,t}$ be the pull back to T of the characteristic function of the one point subset $\{t\}$ of T_n . Put $\psi_n(t) = \int_T \chi_{n,t} d\psi$. Since locally constant functions are dense in $\mathcal{C}(T; A)$, the measure ψ is uniquely determined by the system $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n \geq u, t \in T_n}$ for any given integer u . This system satisfies

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{\pi_{ij}(t)=s} \psi_i(t) = \psi_j(s) \quad \text{for any } i \geq j \geq u \text{ and every } s \in T_j,$$

where π_{ij} is the projection of T_i onto T_j . Conversely, if a system $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n \geq u, t \in T_n}$ satisfying (6.1) is given and if the norm $|\psi_n(t)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is bounded independently of n and $t \in T_n$, then this system comes from a unique bounded measure on T . For a given measure ψ on T and any continuous function Φ in $\mathcal{C}(T; A)$, the product measure $\Phi \cdot \psi$ (or occasionally written as $\Phi d\psi$) of Φ and ψ is defined by

$$(6.2) \quad (\Phi \cdot \psi)(\phi) = \psi(\Phi \phi) = \int_T \phi \Phi d\psi \quad \text{for any } \phi \in \mathcal{C}(T; A).$$

Let M be an arbitrary positive integer and put

$$Z_v = (\mathbb{Z}/M p^v \mathbb{Z})^\times \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \varprojlim_v Z_v.$$

We shall introduce the Eisenstein measure on the space Z . Let us define an Eisenstein series for each $a \in Z_v$ by giving its Fourier expansion: for each positive integer m ,

$$(6.3) \quad E_{m,v}(a) = \zeta(1-m; a, M p^v) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \equiv a \pmod{M p^v}}} \text{sgn}(d) d^{m-1} \right) e(nz),$$

where $\zeta(s; a, M p^v) = \sum_{0 < n \equiv a \pmod{M p^v}} n^{-s}$ is the partial zeta function modulo $M p^v$. It is known by Hecke [8] that the series of (6.3) belongs to $\mathcal{M}_m(\Gamma_1(M p^v); \mathbb{Q})$ if $M p^v > 2$. The system $\{E_{m,v}(a)\}_{v \geq 2, a \in Z_v}$ for each m satisfies the condition (6.1), but their norms in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; \mathbb{Q}_p)$ are unbounded. For each integer $b > 1$ prime to $M p$, put

$$(6.4a) \quad E_{m,v}^b(a) = E_{m,v}(a) - b^m E_{m,v}(b^{-1}a),$$

where we take the inverse b^{-1} in Z_v considering b to be an element of Z_v naturally. Define another system $\{e_{m,v}^b(a)\}_{v \geq 1, a \in Z_v}$ by

$$(6.4b) \quad e_{m,v}^b(a) = \zeta(1-m; a, M p^v) - b^m \zeta(1-m; b^{-1}a, M p^v) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then, it is well known that $|e_{m,v}^b(a)|_p$ is bounded independently of $a \in Z_v$ and v (e.g. [13, Chap. 2]). Thus the system (6.4a, b) for each positive integer m gives bounded measures on Z with values in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N; \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and \mathbb{Q}_p , respectively. We will denote these measures by E_m^b and e_m^b . The measures e_m^b are related to the

Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -functions (cf. [9] and [13]), and the measure E_1^b is a one-dimensional part of the Eisenstein measure introduced in [11, 12].

Hereafter in this section, we return to the situation of Theorem 2.1. Especially, M denotes the level of the fixed lattice I of the quadratic space V . Recall $\mathscr{W} = \{v \in I^* \mid n(v) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $W_v = \mathscr{W}/p^v I$ for each positive integer v and $W = \varprojlim_v W_v$. We shall now define a measure associated with the quadratic form n on

W . Take a spherical function $\eta: V \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of degree α with algebraic values on V . By composing η with the embedding i of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ into Ω fixed in (0.3), we extend it by continuity to a function on W into Ω . We denote the extension again by η . Fix a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p so that η has values in K . For each $w \in W_v$, put

$$(6.5) \quad \theta_v(w, \eta) = \sum_{\substack{v \in \mathscr{W} \\ v \equiv w \pmod{p^v I}}} \eta(v) e(n(v)z) \in \mathscr{M}_{\kappa+\alpha}(\Gamma_1(M p^{2v}); K).$$

Then, the system $\{\theta_v(w, \eta)\}_{v \geq 0, w \in W_v}$ defines a measure on W with values in the K -Banach space $\mathscr{M}(M; K)$. When η is the constant function with value 1 on V , this measure will be called the theta measure attached to the quadratic space V , and will be denoted by θ or $d\theta$. For any continuous function $\phi \in \mathscr{C}(W; K)$, the value $\theta(\phi) = \int_W \phi d\theta$ has the following q -expansion:

$$\theta(\phi) = \int_W \phi d\theta = \sum_{w \in \mathscr{W}} \phi(w) q^{n(w)} \in \bar{\mathscr{M}}(M; K).$$

Then, it is obvious that the product measure $\eta \cdot d\theta$ for the general spherical function η gives the measure attached to the system (6.5).

Next, we shall construct another bounded measure on W , which may be regarded as a convolution product of the theta measure and the Eisenstein measure. Write the level M of I as $M' p^\lambda$ with a positive integer M' prime to p , and let ω be a Dirichlet character modulo $M' p^u$ for some integer $u \geq -\lambda$. By definition, $Z_v = (\mathbb{Z}/M' p^v \mathbb{Z})^\times$ naturally acts on $I^*/p^v I$, and the subset W_v of $I^*/p^v I$ is stable under the action of Z_v . Thus, we can consider $\theta_v(a w, \eta)$ for $w \in W_v$ and $a \in Z_v$. For each non-negative integer r and each positive integer m , we define a system $\{\Phi_v(w)\}_{v, w \in W_v}$ by

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_v(w) &= \Phi_v(w; r, m, \omega, \eta) \\ &= \sum_{a \in Z_v} \omega(a) H[\theta_v(a w, \eta) \delta_m^r E_{m,v}^b(a)] \in \mathscr{M}_k(\Gamma_1(M p^{2v}); K), \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \kappa + \alpha + m + 2r$, δ_m^r is Shimura's differential operator defined in §5 and H denotes the holomorphic projection map. We have to assume that $v \geq 2$ and $v \geq u$ in (6.6). By Lemma 5.1, we know

$$(6.7) \quad |\Phi_v(w)|_p \text{ is bounded independently of } v \text{ and } w \in W_v.$$

In order to show that the system $\{\Phi_v(w)\}$ comes from a bounded measure, we have to check the condition (6.1). The calculation may be done as follows: for any $i \geq j \geq \max(u, 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{w \in W_i \\ w \equiv x \pmod{p^j I}}} \Phi_i(w) &= \sum_{a \in Z_i} \omega(a) H\left[\left(\sum_{\substack{w \in W_i \\ w \equiv x \pmod{p^j I}}} \theta_i(a w, \eta)\right) \delta_m^r E_{m,i}^b(a)\right] \\
 &= \sum_{a \in Z_i} \omega(a) H[\theta_j(a x, \eta) \delta_m^r E_{m,i}^b(a)] \\
 &= \sum_{a \in Z_j} \omega(a) H[\theta_j(a x, \eta) \delta_m^r \left(\sum_{\substack{c \in Z_i \\ c \equiv a \pmod{M p^j}}} E_{m,j}^b(c)\right)] \\
 &= \sum_{a \in Z_j} \omega(a) H[\theta_j(a x, \eta) \delta_m^r E_{m,j}^b(a)] = \Phi_j(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $\Phi = \Phi(r, m, \omega, \eta)$ for the measure defined by (6.6).

Lemma 6.1. *Let γ be an element of $\Gamma_0(M p^v)$ with $\gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} t & * \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{M p^v}$. Then, we have*

$$E_{m,v}(a)|_m \gamma = E_{m,v}(a t) \quad \text{if } M p^v > 2.$$

Proof. Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo $M p^v$ with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^m$, and put

$$E(\chi) = \frac{1}{2} L(1 - m, \chi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{0 < d|n} \chi(d) d^{m-1} \right) e(n z).$$

It is well known that $E(\chi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_m(\Gamma_0(M p^v), \chi)$ if $M p^v > 2$ (cf. [8] and [25, (3.4)]). The explicit q -expansion (6.3) of $E_{m,v}(a)$ shows that

$$E_{m,v}(a) = 2 |Z_v|^{-1} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(a) E(\chi),$$

where χ runs over all Dirichlet character modulo $M p^v$ with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^m$ and $|Z_v|$ denotes the number of elements in Z_v . Then, we know

$$E_{m,v}(a)|_m \gamma = 2 |Z_v|^{-1} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(a t) E(\chi) = E_{m,v}(a t).$$

This shows the lemma.

We know from Proposition 1.1 that, for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(M p^{2v})$ with $\gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} t & * \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{M p^{2v}}$,

$$\theta_v(w, \eta)|_{\kappa + \alpha} \gamma = \chi_0(t) \theta_v(t w, \eta),$$

where $\chi_0(t) = \left(\frac{(-1)^{\kappa} \Delta}{t}\right)$ for $\Delta = [I^* : I]$ is the Legendre symbol. Then, Lemma 6.1 shows that $\Phi_v(w)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(M p^{2v}), \omega \chi_0; K)$ for $k = \kappa + \alpha + m + 2r$. Thus

(6.8) $\Phi(r, m, \omega, \eta)$ has values in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(M, \omega \chi_0; K)$ for $k = \kappa + \alpha + m + 2r$.

We shall clarify possible relations between the measures Φ for various r, m, ω and η .

Proposition 6.2. *Let k be a positive integer greater than κ , and assume that the degree α of the spherical function η is less than $k - \kappa$. Then, we have*

$$\Phi(0, k - \kappa - \alpha, \omega, \eta) = \eta \cdot \Phi(0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1),$$

where we denote by the symbol “1” the constant function with value 1 on W .

Proof. Note that the two measures $\Phi(0, k - \kappa - \alpha, \omega, \eta)$ and $\Phi(0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1)$ have values in the same space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(M, \omega \chi_0; K)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$(6.9) \quad |\Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa - \alpha, \omega, \eta) - \eta(w) \Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1)|_p \text{ is convergent to } 0 \\ \text{uniformly in } w \in W \text{ as } v \text{ approaches to the infinity.}$$

By definition, we have

$$E_{m,v}^b(a) = \varepsilon_{m,v}^b(a) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \equiv a \pmod{M p^v}}} \operatorname{sgn}(d) d^{m-1} - b \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ b d \equiv a \pmod{M p^v}}} \operatorname{sgn}(b d) (b d)^{m-1} \right) e(n z).$$

As for the constant term $\varepsilon_{m,v}^b(a)$, we have that, for every $a \in Z$,

$$|\varepsilon_{m,v}^b(a) - a_p^{m-1} \varepsilon_{1,v}^b(a)|_p \leq p^{-v},$$

where $a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is the projection of $a \in Z = (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ into the second factor. A similar inequality can be verified more easily for the non-constant terms of $E_{m,v}^b(a)$, and then, we have

$$|E_{m,v}^b(a) - a_p^{m-1} E_{1,v}^b(a)|_p \leq p^{-v} \quad \text{for every } a \in Z.$$

Here, we use the norm $|\cdot|_p$ defined by $\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n) q^n \right|_p = \sup_n |c(n)|_p$ for any element of $\mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$. Replacing η by its constant multiple if necessary, we may assume that η has values in the p -adic integer ring \mathcal{O}_K of K . Note that $\eta(a w) = a^\alpha \eta(w)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, we have that, for any $w \in W$,

$$(6.10) \quad \left| \eta(w) \Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1) - \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, M p) = 1}}^{M p^v} a^{k - \kappa - \alpha - 1} \omega(a) E_{1,v}^b(a) \eta(a w) \theta_v(a w, 1) \right|_p \\ = |\eta(w) \Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1) - \eta(w) \sum_a a^{k - \kappa - 1} \omega(a) E_{1,v}^b(a) \theta_v(a w, 1)|_p \\ = |\eta(w) \sum_a \omega(a) [E_{k-\kappa,v}^b(a) - a^{k - \kappa - 1} E_{1,v}^b(a)] \theta_v(a w, 1)|_p \\ \leq \sup_a (|\eta(w)|_p |E_{k-\kappa,v}^b(a) - a^{k - \kappa - 1} E_{1,v}^b(a)|_p |\theta_v(a w, 1)|_p) \\ \leq p^{-v}.$$

Since η is a polynomial function, we can find a constant $C \geq 1$ so that if $v \equiv w \pmod{p^v I_p}$ for any $v, w \in W$, then

$$|\eta(v) - \eta(w)|_p \leq C p^{-v}.$$

Then, the definition of $\theta_v(w, \eta)$ and $\theta_v(w, 1)$ in (6.5) shows that

$$|\theta_v(w, \eta) - \eta(w) \theta_v(w, 1)|_p \leq C p^{-v} \quad \text{for every } w \in W.$$

Thus, we have that, for every $w \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.11) \quad & \left| \Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa - \alpha, \omega, \eta) - \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, Mp)=1}}^{Mp} a^{k-\kappa-\alpha-1} \omega(a) E_{1,v}^b(a) \eta(aw) \theta_v(aw, 1) \right|_p \\
 & = |\Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa - \alpha, \omega, \eta) - \sum_a \omega(a) E_{k-\kappa-\alpha,v}^b(a) \eta(aw) \theta_v(aw, 1) \\
 & \quad + \sum_a \omega(a) E_{k-\kappa-\alpha,v}^b(a) \eta(aw) \theta_v(aw, 1) \\
 & \quad - \sum_a a^{k-\kappa-\alpha-1} \omega(a) E_{1,v}^b(a) \eta(aw) \theta_v(aw, 1)|_p \\
 & \leq \text{Sup}_a [E_{k-\kappa-\alpha,v}^b(a)|_p |\theta_v(aw, \eta) - \eta(aw) \theta_v(aw, 1)|_p, \\
 & \quad |\eta(aw) \theta_v(aw, 1)|_p |E_{k-\kappa-\alpha,v}^b(a) - a^{k-\kappa-\alpha-1} E_{1,v}^b(a)|_p] \\
 & \leq Cp^{-v}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, (6.9) follows from (6.10) and (6.11). Q.E.d.

For any $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(W; K)$, the value $\theta(\phi) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \phi(w) q^{n(w)}$ is an element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K)$. Then, it is plain that, for $0 \leq r \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$d^r \theta(\phi) = \theta(n^r \phi),$$

where d is the differential operator $q \frac{d}{dq}$. It is known (e.g. [12, 5.8]) that the differential operator d takes $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K)$ into itself. Extend the Hecke operator $T(p)$ to an operator on $K[[q]]$ by

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) q^n \right) \Big| T(p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n p) q^n,$$

and put

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) q^n \right|_p = \text{Sup}_n |a(n)|_p.$$

Then, we can define a valuation ring by

$$\mathcal{U} = \{F \in K[[q]] \mid |F|_p \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Then, \mathcal{U} is stable under the differential operator d and the Hecke operator $T(p)$. We see easily that

$$(6.12) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (dF) | T(p)^m = 0 \quad \text{if } F \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Note that the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K)$ may be regarded as a subspace of \mathcal{U} through q -expansion. Then, the definition of the idempotent e of $\mathcal{H}(M; \mathcal{O}_K)$ in (4.3) shows that

(6.13) *The idempotent e can be naturally extended to an operator on $d\mathcal{U} + \overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K)$ so that e annihilates $d\mathcal{U} (\Rightarrow d.\overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K))$.*

We shall define the ordinary part $\Phi^0 = \Phi^0(r, m, \omega, \eta)$ of the measure $\Phi(r, m, \omega, \eta)$ by

$$(6.14) \quad \int_W \phi d\Phi^0(r, m, \omega, \eta) = e \left[\int_W \phi d\Phi(r, m, \omega, \eta) \right] \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{C}(W; K).$$

Then, the measure Φ^0 has values in the finite dimensional K -vector space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^0(M, \omega \chi_0; K)$ (Proposition 4.1).

Proposition 6.3. *Let k and r be integers with $k > \kappa$ and $0 \leq r < \frac{1}{2}(k - \kappa)$, and assume that the degree α of η is less than $k - \alpha - 2r$. Then, we have*

$$\Phi^0(r, k - \kappa - \alpha - 2r, \omega, \eta) = (-1)^r \eta n^r \cdot \Phi^0(0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1).$$

Proof. Put, for each $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(W; K)$,

$$\theta_v(w, \phi) = \sum_{\substack{v \equiv w \pmod{p^v I} \\ v \in \mathcal{W}}} \phi(w) q^{n(w)} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K) \quad (w \in W_v).$$

Then, we can define a bounded measure $\Phi(0, m, \omega, \phi)$ on W by the system

$$\Phi_v(w; 0, m, \omega, \phi) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_v} \omega(a) E_{m, v}^b(a) \theta_v(a w, \phi) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K).$$

We can apply the argument which proves (6.9) to any homogenous polynomial ϕ on W in place of η there. Let us take ηn^r as ϕ . Then, in exactly the same manner as in the proof of (6.9), we obtain

$$(6.15) \quad |\Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa - \alpha - 2r, \omega, \eta n^r) - \eta(w) n(w)^r \Phi_v(w; 0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1)|_p$$

converges to 0 uniformly in $w \in W$ as v approaches to the infinity.

For simplicity, we write Φ^0 for $\Phi^0(0, k - \kappa, \omega, 1)$. In order to prove the assertion, what we have to show is

$$(6.16) \quad |\Phi_v^0(w; r, k - \kappa - \alpha - 2r, \omega, \eta) - (-1)^r (\eta n^r)(w) \Phi_v^0(w)|_p \quad \text{converges to 0}$$

uniformly in $w \in W$ as v approaches to ∞ .

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.2, there is an element $g \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(M; K)$ such that

$$H[E_{m, v}^b(a) \delta_{\kappa + \alpha}^r \theta_v(a w, \eta)] = E_{m, v}^b(a) d^r \theta_v(a w, \eta) + dg.$$

Then, (6.13) shows that $e(dg) = 0$, and we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} e[H(E_{m, v}^b(a) \delta_{\kappa + \alpha}^r \theta_v(a w, \eta))] &= e[E_{m, v}^b(a) d^r \theta_v(a w, \eta)] \\ &= e[E_{m, v}^b(a) \theta_v(a w, \eta n^r)]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_v^0(w; r, m, \omega, \eta) &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_v} \omega(a) e[H(\theta_v(a w, \eta) \delta_{\kappa + \alpha}^r E_{m, v}^b(a))] \\ &= (-1)^r \sum_a \omega(a) e[H(E_{m, v}^b(a) \delta_{\kappa + \alpha}^r \theta_v(a w, \eta))] \quad \text{by Lemma 5.3} \\ &= (-1)^r \sum_a \omega(a) e[E_{m, v}^b(a) \theta_v(a w, \eta n^r)] \\ &= (-1)^r e[\Phi_v(w; 0, m, \omega, \eta n^r)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (6.16) follows from (6.15). Q.E.D.

§ 7. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Before proving Theorem 2.1, we list some formulae among several Eisenstein series, which are found in [8, 21] and [26]. Let ω be a Dirichlet character modulo N for a positive integer N and m be a positive integer with $\omega(-1) = (-1)^m$. Define Eisenstein series by

$$J_{m,N}(z, s, \omega) = \sum_{0 \neq (c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \omega(c)(cz+d)^{-m} |cz+d|^{-2s},$$

$$E_{m,N}(z, s; a, b) = \sum_{0 \neq (c,d) \equiv (a,b) \pmod{N}} (cz+d)^{-m} |cz+d|^{-2s}$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and

$$E_{m,N}(\omega) = E_{m,N}(z, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} L_N(1-m, \omega) + \sum_{n=1} (\sum_{0 < d|n} \omega(d) d^{m-1}) e(nz).$$

The series $J_{m,N}(z, s, \omega)$ and $E_{m,N}(z, s; a, b)$ have analytic continuations as functions of s . We write simply $E_{m,N}(z; a, b)$ and $J_{m,N}(z, \omega)$ for their values at $s=0$. As shown in [25, (2.4)] (see also [26, p.217]), we know that, for every positive integer r ,

$$(7.1) \quad J_{m+2r,N}(z, -r, \omega) = \frac{\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(m+r)} (-4\pi y)^r \delta_m^r [J_{m,N}(z, \omega)],$$

where $y = \text{Im}(z)$. The function $E_{m,N}(\omega)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_m(\Gamma_0(N), \omega)$ except in the case where $m=2$ and $N=1$. Let ω_0 be the primitive character modulo N_0 associated with ω , and define another positive integer N_1 by $N = N_0 N_1$. Then, obviously, we have

$$(7.2) \quad E_{m,N}(z, \omega) = \sum_{0 < t|N_1} \mu(t) \omega_0(t) t^{m-1} E_{m,N_0}(tz, \omega_0),$$

where μ denotes the Moebius function.

Lemma 7.1. For $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$(7.3) \quad E_{m,N}(\omega)|_m \tau = \frac{\Gamma(m) N^{m/2} G(\omega_0)}{2(2\pi i)^m N_0} \sum_{0 < t|N_1} \mu(t) \omega_0(t) t^{-1} J_{m,N_0}(t^{-1} N_1 z, \bar{\omega}_0),$$

where $G(\omega_0) = \sum_{u=1}^{N_0} \omega_0(u) e\left(\frac{u}{N_0}\right)$ is the Gauss sum for ω_0 .

Proof. It is known by Hecke [8] that

$$E_{m,N}(z; a, b) = \text{constant} + \frac{(-2\pi i)^m}{N^m \Gamma(m)} \cdot \sum_{\substack{jk > 0 \\ k \equiv a \pmod{N}}} j^{m-1} \text{sgn}(j) e\left(\frac{j(b+kz)}{N}\right).$$

Write simply A for the constant

$$\frac{N_0^m \Gamma(m)}{2(-2\pi i)^m G(\bar{\omega}_0)}.$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$E_{m, N_0}(z, \omega_0) - A \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\omega}_0(a) E_{m, N_0}(z; 0, a)$$

is a constant; hence, we know

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m, N_0}(\omega_0) &= A \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z})^\times} \bar{\omega}_0(a) E_{m, N_0}(z; 0, a) \\ &= A \cdot \sum_{0 \neq (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \bar{\omega}_0(d) (cN_0z + d)^{-m} |cN_0z + d|^{-2s} |_{s=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we know from this formula that

$$(7.4) \quad E_{m, N_0}(\omega_0)|_m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = N_0^{-m/2} AJ_{m, N_0}(z, \bar{\omega}_0).$$

This is a special case of (7.3) by the well known equality:

$$G(\omega_0) G(\bar{\omega}_0) = \omega_0(-1) N_0 = (-1)^m N_0.$$

The formula (7.3) in general follows from (7.2) and (7.4) because of the identity:

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tau = t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N_1 t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we are ready to give a proof of Theorem 2.1. We use the same notation as in the theorem. Especially, M denotes the level of the lattice I of V , f is the fixed primitive form of conductor C , with character ψ and of weight $k > \kappa$. Assume that the p -th Fourier coefficient $a(p, f)$ of f is a unit in Ω . Let f_0 be the ordinary form associated with f defined in Lemma 3.3 and write C_0 for the smallest possible level of f_0 . Define integers $\mu \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ by

$$C_0 = C' p^\mu, \quad M = M' p^\lambda,$$

where $(C', p) = (M', p) = 1$. Then, we assume that C' divides M' . Then, the space $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(M p^{\mu-\lambda}), \psi)$, and we know that $M p^{\mu-\lambda} / C_0 = M' / C'$.

We first construct the measure φ_b in the theorem for each $b > 1$ prime to Mp . Let K be a sufficiently large finite extension of the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p which contains all the Fourier coefficients of f_0 . Let χ_0 be the Dirichlet character modulo M defined by

$$\chi_0(a) = \left(\frac{(-1)^\kappa \Delta}{a} \right) \quad \text{for } \Delta = [I^* : I].$$

Let $\Phi^0 = \Phi^0(0, k - \kappa, \psi \chi_0, 1)$ be the bounded measure on $\mathcal{C}(W; K)$ defined in (6.14). Then, the measure Φ^0 has values in the space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k^0(M, \psi; K)$, which is a subspace of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(M p^{\mu-\lambda}), \psi; K)$ (see Proposition 4.1). Let Tr denote the trace operator of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(M p^{\mu-\lambda}), \psi; \Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; \Omega)$ defined by

$$(7.5) \quad \text{Tr}(g) = \sum_{\gamma} \bar{\psi}(\gamma) g|_k \gamma,$$

where γ runs over a representative set for $\Gamma_0(Mp^{\mu-\lambda}) \backslash \Gamma_0(C_0)$ and $\bar{\psi} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \overline{\psi(d)}$. Then, Tr is a bounded linear operator. The finite extension K can be chosen so that the trace operator sends $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(Mp^{\mu-\lambda}), \psi; K)$ onto $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K)$. Then, define the measure φ_b by

$$(7.6) \quad \int_W \phi d\varphi_b = \ell_f [\text{Tr}(\int_W \phi d\Phi^0)] \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{C}(W; K),$$

where $\ell_f: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(C_0, \psi; K) \rightarrow K$ is the bounded linear form associated with f given in (4.6).

Let η be an arbitrary algebraic valued spherical function on V with degree α less than $k - \kappa$, and ϕ be an algebraic valued locally constant function on W such that $\phi(aw) = \chi(a)\phi(w)$ for every $a \in Z$ and $w \in W$ with a character χ of finite order of Z . Define a Dirichlet character ξ by

$$\xi(a) = \chi(a)\chi_0(a) \quad \text{for } a \in Z \text{ prime to } Mp.$$

Then, for a sufficiently large $\beta \geq 1$, the theta series $\theta(\phi\eta)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{k+\alpha}(\Gamma_0(Mp^\beta), \xi)$ by Proposition 1.1. We know fix such a $\beta \geq 1$. Let r be an arbitrary integer with $0 \leq 2r + \alpha < k - \kappa$. Now, we shall evaluate the integral $\int_W \phi\eta n^r d\varphi_b$ as in (2.5). We may assume that the functions η and ϕ on W have values in K . Take a positive integer v so that ϕ factors through $W_v = \mathcal{W}/p^v I$. We may assume that $v \geq \beta$ and $v \geq \mu - \lambda$. Then, Proposition 6.3 shows

$$(-1)^r \int_W \phi\eta n^r d\Phi^0 = e \left[\sum_{w \in W_v} \phi(w)\Phi_v(w; r, m, \psi\chi_0, \eta) \right],$$

where $m = k - \kappa - \alpha - 2r$ and $\Phi_v(w)$ is as in (6.6). We see from (6.6) that

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{w \in W_v} \phi(w)\Phi_v(w; r, m, \psi\chi_0, \eta) \\ &= \sum_{w \in W_v} \phi(w) \sum_{a \in Z_v} \psi\chi_0(a) H[\theta_v(aw, \eta) \delta_m^r E_{m,v}^b(a)] \\ &= \sum_a \psi\chi_0(a) \sum_w \phi(a^{-1}w) H[\theta_v(w, \eta) \delta_m^r E_{m,v}^b(a)] \\ &= \sum_a \psi\chi_0 \bar{\chi}(a) H \left[\sum_w \phi(w) \theta_v(w, \eta) \delta_m^r E_{m,v}^b(a) \right] \\ &= H[\theta(\phi\eta) \delta_m^r (\sum_a \psi \bar{\xi}(a) E_{m,v}^b(a))]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $E_{m, Mp^\beta}(\psi \bar{\xi}) = E_{m, Mp^v}(\psi \bar{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in Z_v} \psi \bar{\xi}(a) E_{m,v}(a)$. Then, (7.7) is equal to

$$2(1 - b^m \psi \bar{\xi}(b)) H[\theta(\phi\eta) \delta_m^r E_{m, Mp^\beta}(\psi \bar{\xi})].$$

We have by the definition of φ_b that

$$(-1)^r \int_W \phi\eta n^r d\varphi_b = 2(1 - b^m \psi \bar{\xi}(b)) \ell_f [\text{Tr} \{ e(H(\theta(\phi\eta) \delta_m^r E_{m, Mp^\beta}(\psi \bar{\xi}))) \}].$$

Let us now choose a complete representative set R for

$$\Gamma_0(Mp^\beta) \backslash \Gamma_0(C_0 p^{\beta+\lambda-\mu}).$$

Note that $Mp^\beta = M'p^{\beta+\lambda}$, $C_0 p^{\beta+\lambda-\mu} = C'p^{\beta+\lambda}$, and that C' and M' are prime to p . Therefore, the set R may be regarded as a complete representative set for $\Gamma_0(Mp^{\mu-\lambda}) \backslash \Gamma_0(C_0)$. Thus, one can extend the operator Tr defined in (7.5) to the trace operator of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(Mp^\beta), \psi; \Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0 p^{\beta+\lambda-\mu}), \psi; \Omega)$; namely, we put

$$\text{Tr}(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in R} \bar{\psi}(\gamma) g|_k \gamma \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(Mp^\beta), \psi; \Omega).$$

Then, we see easily that

$$\text{Tr} \circ T(p) = T(p) \circ \text{Tr} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr} \circ e = e \circ \text{Tr}.$$

Since $\text{Tr}(g)|T(p)^{\beta+\lambda-\mu}$ for $g \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(Mp^\beta), \psi; \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, Proposition 4.5 and (4.7) show that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_f[\text{Tr}(e(g))] &= \ell_f[e(\text{Tr}(g))] \\ &= a(p, f_0)^{\mu-\beta-\lambda} \ell_f[\text{Tr}(g)|T(p)^{\beta+\lambda-\mu}] \\ &= a(p, f_0)^{\mu-\beta-\lambda_p(\beta+\lambda-\mu)(k-1)} \frac{\langle h_{\beta+\lambda-\mu}, \text{Tr}(g) \rangle_{C_0 p^{\beta+\lambda-\mu}}}{\langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h = f_0^{\beta+\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ C_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $h_{\beta+\lambda-\mu}(z) = h(p^{\beta+\lambda-\mu}z)$. Note that, for $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ Mp^\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\langle h_{\beta+\lambda-\mu}, \text{Tr}(g) \rangle_{C_0 p^{\beta+\lambda-\mu}} = \langle h_{\beta+\lambda-\mu}, g \rangle_{Mp^\beta} = \langle h_{\beta+\lambda-\mu}|_k \tau, g|_k \tau \rangle_{Mp^\beta}.$$

Applying these formulae to $g = H[\theta(\phi\eta) \delta_m^r E_{m, Mp^\beta}^b(\psi \bar{\xi})]$, we have by (5.4)

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^r \int_w \phi \eta n^r d\varphi_b &= 2(1 - b^m \psi \bar{\xi}(b)) p^{(\beta+\lambda-\mu)(k-1)} a(p, f_0)^{\mu-\beta-\lambda} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\langle h_{\beta+\lambda-\mu}|_k \tau, (\theta(\phi\eta) \delta_m^r E_{m, Mp^\beta}^b(\psi \bar{\xi}))|_k \tau \rangle_{Mp^\beta}}{\langle h, f_0 \rangle_{C_0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m = k - \kappa - \alpha - 2r$.

On the other hand, Lemma 7.1 combined with (7.1) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_m^r E_{m, Mp^\beta}(\omega))|_{k-\kappa-\alpha} \tau \\ = Ty^{-r} \sum_{0 < t|N_1} \mu(t) \omega_0(t) t^{-1} J_{k-\kappa-\alpha, N_0}(t^{-1} N_1 z, -r, \bar{\omega}_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = \psi \bar{\xi}$, N_0 is the conductor of ω , ω_0 is the primitive character associated with ω , $N = Mp^\beta = N_0 N_1$ and

$$T = N_0^{-1} G(\omega_0) \pi^{-m-r} 2^{2r-m-1} (\sqrt{-1})^{2r-m} (Mp^\beta)^{m/2} \Gamma(m+r)$$

for $m = k - \kappa - \alpha - 2r$. Note that

$$p^{(\beta + \lambda - \mu)(k-1)} h_{\beta + \lambda - \mu} |k \tau = (-1)^k p^{(\beta + \lambda - \mu)(k/2-1)} f \delta |k \gamma$$

for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} M'/C' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Applying these formulae to (7.8), we know that $\int_w \phi \eta n^r d\phi_b$ is equal to

$$S(1 - b^m \psi \bar{\xi}(b)) a(p, f_0)^{\mu - \beta - \lambda} G(\omega_0) \langle h, f_0 \rangle^{-1} \cdot \sum_{0 < t | N_1} \mu(t) \omega_0(t) t^{-1} \langle f_0 |k \tau, (\theta(\phi \eta) |_{\kappa + \alpha} \tau) J_{k - \kappa - \alpha, N_0}(t^{-1} N_1 z, -r, \bar{\omega}_0) y^{-r} \rangle_{M p^\beta},$$

where

$$S = \pi^{-m-r} 2^{-m-2r} (\sqrt{-1})^{2k-m} M^{m/2} p^{m\beta/2 + (\beta + \lambda - \mu)(k/2-1)} N_0^{-1} \Gamma(m+r).$$

Then, the evaluation (2.5) follows from the formula given in [26, p. 217]:

$$\mathcal{D}_{M p^\beta}(\kappa + \alpha + \theta, f_0 |k \gamma, \theta(\phi \eta) |_{\kappa + \alpha} \tau) = U \sum_{0 < t | N_1} \mu(t) \omega_0(t) t^{-1} \cdot \langle f \delta |k \gamma, (\theta(\phi \eta) |_{\kappa + \alpha} \tau) J_{k - \kappa - \alpha, N_0}(t^{-1} N_1 z, -r, \bar{\omega}_0) y^{-r} \rangle_{M p^\beta},$$

where

$$U = \pi^{k-r-2m+1} 2^{2k-2r-2m-1} M^{m-1} p^{\beta(m-1)} N_0^{-1} G(\omega_0) \frac{\Gamma(m+r)}{\Gamma(\kappa + \alpha + r) \Gamma(r+1)}.$$

§ 8. A sketch of the Proof of Theorem 2.2

In this section, we use the same notation as in Theorem 2.2. Especially, $g = \sum_{n=0}^\infty b(n) e(nz)$ is the fixed modular form in $\mathcal{M}_l(\Gamma_0(N), \omega)$ with $b(n) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let v be a psotive integer and ϕ be an arbitrary function on $Y_v = \mathbb{Z}/N p^v \mathbb{Z}$ with values in \mathbb{C} . Put

$$g(\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \phi(n) b(n) e(nz),$$

as a function on \mathfrak{H} .

Proposition 8.1. *For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N^2 p^{2v})$, we have the following transformation formula:*

$$g(\phi) |_\gamma = \omega(d) g(\phi_a),$$

where ϕ_a is a function on $\mathbb{Z}/N p^v \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\phi_a(y) = \phi(a^{-2} y).$$

Proof (cf. [20, Lemma 2]). We simply write n for $N p^v$ for a fixed $v \geq 1$, and define a $n \times n$ matrix by

$$A = (e(xy/n))_{x, y \in Y_v}.$$

The matrix A is invertible, and thus we can find $x(u, y) \in \mathbb{C}$ for any pair $u, y \in Y_v$ so that

$$\sum_{u \in Y_v} x(u, y) e(uv/n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $t \in Y_v^\times$ and $v \in Y_v$, we have that

$$\sum_{u \in Y_v} x(tu, y) e(uv/n) = \sum_{u \in Y_v} x(u, y) e(t^{-1}uv/n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t^{-1}v = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This shows

$$(8.1) \quad x(tu, y) = x(u, ty) \quad \text{for every } t \in Y_v^\times.$$

Put

$$(8.2) \quad g(y) = g_v(y) = \sum_{m \equiv y \pmod{Np^v}} b(m) e(mz) \quad \text{for } y \in Y_v.$$

Since we can express $g(\phi) = \sum_{y \in Y_v} \phi(y) g(y)$, our task is to show

$$(8.3) \quad g(y)|_l \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \omega(d) g(a^2 y) \quad \text{for every } y \in Y_v \left(\text{if } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(n^2) \right).$$

For each $u \in Y_v$, take $u_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $u_0 \equiv u \pmod{n}$, and put $\alpha_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_0/n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, by the definition of $x(u, y)$, we have

$$g(y) = \sum_{u \in Y_v} x(u, y) g|_l \alpha_u.$$

As in the proof of [28, Prop. 3.64], for each $u \in Y_v$, we can find $\gamma_u = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ so that

$$\alpha_u \gamma = \gamma_u \alpha_{a^{-2}u} \quad \text{and} \quad d \equiv d' \pmod{N} \quad \left(\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then (8.3) can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g(y)|_l \gamma &= \sum_{u \in Y_v} x(u, y) g|_{\gamma_u} \alpha_{a^{-2}u} = \omega(d) \sum_u x(a^2 u, y) g|_l \alpha_u \\ &= \omega(d) \sum_u x(u, a^2 y) g|_l \alpha_u = \omega(d) g(a^2 y). \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall give a sketch of a proof of Theorem 2.2. Fix an integer $b > 1$ prime to Np , and let r and m be integers with $r \geq 0$ and $m > 0$. Define the Eisenstein series $E_{m,v}^b(a)$ for each $a \in Y_v^\times$ by (6.3) and (6.4a) for N in place of M there. Write $N = N'p^\lambda$ with an integer N' prime to p and let ψ' be a Dirichlet character modulo Np^u for some $u \geq 1$. Define, for each $y \in Y_v$ ($v \geq u$),

$$(8.4) \quad \Phi_v(y) = \Phi_v(y; r, m, \psi') = \sum_{a \in Y_v^\times} \psi'(a) H[g_v(a^2 y) \delta_m^r E_{m,v}^b(a)].$$

Then, the system $\{\Phi_v(y)\}$ defines a bounded measure $\Phi(r, m, \psi')$ with values in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{l+m+2r}(NN', \psi' \omega; K)$ for a suitable finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p . We now define, parallel to (6.14), the ordinary part $\Phi^0(r, m, \psi')$ of the measure $\Phi(r, m, \psi')$ by

$$(8.5) \quad \int_Y \phi d\Phi^0(r, m, \psi') = \varepsilon \left[\int_Y \phi d\Phi(r, m, \psi') \right].$$

Then, the measure $\Phi^0(r, m, \psi')$ has values in the finite dimensional vector space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{l+m+2r}(\Gamma_0(NN'p^m), \psi' \omega; K)$ by Proposition 4.1. Let k be an integer with $k > l$. If r is an integer with $0 \leq 2r < k - l$, we have, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(Y; K)$,

$$(8.6) \quad \int_Y \phi d\Phi^0(r, k - l - 2r, \psi') = (-1)^r \int_Y \phi(y) y_p^r d\Phi^0(y; 0, k - l, \psi')$$

where y_p is the projection of $y \in Y = \mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ to the factor \mathbb{Z}_p . This can be proved in exactly the same manner as in the proof of Propositions 6.2 and 6.3. Let f be a primitive form of weight $k > l$, of conductor C and with character ψ . Assume that $|a(p, f)|_p = 1$ and that K contains all the Fourier coefficients of f . Let f_0 be the ordinary form associated with f and let C_0 be the smallest level of f_0 . Write $C_0 = C'p^\mu$ with an integer C' prime to p and assume the divisibility of N' by C' . Then the measure $\Phi^0 = \Phi^0(0, k - l, \psi \bar{\omega})$ has values in the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(\Gamma_0(N'^2 p^\mu), \psi; K)$. Let Tr denotes the trace operator of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(\Gamma_0(N'^2 p^\mu), \psi; K)$ onto $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(\Gamma_0(C_0), \psi; K)$. Then, the bounded measure φ_b on Y in Theorem 2.2 can be defined by

$$\int_Y \phi d\varphi_b = \ell_f \left[\text{Tr} \left(\int_Y \phi d\Phi^0 \right) \right],$$

where ℓ_f is the linear form on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k(C_0, \psi; K)$ attached to f . The evaluation of the integral $\int_Y \phi(y) y_p^r d\varphi_b(y)$ for any locally constant function ϕ with (2.6) can be carried out in exactly the same fashion as in § 7.

§ 9. Functional equations of $\mathcal{D}_N(s, f, g)$

The functional equations and the meromorphy of the zeta functions $\mathcal{D}_N(s, f, g)$ was proved by Jacquet [10, Th. 19.14] for any primitive forms f and g through a representation theoretic generalization of Rankin's method [18]. However, the familiarity with the representation theory is necessary to understand his results; so, for the reader's convenience, we give here a brief exposition of this in a special case where the original method of [18] can be applied. The details of our arguments may be found in [21, 25, 26]. Let

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) e(nz) \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n) e(nz)$$

be primitive forms of conductor $C(f)$ and $C(g)$, respectively. Let k and ψ (resp. l and ξ) be the weight and the character of f (resp. g). For any Dirichlet

character ω , we write $C(\omega)$ for the conductor of ω . We now assume that:

- (9.1a) N is the least common multiple of $C(f)$ and $C(g)$;
- (9.1b) $k > l$;
- (9.1c) $N = C(\psi \xi)$.

Now we define the root numbers $W(f)$ and $W(g)$ by

$$(9.2) \quad f|_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ C(f) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = W(f) f^\rho, \quad g|_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ C(g) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = W(g) g^\rho,$$

where ρ is the complex conjugation. Let $G(\omega)$ denote the Gauss sum for a primitive character ω and put $W(\omega) = G(\omega)/|G(\omega)|$. Write $M(g) = N/C(g)$ and $M(f) = N/C(f)$, and put

$$W(f, g) = a(M(g))^\rho b(M(f))^\rho W(f) W(g) W(\psi \xi).$$

Theorem 9.1. *Put*

$$R(s, f, g) = (2\pi)^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s+1-l) \mathcal{D}_N(s, f, g).$$

Then, $R(s, f, g)$ can be continued as an entire function on the whole complex plane and satisfies the functional equation:

$$(9.3) \quad R(k+l-1-s, f, g) = (-1)^l W(f, g) N^{s-k-l+\frac{1}{2}} C(f)^{s-\frac{k}{2}} C(g)^{s-\frac{l}{2}} R(s, f^\rho, g^\rho).$$

Even when $k=l$, a similar functional equation holds, but the holomorphy is not necessarily valid (see [22]).

Proof. Let us define an Eisenstein series of weight m and of character ω modulo N by

$$F_{m,N}(z, s, \omega) = \pi^{-s} y^s \Gamma(s+m) \sum_{a \pmod N} \omega(a) E_{m,N}(z, s; 0, a).$$

Then, $F_{m,N}(z, s, \omega)$ is an entire function in s if $m > 0$. If ω is primitive, it satisfies the functional equation:

$$(9.4) \quad F_{m,N}(z, 1-m-s, \omega) = W(\omega) N^{3s+m-\frac{1}{2}} z^{-m} F_{m,N}(-1/Nz, s, \bar{\omega})$$

(cf. [26, (19)]). On the other hand, we know from [26, (22)]

$$(9.5) \quad R(s, f, g) = 2^{-1} \pi^{1-k} \int_{\mathfrak{S}/\Gamma_0(N)} \overline{f^\rho(z)} g(z) F_{m,N}(z, s+1-k, \psi \xi) y^{k-2} dx dy$$

for $m=k-l$. This shows the holomorphy of $R(s, f, g)$ on the whole complex plane. Since $\psi \xi$ is primitive by (9.1c), we know from (9.4) that

$$R(k+l-1-s, f, g) = A_1(s) \int_{\mathfrak{S}/\Gamma_0(N)} \overline{f^\rho} g F_{m,N} \left(\frac{-1}{Nz}, s+1-k, \overline{\psi \xi} \right) z^{-m} y^{k-2} dx dy,$$

where

$$A_1(s) = 2^{-1} \pi^{1-k} N^{3s-2k-l+\frac{k}{2}} W(\psi \xi).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f^\rho(-1/Nz) &= (-1)^k \overline{W(f)} N^{k/2} M(f)^{k/2} f(M(f)z) z^k, \\ g(-1/Nz) &= W(g) N^{l/2} M(g)^{l/2} g^\rho(M(g)z) z^l. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting z for $-1/Nz$ in the formula of $R(k+l-1-s, f, g)$, we have by (9.5) that

$$R(k+l-1-s, f, g) = A_2(s) L(2s+2-k-l, \overline{\psi \xi}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n/M(f))^\rho \cdot b(n/M(g))^\rho n^{-s}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(s) &= (-1)^l W(f) W(g) W(\psi \xi) M(f)^{\frac{k}{2}} M(g)^{\frac{l}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot N^{3s-\frac{1}{2}(k+l-1)} (2\pi)^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s+1-l). \end{aligned}$$

Define complex numbers $\alpha_p, \alpha'_p, \beta_p, \beta'_p$ for every prime p by the Euler products:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)^\rho n^{-s} &= \prod_p [(1-\alpha_p p^{-s})(1-\alpha'_p p^{-s})]^{-1}, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n)^\rho n^{-s} &= \prod_p [(1-\beta_p p^{-s})(1-\beta'_p p^{-s})]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since N is the least common multiple of $C(f)$ and $C(g)$, $M(f)$ is prime to $M(g)$. Then, we know from [25, Lemma 1] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n/M(f))^\rho b(n/M(g))^\rho n^{-s} \\ &= (M(f)M(g))^{-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(M(g)n)^\rho b(M(f)n)^\rho n^{-s} \\ &= (M(f)M(g))^{-s} \prod_p X_p^*(s)/Y_p(s), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_p^*(s)$ and $Y_p(s)$ are given by

$$X_p^*(s) = \begin{cases} 1 - \alpha_p \alpha'_p \beta_p \beta'_p p^{-2s} & \text{if } p \nmid M(f)M(g), \\ a(p)^{\rho \text{ord}_p(M(g))} & \text{if } p \mid M(g), \\ b(p)^{\rho \text{ord}_p(M(f))} & \text{if } p \mid M(f), \end{cases}$$

$$Y_p(s) = (1 - \alpha_p \beta_p p^{-s})(1 - \alpha_p \beta'_p p^{-s})(1 - \alpha'_p \beta_p p^{-s})(1 - \alpha'_p \beta'_p p^{-s}).$$

The above expression of $X_p^*(s)$ for the prime factor p of $M(f)M(g)$ follows from [25, (3.1)], since $M(f)$ (resp. $M(g)$) is a divisor of $C(g)$ (resp. $C(f)$) by (9.1a). Then, by [25, Lemma 1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n/M(f))^\rho b(n/M(g))^\rho n^{-s} \\ &= a(M(g))^\rho b(M(f))^\rho (M(f)M(g))^{-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)^\rho b(n)^\rho n^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (9.3).

References

1. Asai, T.: On the Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms at various cusps and some applications to Rankin's convolution. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **28**, 48–61 (1976)
2. Bourbaki, N.: *General topology*. Paris: Hermann 1961
3. Bourbaki, N.: *Commutative algebra*. Paris: Hermann 1972
4. Casselman, W.: On some results of Atkin and Lehner. *Math. Ann.* **201**, 301–314 (1973)
5. Coates, J., Wiles, A.: On p -adic L -functions and elliptic units. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **26**, 1–25 (1978)
6. Deligne, P., Rapoport, M.: Les schémas de modules des courbes elliptiques, In: *Modular functions of one variable, II*. Lecture notes in Math., vol. 349, pp. 143–316. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1973
7. Doi, K., Miyake, T.: *Automorphic forms and number theory* (in Japanese). Tokyo: Kinokuniya Shoten 1976
8. Hecke, E.: Theorie der Eisensteinschen Reihen höherer Stufe und ihre Anwendung auf Funktionentheorie und Arithmetik. *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg* **5**, 199–224 (1927)
9. Iwasawa, K.: *Lectures on p -adic L -functions*. Ann. of Math. Studies 74. Princeton: Princeton University Press 1972
10. Jacquet, H.: *Automorphic forms on $GL(2)$, II*. Lecture notes in Math., vol. 278. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1972
11. Katz, N.M.: The Eisenstein measure and p -adic interpolation. *Amer. J. Math.* **99**, 238–311 (1977)
12. Katz, N.M.: p -adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series. *Ann. of Math.* **104**, 459–571 (1976)
13. Lang, S.: *Cyclotomic fields*. Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 59. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1978
14. Manin, Y.I.: Periods of parabolic forms and p -adic Hecke series. *Mat. Sbornik* **92** (134), 371–393 (1974)
15. Manin, Y.I.: The values of p -adic Hecke series at integer points of the critical strip. *Mat. Sbornik* **93** (135), 631–637 (1974)
16. Mazur, B., Swinnerton-Dyer, P.: Arithmetic of Weil curves. *Invent. Math.* **25**, 1–61 (1974)
17. Miyake, T.: On automorphic forms on GL_2 and Hecke operators. *Ann. of Math.* **94**, 174–189 (1971)
18. Rankin, R.A.: Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithmetical functions, I, II. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **35**, 351–372 (1939)
19. Serre, J-P.: *Formes modulaires et fonctions zeta p -adiques*. In: *Modular functions of one variable, III*, Lecture notes in Math., vol. 350, pp. 191–268. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1973
20. Shimura, G.: On elliptic curves with complex multiplication as factors of the jacobians of modular function fields. *Nagoya Math. J.* **43**, 199–208 (1971)
21. Shimura, G.: On modular forms of half integral weight. *Ann. of Math.* **97**, 440–481 (1973)
22. Shimura, G.: On the holomorphy of certain Dirichlet series. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **31**, 79–98 (1975)
23. Shimura, G.: On some arithmetic properties of modular forms of one and several variables. *Ann. of Math.* **102**, 491–515 (1975)
24. Shimura, G.: On the Fourier coefficients of modular forms of several variables. *Göttingen Nachr. Akad. Wiss.* pp. 261–268 (1975)
25. Shimura, G.: The special values of zeta functions associated with cusp forms. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **29**, 783–804 (1976)
26. Shimura, G.: On the periods of modular forms. *Math. Ann.* **229**, 211–221 (1977)
27. Shimura, G.: On certain zeta functions attached to two Hilbert modular forms, I. *Ann. of Math.* **114**, 127–164 (1981)
28. Shimura, G.: *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*. Tokyo Princeton: Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University Press 1971
29. Yager, R.I.: On two variable p -adic L -functions. *Ann. of Math.* **115**, 411–449 (1982)