



π , e , and Other Irrational Numbers

Author(s): Alan E. Parks

Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 93, No. 9 (Nov., 1986), pp. 722-723

Published by: [Mathematical Association of America](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2322291>

Accessed: 07/10/2010 03:37

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=maa>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Mathematical Association of America is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

This in essence is all that is required to show $A^2 = 0$. Since R is semiprime we therefore obtain $A = 0$, which means R must be associative. This completes the proof of the theorem.

How can one construct an example of a ring all of whose associators lie in its nucleus? One starts with the free nonassociative ring on k generators, $k \geq 1$. Then set all words of length five or more equal to zero. Since the hypothesis of all associators in the nucleus is a trio of identities, each involving words of length five or more, we have produced such a ring. Incidentally in this ring $(x, x, x) \neq 0$, for each generator x .

References

1. A. A. Albert (Editor), M. A. A. Studies in Mathematics, Studies in Modern Algebra, Vol. 2, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1963.
2. R. H. Bruck and Erwin Kleinfeld, The structure of alternative division rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2 (1951) 878–890.
3. R. H. Bruck, 4th Slaughter Memorial Paper, Contributions to Geometry, Recent advances in Euclidean plane geometry, this MONTHLY, 62 (1955) 2–17.
4. Ruth Moufang, Alternativkörper und der Satz vom Vollständigen vierseit (D_0), Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 9 (1933) 207–222.
5. R. D. Schafer, An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
6. A. K. Suschkewitsch, On a generalization of the associative law, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 31 (1929) 204–214.
7. Armin Thedy, On rings with commutators in the nuclei, Math. Z., 119 (1971) 213–218.
8. _____, On rings satisfying $[(a, b, c), d] = 0$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 29 (1971) 250–254.
9. _____, Ringe mit $x(yz) = (yx)z$, Math. Z., 99 (1967) 400–404.
10. K. A. Zhevlakov, A. M. Slinko, I. P. Shestakov, and A. I. Shirshov, Rings That Are Nearly Associative (translated by Harry F. Smith from Russian), Academic Press, New York, 1982.

π , e , AND OTHER IRRATIONAL NUMBERS

ALAN E. PARKS

Department of Mathematics, Lawrence University, Appleton, WI 54912

In [1] Niven gave a clever, short proof that π is irrational. We would like to show how his proof can be generalized to prove substantially more:

- (a) If $0 < |r| \leq \pi$ and if $\cos(r)$ and $\sin(r)$ are rational, then r is irrational.
- (b) If r is positive and rational, $r \neq 1$, then $\ln(r)$ is irrational.

For example, (a) shows that π is irrational. If $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ for rational numbers a, b, c , with $bc \neq 0$, then (a) shows that $\arccos(a/c)$ is irrational. Taking the contrapositive in (b) with $r = e$, we see that e is irrational.

Of course, by a famous theorem of Lindemann, all the numbers in (a) and (b) are not just irrational, but transcendental. The novelty of our argument is not so much the conclusion, but that our proof is elementary and can be effectively presented to students of calculus, for we require nothing beyond integration by parts and knowledge of the limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M^k/k!$. Then again, at the beginning level, the fact that certain real numbers which occur naturally are irrational is interesting enough to present for its own sake.

We will apply the following theorem to prove (a) and (b). Its proof is a generalization of Niven's argument in [1].

THEOREM. *Let c be a positive real number and let $f(x)$ be a continuous function on $[0, c]$, positive on $(0, c)$. Suppose there are (antiderivatives) $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$ with $f_1'(x) = f(x)$ and with $f_k'(x) = f_{k-1}(x)$ for all $k \geq 2$, and such that $f_k(0), f_k(c)$ are integers for all $k \geq 1$. Then c is irrational.*

Proof. Let P be the set of all polynomials $g(x)$ with real coefficients such that $g(0), g(c), g'(0), g'(c), \dots, g^{(k)}(0), g^{(k)}(c), \dots$ are all integers.

CLAIM 1. *If $g(x)$ is in P , then $\int_0^c f(x)g(x) dx$ is an integer.*

Proof. Successive integrations by parts give

$$\int_0^c f(x)g(x) dx = \left[f_1 \cdot g - f_2 \cdot g' + f_3 \cdot g'' - \dots + (-1)^d f_{d+1} \cdot g^{(d)} \right]_0^c,$$

where d is the degree of $g(x)$. This proves the claim.

We will also need the following easy fact.

(1) If $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are in P , then so is $g(x)h(x)$.

Now assume that c is rational, and write $c = m/n$, where m, n are positive integers. Then one verifies:

(2) $m - 2nx$ is in P .

Let $g_k(x) = x^k(m - nx)^k/k!$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

CLAIM 2. $g_k(x)$ is in P for all k .

Proof. Induction on k : $g_0(x) = 1$ is an element of P . For $k \geq 1$,

$$g'_k(x) = g_{k-1}(x)(m - 2nx).$$

By induction, g_{k-1} is in P , by (2) $m - 2nx$ is in P , and thus by (1) g'_k is in P . Since also $g_k(0)$ and $g_k(c)$ are 0, we have that g_k is in P .

Observe that $g_k(x) > 0$ on $(0, c)$, a property shared by $f(x)$, so that $\int_0^c f(x)g_k(x) dx > 0$. By Claim 1, the integral is also an integer; therefore

(3) $\int_0^c f(x)g_k(x) dx \geq 1$ for all k .

Let M be the maximum for $x(m - nx)$ on $[0, c]$, and L that for $f(x)$, then

$$\int_0^c f(x)g_k(x) dx \leq \int_0^c L \cdot \frac{M^k}{k!} dx = c \cdot L \cdot \frac{M^k}{k!}.$$

But $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M^k/k! = 0$, contradicting (3). We are forced to conclude that c is irrational.

To prove the statement (a) mentioned at the beginning, observe that if $\cos(r)$ and $\sin(r)$ are rational, so are $\cos(|r|)$ and $\sin(|r|)$, and thus we can find a positive integer n such that $n \cdot \sin(|r|)$ and $n \cdot \cos(|r|)$ are integers. Apply the theorem, with $c = |r|$ and $f(x) = n \cdot \sin(x)$, to conclude that $|r|$ is irrational, hence that r is irrational.

To prove (b), observe that $r > 1$ without loss of generality, so that $\ln(r) > 0$. Write $r = m/n$ for some positive integers m, n , and apply the theorem with $c = \ln(r)$ and $f(x) = n \cdot e^x$.

Reference

1. I. Niven, A simple proof of the irrationality of π , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 53 (1947) 509.

ANSWER TO PHOTO ON PAGE 715

Kazimir Kuratowski.