

A simple note on the Yoneda (co)algebra of a monomial algebra

Estanislao Herscovich

Abstract

If $A = TV/\langle R \rangle$ is a monomial K -algebra, it is well-known that $\mathrm{Tor}_p^A(K, K)$ is isomorphic to the space $V^{(p-1)}$ of (Anick) $(p-1)$ -chains for $p \geq 1$. The goal of this short note is to show that the next result follows directly from well-established theorems on A_∞ -algebras, without computations: there is an A_∞ -coalgebra model on $\mathrm{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$ satisfying that, for $n \geq 3$ and $c \in V^{(p)}$, $\Delta_n(c)$ is a linear combination of $c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n$, where $c_i \in V^{(p_i)}$, $p_1 + \cdots + p_n = p-1$ and $c_1 \cdots c_n = c$. The proof follows essentially from noticing that the Merkulov procedure is compatible with an extra grading over a suitable category. By a simple argument based on a result by Keller we immediately deduce that some of these coefficients are ± 1 .

Mathematics subject classification 2010: 16E45, 16T15.

Keywords: homological algebra, dg (co)algebras, A_∞ -(co)algebras.

1 The results

This article arose from discussions with A. Solotar and M. Suárez-Álvarez in 2014, and more recently with V. Dotsenko and P. Tamaroff, on the A_∞ -algebra structure on the Yoneda algebra of a monomial algebra. I want to thank them for the exchange and in particular the last two for lately renewing my interest in the problem. My aim is to explain some results describing such A_∞ -algebras that do not seem to be well-known, but follow rather easily from the general theory, and were meant to be included in the Master thesis of my former student E. Sérandon in 2016.

In what follows, K will denote a finite product of r copies of a field k . By *module* we will mean a (not necessarily symmetric) bimodule over K (see [3], Section 2). All unadorned tensor products \otimes will be over K , unless otherwise stated. For the conventions on A_∞ -(co)algebras we refer the reader to [5], Subsection 2.1.

Let M be a small category with a finite set of objects $\{o_1, \dots, o_r\}$. As usual, we denote the set of all arrows of M by M itself, the composition by \star , and the identity of o_i by e_i . We remark that $m' \star m''$ implies that m' and m'' are composable morphisms. Let ${}^M \mathrm{Mod}$ be the category of modules V provided with an M -grading (i.e. a decomposition of modules $V = \bigoplus_{m \in M} V_m$) and linear morphisms preserving the degree. This is a monoidal category with the tensor product $V \otimes W$ whose m -th homogeneous component is $\bigoplus_{m' \star m'' = m} V_{m'} \otimes W_{m''}$, and the unit $K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r k_{e_i}$, where $e_j \cdot k_{e_i} = k_{e_i} \cdot e_j = \delta_{i,j} k_{e_i}$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that ${}^M \mathrm{Mod}$ is a semisimple category. We say that a unitary A_∞ -algebra (A, m_\bullet) has an M -grading if (A, m_\bullet) is a unitary A_∞ -algebra in the monoidal category ${}^M \mathrm{Mod}$. The same applies to M -graded augmented A_∞ -algebras, and to morphisms of M -graded unitary or augmented A_∞ -algebras. Moreover, the definitions of M -graded counitary and coaugmented A_∞ -coalgebra as well as the morphisms between them are also clear.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $A = TV/\langle R \rangle$ be a monomial algebra over a field k , i.e. V is a module of finite dimension over k and R is a space of relations of monomial type. Then, there is a small category (M, \star) with r objects such that A is an M -graded unitary algebra with $\dim_k(A_m) \leq 1$, for all $m \in M$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of the underlying vector space of V such that $e_j.v.e_i$ vanishes or it is v , for all $v \in \mathcal{B}$ and all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and define M as the free small category generated by \mathcal{B} . Note that TV identifies with the unitary semigroup algebra associated with M . Given $m \in M$, set A_m as the vector subspace of A generated by the element \bar{m} of A given as the image of $m \in TV$ under $TV \rightarrow A$. It is clear that $A = \bigoplus_{m \in M} A_m$ is an M -grading of A and $\dim_k(A_m) \leq 1$, for all $m \in M$. \square

The next result follows directly from the definition of the bar construction.

Fact 1.2. *If A is an augmented A_∞ -algebra over K with an M -grading, then the coaugmented dg coalgebra $B^+(A)$ given by the bar construction is M -graded for the canonically induced grading.*

We present now the main result of this short note.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $A = TV/\langle R \rangle$ be a monomial K -algebra and let M be the small category defined in Proposition 1.1. Then, there is an M -graded coaugmented A_∞ -coalgebra structure on $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$ together with a quasi-equivalence from it to the M -graded coaugmented dg coalgebra $B^+(A)$.*

Proof. We first remark that [4], Thm. 4.5, holds *verbatim* if we replace Adams grading by M -grading, since ${}^M \text{Mod}$ is a semisimple category. Using a grading argument based on the fact that both $B^+(A)$ and $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$ are Adams connected modules (see [5], Section 2, for the definition for vector spaces), we see that the operator Q in [4], Thm. 4.5, is locally finite (see [3], Addendum 2.9). Hence, applying [4], Thm. 4.5, to the coaugmented dg coalgebra $B^+(A)$, which projects onto its homology $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$, we see that the latter has a structure of M -graded coaugmented A_∞ -coalgebra. Moreover, by the same theorem, there is a quasi-isomorphism of coaugmented A_∞ -coalgebras from $B^+(A)$ to $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$, which is trivially a quasi-equivalence by a grading argument. \square

Remark 1.4. *The previous theorem and its proof hold more generally for any M -graded K -algebra A that is connected, i.e. $A_{e_i} = k$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and such that A/K has a compatible (strictly) positive grading. This occurs e.g. if there is a functor $\ell : M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\ell(m) = 0$ if and only if m is an identity of M , where the monoid \mathbb{N}_0 is regarded as a category with one object.*

The result in the abstract is obtained from the previous theorem by identifying $\text{Tor}_p^A(K, K)$ with the module $V^{(p-1)}$ generated by the (Anick) $(p-1)$ -chains for $p \geq 1$ (see [1], Lemma 3.3, for the case K is a field, and [2], Thm 4.1, for the general case), i.e. given $c \in V^{(p)}$ and $n \geq 3$,

$$\Delta_n(c) = \sum_{\substack{c_i \in V^{(p_i)}, c_1 \dots c_n = c \\ p_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, p_1 + \dots + p_n = p-1}} \lambda_{(c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_n)} c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_n, \text{ where } \lambda_{(c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_n)} \in k. \quad (1.1)$$

Note that Δ_2 is given by the usual coproduct of $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$. The (left or right) dual of this A_∞ -coalgebra structure on $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$ gives an A_∞ -algebra model on $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(K, K)$ (see [3], Prop. 2.13).

With no extra effort we can say a little more about the coefficients in (1.1)¹.

1. P. Tamaroff has told me that, by carefully choosing the SDR data for $B^+(A)$ and following all the steps in the recursive Merkulov procedure, he can even prove that all nonzero coefficients are ± 1 , at least if K is a field (see [7]). Our results are not so general but they are immediate, since we did not need to look at the interior of the Merkulov construction.

Theorem 1.5. *Assume the same hypotheses as in the previous theorem. Given $c \in V^{(p)}$, $n \geq 3$, and $c_i \in V^{(p_i)}$ ($p_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$) such that $c_1 \dots c_n = c$, $p_1 + \dots + p_n = p - 1$ and $p = p_j + 1$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\lambda_{(c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_n)} = \pm 1$.*

Proof. By [5], Thm. 4.2, (or [3], Thm. 4.1) the twisted tensor product $A^e \otimes_\tau C$ is isomorphic to the minimal projective resolution of the regular A -bimodule A , where $C = \text{Tor}_\bullet^A(K, K)$ is the previous coaugmented A_∞ -algebra and τ is the twisting cochain given in that theorem. Comparing the differential of $A^e \otimes_\tau C$ given in [5], (4.1), with the one in [2], Thm. 4.1, (see also [6], Section 3), it follows that the mentioned coefficient is ± 1 . \square

Remark 1.6. *In the examples, the computation of the remaining coefficients in (1.1) is in general rather simple to carry out, by imposing that the Stasheff identities are fulfilled.*

References

- [1] David J. Anick, *On the homology of associative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (1986), no. 2, 641–659. $\uparrow 2$
- [2] Michael J. Bardzell, *The alternating syzygy behavior of monomial algebras*, J. Algebra **188** (1997), no. 1, 69–89. $\uparrow 2, 3$
- [3] Estanislao Herscovich, *Applications of one-point extensions to compute the A_∞ -(co)module structure of several Ext (resp., Tor) groups* (2016), 20 pp., available at <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~eherscov/Articles/Applications-of-one-point-extensions.pdf>. $\uparrow 1, 2, 3$
- [4] ———, *On the Merkulov construction of A_∞ -(co)algebras* (2017), 8 pp., available at <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~eherscov/Articles/On-the-Merkulov-construction.pdf>. Accepted for publication in Ukrainian Math. J. $\uparrow 2$
- [5] ———, *Using torsion theory to compute the algebraic structure of Hochschild (co)homology*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **20** (2018), no. 1, 117–139. $\uparrow 1, 2, 3$
- [6] Emil Sköldberg, *A contracting homotopy for Bardzell’s resolution*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **108** (2008), no. 2, 111–117. $\uparrow 3$
- [7] Pedro Tamaroff, *Minimal models for monomial algebras* (2018), 28 pp., available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.01435>. $\uparrow 2$