A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold

Jean-Pierre Demailly / Phạm Hoàng Hiệp

Institut Fourier, Université de Grenoble I, France

NORDAN 12, Kiruna (Sweden)
dediated to the memory of Mikael Passare
in honor of Urban Cegrell, on the occasion of his retirement

May 12, 2012

log canonical threshold of psh functions

Singularities of psh (plurisubharmonic) functions can be measured by Lelong numbers. Another useful invariant is the log canonical threshold.

Definition

Let X be a complex manifold, $p \in X$, and φ be a plurisubharmonic function defined on X. The log canonical threshold or *complex singularity exponent* of φ at p is defined by

$$c_p(\varphi) = \sup \left\{ c \geq 0 \ : \ e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } p \right\},$$

Here we will take $p = 0$ and denote $c(\varphi) = c_0(\varphi)$.

log canonical threshold of coherent ideals

The log canonical threshold is a subtle invariant. A special interesting case is

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log(|g_1|^2 + \ldots + |g_N|^2)$$

associated to some ideal $\mathcal{J} = (g_1, \ldots, g_N)$ of polynomials or holomorphic functions on some complex manifold X.
Then by Hironaka, $\exists$ modification $\mu : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that

$$\mu^* \mathcal{J} = (g_1 \circ \mu, \ldots, g_N \circ \mu) = \mathcal{O}(-\sum a_j E_j)$$

for some normal crossing divisor. Let $\mathcal{O}(\sum b_j E_j)$ be the divisor of $\mathrm{Jac}(\mu)$. We have

$$c(\varphi) = \min_{E_j,\, \mu(E_j) \ni 0} \frac{1 + b_j}{a_j} \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*.$$

Proof of the formula for the log canonical threshold

In fact, we have to find the supremum of $c > 0$ such that

$$I = \int_{V \ni 0} \frac{d\lambda(z)}{(|g_1|^2 + \ldots + |g_N|^2)^c} < +\infty.$$

Let us perform the change of variable $z = \mu(w)$. Then

$$d\lambda(z) = |\mathrm{Jac}(\mu)(w)|^2 \sim \left| \prod w_j^{b_j} \right|^2 d\lambda(w)$$

with respect to coordinates on the blow-up $\widetilde{V}$ of V, and

$$I \sim \int_{\widetilde{V}} \frac{|\prod w_j^{b_j}|^2 \, d\lambda(w)}{|\prod w_j^{a_j}|^{2c}}$$

so convergence occurs if $ca_j - b_j < 1$ for all j.

Notations and basic facts

- A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called *hyperconvex* if $\exists \psi \in \mathcal{PSH}(\Omega)$, $\psi \leq 0$, such that $\{z : \psi(z) < c\} \Subset \Omega$ for all $c < 0$.

- $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{PSH} \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : \lim_{z \to \partial\Omega} \varphi(z) = 0, \int_\Omega (dd^c\varphi)^n < +\infty \right\}$

- $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \Big\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{PSH}(\Omega) : \exists\, \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \ni \varphi_p \searrow \varphi, \text{ and }$
$$\sup_{p \geq 1} \int_\Omega (dd^c\varphi_p)^n < +\infty \Big\},$$

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(X) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{PSH}(X) \text{ locally in } \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \bmod C^\infty(\Omega)\}$

Theorem (U. Cegrell)

$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ is the largest subclass of psh functions defined on a complex manifold X for which the complex Monge-Ampère operator is locally well-defined.

Intermediate Lelong numbers

Set here $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\overline{\partial} - \partial)$ so that $dd^c = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}$. If $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$, the products $(dd^c\varphi)^j$ are well defined and one can consider the Lelong numbers

$$e_j(\varphi) = \nu\big((dd^c\varphi)^j, 0\big).$$

In other words

$$e_j(\varphi) = \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|)^{n-j}.$$

One has $e_0(\varphi) = 1$ and $e_1(\varphi) = \nu(\varphi, 0)$ (usual Lelong number). When

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log(|g_1|^2 + \ldots + |g_N|^2),$$

one has $e_j(\varphi) \in \mathbb{N}$.

The main result

Main Theorem (Demailly & Phạm)

Let $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$. If $e_1(\varphi) = 0$, then $c(\varphi) = \infty$.
Otherwise, we have

$$c(\varphi) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)}.$$

The lower bound improves a classical result of H. Skoda
(1972), according to which

$$\frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)} \leq c(\varphi) \leq \frac{n}{e_1(\varphi)}.$$

Remark: The above theorem is optimal, with equality for

$$\varphi(z) = \log(|z_1|^{a_1} + \ldots + |z_n|^{a_n}), \quad 0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \ldots \leq a_n.$$

Then $e_j(\varphi) = a_1 \ldots a_j, \quad c(\varphi) = \dfrac{1}{a_1} + \ldots + \dfrac{1}{a_n}.$

Geometric applications

The log canonical threshold has a lot of applications. It is
essentially a local version of Tian's invariant, which determines
a sufficient condition for the existence of Kähler-Einstein
metrics.
Another important application is to birational rigidity.

Theorem (Pukhlikov 1998, Corti 2000, de Fernex 2011)

Let X be a smooth hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$. Then if
$d = n + 1$, $\mathrm{Bir}(X) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(X)$

It was first shown by Manin-Iskovskih in the early 70's that a
3-dim quartic in $\mathbb{CP}^4$ ($n = 3$, $d = 4$) is not rational.

Question

For $3 \leq d \leq n + 1$, when is it true that $\mathrm{Bir}(X) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(X)$
(birational rigidity) ?

Lemma 1

Lemma 1

Let $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$. Then we have that

$$e_j(\varphi)^2 \leq e_{j-1}(\varphi)e_{j+1}(\varphi),$$

for all $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$.

In other words $j \mapsto \log e_j(\varphi)$ is convex, thus we have $e_j(\varphi) \geq e_1(\varphi)^j$ and the ratios $e_{j+1}(\varphi)/e_j(\varphi)$ are increasing.

Corollary

If $e_1(\varphi) = \nu(\varphi, 0) = 0$, then $e_j(\varphi) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$.

A hard conjecture by V. Guedj and A. Rashkovskii ($\sim$ 1998) states that $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$, $e_1(\varphi) = 0$ also implies $e_n(\varphi) = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 1

Without loss generality we can assume that Ω is the unit ball and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$. For h, $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ an integration by parts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$\left[\int_\Omega -h(dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \right]^2$$

$$= \left[\int_\Omega d\varphi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \right]^2$$

$$\leq \int_\Omega d\psi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h$$

$$\int_\Omega d\varphi \wedge d^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h$$

$$= \int_\Omega -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1} \int_\Omega -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1},$$

Proof of Lemma 1, continued

Now, as $p \to +\infty$, take

$$h(z) = h_p(z) = \max\left(-1, \frac{1}{p}\log\|z\|\right) \nearrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in \Omega\backslash\{0\} \\ -1 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we get in the limit that

$$\left[\int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j}\right]^2 \leq \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1}$$
$$\int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1}.$$

For $\psi(z) = \ln\|z\|$, this is the desired estimate. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2

Lemma 2

Let $\varphi, \psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ be such that $\varphi \leq \psi$ (i.e φ is "more singular" than ψ). Then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\psi)}{e_{j+1}(\psi)}.$$

The argument if based on the monotonicity of Lelong numbers with respect to the relation $\varphi \leq \psi$, and on the monotonicity of the right hand side in the relevant range of values.

Proof of Lemma 2

Set

$$D = \{t = (t_1, ..., t_n) \in [0, +\infty)^n : t_1^2 \le t_2, \, t_j^2 \le t_{j-1}t_{j+1}, \, \forall j = 2, ..., n-1\}.$$

Then D is a convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, as can be checked by a straightforward application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Next, consider the function $f : \text{int } D \to [0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$f(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} \cdots + \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_j}(t) = -\frac{t_{j-1}}{t_j^2} + \frac{1}{t_{j+1}} \le 0, \qquad \forall t \in D.$$

Proof of Lemma 2, continued

For $a, b \in \text{int } D$ such that $a_j \ge b_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the function

$$[0, 1] \ni \lambda \to f(b + \lambda(a - b))$$

is decreasing. Hence,

$$f(a) \le f(b) \qquad \text{for all } a, b \in \text{int } D, \ a_j \ge b_j, \ j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

On the other hand, the hypothesis $\varphi \le \psi$ implies that $e_j(\varphi) \ge e_j(\psi)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, by the comparison principle. Therefore we have that

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \ldots, e_n(\varphi)) \le f(e_1(\psi), \ldots, e_n(\psi)).$$

$\square$

Proof of the Main Theorem

It will be convenient here to introduce Kiselman's refined Lelong number.

> **Definition**
>
> Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{PSH}(\Omega)$. Then the function defined by
>
> $$\nu_\varphi(x) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} \frac{\max\left\{\varphi(z) : |z_1| = e^{x_1 t}, \ldots, |z_n| = e^{x_n t}\right\}}{t}$$
>
> is called the refined Lelong number of φ at 0.

The refined Lelong number of φ at 0 is increasing in each variable x_j, and concave on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Proof of the Main Theorem

The proof is divided into the following steps:

- Proof of the theorem in the toric case, i.e.
  $\varphi(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \varphi(|z_1|, \ldots, |z_n|)$ depends only on $|z_j|$ and therefore we can without loss of generality assume that $\Omega = \Delta^n$ is the unit polydisk.

- Reduction to the case of plurisubharmonic functions with analytic singularity, i.e. $\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \ldots + |f_N|^2)$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_N$ are germs of holomorphic functions at 0.

- Reduction to the case of monomial ideals, i.e. for $\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \ldots + |f_N|^2)$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_N$ are germs of monomial elements at 0.

Proof of the theorem in the toric case

Set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j = 1 \right\}.$$

We choose $x^0 = (x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0) \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\nu_\varphi(x^0) = \max\{\nu_\varphi(x) : x \in S\}.$$

By Theorem 5.8 in [Kis94] we have the following formula

$$c(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)}.$$

Proof of the theorem in the toric case, continued

Set $\quad \zeta(x) = \nu_\varphi(x^0) \min\left(\dfrac{x_1}{x_1^0}, \ldots, \dfrac{x_n}{x_n^0} \right), \qquad \forall x \in \Sigma.$

Then ζ is the smallest nonnegative concave increasing function on Σ such that $\zeta(x^0) = \nu_\varphi(x^0)$, hence $\zeta \leq \nu_\varphi$. This implies that

$$\varphi(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \leq -\nu_\varphi(-\ln|z_1|, \ldots, -\ln|z_n|)$$
$$\leq -\zeta(-\ln|z_1|, \ldots, -\ln|z_n|)$$
$$\leq \nu_\varphi(x^0) \max\left(\frac{\ln|z_1|}{x_1^0}, \ldots, \frac{\ln|z_n|}{x_n^0} \right) := \psi(z_1, \ldots, z_n).$$

By Lemma 2 we get that

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \ldots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi), \ldots, e_n(\psi)) = c(\psi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)} = c(\varphi).$$

Reduction to the case of plurisubharmonic functions with analytic singularity

Let $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$ be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions f on Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega |f|^2 e^{-2m\varphi} dV < +\infty,$$

and let $\psi_m = \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum |g_{m,k}|^2$ where $\{g_{m,k}\}_{k\geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$. Using $\bar{\partial}$-equation with L^2-estimates (D-Kollár), there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\varphi(z) - \frac{C_1}{m} \leq \psi_m(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta - z| < r} \varphi(\zeta) + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{C_2}{r^n}$$

for every $z \in \Omega$ and $r < d(z, \partial\Omega)$.

Reduction to the case of plurisubharmonic functions with analytic singularity, continued

and

$$\nu(\varphi) - \frac{n}{m} \leq \nu(\psi_m) \leq \nu(\varphi), \qquad \frac{1}{c(\varphi)} - \frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{1}{c(\psi_m)} \leq \frac{1}{c(\varphi)}.$$

By Lemma 2, we have that

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \ldots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi_m), \ldots, e_n(\psi_m)), \qquad \forall m \geq 1.$$

The above inequalities show that in order to prove the lower bound of $c(\varphi)$ in the Main Theorem, we only need prove it for $c(\psi_m)$ and then let $m \to \infty$.

Reduction to the case of monomial ideals

For $j = 0, \ldots, n$ set

$$\mathscr{J} = (f_1, \ldots, f_N), \; c(\mathscr{J}) = c(\varphi), \; \text{and } e_j(\mathscr{J}) = e_j(\varphi).$$

Now, by fixing a multiplicative order on the monomials

$$z^{\alpha} = z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_n^{\alpha_n}$$

it is well known that one can construct a flat family $(\mathscr{J}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ of ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ depending on a complex parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\mathscr{J}_0$ is a monomial ideal, $\mathscr{J}_1 = \mathscr{J}$ and

$$\dim(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathscr{J}_s^t) = \dim(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathscr{J}^t) \; \text{ for all } s, t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In fact $\mathscr{J}_0$ is just the initial ideal associated to $\mathscr{J}$ with respect to the monomial order.

Reduction to the case of monomial ideals, continued

Moreover, we can arrange by a generic rotation of coordinates $\mathbb{C}^p \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that the family of ideals $\mathscr{J}_s \cap \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}$ is also flat, and that the dimensions

$$\dim\left(\mathscr{O}_{b^p,0}/(\mathscr{J}_s \cap \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0})^t\right) = \dim\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathscr{J} \cap \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0})^t\right)$$

compute the intermediate multiplicities

$$e_p(\mathscr{J}_s) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{p!}{t^p} \dim\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathscr{J}_s \cap \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0})^t\right) = e_p(\mathscr{J}),$$

in particular, $e_p(\mathscr{J}_0) = e_p(\mathscr{J})$ for all p. The semicontinuity property of the log canonical threshold implies that $c(\mathscr{J}_0) \leq c(\mathscr{J}_s) = c(\mathscr{J})$ for all s, so the lower bound is valid for $c(\mathscr{J})$ if it is valid for $c(\mathscr{J}_0)$.

About the continuity of Monge-Ampère operators

> **Conjecture**
>
> Let $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $\Omega \ni 0$. Then the analytic approximations ψ_m satisfy $e_j(\psi_m) \to e_j(\varphi)$ as $m \to +\infty$, in other words, we have "strong continuity" of Monge-Ampère operators and higher Lelong numbers with respect to Bergman kernel approximation.

In the 2-dimensional case, $e_2(\varphi)$ can be computed as follows (at least when $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\omega)$ has analytic singularities).
Let $\mu : \widetilde{\Omega} \to \Omega$ be the blow-up of Ω at 0. Take local coordinates (w_1, w_2) on $\widetilde{\Omega}$ so that the exceptional divisor E can be written $w_1 = 0$.

About the continuity of Monge-Ampère operators (II)

With $\gamma = \nu(\varphi, 0)$, we get that
$$\widetilde{\varphi}(w) = \varphi \circ \mu(w) - \gamma \log|w_1|$$
is psh with generic Lelong numbers equal to 0 along E, and therefore there are at most countably many points $x_\ell \in E$ at which $\gamma_\ell = \nu(\widetilde{\varphi}, x_\ell) > 0$. Set $\Theta = dd^c\varphi$, $\widetilde{\Theta} = dd^c\widetilde{\varphi} = \mu^*\Theta - \gamma[E]$. Since $E^2 = -1$ in cohomology, we have $\{\widetilde{\Theta}\}^2 = \{\mu^*\Theta\}^2 - \gamma^2$ in $H^2(E, \mathbb{R})$, hence

$(*)$ $$\int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^2 = \gamma^2 + \int_E (dd^c\widetilde{\varphi})^2.$$

If $\widetilde{\varphi}$ only has ordinary logarithmic poles at the x_ℓ's, then $\int_E (dd^c\widetilde{\varphi})^2 = \sum \gamma_\ell^2$, but in general the situation is more complicated. Let us blow-up any of the points x_ℓ and repeat the process k times.

We set $\ell = \ell_1$ in what follows, as this was the first step, and at step $k = 0$ we omit any indices as 0 is the only point we have to blow-up to start with. We then get inductively $(k + 1)$-iterated blow-ups depending on multi-indices $\ell = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k) = (\ell', \ell_k)$ with $\ell' = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{k-1})$,

$$\mu_\ell : \widetilde{\Omega}_\ell \to \widetilde{\Omega}_{\ell'}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad \mu_\emptyset = \mu : \widetilde{\Omega}_\emptyset = \widetilde{\Omega} \to \Omega, \quad \gamma_\emptyset = \gamma$$

and exceptional divisors $E_\ell \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_\ell$ lying over points $x_\ell \in E_{\ell'} \subset \widetilde{\Omega}_{\ell'}$, where

$$\gamma_\ell = \nu(\widetilde{\varphi}_{\ell'}, x_\ell) > 0,$$
$$\widetilde{\varphi}_\ell(w) = \widetilde{\varphi}_{\ell'} \circ \mu_\ell(w) - \gamma_\ell \log |w_1^{(\ell)}|,$$
$$(w_1^{(\ell)} = 0 \text{ an equation of } E_\ell \text{ in the relevant chart}).$$

Formula $(*)$ implies

$(**)$
$$e_2(\varphi) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^k} \gamma_\ell^2$$

with equality when φ has an analytic singularity at 0. We conjecture that $(**)$ is always an equality whenever $\varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$. This would imply the Guedj-Rashkovskii conjecture.

Notice that the currents $\Theta_\ell = dd^c \widetilde{\varphi}_\ell$ satisfy inductively $\Theta_\ell = \mu_\ell^* \Theta_{\ell'} - \gamma_\ell [E_\ell]$, hence the cohomology class of Θ_ℓ restricted to E_ℓ is equal to γ_ℓ times the fundamental generator of E_ℓ. As a consequence we have

$$\sum_{\ell_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_{\ell, \ell_{k+1}} \leq \gamma_\ell,$$

in particular $\gamma_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^k$ if $\gamma = \nu(\varphi, 0) = 0$.

References I

[ÅCCP] Åhag P., Cegrell U., Czyż R. and Phạm H. H., *Monge-Ampère measures on pluripolar sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 92 (2009), 613-627.

[ÅCKPZ] Åhag P., Cegrell U., Kołodziej S., Phạm H.H. and Zeriahi A., *Partial pluricomplex energy and integrability exponents of plurisubharmonic functions*, Adv. Math. 222 (2009), 2036-2058.

[AGZV85] Arnol'd V. I., Guseĭn-Zade S. M. and Varchenko A. N., *Singularities of differentiable maps. Vols. I–II*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 82, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985, Translated from the Russian by Ian Porteous and Mark Reynolds.

[Ati70] Atiyah M. F., *Resolution of singularities and division of distributions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 23 (1970), 145-150.

References II

[Ber71] Bernšteĭn I. N., *Modules over a ring of differential operators. An investigation of the fundamental solutions of equations with constant coefficients*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 5 (1971), 1-16.

[Ceg04] Cegrell U., *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54 (2004), 159-179.

[DK01] Demailly J.-P. and Kollár J., *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 34 (2001), 525-556.

[dFEM03] de Fernex T, Ein L. and Mustaţă, *Bounds for log canonical thresholds with applications to birational rigidity*, Math. Res. Lett. 10 (2003) 219-236.

[dFEM04] de Fernex T., Ein L. and Mustaţă M., *Multiplicities and log canonical threshold*, J. Algebraic Geom. 13 (2004), 603-615.

[dFEM09] de Fernex T., Ein L. and Mustaţă M., *Shokurov's ACC Conjecture for log canonical thresholds on smooth varieties*, Duke Math. J., 152 (2010) 93-114.

[Eis95] Eisenbud D., *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. 150, Springer, New York, 1995.

[GŠ58] Gel'fand I. M. and Šilov G. E., *Obobshchennye funksii i deistviya iad nimi*, Obobščennye funkcii, Vypusk 1. Generalized functions, part 1, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow, 1958.

[Hör58] Hörmander L., *On the division of distributions by polynomials*, Ark. Mat. 3 (1958), 555-568.

[Kis94] Kiselman C.O., *Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. 60 (1994) 173-197.

[Łoj58] Łojasiewicz S., *Division d'une distribution par une fonction analytique de variables réelles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 246 (1958), 683-686.

[PS00] Phong D. H. and Sturm J., *On a conjecture of Demailly and Kollár*. Kodaira's issue, Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), 221-226.

[Sch50] Schwartz L., *Théorie des distributions. Tome I*, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1091 = Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg 9, Hermann & Cie., Paris, 1950.

[Sko72] Skoda H., *Sous-ensembles analytiques d'ordre fini ou infini dans $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 100 (1972), 353-408.