



# On the Monge-Ampère volume of holomorphic vector bundles

#### Jean-Pierre Demailly

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes & Académie des Sciences de Paris

Analysis of Monge-Ampère, a tribute to Ahmed Zeriahi Conference AMAZER 2021, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse May 31 – June 4, 2021



Let X be a projective n-dimensional manifold and  $E \to X$  a holomorphic vector bundle of rank  $r \ge 1$ .

Let X be a projective n-dimensional manifold and  $E \to X$  a holomorphic vector bundle of rank  $r \ge 1$ .

#### Ample vector bundles

 $E \to X$  is said to be ample in the sense of Hartshorne if the associated line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is ample.

Let X be a projective n-dimensional manifold and  $E \to X$  a holomorphic vector bundle of rank  $r \ge 1$ .

#### Ample vector bundles

 $E \to X$  is said to be ample in the sense of Hartshorne if the associated line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is ample.

By Kodaira, this is equivalent to the existence of a smooth hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  with positive curvature (equivalently, a negatively curved Finsler metric on  $E^*$ ).

Let X be a projective n-dimensional manifold and  $E \to X$  a holomorphic vector bundle of rank  $r \ge 1$ .

#### Ample vector bundles

 $E \to X$  is said to be ample in the sense of Hartshorne if the associated line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is ample.

By Kodaira, this is equivalent to the existence of a smooth hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  with positive curvature (equivalently, a negatively curved Finsler metric on  $E^*$ ).

#### Chern curvature tensor

This is  $\Theta_{E,h} = i\nabla^2_{E,h} \in C^{\infty}(\Lambda^{1,1}T_X^* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(E,E))$ , which can be written

$$\Theta_{E,h} = i \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq n,\, 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq r} c_{jk\lambda\mu} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k \otimes e_\lambda^* \otimes e_\mu$$

in terms of an orthonormal frame  $(e_{\lambda})_{1 \leq \lambda \leq r}$  of E.

#### Griffiths and (dual) Nakano positivity

One looks at the associated quadratic form on  $S = T_X \otimes E$ 

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) := \langle \Theta_{E,h}(\xi, \overline{\xi}) \cdot v, v \rangle_h = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq n, 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq r} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k v_\lambda \overline{v}_\mu.$$

#### Griffiths and (dual) Nakano positivity

One looks at the associated quadratic form on  $S = T_X \otimes E$ 

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) := \langle \Theta_{E,h}(\xi,\overline{\xi}) \cdot v, v \rangle_h = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq n, 1 \leq \lambda,\mu \leq r} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k v_\lambda \overline{v}_\mu.$$

Then E is said to be

• Griffiths positive (Griffiths 1969) if at any point  $z \in X$   $\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) > 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in T_{X,z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\forall v \in E_z \setminus \{0\}$ 

### Griffiths and (dual) Nakano positivity

One looks at the associated quadratic form on  $S = T_X \otimes E$ 

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) := \langle \Theta_{E,h}(\xi, \overline{\xi}) \cdot v, v \rangle_h = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq n, 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq r} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k v_\lambda \overline{v}_\mu.$$

Then E is said to be

- Griffiths positive (Griffiths 1969) if at any point  $z \in X$   $\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) > 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in T_{X,z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\forall v \in E_z \setminus \{0\}$
- Nakano positive (Nakano 1955) if at any point  $z \in X$

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\tau) = \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu}\tau_{j,\lambda}\overline{\tau}_{k,\mu} > 0, \ \forall \underset{\neq 0}{\tau} = \sum \tau_{j,\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \otimes e_{\lambda} \in T_{X,z} \otimes E_z.$$

ullet dual Nakano positive if at any point  $z \in X$ 

$${}^{T}\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\tau) = \sum c_{jk\mu\lambda}\tau_{j,\lambda}\overline{\tau}_{k,\mu} > 0, \ \forall \underset{\neq 0}{\tau} = \sum \tau_{j,\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\otimes e_{\lambda}^{*} \in T_{X,z}\otimes E_{z}^{*}.$$



#### Griffiths and (dual) Nakano positivity

One looks at the associated quadratic form on  $S = T_X \otimes E$ 

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) := \langle \Theta_{E,h}(\xi, \overline{\xi}) \cdot v, v \rangle_h = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq n, 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq r} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k v_\lambda \overline{v}_\mu.$$

Then E is said to be

- Griffiths positive (Griffiths 1969) if at any point  $z \in X$   $\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) > 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in T_{X,z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\forall v \in E_z \setminus \{0\}$
- Nakano positive (Nakano 1955) if at any point  $z \in X$

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\tau) = \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} \tau_{j,\lambda} \overline{\tau}_{k,\mu} > 0, \ \forall \underset{\neq 0}{\tau} = \sum \tau_{j,\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \otimes e_{\lambda} \in T_{X,z} \otimes E_z.$$

ullet dual Nakano positive if at any point  $z \in X$ 

$${}^{T}\widetilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\tau) = \sum c_{jk\mu\lambda}\tau_{j,\lambda}\overline{\tau}_{k,\mu} > 0, \ \forall \underset{\neq 0}{\tau} = \sum \tau_{j,\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\otimes e_{\lambda}^{*} \in T_{X,z}\otimes E_{z}^{*}.$$

$$\Theta_{E^*,h} = -{}^T\Theta_{E,h} = -\sum c_{jk\mu\lambda}dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k \otimes (e_{\lambda}^*)^* \otimes e_{\mu}^*.$$

#### Easy and well known facts

E (dual) Nakano positive  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow E$  ample.

#### Easy and well known facts

E (dual) Nakano positive  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow E$  ample.

In fact *E* Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  positive:

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}([v]) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \frac{v_{\lambda} \overline{v}_{\mu}}{|v|^2} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k, \quad z \in X, \ v \in E_z.$$

#### Easy and well known facts

E (dual) Nakano positive  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow E$  ample.

In fact *E* Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  positive:

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}([v]) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \frac{v_{\lambda} \overline{v}_{\mu}}{|v|^2} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k, \quad z \in X, \ v \in E_z.$$

#### Remark: dual Nakano positivity is somewhat better behaved

E dual Nakano (semi)positive  $\Rightarrow$  any quotient Q = E/S is also dual Nakano (semi)positive.

#### Easy and well known facts

E (dual) Nakano positive  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow E$  ample.

In fact *E* Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  positive:

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}([v]) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \frac{v_{\lambda} \overline{v}_{\mu}}{|v|^2} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k, \quad z \in X, \ v \in E_z.$$

#### Remark: dual Nakano positivity is somewhat better behaved

E dual Nakano (semi)positive  $\Rightarrow$  any quotient Q = E/S is also dual Nakano (semi)positive.

E generated by global sections  $\Rightarrow$  E dual Nakano semipositive.

#### Easy and well known facts

E (dual) Nakano positive  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow E$  ample.

In fact *E* Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  positive:

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}([v]) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \frac{v_{\lambda} \overline{v}_{\mu}}{|v|^2} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k, \quad z \in X, \ v \in E_z.$$

#### Remark: dual Nakano positivity is somewhat better behaved

E dual Nakano (semi)positive  $\Rightarrow$  any quotient Q = E/S is also dual Nakano (semi)positive.

E generated by global sections  $\Rightarrow E$  dual Nakano semipositive.

#### **Proposition**

E ample  $\Rightarrow S^m E$  Nakano and dual Nakano > 0 for  $m \gg 1$ .

#### Easy and well known facts

E (dual) Nakano positive  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow E$  ample.

In fact *E* Griffiths positive  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  positive:

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}([v]) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \frac{v_{\lambda} \overline{v}_{\mu}}{|v|^2} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k, \quad z \in X, \ v \in E_z.$$

#### Remark: dual Nakano positivity is somewhat better behaved

E dual Nakano (semi)positive  $\Rightarrow$  any quotient Q = E/S is also dual Nakano (semi)positive.

E generated by global sections  $\Rightarrow E$  dual Nakano semipositive.

#### **Proposition**

E ample  $\Rightarrow S^m E$  Nakano and dual Nakano > 0 for  $m \gg 1$ .

Berndtsson (2007):  $E \text{ ample} \Rightarrow S^m E \otimes \det E \text{ Nakano} > 0, \forall m \geq 0.$ 

First (well known) observation

*E* Griffiths positive  $\neq$  *E* Nakano positive.

### First (well known) observation

*E* Griffiths positive  $\not\Rightarrow E$  Nakano positive.

For instance,  $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is easy shown to be ample and Griffiths positive for the Fubini-Study metric, but it is not Nakano positive.

#### First (well known) observation

*E* Griffiths positive  $\not\Rightarrow E$  Nakano positive.

For instance,  $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is easy shown to be ample and Griffiths positive for the Fubini-Study metric, but it is not Nakano positive. Otherwise the Nakano vanishing theorem would then yield

$$H^{n-1,n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathbb{C})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^n}\otimes\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=0.$$

### First (well known) observation

*E* Griffiths positive  $\not\Rightarrow E$  Nakano positive.

For instance,  $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is easy shown to be ample and Griffiths positive for the Fubini-Study metric, but it is not Nakano positive. Otherwise the Nakano vanishing theorem would then yield

$$H^{n-1,n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathbb{C})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^n}\otimes\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=0.$$

Second observation (Liu, Sun, Yang, 2013)

*E* Griffiths positive  $\neq$  *E* dual Nakano positive.

#### First (well known) observation

*E* Griffiths positive  $\not\Rightarrow E$  Nakano positive.

For instance,  $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is easy shown to be ample and Griffiths positive for the Fubini-Study metric, but it is not Nakano positive. Otherwise the Nakano vanishing theorem would then yield

$$H^{n-1,n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathbb{C})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^n}\otimes\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=0.$$

Second observation (Liu, Sun, Yang, 2013)

*E* Griffiths positive  $\not\Rightarrow$  *E* dual Nakano positive.

In fact, a variant of the Nakano vanishing theorem gives that E dual Nakano  $> 0 \Rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes E^*) = 0$  for  $p < n = \dim X$ .

### First (well known) observation

*E* Griffiths positive  $\not\Rightarrow E$  Nakano positive.

For instance,  $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is easy shown to be ample and Griffiths positive for the Fubini-Study metric, but it is not Nakano positive. Otherwise the Nakano vanishing theorem would then yield

$$H^{n-1,n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathbb{C})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n,\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^n}\otimes\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n})=0.$$

### Second observation (Liu, Sun, Yang, 2013)

*E* Griffiths positive  $\not\Rightarrow E$  dual Nakano positive.

In fact, a variant of the Nakano vanishing theorem gives that E dual Nakano  $> 0 \Rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes E^*) = 0$  for  $p < n = \dim X$ .

Take e.g. a smooth compact quotient  $X = \mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma$  of the ball,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $E = \Omega^1_X$  is Griffiths positive, but  $\mathrm{Id} \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes E^*) \neq 0$ , so E cannot be dual Nakano positive.

#### Definition of a few thresholds

Let  $E \to X$  be a holomorphic vector bundle such that  $\det E = \bigwedge^r E$  is ample.

#### Definition of a few thresholds

Let  $E \to X$  be a holomorphic vector bundle such that  $\det E = \bigwedge^r E$  is ample.

One can introduce respectively the ample threshold  $\tau_A(E)$ , the Griffiths threshold  $\tau_G(E)$ , the Nakano threshold  $\tau_N(E)$ , the dual Nakano threshold  $\tau_N(E)$  to be the infimum of  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $E \otimes (\det E)^t$  is ample, i.e.  $S^m(E \otimes (\det E)^t)$  is ample, resp. Griffiths, Nakano, dual Nakano positive.

#### Definition of a few thresholds

Let  $E \to X$  be a holomorphic vector bundle such that  $\det E = \bigwedge^r E$  is ample.

One can introduce respectively the ample threshold  $\tau_A(E)$ , the Griffiths threshold  $\tau_G(E)$ , the Nakano threshold  $\tau_N(E)$ , the dual Nakano threshold  $\tau_{N^*}(E)$  to be the infimum of  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $E \otimes (\det E)^t$  is ample, i.e.  $S^m(E \otimes (\det E)^t)$  is ample, resp. Griffiths, Nakano, dual Nakano positive.

Assume that E is ample. One has  $\tau_N(E) < 1$  (Berndtsson),  $\tau_{N^*}(E) < 1$  (Liu-Sun-Yang), and the Griffiths conjecture E ample  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths > 0 is equivalent to asserting that  $\tau_G(E) < 0$ .

#### Definition of a few thresholds

Let  $E \to X$  be a holomorphic vector bundle such that  $\det E = \bigwedge^r E$  is ample.

One can introduce respectively the ample threshold  $\tau_A(E)$ , the Griffiths threshold  $\tau_G(E)$ , the Nakano threshold  $\tau_N(E)$ , the dual Nakano threshold  $\tau_{N^*}(E)$  to be the infimum of  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $E \otimes (\det E)^t$  is ample, i.e.  $S^m(E \otimes (\det E)^t)$  is ample, resp. Griffiths, Nakano, dual Nakano positive.

Assume that E is ample. One has  $\tau_N(E) < 1$  (Berndtsson),  $\tau_{N^*}(E) < 1$  (Liu-Sun-Yang), and the Griffiths conjecture E ample  $\Rightarrow E$  Griffiths > 0 is equivalent to asserting that  $\tau_G(E) < 0$ .

The previous counterexamples show that one may have  $\tau_N(E) \geq 0$  and  $\tau_{N^*}(E) \geq 0$ , but it could still wonder whether

$$E \text{ ample} \Rightarrow \tau_N(E) \leq 0, \ \tau_{N^*}(E) \leq 0$$
 ?

If the Chern curvature tensor  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is Nakano positive, one can introduce the  $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the Hermitian quadratic form on  $T_X \otimes E$ 

 $\det_{\mathcal{T}_X\otimes \mathcal{E}}(\Theta_{\mathcal{E},h})^{1/r}:=\det(c_{jk\lambda\mu})_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)}^{1/r}\mathit{id}z_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1\wedge ...\wedge \mathit{id}z_n\wedge d\overline{z}_n.$ 

If the Chern curvature tensor  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is Nakano positive, one can introduce the  $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the Hermitian quadratic form on  $T_X \otimes E$ 

$$\mathsf{det}_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}} (\Theta_{\mathcal{E},h})^{1/r} := \mathsf{det} (c_{jk\lambda\mu})_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)}^{1/r} \mathit{idz}_1 \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_1 \wedge \, ... \, \wedge \mathit{idz}_n \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_n.$$

On the other hand, if  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is dual Nakano positive, one can consider the  $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the "dual" Hermitian quadratic form on  $T_X \otimes E^*$ 

$$\mathsf{det}_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}^*} (\ ^{\mathcal{T}} \Theta_{\mathcal{E},h})^{1/r} := \mathsf{det}(c_{jk\mu\lambda})_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)}^{1/r} \mathit{idz}_1 \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_1 \wedge \, ... \, \wedge \mathit{idz}_n \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_n.$$

If the Chern curvature tensor  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is Nakano positive, one can introduce the  $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the Hermitian quadratic form on  $T_X \otimes E$ 

$$\mathsf{det}_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}} (\Theta_{\mathcal{E},h})^{1/r} := \mathsf{det} (c_{jk\lambda\mu})_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)}^{1/r} \mathit{idz}_1 \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_1 \wedge \, ... \, \wedge \mathit{idz}_n \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_n.$$

On the other hand, if  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is dual Nakano positive, one can consider the  $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the "dual" Hermitian quadratic form on  $T_X \otimes E^*$ 

$$\mathsf{det}_{T_X \otimes E^*}(\ ^T\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} := \mathsf{det}(c_{jk\mu\lambda})_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)}^{1/r} \mathit{id}z_1 \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_1 \wedge \, ... \, \wedge \mathit{id}z_n \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_n.$$

These (n, n)-forms do not depend on the choice of coordinates  $(z_j)$  on X, nor on the choice of the orthonormal frame  $(e_{\lambda})$  on E.

If the Chern curvature tensor  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is Nakano positive, one can introduce the  $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the Hermitian quadratic form on  $T_X \otimes E$ 

$$\mathsf{det}_{\mathcal{T}_X\otimes \mathcal{E}}(\Theta_{\mathcal{E},h})^{1/r} := \mathsf{det}(\mathit{c}_{\mathit{jk}\lambda\mu})^{1/r}_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)} \mathit{idz}_1 \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_1 \wedge \, ... \, \wedge \mathit{idz}_n \wedge \mathit{d}\overline{z}_n.$$

On the other hand, if  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is dual Nakano positive, one can consider the  $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the "dual" Hermitian quadratic form on  $T_X \otimes E^*$ 

$$\mathsf{det}_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes E^*} (\ ^T \Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} := \mathsf{det} (c_{jk\mu\lambda})^{1/r}_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)} \ \textit{idz}_1 \wedge \textit{d}\overline{z}_1 \wedge \ ... \ \wedge \textit{idz}_n \wedge \textit{d}\overline{z}_n.$$

These (n, n)-forms do not depend on the choice of coordinates  $(z_j)$  on X, nor on the choice of the orthonormal frame  $(e_{\lambda})$  on E.

In case  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is Griffiths > 0, we have a functional

$$\operatorname{Grif}(\Theta_{E,h})(z) = \inf_{v \in E_z, \ |v|_h = 1} \langle \Theta_{E,h}(z)v, v \rangle^n.$$

### Monge-Ampère volumes for vector bundles

If  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle of rank r that is Nakano positive (resp. dual Nakano positive), one can introduce its Monge-Ampère volume to be

$$\operatorname{MAVol}(E) = \sup_{h} \int_{X} \det_{T_{X} \otimes E} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h} \right)^{1/r},$$
$$\operatorname{MAVol}^{*}(E) = \sup_{h} \int_{X} \det_{T_{X} \otimes E^{*}} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h} \right)^{1/r},$$

where the supremum is taken over all smooth metrics h on E such that  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is Nakano positive (resp. dual Nakano positive).

### Monge-Ampère volumes for vector bundles

If  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle of rank r that is Nakano positive (resp. dual Nakano positive), one can introduce its Monge-Ampère volume to be

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{MAVol}(E) &= \sup_{h} \int_{X} \det_{T_{X} \otimes E} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h} \right)^{1/r}, \\ \operatorname{MAVol}^{*}(E) &= \sup_{h} \int_{X} \det_{T_{X} \otimes E^{*}} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h} \right)^{1/r}, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken over all smooth metrics h on E such that  $\Theta_{E,h}$  is Nakano positive (resp. dual Nakano positive).

This supremum is always finite, and in fact

#### **Proposition**

For any (dual) Nakano positive vector bundle E, one has

$$MAVol(E) \le r^{-n}c_1(E)^n$$
,  $MAVol^*(E) \le r^{-n}c_1(E)^n$ .

Equality occurs if and only if E is projectively flat.

# Proof of the volume inequality

Assume e.g. E nakano positive. Take  $\omega_0 = \Theta_{\det E} > 0$  as a Kähler metric on X, and let  $(\lambda_j)_{1 \leq j \leq nr}$  be the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}$  as a hermitian form on  $T_X \otimes E$ , with respect to  $\omega_0 \otimes h$ .

# Proof of the volume inequality

Assume e.g. E nakano positive. Take  $\omega_0 = \Theta_{\det E} > 0$  as a Kähler metric on X, and let  $(\lambda_j)_{1 \leq j \leq nr}$  be the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}$  as a hermitian form on  $T_X \otimes E$ , with respect to  $\omega_0 \otimes h$ . We have

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h} \right)^{1/r} = \left( \prod_j \lambda_j \right)^{1/r} \omega_0^n$$

The inequality between geometric and arithmetic means  $(\prod \lambda_j)^{1/nr} \leq \frac{1}{nr} \sum \lambda_j$  implies, after raising to power n

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h} \right)^{1/r} \leq \left( \frac{1}{nr} \sum \lambda_j \right)^n \omega_0^n = \frac{\omega_0^n}{r^n} = \frac{1}{r^n} (\Theta_{\det E})^n.$$

Equality occurs iff all  $\lambda_j$  are equal, i.e. E projectively flat.

In case E is Griffiths > 0, one can define

$$\mathrm{MAVol}_{\mathrm{Grif}}(E) = \sup_{h} \int_{z \in X} \inf_{v \in E_{z}, \ |v|_{h} = 1} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \langle \Theta_{E,h} v, v \rangle \right)^{n}.$$

# Proof of the volume inequality

Assume e.g. E nakano positive. Take  $\omega_0 = \Theta_{\det E} > 0$  as a Kähler metric on X, and let  $(\lambda_j)_{1 \leq j \leq nr}$  be the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}$  as a hermitian form on  $T_X \otimes E$ , with respect to  $\omega_0 \otimes h$ . We have

$$\det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{\mathcal{E},h} \right)^{1/r} = \left( \prod_j \lambda_j \right)^{1/r} \omega_0^n$$

The inequality between geometric and arithmetic means  $(\prod \lambda_j)^{1/nr} \leq \frac{1}{nr} \sum \lambda_j$  implies, after raising to power n

$$\det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{\mathcal{E},h} \right)^{1/r} \leq \left( \frac{1}{nr} \sum \lambda_j \right)^n \omega_0^n = \frac{\omega_0^n}{r^n} = \frac{1}{r^n} (\Theta_{\det \mathcal{E}})^n.$$

Equality occurs iff all  $\lambda_j$  are equal, i.e. E projectively flat.

In case E is Griffiths > 0, one can define

$$\mathrm{MAVol}_{\mathrm{Grif}}(E) = \sup_{h} \int_{z \in X} \inf_{v \in E_{z}, \ |v|_{h} = 1} \left( (2\pi)^{-1} \langle \Theta_{E,h} v, v \rangle \right)^{n}.$$

The Teissier-Hovanskii inequalities imply again  $\text{MAVol}_{\text{Grif}}(E) \leq \frac{1}{r^n} c_1(E)^n$  with equality iff E is projectively flat.



### Further remarks

• In the split case  $E = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} E_j$  and  $h = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} h_j$ , the inequality reads

$$\left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq r}c_1(E_j)^n\right)^{1/r}\leq r^{-n}c_1(E)^n,$$

### Further remarks

• In the split case  $E = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} E_j$  and  $h = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} h_j$ , the inequality reads

$$\left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq r}c_1(E_j)^n\right)^{1/r}\leq r^{-n}c_1(E)^n,$$

with equality iff  $c_1(E_1) = \cdots = c_1(E_r)$ .

### Further remarks

• In the split case  $E = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} E_j$  and  $h = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} h_j$ , the inequality reads

$$\left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq r}c_1(E_j)^n\right)^{1/r}\leq r^{-n}c_1(E)^n,$$

with equality iff  $c_1(E_1) = \cdots = c_1(E_r)$ .

In the split case, it seems natural to conjecture that

$$MAVol(E) = \left(\prod_{1 \le j \le r} c_1(E_j)^n\right)^{1/r},$$

i.e. that the supremum is reached for split metrics  $h = \bigoplus h_j$ .

### Further remarks

• In the split case  $E = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} E_j$  and  $h = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le r} h_j$ , the inequality reads

$$\left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq r}c_1(E_j)^n\right)^{1/r}\leq r^{-n}c_1(E)^n,$$

with equality iff  $c_1(E_1) = \cdots = c_1(E_r)$ .

• In the split case, it seems natural to conjecture that

$$MAVol(E) = \left(\prod_{1 \le j \le r} c_1(E_j)^n\right)^{1/r},$$

i.e. that the supremum is reached for split metrics  $h = \bigoplus h_j$ .

• The Euler-Lagrange equation for the maximizer is complicated (4th order!). It somehow extends the equation characterizing cscK metrics.



# On the Fulton Lazarsfeld inequalities (S. Finski)

A fundamental result due to Fulton-Lazarsfeld asserts that if  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle, then all Schur polynomials  $P(c_{\bullet}(E))$  in the Chern classes are numerically positive, i.e.

$$\int_Y P(c_\bullet(E)) > 0$$

for all irreducible cycles Y of the appropriate dimension in X.

# On the Fulton Lazarsfeld inequalities (S. Finski)

A fundamental result due to Fulton-Lazarsfeld asserts that if  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle, then all Schur polynomials  $P(c_{\bullet}(E))$  in the Chern classes are numerically positive, i.e.

$$\int_Y P(c_\bullet(E)) > 0$$

for all irreducible cycles Y of the appropriate dimension in X. Recently, Siarhei Finski has shown

### Theorem (Finski 2020)

If (E, h) is a (dual) Nakano positive vector bundle, then all Schur polynomials  $P(c_{\bullet}(E, h))$  in the Chern forms are pointwise positive (k, k)-forms (in the sense of the weak positivity of forms).

# On the Fulton Lazarsfeld inequalities (S. Finski)

A fundamental result due to Fulton-Lazarsfeld asserts that if  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle, then all Schur polynomials  $P(c_{\bullet}(E))$  in the Chern classes are numerically positive, i.e.

$$\int_Y P(c_\bullet(E)) > 0$$

for all irreducible cycles Y of the appropriate dimension in X. Recently, Siarhei Finski has shown

### Theorem (Finski 2020)

If (E,h) is a (dual) Nakano positive vector bundle, then all Schur polynomials  $P(c_{\bullet}(E,h))$  in the Chern forms are pointwise positive (k,k)-forms (in the sense of the weak positivity of forms).

This is a compelling motivation to investigate the relationships between ampleness, Griffiths and Nakano positivity!

When  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle, the symmetric powers  $S^m E$  have enough sections to generate 1-jets for  $m \ge m_0 \gg 1$ , and one can immediately derive from there that

E ample  $\Rightarrow S^m E$  dual-Nakano positive for  $m \ge m_0 \gg 1$ .

When  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle, the symmetric powers  $S^m E$  have enough sections to generate 1-jets for  $m \ge m_0 \gg 1$ , and one can immediately derive from there that

E ample  $\Rightarrow S^m E$  dual-Nakano positive for  $m \ge m_0 \gg 1$ .

Then it makes sense to wonder whether there is an asymptotic formula for the monge-Ampère volume  $MAVol(S^mE)$ .

When  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle, the symmetric powers  $S^m E$  have enough sections to generate 1-jets for  $m \ge m_0 \gg 1$ , and one can immediately derive from there that

E ample  $\Rightarrow S^m E$  dual-Nakano positive for  $m \ge m_0 \gg 1$ .

Then it makes sense to wonder whether there is an asymptotic formula for the monge-Ampère volume  $\mathrm{MAVol}(S^mE)$ . S. Finski obtained more generally an asymptotic formula for the Monge-Ampère volume of direct images  $E_m = \pi_*(L^m \otimes G)$  by any proper morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  of any line bundle  $(L, h_L) > 0$  on Y.

When  $E \to X$  is an ample vector bundle, the symmetric powers  $S^m E$  have enough sections to generate 1-jets for  $m \ge m_0 \gg 1$ , and one can immediately derive from there that

E ample 
$$\Rightarrow S^m E$$
 dual-Nakano positive for  $m > m_0 \gg 1$ .

Then it makes sense to wonder whether there is an asymptotic formula for the monge-Ampère volume  $\mathrm{MAVol}(S^mE)$ . S. Finski obtained more generally an asymptotic formula for the Monge-Ampère volume of direct images  $E_m = \pi_*(L^m \otimes G)$  by any proper morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  of any line bundle  $(L, h_L) > 0$  on Y.

### Theorem (S. Finski 2020)

Given any volume form  $d\nu$  on X, the direct images satisfy

$$\mathrm{MAVol}(E_m, h_{E_m}) \sim m^{\dim X} \int_X \exp\left(\frac{\int_Y \log\left(\omega_H^{\dim X}/\pi^*\nu\right)\omega^{\dim Y}}{\int_Y c_1(L)^{\dim Y}}\right) d\nu,$$

where  $\omega = \Theta_{L,h_l} > 0$  on Y, and  $\omega_H$  is its horizontal part.

#### Basic idea

Assigning a "matrix Monge-Ampère equation"

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E}(\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0$$
 or  $Grif(\Theta_{E,h}) = f > 0$ 

where f is a positive (n, n)-form, may enforce the Nakano (resp. Griffiths) positivity of  $\Theta_{E,h}$ , especially if that assignment is combined with a continuity technique from an initial starting point where positivity is known.

#### Basic idea

Assigning a "matrix Monge-Ampère equation"

$$\det_{\mathcal{T}_X\otimes \mathcal{E}}(\Theta_{\mathcal{E},h})^{1/r}=f>0$$
 or  $\mathrm{Grif}(\Theta_{\mathcal{E},h})=f>0$ 

where f is a positive (n, n)-form, may enforce the Nakano (resp. Griffiths) positivity of  $\Theta_{E,h}$ , especially if that assignment is combined with a continuity technique from an initial starting point where positivity is known.

Also, in order to compute thresholds, one could instead replace E by  $E \otimes (\det E)^t$  for a large value  $t_0$  and try to decrease t as much as possible.

#### Basic idea

Assigning a "matrix Monge-Ampère equation"

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E}(\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0$$
 or  $Grif(\Theta_{E,h}) = f > 0$ 

where f is a positive (n, n)-form, may enforce the Nakano (resp. Griffiths) positivity of  $\Theta_{E,h}$ , especially if that assignment is combined with a continuity technique from an initial starting point where positivity is known.

Also, in order to compute thresholds, one could instead replace E by  $E \otimes (\det E)^t$  for a large value  $t_0$  and try to decrease t as much as possible.

In case  $r = \operatorname{rank} E = 1$  and  $h = h_0 e^{-\varphi}$ , this is the same as solving a complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\Theta_{E,h})^n = (\omega_0 + i\partial \overline{\partial} \varphi)^n = f.$$

#### Basic idea

Assigning a "matrix Monge-Ampère equation"

$$\det_{T_X\otimes E}(\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r}=f>0$$
 or  $\mathrm{Grif}(\Theta_{E,h})=f>0$ 

where f is a positive (n, n)-form, may enforce the Nakano (resp. Griffiths) positivity of  $\Theta_{E,h}$ , especially if that assignment is combined with a continuity technique from an initial starting point where positivity is known.

Also, in order to compute thresholds, one could instead replace E by  $E \otimes (\det E)^t$  for a large value  $t_0$  and try to decrease t as much as possible.

In case  $r = \operatorname{rank} E = 1$  and  $h = h_0 e^{-\varphi}$ , this is the same as solving a complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\Theta_{E,h})^n = (\omega_0 + i\partial \overline{\partial} \varphi)^n = f.$$

Assuming E to be ample of rank r > 1, the equation

$$(**) \qquad \det_{T_X \otimes E} (\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0$$

becomes underdetermined, as the real rank of the space of hermitian matrices  $h=(h_{\lambda\mu})$  on E is equal to  $r^2$ , while (\*\*) provides only 1 scalar equation.

Assuming E to be ample of rank r > 1, the equation

$$(**) \qquad \det_{T_X \otimes E} (\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0$$

becomes underdetermined, as the real rank of the space of hermitian matrices  $h=(h_{\lambda\mu})$  on E is equal to  $r^2$ , while (\*\*) provides only 1 scalar equation.

(Solutions might still exist, but lack uniqueness and a priori bounds.)

Assuming E to be ample of rank r > 1, the equation

$$(**) \qquad \det_{T_X \otimes E} (\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0$$

becomes underdetermined, as the real rank of the space of hermitian matrices  $h=(h_{\lambda\mu})$  on E is equal to  $r^2$ , while (\*\*) provides only 1 scalar equation.

(Solutions might still exist, but lack uniqueness and a priori bounds.)

#### Conclusion

In order to recover a well determined system of equations, one needs an additional "matrix equation" of rank  $(r^2 - 1)$ .

Assuming E to be ample of rank r > 1, the equation

$$(**) \qquad \det_{T_X \otimes E} (\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0$$

becomes underdetermined, as the real rank of the space of hermitian matrices  $h=(h_{\lambda\mu})$  on E is equal to  $r^2$ , while (\*\*) provides only 1 scalar equation.

(Solutions might still exist, but lack uniqueness and a priori bounds.)

#### Conclusion

In order to recover a well determined system of equations, one needs an additional "matrix equation" of rank  $(r^2 - 1)$ .

### Observation 1 (from the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem)

Take a Hermitian metric  $\eta_0$  on  $\det E$  so that  $\omega_0 := \Theta_{\det E, \eta_0} > 0$ . If E is  $\omega_0$ -polystable,  $\exists h$  Hermitian metric h on E such that

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h} = \frac{1}{r} \omega_0^n \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E$$
 (Hermite-Einstein equation, slope  $\frac{1}{r}$ ).

### Resulting trace free condition

#### Observation 2

The trace part of the above Hermite-Einstein equation is "automatic", hence the equation is equivalent to the trace free condition

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h}^{\circ} = 0,$$

when decomposing any endomorphism  $u \in \text{Herm}(E, E)$  as

$$u = u^{\circ} + \frac{1}{r}\operatorname{Tr}(u)\operatorname{Id}_{E} \in \operatorname{Herm}^{\circ}(E, E) \oplus \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Id}_{E}, \operatorname{tr}(u^{\circ}) = 0.$$

## Resulting trace free condition

### Observation 2

The trace part of the above Hermite-Einstein equation is "automatic", hence the equation is equivalent to the trace free condition

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h}^{\circ} = 0,$$

when decomposing any endomorphism  $u \in \operatorname{Herm}(E, E)$  as

$$u = u^{\circ} + \frac{1}{r}\operatorname{Tr}(u)\operatorname{Id}_{E} \in \operatorname{Herm}^{\circ}(E, E) \oplus \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Id}_{E}, \operatorname{tr}(u^{\circ}) = 0.$$

#### Observation 3

The trace free condition is a matrix equation of rank  $(r^2 - 1)$  !!!

## Resulting trace free condition

#### Observation 2

The trace part of the above Hermite-Einstein equation is "automatic", hence the equation is equivalent to the trace free condition

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h}^{\circ} = 0,$$

when decomposing any endomorphism  $u \in \text{Herm}(E, E)$  as

$$u = u^{\circ} + \frac{1}{r}\operatorname{Tr}(u)\operatorname{Id}_{E} \in \operatorname{Herm}^{\circ}(E, E) \oplus \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Id}_{E}, \operatorname{tr}(u^{\circ}) = 0.$$

#### Observation 3

The trace free condition is a matrix equation of rank  $(r^2 - 1)$ !!!

### Remark

In case  $\dim X = n = 1$ , the trace free condition means that E is projectively flat, and the Umemura proof of the Griffiths conjecture proceeds exactly in that way, using the fact that the graded pieces of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration are projectively flat.



In general, one cannot expect E to be  $\omega_0$ -polystable, but Uhlenbeck-Yau have shown that there always exists a smooth solution  $q_\varepsilon$  to a certain "cushioned" Hermite-Einstein equation.

In general, one cannot expect E to be  $\omega_0$ -polystable, but Uhlenbeck-Yau have shown that there always exists a smooth solution  $q_\varepsilon$  to a certain "cushioned" Hermite-Einstein equation.

To make things more precise, let  $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$  be the space of Hermitian (non necessarily positive) forms on E. Given a reference Hermitian metric  $H_0 > 0$ , let  $\operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E)$  be the space of  $H_0$ -Hermitian endomorphisms  $u \in \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$ ; denote by

 $\operatorname{Herm}(E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E), \quad q \mapsto \widetilde{q} \text{ s.t. } q(v, w) = \langle \widetilde{q}(v), w \rangle_{H_0}$  the natural isomorphism.

In general, one cannot expect E to be  $\omega_0$ -polystable, but Uhlenbeck-Yau have shown that there always exists a smooth solution  $q_\varepsilon$  to a certain "cushioned" Hermite-Einstein equation.

To make things more precise, let  $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$  be the space of Hermitian (non necessarily positive) forms on E. Given a reference Hermitian metric  $H_0 > 0$ , let  $\operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E)$  be the space of  $H_0$ -Hermitian endomorphisms  $u \in \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$ ; denote by

 $\operatorname{Herm}(E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E), \quad q \mapsto \widetilde{q} \text{ s.t. } q(v, w) = \langle \widetilde{q}(v), w \rangle_{H_0}$  the natural isomorphism. Let also

$$\operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}^{\circ}(E,E) = \left\{ q \in \operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E,E); \operatorname{tr}(q) = 0 \right\}$$

be the subspace of "trace free" Hermitian endomorphisms.

In general, one cannot expect E to be  $\omega_0$ -polystable, but Uhlenbeck-Yau have shown that there always exists a smooth solution  $q_\varepsilon$  to a certain "cushioned" Hermite-Einstein equation.

To make things more precise, let  $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$  be the space of Hermitian (non necessarily positive) forms on E. Given a reference Hermitian metric  $H_0 > 0$ , let  $\operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E)$  be the space of  $H_0$ -Hermitian endomorphisms  $u \in \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$ ; denote by

 $\operatorname{Herm}(E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E), \quad q \mapsto \widetilde{q} \text{ s.t. } q(v, w) = \langle \widetilde{q}(v), w \rangle_{H_0}$  the natural isomorphism. Let also

$$\operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}^{\circ}(E,E) = \left\{ q \in \operatorname{Herm}_{H_0}(E,E) ; \operatorname{tr}(q) = 0 \right\}$$

be the subspace of "trace free" Hermitian endomorphisms. In the sequel, we fix  $H_0$  on E such that

$$\Theta_{\det E, \det H_0} = \omega_0 > 0.$$

### A basic result from Uhlenbeck and Yau

### Uhlenbeck-Yau 1986, Theorem 3.1

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there always exists a (unique) smooth Hermitian metric  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on E such that

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,q_{\varepsilon}} = \omega_0^n \otimes \left(\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{Id}_E - \varepsilon \log \widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $\widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}$  is computed with respect to  $H_0$ , and  $\log g$  denotes the logarithm of a positive Hermitian endomorphism g.

### A basic result from Uhlenbeck and Yau

### Uhlenbeck-Yau 1986, Theorem 3.1

For every  $\varepsilon>0$ , there always exists a (unique) smooth Hermitian metric  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on E such that

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,q_{\varepsilon}} = \omega_0^n \otimes \left(\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{Id}_E - \varepsilon \log \widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $\widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}$  is computed with respect to  $H_0$ , and  $\log g$  denotes the logarithm of a positive Hermitian endomorphism g.

The reason is that the term  $-\varepsilon \log \widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}$  is a "friction term" that prevents the explosion of the a priori estimates, similarly what happens for Monge-Ampère equations  $(\omega_0 + i\partial \overline{\partial} \varphi)^n = e^{\varepsilon \varphi + f} \omega_0^n$ .

### A basic result from Uhlenbeck and Yau

### Uhlenbeck-Yau 1986, Theorem 3.1

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there always exists a (unique) smooth Hermitian metric  $q_{\varepsilon}$  on E such that

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,q_{\varepsilon}} = \omega_0^n \otimes \left(\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{Id}_E - \varepsilon \log \widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $\widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}$  is computed with respect to  $H_0$ , and  $\log g$  denotes the logarithm of a positive Hermitian endomorphism g.

The reason is that the term  $-\varepsilon \log \widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}$  is a "friction term" that prevents the explosion of the a priori estimates, similarly what happens for Monge-Ampère equations  $(\omega_0 + i\partial \overline{\partial} \varphi)^n = e^{\varepsilon \varphi + f} \omega_0^n$ .

The above matrix equation is equivalent to prescribing  $\det q_{\varepsilon} = \det H_0$  and the trace free equation of rank  $(r^2 - 1)$ 

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,q_{\varepsilon}}^{\circ} = -\varepsilon \, \omega_0^n \otimes \log \widetilde{q}_{\varepsilon}.$$



### Search for an appropriate evolution equation

### General setup

In this context, given  $\alpha>0$  large enough, it is natural to search for a time dependent family of metrics  $h_t(z)$  on the fibers  $E_z$  of E,  $t\in[0,1]$ , satisfying a generalized Monge-Ampère equation

$$(D) \quad \det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}} \left( \Theta_{\mathcal{E}, h_t} + (1-t) \alpha \, \omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \right)^{1/r} = f_t \, \omega_0^n, \quad f_t > 0,$$

### Search for an appropriate evolution equation

### General setup

In this context, given  $\alpha>0$  large enough, it is natural to search for a time dependent family of metrics  $h_t(z)$  on the fibers  $E_z$  of E,  $t\in[0,1]$ , satisfying a generalized Monge-Ampère equation

(D) 
$$\det_{T_X \otimes E} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right)^{1/r} = f_t \,\omega_0^n, \quad f_t > 0,$$

and trace free, rank  $r^2 - 1$ , Hermite-Einstein conditions

$$(T) \quad \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h_t}^{\circ} = g_t$$

with smoothly varying families of functions  $f_t \in C^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{R})$ , Hermitian metrics  $\omega_t > 0$  on X and sections

$$g_t \in C^{\infty}(X, \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{n,n} T_X^* \otimes \operatorname{Herm}_{h_t}^{\circ}(E, E)), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

## Search for an appropriate evolution equation

### General setup

In this context, given  $\alpha>0$  large enough, it is natural to search for a time dependent family of metrics  $h_t(z)$  on the fibers  $E_z$  of E,  $t\in[0,1]$ , satisfying a generalized Monge-Ampère equation

(D) 
$$\det_{T_X \otimes E} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right)^{1/r} = f_t \,\omega_0^n, \quad f_t > 0,$$

and trace free, rank  $r^2 - 1$ , Hermite-Einstein conditions

$$(T) \quad \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h_t}^{\circ} = g_t$$

with smoothly varying families of functions  $f_t \in C^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{R})$ , Hermitian metrics  $\omega_t > 0$  on X and sections

$$g_t \in C^{\infty}(X, \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{n,n} T_X^* \otimes \operatorname{Herm}_{h_t}^{\circ}(E, E)), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Observe that this is a determined (not overdetermined!) system.



# Choice of the initial state (t = 0)

We start with the Uhlenbeck-Yau solution  $h_0=q_\varepsilon$  of of the "cushioned" trace free Hermite-Einstein equation, so that  $\det h_0=\det H_0$ , and take  $\alpha>0$  so large that

 $\Theta_{E,h_0} + \alpha \, \omega_0 \otimes \mathrm{Id}_E > 0$  in the sense of Nakano.

# Choice of the initial state (t = 0)

We start with the Uhlenbeck-Yau solution  $h_0=q_\varepsilon$  of of the "cushioned" trace free Hermite-Einstein equation, so that  $\det h_0=\det H_0$ , and take  $\alpha>0$  so large that

$$\Theta_{E,h_0} + \alpha \, \omega_0 \otimes \mathrm{Id}_E > 0$$
 in the sense of Nakano.

If conditions (D) and (T) can be met for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , thus without any discontinuity or explosion of the solutions  $h_t$ , we infer from (D) that

 $\Theta_{E,h_t}+(1-t)lpha\,\omega_0\otimes \mathrm{Id}_E>0$  in the sense of Nakano for all  $t\in[0,t_0].$ 

# Choice of the initial state (t = 0)

We start with the Uhlenbeck-Yau solution  $h_0=q_\varepsilon$  of of the "cushioned" trace free Hermite-Einstein equation, so that  $\det h_0=\det H_0$ , and take  $\alpha>0$  so large that

$$\Theta_{E,h_0} + \alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \mathrm{Id}_E > 0$$
 in the sense of Nakano.

If conditions (D) and (T) can be met for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , thus without any discontinuity or explosion of the solutions  $h_t$ , we infer from (D) that

 $\Theta_{E,h_t}+(1-t)lpha\,\omega_0\otimes \mathrm{Id}_E>0$  in the sense of Nakano for all  $t\in[0,t_0].$ 

#### Question

Is the maximal existence time  $t_0$  of the solution such that  $(1 - t_0)\alpha = \tau_N(E)$  (Nakano threshold of E)?

### Possible choices of the right hand side

One still has the freedom of adjusting  $f_t$ ,  $\omega_t$  and  $g_t$  in the general setup. There are in fact many possibilities:

# Possible choices of the right hand side

One still has the freedom of adjusting  $f_t$ ,  $\omega_t$  and  $g_t$  in the general setup. There are in fact many possibilities:

### **Proposition**

Let  $(E, H_0)$  be a smooth Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle such that E is ample and  $\omega_0 = \Theta_{\det E, \det H_0} > 0$ . Then the system of determinantal and trace free equations

(D) 
$$\det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right)^{1/r} = F(t,z,h_t,D_z h_t)$$

$$(T) \ \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h_t}^{\circ} = G(t,z,h_t,D_zh_t,D_z^2h_t) \in \mathrm{Herm}^{\circ}(E,E)$$

(where F > 0), is a well determined system of PDEs.

### Possible choices of the right hand side

One still has the freedom of adjusting  $f_t$ ,  $\omega_t$  and  $g_t$  in the general setup. There are in fact many possibilities:

### **Proposition**

Let  $(E, H_0)$  be a smooth Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle such that E is ample and  $\omega_0 = \Theta_{\det E, \det H_0} > 0$ . Then the system of determinantal and trace free equations

$$(D) \det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \, \omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right)^{1/r} = F(t,z,h_t,D_z h_t)$$

$$(T) \ \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h_t}^{\circ} = G(t,z,h_t,D_zh_t,D_z^2h_t) \in \mathrm{Herm}^{\circ}(E,E)$$

(where F > 0), is a well determined system of PDEs.

It is elliptic whenever the symbol  $\eta_h$  of the linearized operator  $u \mapsto DG_{D^2h}(t, z, h, Dh, D^2h) \cdot D^2u$  has an Hilbert-Schmidt norm

$$\sup_{\xi \in T_{\star}^{*}, \, |\xi|_{\omega_{t}} = 1} \|\eta_{h_{t}}(\xi)\|_{h_{t}} \leq (r^{2} + 1)^{-1/2} \, n^{-1}$$

for any metric  $h_t$  involved, e.g. if G does not depend on  $D^2h$ .

20/25

# Proof of the ellipticity

The (long, computational) proof consists of analyzing the linearized system of equations, starting from the curvature tensor formula

$$\Theta_{E,h} = i\overline{\partial}(h^{-1}\partial h) = i\overline{\partial}(\widetilde{h}^{-1}\partial_{H_0}\widetilde{h}),$$

where  $\partial_{H_0} s = H_0^{-1} \partial(H_0 s)$  is the (1,0)-component of the Chern connection on Hom(E,E) associated with  $H_0$  on E.

# Proof of the ellipticity

The (long, computational) proof consists of analyzing the linearized system of equations, starting from the curvature tensor formula

$$\Theta_{E,h} = i\overline{\partial}(h^{-1}\partial h) = i\overline{\partial}(\widetilde{h}^{-1}\partial_{H_0}\widetilde{h}),$$

where  $\partial_{H_0} s = H_0^{-1} \partial(H_0 s)$  is the (1,0)-component of the Chern connection on Hom(E,E) associated with  $H_0$  on E.

Let us recall that the ellipticity of an operator

$$P: C^{\infty}(V) \to C^{\infty}(W), \quad f \mapsto P(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} f(x)$$

means the invertibility of the principal symbol

$$\sigma_P(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha}(x) \, \xi^{\alpha} \in \mathsf{Hom}(V,W)$$

whenever  $0 \neq \xi \in T_{X,x}^*$ .

# Proof of the ellipticity

The (long, computational) proof consists of analyzing the linearized system of equations, starting from the curvature tensor formula

$$\Theta_{E,h} = i\overline{\partial}(h^{-1}\partial h) = i\overline{\partial}(\widetilde{h}^{-1}\partial_{H_0}\widetilde{h}),$$

where  $\partial_{H_0} s = H_0^{-1} \partial(H_0 s)$  is the (1,0)-component of the Chern connection on Hom(E,E) associated with  $H_0$  on E.

Let us recall that the ellipticity of an operator

$$P: C^{\infty}(V) \to C^{\infty}(W), \quad f \mapsto P(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} f(x)$$

means the invertibility of the principal symbol

$$\sigma_P(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha}(x) \, \xi^{\alpha} \in \mathsf{Hom}(V,W)$$

whenever  $0 \neq \xi \in T_{X,x}^*$ .

For instance, on the torus  $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $f\mapsto P_\lambda(f)=-\Delta f+\lambda f$  has an invertible symbol  $\sigma_{P_\lambda}(x,\xi)=-|\xi|^2$ , but  $P_\lambda$  is invertible only for  $\lambda>0$ .

### A more specific choice of the right hand side

#### Theorem

The elliptic differential system defined by

$$\det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes E} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right)^{1/r} = \left( \frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^{\lambda} a_0(z),$$

$$\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E^{\circ},h_t} = -\varepsilon \left( \frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^{\mu} (\log \widetilde{h}_t^{\circ}) \omega_0^n \quad \text{w.r.t. K\"ahler metric}$$

$$\omega_t = \frac{1}{r\alpha + 1} \operatorname{tr} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1 - t) \alpha \, \omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right) > 0,$$

possesses an invertible elliptic linearization for  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0(h_t)$  and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0(h_t)(1+\mu^2)$ , with  $\varepsilon_0(h_t)$  and  $\lambda_0(h_t)$  large enough.

### A more specific choice of the right hand side

#### **Theorem**

The elliptic differential system defined by

$$\det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes E} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right)^{1/r} = \left( \frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^{\lambda} a_0(z),$$

$$\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E^{\circ},h_t} = -\varepsilon \left( \frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^{\mu} (\log \widetilde{h}_t^{\circ}) \omega_0^n \text{ w.r.t. K\"ahler metric}$$

$$\omega_t = \frac{1}{r\alpha + 1} \operatorname{tr} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1 - t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right) > 0,$$

possesses an invertible elliptic linearization for  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0(h_t)$  and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0(h_t)(1 + \mu^2)$ , with  $\varepsilon_0(h_t)$  and  $\lambda_0(h_t)$  large enough.

### Corollary

Under the above conditions, starting from the Uhlenbeck-Yau solution  $h_0$  such that det  $h_0 = \det H_0$  at t = 0, the PDE system still has a solution for  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $t_0 > 0$  small.

### A more specific choice of the right hand side

#### **Theorem**

The elliptic differential system defined by

$$\det_{\mathcal{T}_X \otimes E} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right)^{1/r} = \left( \frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^{\lambda} a_0(z),$$

$$\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E^{\circ},h_t} = -\varepsilon \left( \frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^{\mu} (\log \widetilde{h}_t^{\circ}) \omega_0^n \text{ w.r.t. K\"ahler metric}$$

$$\omega_t = \frac{1}{r\alpha + 1} \operatorname{tr} \left( \Theta_{E,h_t} + (1 - t)\alpha \,\omega_0 \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \right) > 0,$$

possesses an invertible elliptic linearization for  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0(h_t)$  and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0(h_t)(1 + \mu^2)$ , with  $\varepsilon_0(h_t)$  and  $\lambda_0(h_t)$  large enough.

### Corollary

Under the above conditions, starting from the Uhlenbeck-Yau solution  $h_0$  such that det  $h_0 = \det H_0$  at t = 0, the PDE system still has a solution for  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $t_0 > 0$  small.

**Proof.** Compute total symbol of linearized system + linear algebra.

### The end

### Joyeuse et active retraite, Ahmed!



### References

- [Ber09] Berndtsson B.: Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations, Annals of Math., 169 (2009), 531–560.
- [Dem21] Demailly J.-P: Hermitian-Yang-Mills approach to the conjecture of Griffiths on the positivity of ample vector bundles, Mat. Sbornik 212 (2021) 39–53.
- [Fin20a] Finski, S. On characteristic forms of positive vector bundles, mixed discriminants and pushforward identities, arXiv:2009.13107.
- [Fin20b] Finski, S. On Monge-Ampère volumes of direct images arXiv:2010.01839.
- [LSY13] Liu, Kefeng, Sun, Xiaofeng, Yang, Xiaokui: Positivity and vanishing theorems for ample vector bundles, J. Algebraic Geom. 22 (2013), 303-331.

# References (continued)

- [Pin20] Pingali, V.P.: A vector bundle version of the Monge-Ampère equation, Adv. in Math. 360 (2020), 40 pages, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2019.106921.
- [Pin21] Pingali, V.P.: A note on Demailly's approach towards a conjecture of Griffiths, arXiv:2102.02496 [math.DG], to appear in Comptes Rendus Math. Acad. Sc. Paris.
- [UhY86] Uhlenbeck, K., Yau, S.T.: On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 39 (1986) 258–293.
- [Ume73] Umemura, H.: Some results in the theory of vector bundles, Nagoya Math. J. **52** (1973), 97–128.