

## JEAN-PIERRE WINTENBERGER

Grenoble

Pierre Colmez

Jean-Pierre Wintenberger did his thèse de 3-ième cycle and his thèse d'État in Grenoble, under the supervision of Jean-Marc Fontaine. This is where I met him for the first time. I spent two years (1985-87) in Grenoble for my PhD : my thesis problem had been given to me by John Coates who was then Professor at Orsay, but I had ended up in Grenoble, with Fontaine as an official adviser, by some bizarre twist. My first year there was rather miserable as my thesis (about complex  $L$ -functions) had a big gap. The second year was much more fun: Fontaine had just returned from his year at Minneapolis where he was collaborating with William Messing on their proof [6] of Fontaine's  $C_{\text{cris}}$  conjecture [3] on periods of  $p$ -adic algebraic varieties with good reduction, and everybody was speaking of  $p$ -adic periods (including me: I was fantasizing about a product formula for these numbers, analogous to the product formula for rational numbers, and most of what was being discussed found its way in the output [1] of my fantasies). Roland Gillard [8] had just proved, in the case of ordinary reduction, a  $p$ -adic analog of Shimura's multiplicative relations between periods of CM abelian varieties (a vast generalization of the celebrated Chowla-Selberg formula expressing periods of elliptic curves with complex multiplication in terms of values of the  $\Gamma$ -function at rational arguments – the simplest formula of this type being  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^3-x}} = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)\Gamma(1/2)}{2\Gamma(3/4)}$ ) using methods introduced by Gross [9] in his geometric proof of the Chowla-Selberg formula. Wintenberger had started to attack the general case which is more difficult as the periods do not live in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  (the completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ) anymore, but in the bigger (and much scarier) ring  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$  of  $p$ -adic periods, constructed by Fontaine [3]. I remember him telling me one day that  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$  lives in families (i.e., you have relative  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$ 's over bases) and  $p$ -adic periods of a family satisfy the same kind of differential equations as complex periods; his remark played a big role in my work with Fontaine [2] some 12 years later. He completed half of this project, namely the definition of the  $p$ -adic periods of a family of Abelian varieties [18], but part II announced in the introduction of that paper never materialised which is a pity.

At the time, Wintenberger had already a solid reputation in the field. He had developed, with Fontaine [7, 15], the Field of Norms theory which attaches to any “reasonable” infinite extension  $L$  of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers, a characteristic  $p$  field  $X(L)$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_q((T))$  for some  $q = p^f$ . The association  $L \mapsto X(L)$  looks very strange at first (see the formula for the addition law below), but it is functorial, and provides a bridge between the absolute Galois groups of finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and those of finite extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_p((T))$ . The Field of Norms theory is the fundation upon which rests the powerful theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules of Fontaine [4] which gives a description of all  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -representations of these absolute Galois groups; it is also the 0-dimensional case of Scholze’s tilting equivalence [13] between characteristics 0 and  $p$ .

Important examples of reasonable infinite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are the cyclotomic extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$ , the Kummer extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})$ , or extensions fixed by the kernel of representations  $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  “coming from geometry” (i.e., from the étale cohomology of algebraic varieties defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  or its finite extensions). The case of the cyclotomic extension gives a dévissage of the absolute Galois group  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with the following shape: one has a natural exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{F}_p((T))} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^* \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $G_{\mathbb{F}_p((T))}$  is the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_p((T))$ . A reasonable infinite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  can be written as an increasing union of finite extensions  $L_n$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $X(L)$  is the set of sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $x_n \in L_n$  and  $N_{L_{n+1}/L_n}(x_{n+1}) = x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $X(L)$  is turned into a field of characteristic  $p$ , by setting  $(x_n) + (y_n) = (s_n)$  and  $(x_n)(y_n) = (t_n)$ , with

$$t_n = x_n y_n \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_{L_{n+k}/L_n}(x_{n+k} + y_{n+k})$$

(that the limit exists is the non trivial part of this construction and uses crucially the fact that the extension is reasonable).

Another striking contribution was his construction [16] of a natural splitting of the Hodge filtration for varieties over a  $p$ -adic field. If  $X$  is a smooth projective algebraic variety of dimension  $d$  defined over a characteristic 0 field  $K$ , Grothendieck has defined its algebraic de Rham cohomology  $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^\bullet(X/K)$  by means of algebraic differential forms. The  $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X/K)$ ’s are finite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces which vanish for  $i > 2d$ , and are endowed with a decreasing filtration – the Hodge filtration – by sub- $K$ -vector spaces. If  $K$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_K H_{\mathrm{dR}}^\bullet(X/K)$  is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of the  $2d$ -dimensional differentiable manifold  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , and Hodge theory

provides a description of  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_K H_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(X/K)$  in terms of harmonic forms which, in turn, induces a canonical splitting of the Hodge filtration on  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_K H_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(X/K)$  (but not on  $H_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(X/K)$  itself as this splitting usually involves complex numbers which are transcendental over  $K$ ).

Now, if  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , there is nothing like harmonic forms at our disposal (at least, up to now). But Wintenberger managed to define a natural splitting of the Hodge filtration in the case where  $X$  has “good reduction modulo  $p$ ” and  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified. In that case the cohomology of  $X$  is controled by that of its reduction and the morphism  $x \mapsto x^p$  that exists in characteristic  $p$  – the Frobenius morphism – induces a morphism  $\varphi$  on the  $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X/K)$ ’s. Hence  $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X/K)$  is what Fontaine calls a filtered  $\varphi$ -module (i.e., a  $K$  vector space with a  $\varphi$  and a filtration). Now,  $p$ -adic Hodge theory (nothing to do with harmonic forms) implies that this filtered  $\varphi$ -module has special properties: there exists an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattice  $M$  (with  $\mathcal{O}_K$  the ring of integers of  $K$ ) such that  $\varphi$  is divisible by  $p^i$  on  $M \cap \text{Fil}^i$  and  $M = \sum_i p^{-i} \varphi(M \cap \text{Fil}^i)$  (such a lattice is said to be strongly divisible). Wintenberger’s result is a linear algebra result concerning these filtered  $\varphi$ -modules admitting a strongly divisible lattice, and there is no geometry involved. This result has remained a mystery: is there a theory of  $p$ -adic harmonic forms that would explain the existence of this natural splitting? Does this splitting exist without assuming  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  to be unramified or  $X$  to have good reduction?

Wintenberger was interested in this splitting for the construction [17] of special representations  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  of the absolute Galois group  $G_K$  of  $K$  with  $\rho(G_K)$  open in a given algebraic subgroup of  $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  (some kind of inverse Galois problem for finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ): Fontaine-Laffaille theory [5] allows to translate the problem in terms of  $\varphi$ -modules admitting a strongly divisible lattice. This was not the last time that Wintenberger used his splitting for questions related to representations of Galois groups (see e.g. [19]).

I did not really follow very closely what he was doing later on, after he took a position in Strasbourg, and I was amazed to discover, at a conference that he organised in Strasbourg about Serre’s conjecture [14] on the modularity of mod  $p$  representations of the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , that he was actually proving, in collaboration with Chandrashekhar Khare [10, 11, 12], this very conjecture (a dream of quite a few number theorists at the time)! He had been thinking about a strategy to attack it for a long time...

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. COLMEZ, Périodes des variétés abéliennes à multiplication complexe. Ann. of Maths **138** (1993), 625–683.
- [2] P. COLMEZ, J.-M. FONTAINE, Construction des représentations  $p$ -adiques semi-stables, Invent. math. **140** (2000), 1–43.
- [3] J.-M. FONTAINE, Sur certains types de représentations  $p$ -adiques du groupe de Galois d'un corps local; construction d'un anneau de Barsotti-Tate, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), 529–577.
- [4] J.-M. FONTAINE, Représentations  $p$ -adiques des corps locaux. I, in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, Progr. Math. **87** (1990) 249–309, Birkhäuser.
- [5] J.-M. FONTAINE, G. LAFFAILLE, Constructions de représentations  $p$ -adiques, Ann. ENS **15** (1982), 547–608.
- [6] J.-M. FONTAINE, W. MESSING,  $p$ -adic periods and  $p$ -adic étale cohomology, *Current Trends in Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry* Contemporary Math. **67**, AMS, 1987, 179–207.
- [7] J.-M. FONTAINE, J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Le corps des normes de certaines extensions algébriques de corps locaux, C.R.A.S. **288** (1979) 367–370.
- [8] R. GILLARD, Relations monomiales entre périodes  $p$ -adiques, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 355–381.
- [9] B. GROSS, On the periods of abelian integrals and a formula of Chowla and Selberg, Invent. Math. **45** (1978), 193–211.
- [10] C. KHARE, J.-P. WINTENBERGER, On Serre's conjecture for 2-dimensional mod  $p$  representations of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ , Annals of Math. **169** (2009), 229–253.
- [11] C. KHARE, J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Serre's modularity conjecture (I), Invent. math. **178** (2009), 485–504.
- [12] C. KHARE, J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Serre's modularity conjecture (II), Invent. math. **178** (2009), 505–586.
- [13] P. SCHOLZE, Perfectoid spaces, Publ. IHES **116** (2012), 245–313.
- [14] J.-P. SERRE, Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ , Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 179–230.
- [15] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies des corps locaux; applications, Ann. ENS **16** (1983), 59–89.
- [16] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Un scindage de la filtration de Hodge pour certaines variétés algébriques sur les corps locaux, Ann. of Math. **119** (1984), 511–548.
- [17] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Groupes algébriques associés à certaines représentations  $p$ -adiques, Amer. J. Math. **108** (1986), 1425–1466.
- [18] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Théorème de comparaison  $p$ -adique pour les schémas abéliens. I, Construction de l'accouplement de périodes, Astérisque **223** (1994), 349–397.
- [19] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, Relèvement selon une isogénie de systèmes  $\ell$ -adiques de représentations galoisiennes associés aux motifs, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), 215–240.