

A family of K3 surfaces and ... (3).  
Beukers, F.; Peters, C.A.M.  
Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik  
Volume 351 / 1984 / Article



## Nutzungsbedingungen

DigiZeitschriften e.V. gewährt ein nicht exklusives, nicht übertragbares, persönliches und beschränktes Recht auf Nutzung dieses Dokuments. Dieses Dokument ist ausschließlich für den persönlichen, nicht kommerziellen Gebrauch bestimmt. Das Copyright bleibt bei den Herausgebern oder sonstigen Rechteinhabern. Als Nutzer sind Sie nicht dazu berechtigt, eine Lizenz zu übertragen, zu transferieren oder an Dritte weiter zu geben.

Die Nutzung stellt keine Übertragung des Eigentumsrechts an diesem Dokument dar und gilt vorbehaltlich der folgenden Einschränkungen: Sie müssen auf sämtlichen Kopien dieses Dokuments alle Urheberrechtshinweise und sonstigen Hinweise auf gesetzlichen Schutz beibehalten; und Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht in irgend einer Weise abändern, noch dürfen Sie dieses Dokument für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen; es sei denn, es liegt Ihnen eine schriftliche Genehmigung von DigiZeitschriften e.V. und vom Herausgeber oder sonstigen Rechteinhaber vor.

Mit dem Gebrauch von DigiZeitschriften e.V. und der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

## Terms of use

DigiZeitschriften e.V. grants the non-exclusive, non-transferable, personal and restricted right of using this document. This document is intended for the personal, non-commercial use. The copyright belongs to the publisher or to other copyright holders. You do not have the right to transfer a licence or to give it to a third party.

Use does not represent a transfer of the copyright of this document, and the following restrictions apply:

You must abide by all notices of copyright or other legal protection for all copies taken from this document; and You may not change this document in any way, nor may you duplicate, exhibit, display, distribute or use this document for public or commercial reasons unless you have the written permission of DigiZeitschriften e.V. and the publisher or other copyright holders.

By using DigiZeitschriften e.V. and this document you agree to the conditions of use.

## Kontakt / Contact

DigiZeitschriften e.V.

Papendiek 14

37073 Goettingen

Email: [digizeitschriften@sub.uni-goettingen.de](mailto:digizeitschriften@sub.uni-goettingen.de)

# A family of $K3$ surfaces and $\zeta(3)$

By *F. Beukers* and *C. A. M. Peters* at Leiden

---

## 1. Introduction

Let

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2,$$
$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m^3} + \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n}{m} \binom{n+m}{m}} \right\} \quad (n=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

It was R. Apéry's surprising discovery, that  $\frac{b_n}{a_n}$  tends to  $\zeta(3) = \sum_1^{\infty} m^{-3}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and the convergence is fast enough to prove irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$ , see [P]. Another remarkable discovery of Apéry is, that  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(1) \quad (n+1)^3 u_{n+1} = (34n^3 + 51n^2 + 27n + 5)u_n - n^3 u_{n-1}$$

(see [P]). The first few terms of  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are given by

$$\{a_n\} = 1, 5, 73, 1445, 33001, 819005, \dots,$$

$$\{b_n\} = 0, 6, \frac{351}{4}, \dots$$

Consider the generating functions

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad \mathcal{B}(t) = \sum_0^{\infty} b_n t^n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) \zeta(3) - \mathcal{B}(t).$$

As a consequence of (1) these functions satisfy the differential equations

$$(2) \quad L\mathcal{A}(t) = 0, \quad L\mathcal{B}(t) = 5, \quad L\mathcal{R}(t) = -5$$

where  $L$  is the differential operator given by

$$(3) \quad L = (t^4 - 34t^3 + t^2) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^3 + (6t^3 - 153t^2 + 3t) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^2 + (7t^2 - 112t + 1) \frac{d}{dt} + (t - 5).$$

There has been a strong suspicion that the equation  $Ly=0$  and a similar equation corresponding to Apéry's irrationality proof for  $\zeta(2)$ , "must come from algebraic geometry". For the equation corresponding to  $\zeta(2)$  the first author has shown that it is indeed the Picard-Fuchs equation of a modular family of elliptic curves [Be2]. In this paper we prove that  $Ly=0$  is in fact the Picard-Fuchs equation of the family of algebraic surfaces given by

$$(4) \quad 1 - (1 - XY)Z - tXYZ(1 - X)(1 - Y)(1 - Z) = 0$$

where  $t$  is the parameter of the family. For the definition of Picard-Fuchs equation, Gauss-Manin connexion, etc. see [Ka 1,2]. The central result of this paper is that, for general  $t$ , a surface given by (4) is birationally equivalent to a  $K3$  surface with Picard number 19.

Before stating the Main Theorem and its consequences in section 3 we give a brief overview of the terminology concerning  $K3$  surfaces in section 2. In section 4 we then prove the Main Theorem.

We close this introduction with a word of apology to the reader who is not well versed in algebraic geometry. In order not to lose the number theoretical reader too soon, we have tried to give the proofs in an extensive manner with references for further reading. Furthermore, we hope that the Main Theorem and its Corollaries contain sufficiently little geometry in order to pass their content also to the non-geometer.

## 2. Some properties of $K3$ surfaces

A  $K3$  surface  $X$  can be defined as a compact, simply connected non-singular complex-analytic surface having a trivial canonical class. Examples of such surfaces are given by non singular surfaces of degree 4 in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , or by twofold coverings of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branched along a nonsingular curve of degree 6.

Since  $X$  is simply connected the cohomology groups  $H^i(X, \mathbb{C})$  are trivial for  $i=1, 3$  and have rank 1 if  $i=0, 4$ . The group  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank 22. Endowed with the bilinear symmetric form  $\langle, \rangle$  coming from the cup product, it becomes a unimodular lattice of signature (3, 19). Up to a scalar multiple, there is a unique holomorphic 2-form  $\omega_X$  on  $X$ . The cohomology class  $[\omega_X]$  of  $X$  spans the subspace  $H^{2,0}(X, \mathbb{C})$  of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  and satisfies the well-known relations  $\langle [\omega_X], [\omega_X] \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle [\omega_X], [\bar{\omega}_X] \rangle > 0$ .

The collection of all  $K3$  surfaces is parametrised by a connected 20-dimensional manifold  $M$ . However, the algebraic  $K3$  surfaces form a countable union of 19-dimensional irreducible subspaces. Furthermore, those algebraic  $K3$  surfaces having  $k$  or more divisors independent in homology form a dense countable union of subvarieties of dimension  $20-k$  inside  $M$ .

Let  $X$  be an algebraic  $K3$  surface. The subgroup of  $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  generated by the divisors of  $X$  is the Néron-Severi group of  $X$  and its rank is called the Picard number of  $X$ , denoted by  $\rho(X)$ . We have that  $\rho(X) \leq h^{1,1} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C}) = 20$ .  $K3$  surfaces with  $\rho(X)=20$  form a discrete countable family. They were classified in [Sh1]. In this paper we shall be interested in a (non constant) family of  $K3$  surfaces with Picard num-

ber 19 which arises naturally in connection with Apéry's irrationality proof of  $\zeta(3)$ . From the foregoing it follows that its parameterset maps to one of the countably many irreducible curves in  $M$  corresponding to  $K3$ 's with Picard number  $\geq 19$ .

For more information on  $K3$  surfaces one may consult for example [GH], p. 590, [BPV], Ch. VIII or [LP].

### 3. The results

Let  $a_n$  be the numbers defined in the introduction. Let  $P_n(x)$  be the polynomial of degree  $n$  given by

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n x^n (1-x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} (-x)^k.$$

Notice that

$$a_n = \sum \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1}{x} P_n(x) P_n\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx.$$

By Cauchy's residue theorem the latter integral equals

$$-\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{\substack{|x|=1 \\ |y|=2}} \frac{P_n(x) P_n(y)}{1-xy} dx dy$$

and this equals

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^3 \int_{\substack{|x|=1 \\ |y|=2 \\ |z|=2}} \frac{P_n(x) P_n(y)}{1-(1-xy)z} dx dy dz.$$

Following the same arguments as in [Be 1, p. 271] we see that this integral equals

$$a_n = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^3 \int_S \frac{X^n (1-X)^n Y^n (1-Y)^n Z^n (1-Z)^n}{(1-(1-XY)Z)^{n+1}} dX dY dZ$$

where the integration is over a suitable 3-dimensional closed integration area  $S$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^3 \int_S \frac{dX dY dZ}{1-(1-XY)Z - tXYZ(1-X)(1-Y)(1-Z)}.$$

Denote the projective algebraic surface with affine equation

$$1 - (1-XY)Z - tXYZ(1-X)(1-Y)(1-Z) = 0$$

by  $S_t$ , and denote the differential form in the expression for  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  by  $\Omega_t$ . The surface  $S_t$  is exactly the polar locus of  $\Omega_t$ . A straightforward computation shows that the three lines at infinity given by  $X=0$ ,  $Y=0$ ,  $Z=0$  respectively, consist of singular points of  $S_t$ . If  $t \notin \{0, \infty, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4\}$  there are no other singular points on  $S_t$ . If  $t=0$  or  $\infty$  then  $S_t$  becomes reducible. If  $t = (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4$ , the surface  $S_t$  acquires a finite double point.

In retrospect, we have proved the following,

**Proposition.** *Let  $S_t, \Omega_t$  be as defined above. Then there exists a closed real three-dimensional area  $S$  in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus S_t$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(t) = (2\pi i)^{-3} \int_S \Omega_t$ . Moreover, by (2),  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  satisfies the differential equation  $L\mathcal{A} = 0$ .*

We now show that the integral  $\int_S \Omega_t$  where  $S$  is a closed three dimensional area in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus S_t$ , is in fact a period of a two form on  $S_t$ . To this end we use the procedure described in Griffiths [Gr], p. 470. In this procedure we rely on the fact that as a consequence of Stokes' theorem,  $\int_S \Omega_t$  depends only on the homology class of  $S$  in  $H_3(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus S_t, \mathbb{Z})$ . We now shrink  $S$  to a small tube around a 2-cycle on  $S_t$  as follows. Write  $S = \partial R$ , where  $R$  is a 4-chain in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . We may assume that  $R$  is in general position with respect to  $S_t$  and thus  $R$  meets  $S_t$  transversely in a 2-cycle  $\gamma$  lying on  $S_t$  (since  $\partial(R \cdot S_t) = \partial R \cdot S_t \pm R \cdot \partial S_t = 0$ ). Let  $T_\varepsilon(\gamma)$  be the set of points  $z$  of  $R$  with distance  $(z, \gamma) < \varepsilon$ . Because of transversality, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  a point  $z$  in  $T_\varepsilon(\gamma)$  is given uniquely by a pair  $(v, w)$  where  $v$  lies on  $\gamma$  and  $w$  belongs to the normal  $\varepsilon$ -disc to  $S_t$  at  $v$ . Thus  $T_\varepsilon(\gamma)$  is a solid tube of discs surrounding  $\gamma$ . The boundary  $\partial T_\varepsilon(\gamma) = \tau_\varepsilon(\gamma)$  is a family of disjoint circles lying in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus S_t$  and parametrised by  $\gamma$ . Clearly

$$\partial(R - T_\varepsilon(\gamma)) = S - \tau_\varepsilon(\gamma)$$

so that  $S$  and  $\tau_\varepsilon(\gamma)$  are in the same homology class. We call  $\tau_\varepsilon(\gamma)$  the  $\varepsilon$ -tube lying over  $\gamma$ , and  $\tau(\gamma)$  will be any  $\tau_\varepsilon(\gamma)$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. Thus we have, to every  $S \in H_3(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus S_t, \mathbb{Z})$  corresponds a finite 2-cycle  $\gamma \in H_2(\mathbb{C}^3 \cap S_t, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$\int_S \Omega_t = \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \Omega_t.$$

By the Poincaré residue theorem we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau(\gamma)} \Omega_t &= 2\pi i \int_\gamma \frac{1}{2} \frac{dX \wedge dZ}{\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \{1 - (1 - XY)Z - tXYZ(1 - X)(1 - Y)(1 - Z)\}} \\ &= \pi i \int_\gamma \frac{dX \wedge dZ}{XZ(1 - t(1 - X)(1 - Z)(1 - 2Y))}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the (rational) 2-form  $\omega_t$  on  $S_t$  by

$$(5) \quad \omega_t = \left. \frac{dX \wedge dZ}{XZ(1 - t(1 - X)(1 - Z)(1 - 2Y))} \right|_{S_t}.$$

We now state our

**Main theorem.** *Let  $t \notin \{0, 1, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4, \infty\}$ . Then,*

i) *The algebraic surface  $S_t$  given by*

$$1 - (1 - XY)Z - tXYZ(1 - X)(1 - Y)(1 - Z) = 0$$

*is birationally equivalent to a K3 surface  $X_t$ .*

ii) The form  $\omega_t$  given by (5) is, apart from a scalar factor, the unique holomorphic 2-form on  $X_t$ .

iii) The Picard number satisfies:  $\rho(X_t) \geq 19$  and equality holds for all but countably many  $t$ .

Before proving this theorem in section 4 we state and prove two corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** The periods  $\int \omega_t$ , where  $\gamma \in H_2(S_t, \mathbb{Z})$ , span the space of solutions of the differential equation  $Ly=0$ , where  $L$  is the linear differential operator defined in (3).

**Corollary 2.** Three solutions of  $Ly=0$  around  $t=0$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= \mathcal{A}(t), \\ y_1 &= \mathcal{A}(t) \log t - 3 - 3t - 9t^2 + 103t^3 + 5822t^4 + \dots, \\ y_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}(t) \log^2 t + y_1 \log t - 36t - 558t^2 - 11154t^3 - 255225t^4 - \dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  is the generating function defined in the introduction. Moreover, they satisfy the relation

$$2y_0y_2 + 9y_0^2 = y_1^2.$$

**Remark.** Although the expansions of  $y_1, y_2$  in powers of  $t$  seem to suggest it, not all coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

For the proof of Corollary 1 we need a

**Lemma.** Let  $L$  be the differential operator defined in (3). Then

i)  $L$  is irreducible, that is,  $L$  cannot be written as the product of two differential operators of order  $\geq 1$  with rational coefficients.

ii) the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space spanned by all branches obtained by analytic continuation of a nontrivial solution of  $Ly=0$  has dimension 3.

*Proof.* i) Notice that  $Ly=0$  is a Fuchsian differential equation with local exponents  $(0, 0, 0)$  at  $t=0$ ,  $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$  at  $t=(\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4$  and  $(1, 1, 1)$  at  $t=\infty$ . For the definition of "Fuchsian", local exponent, etc. see Ince [In], Ch. XV. Suppose  $L$  can be written as  $L=L_1 \cdot L_2$  where  $L_1, L_2$  are linear differential operators of order  $\geq 1$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$ . It is clear that the local exponents of  $L_2y=0$  at  $p$  form a subset of those for  $Ly=0$ . Moreover, the non-apparent singularities of  $L_2y=0$  form a subset of  $\{0, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4, \infty\}$ . We now recall Fuchs' formula [In], Ch. XV

$$(6) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})} \left\{ \sigma_p - \frac{1}{2} k(k-1) \right\} = -k(k-1)$$

where  $k$  is the order of a Fuchsian differential equation and  $\sigma_p$  is the sum of its local exponents at  $p$ . Only for the singularities of the differential equation the summand is non-zero. We apply this formula to  $L_2y=0$ . First let  $k = \text{order of } L_2$  be one. Then (6) implies  $\sum_p \sigma_p = 0$ . However  $\sigma_\infty = 1$  and since  $\sigma_p \geq 0$  for all other  $p$ , we arrive at a con-

tradition. Now let  $k = \text{order of } L_2$  be two. Then (6) implies  $\sum (\sigma_p - 1) = -2$ . We now have  $\sigma_\infty = 2$ ,  $\sigma_p \geq \frac{1}{2}$  for  $p = (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4$ ,  $\sigma_0 = 0$  and  $\sigma_p - 1 \geq 0$  for all other  $p$ . Hence  $1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - 1\right) + (-1) \leq -2$ , which is clearly a contradiction. We thus conclude that  $L$  is irreducible.

ii) Let  $w$  be a non-trivial solution of  $Ly = 0$  such that its branches obtained by analytic continuation span a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space  $V$  of dimension  $< 3$ . Then  $w$  must satisfy a linear differential equation  $\tilde{L}y = 0$  of order  $< 3$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ . Choose the order of  $\tilde{L}$  minimal. Then, by application of a euclidean algorithm to  $L$  and  $\tilde{L}$  where we use the order as a norm, we find that we must have  $L = L' \cdot \tilde{L}$  for a certain  $L' \in \mathbb{C}(t) \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \right]$ . According to part i) of this Lemma this is impossible, hence  $V$  must have dimension 3, as asserted.

*Proof of Corollary 1.*  $X_t$  is a nonsingular model of  $S_t$  and we denote by  $\omega_t$  the unique holomorphic 2-form on  $X_t$ . By Proposition 1 we know that there exists a  $\gamma_0 \in H_2(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\int_{\gamma_0} \omega_t$  is not identically zero and it satisfies the differential equation  $Ly = 0$ . The transforms of  $\int_{\gamma_0} \omega_t$  obtained by analytic continuation are all of the form  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_t$ ,  $\gamma \in H_2(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, by our Lemma they span the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space of solutions of  $Ly = 0$ .

Since  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_t = 0$  if  $\gamma$  is an algebraic 2-cycle, we conclude that there must be three 2-cycles  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ , which are linearly independent modulo algebraic cycles and such that  $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega_t$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) forms a basis of the solutions of  $Ly = 0$ . Since  $\rho(X_t) = 19$ , we can complete  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $H_2(X_t, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  by adding 19 algebraic 2-cycles  $\gamma_4, \dots, \gamma_{22}$ . Now let  $\gamma \in H_2(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$  be arbitrary. Then  $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{22} \lambda_i \gamma_i$ , for certain  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and hence  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_t = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i \int_{\gamma_i} \omega_t$ . Thus, any period  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_t$  satisfies  $Ly = 0$ .

*Proof of Corollary 2.* The fact that  $y_0, y_1, y_2$  satisfy  $Ly = 0$  can be checked by a simple substitution and the fact that  $L\mathcal{A}(t) = 0$ .

For the second statement we use the dual isomorphism between  $H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_2(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$  where  $\int \omega$  is the dual pairing on  $H_2(X_t, \mathbb{Q}) \times H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$ . On  $H_2(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$  we have the symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  which is given by the intersection between 2-cycles. It is the dual of the quadratic form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\gamma_4, \gamma_5, \dots, \gamma_{22}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of the algebraic 2-cycles. Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of the orthogonal complement of the algebraic 2-cycles. Thus we have  $(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $j = 4, 5, \dots, 22$  and the matrix  $(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)_{i,j=1}$  is non-trivial and symmetrical.

By duality the relation  $\langle \omega_t, \omega_t \rangle = 0$  implies

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^{22} \left( \int_{\gamma_i} \omega_t \int_{\gamma_j} \omega_t \right) (\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = 0.$$

Since  $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega_t = 0$  for algebraic  $\gamma_i$ , (7) reduces to

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \left( \int_{\gamma_i} \omega_t \int_{\gamma_j} \omega_t \right) (\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = 0.$$

The matrix  $(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)_{i,j=1}^3$  is symmetric, non-trivial and locally constant with respect to  $t$ . Therefore the quadratic relation (8) between the periods  $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega_t$  is non-trivial and has locally constant coefficients. By the previous corollary we know that  $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega_t$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of  $y_0, y_1, y_2$  and thus the  $y_i$  satisfy a non-trivial homogeneous quadratic relation with coefficients which are locally independent of  $t$ , hence constant. By comparison of the expressions for  $y_i$  one easily derives the desired relationship.

**Remark.** We can paraphrase the preceding argument as follows. Considering  $t$  as an affine coordinate on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , the equation (4) defines a (singular) threefold  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . The fibres of the morphism  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  induced by the projection are exactly the  $S_t$ 's.

Replacing  $S$  by a suitable non-singular model  $X$ , we get a morphism  $\zeta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , which over  $P = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4, \infty\}$  is a family of K3-surfaces. There exist 19 divisors on  $X$  flat over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  such that for each of the K3-surfaces  $X_t$  ( $t \in P$ ) they span a rank 19 sublattice  $N_t \subset H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$  invariant under monodromy. So the  $N_t$ 's form a subsystem of the locally constant sheaf  $\{H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Z})\}$ . If we lift the family  $\{X_t\}$  to the universal cover  $\tilde{P}$  of  $P$  we may at the same time identify the  $H^2(X_u, \mathbb{Z})$ 's ( $u \in \tilde{P}$ ):  $H^2(X_u, \mathbb{Z}) = H$  and  $N_u := N \subset H$ . The orthogonal complement  $T = N^\perp$  of  $N$  inside  $H$  is of rank 3. The line in  $H \otimes \mathbb{C}$  spanned by the cohomology class of the holomorphic 2-form  $\omega_u$  remains inside  $T \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . So the corresponding point in  $\mathbb{P}(H \otimes \mathbb{C})$  remains inside the projective 2-plane  $\mathbb{P}(T \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{P}(H \otimes \mathbb{C})$ . Since the equation  $\langle X, X \rangle = 0$  determines a non-singular quadric in  $\mathbb{P}(H \otimes \mathbb{C})$ , the relations  $\langle [\omega_u], [\omega_u] \rangle = 0$  ( $u \in \tilde{P}$ ) imply that, when  $u$  varies over  $\tilde{P}$  the point corresponding to  $[\omega_u]$  in  $\mathbb{P}(H \otimes \mathbb{C})$  varies over a non-singular conic in the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}(T \otimes \mathbb{C})$ . It is this conic whose equation is given in the preceding corollary (with respect to a suitable basis for  $T \otimes \mathbb{C}$ ).

#### 4. Proof of the main theorem

The surface  $S_t$  has an affine equation

$$1 - (1 - XY)Z - tXYZ(1 - X)(1 - Y)(1 - Z) = 0.$$

Under the birational transformation of  $\mathbb{P}_3$  given by

$$X = 1 + x, \quad Z = 1 + \frac{1}{y}, \quad Y = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{y + z_1}{xt} \right)$$

we obtain a model with affine equation

$$4txy = (x + 1)(y + 1)(z_1^2 - (xt - y)^2),$$



but a more suitable model is obtained after the birational substitution

$$x = \frac{u}{1-u}, \quad y = \frac{v}{1-v}, \quad z_1 = \frac{z}{(1-u)(1-v)},$$

namely

$$(9) \quad z^2 = 4tuv(1-u)^2(1-v)^2 + (u(1-v)t - v(1-u))^2.$$

The form  $\omega_t$  defined by (5) transforms into

$$(10) \quad \omega_t = \frac{du \wedge dv}{z}.$$

Reformulating these results more geometrically, we have:

*The surface  $S_t$  is birationally equivalent to a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}_2$  branched along a sextic curve  $C_t$ , whose equation is given by the right hand side of (9). The two-form  $\omega_t$  transforms into (10).*

It is well-known (see e.g. [GH], p. 593) that a surface, which is a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}_2$  branched along a smooth sextic curve is nothing but a *K3* surface and the form (10) is a regular 2-form on it. In our case  $C_t$  has singularities. We will show that for  $t \notin \{0, 1, \infty, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4\}$  all of them are “simple”, i.e. of *A-D-E* type (see [BPV], Ch. II. 8). These singularities are therefore harmless, the double cover of  $\mathbb{P}_2$  branched along  $C_t$  has only *A-D-E* singularities. So the minimal resolution is a *K3* surface and the form  $\omega_t$  is still regular on it (see [BPV], Ch. III. 7).

In order to complete the proof of the main theorem we only have to investigate the singularities of the curve

$$C_t = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{P}_2; 4tuv(w-u)^2(w-v)^2 + w^2(u(w-v)t - v(w-u))^2 = 0\}$$

and to determine the Picard number of the *K3* surface

$$X_t = \text{minimal resolution of singularities of the double cover of } \mathbb{P}_2 \text{ branched along } C_t.$$

(i) *Singularities of  $C_t$  ( $t \notin \{0, 1, \infty, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4\}$ ).* The points  $P_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $P_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ ,  $P_3 = (0, 0, 1)$  and  $P_4 = (1, 1, 1)$  are seen to be singular on  $C_t$ . Using for example the procedure of [BPV], Ch. II. 8, suitable local analytic coordinates can be found such that the equation of  $C_t$  near the points  $P_j$  has a particularly simple form. We omit these calculations, but state the result in the following table. See also Fig. 1.

singular point	Normal form	Type	Tangent lines
$P_1 = (1, 0, 0)$	$y(x^2 - y^3)$	$D_5$	$v - w = 0$ (cuspidal), $v = 0$
$P_2 = (0, 1, 0)$	$y(x^2 - y^3)$	$D_5$	$u - w = 0$ (cuspidal), $u = 0$
$P_3 = (0, 0, 1)$	$x^2 - y^3$	$A_2$ (cusp)	$ut + v = 0$
$P_4 = (1, 1, 1)$	$x^2 - y^4$	$A_3$ (tacnode)	$(v - w)t - (u - w) = 0$




Figure 1

Notice that, except for  $P_3P_4$ , all lines connecting two singular points  $P_i, P_j$  intersect  $C_t$  only in these singular points. We claim that no other singularities are present. To establish this, we show first of all that

$C_t$  is a (variable) elliptic curve for all  $t \notin (0, 1, \infty, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4)$ .

We first go back to  $(x, y, t)$ -space. The curve  $C_t \subset (z=0)$  is given by

$$4txy + (x+1)(y+1)(xt-y)^2 = 0.$$

By the substitution  $y = u_1x$  this curve is transformed into

$$4tu_1 + (x+1)(u_1x+1)(u_1-t)^2 = 0.$$

After the substitution  $x_1 = u_1(t-u_1)x$  we obtain

$$x_1^2 + x_1 \{(t-u_1)(u_1+1)\} + u_1(t+u_1)^2 = 0.$$

Finally, upon completing the square, we find with  $X = u_1$  and  $Y = x_1 + \frac{1}{2}(t-u_1)(u_1+1)$ ,

$$4Y^2 = (X-1)^2(X-t)^2 - 16tX^2.$$

This exhibits  $C_t$  as a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  branched at the four points

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}(1+t+4\sqrt{t} \pm (1+\sqrt{t})\sqrt{1+t+6\sqrt{t}}), \\ \frac{1}{2}(1+t-4\sqrt{t} \pm (1-\sqrt{t})\sqrt{1+t-6\sqrt{t}}). \end{array} \right.$$

These four points are distinct, precisely when  $t \notin \{0, 1, \infty, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4\}$ , so for these values  $C_t$  is elliptic, as claimed.

Secondly, we use the genus formula for plane curves  $C$  of degree  $d$  (cf. [BK], Ch. 9.2)

$$g(C) = \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2) - \sum_p \frac{\mu_p(\mu_p-1)}{2}$$

where the summation is to be extended over all points  $P$  of  $C$  including the infinitely near ones at the singularities, and where  $\mu_p$  is the multiplicity of  $P$  on  $C$ . For a discussion of infinitely near points and their multiplicities, see for example [BK], Ch. 8.4. The  $D_5$ -points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  consist of one point with multiplicity 3, the cusp  $P_3$  consists of one point with multiplicity 2 and the tacnode  $P_4$  consists of two infinitely near points, each with multiplicity 2. Thus we obtain,

$$g(C_t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 4 - 3 - 3 - 1 - (1 + 1) = 1.$$

Since we know that  $g(C_t) = 1$  we conclude that there are no other singularities on  $S$  besides  $P_1, \dots, P_4$ .

(ii) *Determination of the Picard number  $\rho(X_t)$ .* We follow the process of [BPV], Ch. III. 7 to resolve the singularities of the double cover of  $\mathbb{P}_2$  branched in  $C_t$ . We first apply the  $\sigma$ -process at all four singular points  $P_j$  simultaneously and we let  $E_j$  be the exceptional curve over  $P_j$  (see Fig. 2).




Figure 2

Let  $\bar{C}_t$  be the proper transform of  $C_t$ . In Fig. 2 its branches near the singular points are the curves with arrows. We add those exceptional curves for which the multiplicity of the corresponding singularity on  $C_t$  is odd, i.e. we add  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  to get  $C_t^{(1)} = \bar{C}_t + E_1 + E_2$ . Still, the double cover of the new surface branched along  $C_t^{(1)}$  has singularities, since  $C_t^{(1)}$  has singularities (the dots in Fig. 2). Repeating this procedure gives the less singular curve  $C_t^{(2)}$  which is the proper transform of  $C_t^{(1)}$  (see Fig. 3, the curve  $C_t^{(2)}$  near the singularities consists of curves with arrows again).




Figure 3

Still, this curve is not smooth, the dots in Fig. 3 are singular points. So we have to perform two more blow ups and we finally arrive at a surface  $Q$  on which the proper transform  $C_t^{(3)}$  of  $C_t^{(2)}$  is smooth (see Fig. 4 for the situation near  $P_1$ ).




Figure 4

The double cover of  $Q$  branched along  $C_t^{(3)}$  is the  $K3$ -surface  $X_t$ . In Fig. 5 we have drawn all curves on  $X_t$  lying above  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$  (see Fig. 4b for  $P_1$  as well). The  $A$ -curves give the  $D_5$  configuration over  $P_1$ , the  $B$ -curves give the  $D_5$  over  $P_2$ , the curves  $C_1, C_2$  give the  $A_2$  over  $P_3$  and the curves  $D_1, D_2, D_3$  give the  $A_3$  over  $P_4$ . We have also drawn the inverse images of the lines connecting two of the  $P_j$ 's (except for  $P_3P_4$ ). So  $M_{12}$  maps to the line connecting  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , the pairs  $\{M_{ij}^\pm\}$  ( $(i, j) = (1, 4), (2, 4), (1, 3), (2, 3)$ ) map to the line connecting  $P_i$  and  $P_j$ .




Figure 5

To determine the Picard number, we make use of the elliptic pencil on  $X_t$  coming from the lines through  $P_2$ . On  $X_t$  these lines have inverse images that are variable elliptic curves all meeting the curve  $B_2$  in exactly one (variable) point. So  $B_2$  gives a section for this elliptic fibration and we let 0 be the corresponding zero-element for the

group of sections  $S$ . We let  $m_{13}^\pm, m_{14}^\pm \in S$  be the elements corresponding to the curves  $M_{13}^\pm, M_{14}^\pm$ . The following observation is quite crucial for the determination of the Picard number,

*For generic  $t$ ,  $m_{13}^\pm$  and  $m_{14}^\pm$  have infinite order.*

To prove this claim, we specialize to  $u=1, w=-1, t=-1$  and then the elliptic curve in  $S_{-1}$  over the line  $u+w=0$  can be given by

$$z^2 = 16v^3 - 23v^2 + 10v + 1.$$

This is a non-singular elliptic curve in the  $(z, v)$ -plane with a flex at infinity corresponding to 0. The section  $m_{14}^\pm$  meets it in  $(\pm 2, 1)$ , the section  $m_{13}^\pm$  in  $(\pm 1, 0)$ . The formula for doubling is easily derived:

$$v(2P) = -2v(P) + \frac{23}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{24v^2(P) - 23v(P) + 5}{z(P)} \right)^2.$$

Notice that  $(\pm 2, 1) = 2 \cdot (\pm 1, 0)$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $T=(1, 0)$  has infinite order. Using the integral substitutions  $x=9 \cdot 16v - 3 \cdot 23, y=27 \cdot 16z$  we bring the elliptic curve into normal form  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B, A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the point  $4T$ . Its  $(z, v)$  coordinates are  $\left(\frac{289}{128}, \frac{69}{64}\right)$  and its  $(y, x)$  coordinates are  $\left(\frac{27 \cdot 289}{8}, \frac{5}{4} \cdot 69\right)$ . A consequence of the Nagell-Lutz theorem is, that a rational point on  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  with non-integral coordinates has necessarily infinite order (see [M], Ch. XVI). Hence  $4T$  has infinite order and the same is true for  $T$ .

We now proceed to show that  $\rho(X_t) = 19$  for generic  $t$ . Since  $C_t$  is a variable elliptic curve,  $\{X_t\}$  is a non-constant family of K3 surfaces, so not all  $X_t$  have maximal Picard number 20 (these form a discrete set in the moduli space, compare section 2). Hence  $\rho(X_t) \leq 19$  for generic  $t$ . Therefore it suffices to show that  $\rho(X_t) \geq 19$  for all

$$t \notin \{0, 1, \infty, (\sqrt{2} \pm 1)^4\}.$$

We apply [Sh2], Corollary 1.5 which reads

$$\rho(X_t) = \text{rank of } S + 2 + \sum (m_\lambda - 1)$$

where the summation is over all reducible members  $F_\lambda$  of the elliptic pencil and where  $m_\lambda$  is the number of components of  $F_\lambda$ . From Fig. 5 some reducible members are easy to find,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12}, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 & \quad (\text{type } I_1^*) \quad m_\lambda = 6, \\ B_1, M_{23}^+, M_{23}^-, C_1, C_2 & \quad (\text{type } I_5) \quad m_\lambda = 5, \\ B_3, B_4, B_5, M_{24}^-, M_{24}^+, D_1, D_2, D_3 & \quad (\text{type } I_8) \quad m_\lambda = 8. \end{aligned}$$

The types refer to Kodaira's classification for singular fibres in an elliptic pencil, see e.g. [Sh2], p. 36 or [Ko], p. 564. By the above claim we have  $\text{rank}(S) \geq 1$ , hence

$$\rho(X_t) \geq 1 + 2 + (5 + 4 + 7) = 19$$

as asserted.

## References

- [Be 1] *F. Beukers*, A note on the irrationality proof of  $\zeta(2)$  and  $\zeta(3)$ , *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1979), 268—272.
- [Be 2] *F. Beukers*, Irrationality of  $\pi^2$ , periods of an elliptic curve and  $\Gamma_1(5)$ , *Proc. Conf. Approximations diophantiens*, Luminy 1982, to appear in *Progr. Math.* Boston.
- [BK] *E. Brieskorn, H. Knörrer*, *Ebene algebraische Kurven*, Boston 1981.
- [BPV] *W. Barth, C. Peters, A. v. d. Ven*, *Compact complex surfaces*, to appear in *Ergebn. Math.*
- [GH] *Ph. Griffiths, J. Harris*, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, New York 1978.
- [Gr] *Ph. Griffiths*, On the periods of certain rational integrals. I, II, *Ann. Math.* **90** (1969), 460—541.
- [In] *E. L. Ince*, *Ordinary differential equations*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., New York 1956.
- [Ka 1] *N. Katz*, Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem-applications of a result of Turritin, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **39** (1970), 355—412.
- [Ka 2] *N. Katz*, Algebraic solutions of differential equations,  $p$ -curvature and the Hodge filtration, *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 1—118.
- [Ko] *K. Kodaira*, On compact analytic surfaces. II, *Ann. Math.* **77** (1963), 563—626.
- [LP] *E. Looijenga, C. Peters*, Torelli theorems for Kähler  $K3$  surfaces, *Compositio Math.* **42** (1981), 145—186.
- [M] *L. J. Mordell*, *Diophantine equations*, New York 1969.
- [P] *A. J. van der Poorten*, A proof that Euler missed... Apéry's proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$ , *Math. Intelligencer* **1** (1979), 195—203.
- [Sh 1] *T. Shioda, H. Inose*, On singular  $K3$ -surfaces, *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry* (Baily, Shioda eds.), Cambridge 1977.
- [Sh 2] *T. Shioda*, Elliptic modular surfaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **24** (1972), 20—59.

---

Mathematisch Instituut, Postbus 9512, 2300 RA Leiden, Netherlands

Eingegangen 10. Juli 1983