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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a complete intersection inside a variety $M$ with finite-dimensional motive and for which the Lefschetz-type conjecture $B(M)$ holds. We show how conditions on the niveau filtration on the homology of $X$ influence directly the niveau on the level of Chow groups. This leads to a generalization of Voisin's result. The latter states that if $M$ has trivial Chow groups and if $X$ has non-trivial variable cohomology parametrized by c-dimensional algebraic cycles, then the cycle class maps $A_{k}(X) \rightarrow H_{2 k}(X)$ are injective for $k<c$. We give variants involving group actions, which lead to several new examples with finite-dimensional Chow motives.


## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

Let $X$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $d$. While the cohomology ring (see the conventions about the notation at the end of the introduction) $H^{*}(X)$ is well understood, this is far from true for the Chow ring $A^{*}(X)$, the ring of algebraic cycles on $X$ modulo rational equivalence. The two are linked through the cycle class map

$$
A^{*}(X) \rightarrow H^{2 *}(X), \quad \gamma \mapsto[\gamma] .
$$

If this map is injective, we say that $X$ has trivial Chow groups. If this is not the case, the kernel $A_{\text {hom }}^{*}(X)$, the 'homologically trivial' cycles, can then be investigated through the Abel-Jacobi map

[^0]$$
A_{\mathrm{hom}}^{*}(X) \rightarrow J^{*}(X)
$$
with kernel $A_{\mathrm{AJ}}^{*}(X)$, the 'Abel-Jacobi trivial' cycles. If $X$ is a curve, Abel's theorem tells us that $A_{\mathrm{AJ}}^{1}(X)=0$.

The interplay between Hodge theoretic aspects of cohomology and cycles became apparent through the fundamental work of Bloch and Srinivas [7] as complemented by [20, 32]. They investigate the consequences for the Chow groups and cohomology groups of $X$ if the class $\delta \in A^{d}(X \times X)$ of the diagonal $\Delta \subset X \times X$ admits a decomposition into summands having support on lower dimensional varieties. This clarifies the role of the so-called coniveau filtration $N^{\bullet} H^{*}(X)$ in cohomology which takes care of cycle classes supported on varieties of varying dimensions. Vial [41] discovered a variant which works better in homology which he called the niveau filtration $\widetilde{N}^{\cdot} H_{*}(X)$. We introduce a refined niveau filtration on homology $\widehat{N}^{\bullet} H_{*}(X)$ which is compatible with polarizations. The precise definitions are given below in Section 2.4. It suffices to say that we have inclusions $\widehat{N}^{*} H_{*}(X) \subseteq \widetilde{N}^{*} H_{*}(X) \subseteq N^{\bullet} H_{*}(X)$ with equality everywhere if the Lefschetz conjecture $B$ is true for all varieties. Conjecture $B$ is recalled below in Section 2.2.

Note that the Künneth formula $\delta=\sum_{k=0}^{2 d} \pi_{k}$, with $\pi_{k} \in H^{2 d-k}(X) \otimes H^{k}(X)=H^{k}(X)^{*} \otimes$ $H^{k}(X)$, can be interpreted as an identity inside the ring of endomorphisms of $H^{*}(X)$. Since $\delta \in H^{2 d}(X \times X)$ acts as the identity on $H^{*}(X)$, in End $H^{*}(X)$ one thus obtains the (cohomological) Künneth decomposition

$$
\mathrm{id}=\sum_{k=1}^{2 d} \pi_{k}, \quad \pi_{k} \in \text { End } H^{*}(X) \text { a projector with }\left.\pi_{k}\right|_{H^{j}(X)}=\delta_{j k} \cdot \mathrm{id.}
$$

The projectors are mutually orthogonal, that is, $\pi_{j} \pi_{k}=0$ if $j \neq k$. Moreover, the Künneth decomposition is by construction compatible with Poincaré duality and so is called self-dual; in other words, $\pi_{k}$ is the transpose of $\pi_{2 d-k}$ for all $k<d$.

Even if the Künneth components $\pi_{k}$ are classes of algebraic cycles, their sum need not give a decomposition of the diagonal. If this is the case, and if, moreover, these give a self-dual decomposition of the identity in End $A^{*}(X)$ by mutually orthogonal projectors, one speaks of a (selfdual) Chow-Künneth decomposition, abbreviated as 'CK-decomposition'. Its existence has been conjectured by Murre [28], and it has been established in low dimensions and a few other cases.

One would like to have a refined CK-decomposition which takes into account the coniveau filtration or the (refined) niveau filtration, since then the conclusions of Bloch and Srinivas [7] can be applied. This is related to the validity of the standard conjecture $B(X)$ as reviewed in Section 2.2.

### 1.2. Set up and results

Following Voisin [45, 46], we consider complete intersections $X$ of dimension $d$ inside a given smooth complex projective variety $M$ and we ask about the relations between the Chow groups of $M$ and $X$. On the level of cohomology, this is a consequence of the classical Lefschetz theorems: apart from the 'middle' cohomology $H^{d}(X)$, the cohomology of $X$ is completely determined by $H^{*}(M)$, while for the middle cohomology, one has a direct sum splitting

$$
H^{d}(X)=H_{\mathrm{fix}}^{d}(X) \oplus H_{\mathrm{var}}^{d}(X)
$$

into fixed cohomology $H_{\mathrm{fix}}^{d}(X)=i^{*} H^{d}(M)$ and its orthogonal complement $H_{\text {var }}^{d}(X)$ under the cup product pairing. Here $i: X \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion, and $i^{*}: H^{d}(M) \rightarrow H^{d}(X)$ is injective.

For this to have consequences on the level of Chow groups, it seems natural to assume that $M$ has trivial Chow groups. This is the point of view of Voisin in [46]. Her main result uses the notion of a subspace $H \subset H^{k}(X)$ 'being parametrized by $c$-dimensional algebraic cycles' [46, Def. 0.3 ] which is slightly stronger than demanding that $H \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H^{k}(X)$, where $\widehat{N}$ is our refined version of Vial's filtration. A comparison of our filtration with Vial's is given in Section 3.2. See in particular Remark 4.7. We can now state Voisin's main result from [46]:

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $M$ has trivial Chow groups and that $X$ has non-trivial variable cohomology parametrized by c-dimensional algebraic cycles. Then the cycle class maps $A_{k}(X) \rightarrow$ $H_{2 k}(X)$ are injective for $k<c$.

Our idea is to replace the condition of $M$ having trivial Chow groups by finite dimensionality of the motive of $M$-which conjecturally is true for all varieties. (See [29] for background on Chow motives.) The main idea which makes this operational is the following nilpotency result (= Proposition 2.9): if $r$ is the codimension of $X$ in $M$, a degree $r$ correspondence which restricts to a cohomologically trivial degree zero correspondence on $X$ is nilpotent as a correspondence on $X$.

The second ingredient is due to Voisin [45, Proposition 1.6]: a degree $d$ cohomogically trivial relative correspondence can be modified in a controlled way such that the new relative correspondence is fiberwise rationally equivalent to zero.

Given these inputs, the argument leading to our results now runs as follows. First we make use of the refined niveau filtration by way of Propositions 4.5 and 4.8 to find relative correspondences that decompose the diagonal in homology in the way we want. To the difference we apply the Voisin result. This provides first of all information on the level of the Chow groups of the fibers and, secondly, allows us to apply the nilpotency result. Writing this out gives strong variants of the above theorem of Voisin. These have been phrased in homology rather than cohomology because, as mentioned before, Vial's filtration and ours behave better in the homological setting. One of our main results can be paraphrased as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (= Theorem 6.6). Suppose that $B(M)$ holds, that the Chow motive of $M$ is finitedimensional and that $H_{k}(M)=N^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]} H_{k}(M)$ for $k \leq d$. Suppose $H_{d}^{\text {var }}(X) \neq 0$, and that for some positive integer $c$, we have $H_{d}^{\text {var }}(X) \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H_{d}(X)$. Then $A_{k}^{\text {hom }}(X)=0$ if $k<c$ or $k>d-c$.

Voisin's result is a direct consequence: by [39, Theorem 5] varieties with trivial Chow groups have finite-dimensional motive and conjecture $B$ holds for them as well and the condition $H_{k}(M)=$ $N^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]} H_{k}(M)$ holds since $M$ has trivial Chow groups. Surprisingly, if we apply Vial's result [38], we find that if the condition in the above theorem holds for $c=\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$, then $h(X)$ itself also has finite dimension and up to motives of curves and Tate twists is a direct factor of $h(M)$ (Corollary 6.7).

The known examples of finite-dimensional motives are all directly related to curves, which very much limits the search for examples. However, inside the realm of motives, we can use other projectors besides the identity, namely those that come from group actions. In Section 7, we have formulated variants of the main result involving actions of a finite abelian group, say $G$. Then, even if the level of the Hodge-niveau filtration on variable cohomology is too big to apply our main theorems, there might be a $G$-character space which has the correct Hodge-level. Provided the (generalized) Hodge conjecture holds, which is automatically the case in dimensions $\leq 2$, this then ensures the desired condition on the niveau filtration. In Section 8, we construct examples
where this is the case and for which one of the group variants of the main theorem can be successfully applied. These examples all yield new finite-dimensional motives because of the abovementioned result of Vial.

We thus obtain several new examples of finite-dimensional motives:

- hypersurfaces in abelian 3-folds, including the Burniat-Inoue surfaces,
- hypersurfaces in a product of a hyperelliptic curve and certain types of K3 surfaces,
- hypersurfaces in 3 -folds that are products of three curves, one of which is hyperelliptic,
- odd-dimensional complete intersections of four quadrics-generalizing the Bardelli example [3].

The surface examples are all of general type.
For simplicity, we have only considered involutions since then all invariants can easily be calculated, but it will be clear that the method of construction allows for many more examples of varieties admitting all kinds of finite abelian groups of automorphisms.

Notation 1.3 Varieties will be defined over $\mathbf{C}$. We use $H^{*}, H_{*}$ for the (co)homology groups with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients and likewise we write $A^{*}, A_{*}$ for the Chow groups with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients.

The category of Chow motives (over a field $k$ ) is denoted by $\operatorname{Mot}_{\text {rat }}(k)$, the category of covariant homological motives by $\operatorname{Mot}_{\text {hom }}(k)$ and the category of numerical motives $\operatorname{Mot}_{\text {num }}(k)$. For a smooth projective manifold $X$, we let $h(X) \in \operatorname{Mot}_{r a t}(k)$ be its Chow motive.

We denote the integer part of a rational number $a$ by $[a]$.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Correspondences

If $X$ and $Y$ are projective varieties with $X$ irreducible of dimension $d_{X}$, a correspondence of degree $p$ is an element of

$$
\operatorname{Corr}_{p}(X, Y):=A_{d_{X}+p}(X \times Y) .
$$

A degree $p$ correspondence $\gamma$ induces maps

$$
\gamma_{*}: A_{k}(X) \rightarrow A_{k+p}(Y), \quad \gamma_{*}: H_{k}(X) \rightarrow H_{k+2 p}(Y) .
$$

If, moreover, $X$ and $Y$ are smooth projective, we have correspondences of cohomological degree $p$, that is, elements

$$
\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}^{p}(Y, X):=A^{d_{Y}+p}(Y \times X),
$$

which induce

$$
\gamma^{*}: A^{k}(Y) \rightarrow A^{k+p}(X), \quad \gamma^{*}: H^{k}(Y) \rightarrow H^{k+2 p}(X)
$$

Definition 2.1 Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{p}(X, X)=A_{d+p}(X \times X)$ be a self-correspondence of degree $p$ where $d=d_{X}$.

- Let $Z$ be smooth and equi-dimensional. We say that $\gamma$ factors through $Z$ with shift $i$ if there exist correspondences $\alpha \in \operatorname{Corr}_{i}(Z, X)$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{Corr}_{-j}(X, Z)(i-j=p)$ such that $\gamma=\alpha \circ \beta$ and $d-(i+j)=\operatorname{dim} Z$.
- We say that $\gamma$ is supported on $V \times W$ if

$$
\gamma \in \operatorname{Im}\left(A_{d+p}(V \times W) \xrightarrow{(i \times j)_{*}} A_{d+p}(X \times X)\right)
$$

where $i: V \rightarrow X$ and $j: W \rightarrow X$ are inclusions of subvarieties of $X$.
The usefulness of these concepts follows from the following evident results.

Lemma 2.2

- If a correspondence $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ factors through $Z$ with shift $c$, then $\gamma$ and ${ }^{t} \gamma$ act trivially on $A_{j}(X)$ for $j<c$ or $j>d-c$.
- If a correspondence $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ is supported on $V \times W \subset X \times X$, then $\gamma$ acts trivially on $A_{j}(X)$ for $j<\operatorname{codim} V$ or $j>\operatorname{dim} W$ and ${ }^{t} \gamma$ acts trivially on $A_{j}(X)$ for $j<\operatorname{codim} W$ or $j>\operatorname{dim} V$.


### 2.2. Standard conjecture $B(X)$

Let $X$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $d$, and $h \in H^{2}(X)$ the class of an ample line bundle. The hard Lefschetz theorem asserts that the map

$$
L_{X}^{d-k}: H_{2 d-k}(X) \rightarrow H_{k}(X)
$$

obtained by cap product with $h^{d-k}$ is an isomorphism for all $k<d$. One of the standard conjectures asserts that the inverse isomorphism is algebraic:

Definition 2.3 Given a variety $X$, we say that $B_{k}(X)$ holds if the isomorphism

$$
\Lambda^{d-k}=\left(L^{d-k}\right)^{-1}: H_{k}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2 d-k}(X)
$$

is induced by a correspondence. We say that the Lefschetz standard conjecture $B(X)$ holds if $B_{k}(X)$ holds for all $k<d$.

Remark 2.4 The Lefschetz $(1,1)$ theorem implies that $B_{k}(X)$ holds if $k \leq 1$ and hence it holds for curves and surfaces. It is stable under products and hyperplane sections [17, 18] and so, in particular, it is true for complete intersections in products of projective spaces. It is known that $B(X)$, moreover, holds for the following varieties:

- abelian varieties [17, 18];
- 3-folds not of general type [37];
- hyperkähler varieties of $K 3^{[n]}$-type [10];
- Fano varieties of lines on cubic hypersurfaces [25, Corollary 6];
- $d$-dimensional varieties $X$ which have $A_{k}(X)$ supported on a subvariety of dimension $k+2$ for all $k \leq \frac{d-3}{2}$ [38, Theorem 7.1];
- $d$-dimensional varieties $X$ which have $H_{k}(X)=N^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} H_{k}(X)$ for all $k>d$ [39, Theorem 4.2].

Below we shall use the following well known implication of $B(X)$.

Proposition 2.5 ([17, Theorem 2.9]). Suppose that $B(X)$ holds. Then the Künneth projectors are algebraic, that is, there exist correspondences $\pi_{k} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ such that $\left.\pi_{k} *\right|_{H_{j}(X)}=\delta_{k j} \cdot$ id and $\Delta_{X} \sim_{\text {hom }} \sum_{k} \pi_{k}$.

### 2.3. Finite-dimensional motives and nilpotence

We refer to $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{2 9}]$ for the definition of a Chow motive and its dimension. We also need the concept of a motive of abelian type; by definition this is a Chow motive $M$ for which some twist $M(n)$ is a direct summand of the motive of a product of curves.

A crucial property of varieties with finite-dimensional motive is the nilpotence theorem.
Theorem 2.6 (Kimura [16]). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety with finite-dimensional motive. Let $\Gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ be a correspondence which is numerically trivial. Then there exists a nonnegative integer $N$ such that $\Gamma^{\circ N}=0$ in $\operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$.

Actually, the nilpotence property (for all powers of $X$ ) could serve as an alternative definition of finite-dimensional motive, as shown by a result of Jannsen [15, Corollary 3.9]. Conjecturally, any variety has finite-dimensional motive [16]. We are still far from knowing this, but at least there are quite a few non-trivial examples:

Remark 2.7 The following varieties are known to have a finite-dimensional motive:

- varieties dominated by products of curves [16] as well as varieties of dimension $\leq 3$ rationally dominated by products of curves [40, Example 3.15];
- K3 surfaces with Picard number 19 or 20 [34];
- surfaces not of general type with vanishing geometric genus [12, Theorem 2.11] as well as many examples of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=0[33,47]$;
- Hilbert schemes of surfaces known to have finite-dimensional motive [8];
- Fano varieties of lines in smooth cubic 3-folds, and Fano varieties of lines in smooth cubic 5-folds [24];
- generalized Kummer varieties [49, Remark 2.9(ii)];
- 3-folds with nef tangent bundle [40, Example 3.16]), as well as certain 3-folds of general type [42, Section 8];
- varieties $X$ with Abel-Jacobi trivial Chow groups (that is, $A_{A J}^{k} X=0$ for all $k$ ) [39, Theorem 4];
- products of varieties with finite-dimensional motive [16].

Remark 2.8 It is worth pointing out that, up till now, all examples of finite-dimensional Chow motives happen to be of abelian type. On the other hand, 'many' motives are known to lie outside this subcategory, for example the motive of a general hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ [2, Remark 2.34].

The following result is a kind of 'weak nilpotence' for subvarieties of a variety $M$ with finitedimensional motive; any correspondence that comes from $M$ and is numerically trivial turns out to be nilpotent.

Proposition 2.9 Let $M$ be a smooth projective variety with finite-dimensional Chow motive and let $X \subset M$ be a smooth projective subvariety of codimension $r$. For any correspondence $\Gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{r}(M, M)$ with the property that the restriction

$$
\left.\Gamma\right|_{X} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)
$$

is homologically trivial, there exists a non-negative integer $N$ such that

$$
\left(\left.\Gamma\right|_{X}\right)^{\circ N}=0 \text { in } \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X) .
$$

Proof. Put $L=i_{*} i^{*} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{-r}(M, M)$ and $T=\Gamma_{\circ} L \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(M, M)$. We have

$$
\left.\Gamma\right|_{X}=(i \times i)^{*}(\Gamma)=i^{*} \circ \Gamma \circ i_{*} .
$$

By induction on $k$ one shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Gamma\right|_{X} ^{k+1}=i^{*} \circ T^{k} \circ \Gamma \circ i_{*} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geq 0$. As

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{2} & =\Gamma \circ i_{*} \circ i^{*} \circ \Gamma \circ i_{*} \circ i^{*} \\
& =\left.\Gamma \circ i_{*} \circ \Gamma\right|_{X} \circ i^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

$T^{2}$ is homologically trivial. Hence, $T^{2}$ is nilpotent by [16], say $T^{2 \ell}=0$. Hence, $\Gamma_{X}$ is nilpotent of index $N=2 \ell+1$ by (2.1).

### 2.4. Coniveau and niveau filtration

Definition 2.10 (Coniveau filtration [6]). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $d$. The $j$ th level of the coniveau filtration on cohomology (with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients) is defined as the subspace generated by the classes supported on subvarieties $Z$ of dimension $\leq d-j$ :

$$
N^{j} H^{k}(X)=\sum_{Z} \operatorname{Im}\left(i_{*}: H_{Z}^{k}(X) \rightarrow H^{k}(X)\right) .
$$

This gives a decreasing filtration on $H^{k}(X)$. We may instead use smooth varieties $Y$ of dimension exactly $d-j$ provided we use degree $j$ correspondences from $Y$ to $X$ : such a correspondence sends $Y$ to a cycle $Z$ of dimension $\leq d-j$ in $X$ and all cycles can be obtained in this way. When we rewrite this in terms of homology, we get

$$
N^{j} H_{k}(X)=\sum_{Y, \gamma} \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{*}: H_{k}(Y) \rightarrow H_{k}(X)\right),
$$

where $Y$ is smooth projective of dimension $k-j$ and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(Y, X)$.

Since the $j$ th level of the filtration consists of the classes supported on varieties of dimension $k-j$, the filtration stops beyond $k / 2$ : a variety of dimension $<k / 2$ has no homology in degrees $\geq k$ :

$$
0=N^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1} H_{k}(X) \subset N^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} H_{k}(X) \subset \cdots \subset N^{1} H_{k}(X) \subset N^{0} H_{k}(X)=H_{k}(X) .
$$

Remark 2.11 Under Poincaré duality, one has an identification $N^{j} H^{k}(X)=N^{d-k+j} H_{2 d-k}(X)$.
Vial [41] introduced the following variant of the coniveau filtration:
Definition 2.12 (Niveau filtration). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety. The niveau filtration on homology is defined as

$$
\widetilde{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)=\sum \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{*}: H_{k-2 j}(Z) \rightarrow H_{k}(X)\right),
$$

where the sum is taken over all smooth projective varieties $Z$ of dimension $k-2 j$, and all correspondences $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{j}(Z, X)$.

Remark 2.13 The idea behind this definition is that one should be able to lower the dimension of the variety $Y$ appearing in Definition 2.10 using the Lefschetz standard conjecture. By Hard Lefschetz, we have an isomorphism $\Lambda_{Y}^{j}: H_{k-2 j}(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{k}(Y)$ and by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem a surjection $\iota_{*}: H_{k-2 j}(Z) \rightarrow H_{k-2 j}(Y)$ with $Z=Y \cap H_{1} \cap \ldots \cap H_{j}$ a complete intersection of $Y$ with $j$ general hyperplanes. Hence, there is a surjective map $\iota_{* \circ} \Lambda_{Y}^{j}: H_{k-2 j}(Z) \rightarrow H_{k}(Y)$ which is algebraic if $B_{k-2 j}(Y)$ holds and thus $N^{j} H_{k}(X)=\widetilde{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)$.

This discussion also shows that

- $\widetilde{N}^{j} H_{k}(X) \subset N^{j} H_{k}(X)$
- $\widetilde{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)=N^{j} H_{k}(X)$ if $k-2 j \leq 1$.


### 2.5. On variable and fixed cohomology

Let $M$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $d+r$ and $i: X \hookrightarrow M$ a smooth complete intersection of dimension $d$. Let us assume $B(M)$ so that the operator $\Lambda^{r}$ on $H_{*}(M)$ is induced by an algebraic cycle $\Lambda_{M}^{r}$ on $M \times M$. Set

$$
\pi^{\mathrm{fix}}(X):=i^{*} \Lambda_{M}^{r} i_{*}, \quad \pi^{\mathrm{var}}(X)=\Delta-\pi^{\mathrm{fix}}(X)
$$

Recall that setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{d}^{\mathrm{fix}}(X) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(i^{*}: H_{d+2 r}(M) \rightarrow H_{d}(X)\right), \\
H_{d}^{\mathrm{var}}(X) & =\operatorname{ker}\left(i_{*}: H_{d}(X) \rightarrow H_{d}(M)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

one has a direct sum decomposition

$$
H_{d}(X)=H_{d}^{\mathrm{fix}}(X) \oplus H_{d}^{\mathrm{var}}(X),
$$

which is orthogonal with respect to the intersection product. We claim the following result.

Lemma 2.14 The operators $\pi^{\mathrm{fix}}(X)$ and $\pi^{\mathrm{var}}(X)$ are homological projectors which give the projection of the total cohomology onto $H^{\mathrm{fix}}(X), H^{\text {var }}(X)=H_{d}^{\mathrm{var}}(X)$, respectively.

Proof. We first observe that $i_{*}: H_{*}(X) \rightarrow L^{r} H_{*}(M)$ since $i_{*} H^{\text {fix }}(X)=i_{* o i}{ }^{*} H(M)=L^{r} H(M)$. On the image of $L$ the two operators $L$ and $\Lambda$ are inverses. So, since $i^{*} i_{*}=L^{r}$, (in fact this is only true up to a multiplicative constant but changing $\Lambda^{r}$ accordingly corrects this i) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(i^{*} \circ \Lambda^{r} \circ i_{*}\right)^{2} & =i^{*} \circ \Lambda^{r} \circ i_{* i} i^{*} \circ \Lambda^{r} \circ i_{*} \\
& =i^{*} \circ \Lambda^{r} \circ L^{r} \Lambda^{r} \circ i_{*} \\
& =i^{*} \circ \Lambda^{r} \circ i_{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\pi^{\text {fix }}$ is indeed a projector, and so is $\pi^{\mathrm{var}}$. These projectors define a splitting on cohomology given by

$$
z=i^{*} \Lambda^{r} i_{*} z+\left(z-i^{*} \Lambda^{r} i_{*} z\right)
$$

On the image of $i_{*}$ the two operators $L$ and $\Lambda$ commute and are each other's inverse and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{*}\left(z-i^{*} \Lambda^{r} i_{*} z\right) & =i_{*} z-L^{r} \Lambda^{r} i_{*} z \\
& =i_{*} z-i_{*} z=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $\pi^{\mathrm{var}}$ indeed gives the projection onto variable homology and so $\pi^{\text {fix }}$ projects onto the fixed cohomology.

Remark 2.15 The degree zero correspondences $\pi^{\text {fix }}$ and $\pi^{\mathrm{var}}$ are not necessarily projectors on the level of Chow groups, although one can show that finite-dimensionality of $h(M)$ and $B(M)$ can be used to modify these correspondences in such a way that they become projectors. For what follows we do not need this.

## 3. Niveau filtrations and polarizations

### 3.1. Polarizations

Recall that for $k \leq d=\operatorname{dim} X$, we have the Lefschetz decomposition

$$
H^{k}(X)=\oplus_{r} L^{r} H_{\mathrm{pr}}^{k-2 r}(X)
$$

Following [48, p. 77], we define a polarization $Q_{X}$ on $H^{k}(X)$ as follows. Given $a, b \in H^{k}(X)$, write $a=\sum_{r} L^{r} a_{r}, b=\sum_{r} L^{r} b_{r}$ and define

$$
Q_{X}(a, b)=\sum_{r}(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+r}\left\langle L^{d-k+2 r} a_{r}, b_{r}\right\rangle
$$

where

$$
\langle,\rangle: H^{2 d-k+2 r}(X) \otimes H^{k-2 r}(X) \rightarrow H^{2 d}(X) \cong \mathbf{Q}
$$

denotes the cup product. As the Lefschetz decomposition is $Q_{X}$-orthogonal, we can rewrite this in the following form. Let $p_{r}: H^{k}(X) \rightarrow L^{r} H_{\mathrm{pr}}^{k-2 r}(X)$ be the projection, and define

$$
s_{X}=\sum_{r}(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+r} L^{r} \circ p_{r}
$$

Then $Q_{X}(a, b)=\left\langle L^{d-k}(a), s_{X}(b)\right\rangle$.
When we translate this to homology, we obtain a polarization $Q_{X}$ on $H_{k}(X)(k \leq d)$ given by

$$
Q_{X}(a, b)=\left\langle a, \Lambda^{d-k}\left(s_{X}(b)\right)\right\rangle
$$

where $s_{X}$ is (up to sign) the alternating sum of the projections $p_{r}: H_{k}(X) \rightarrow L^{r} H_{k+2 r}^{\mathrm{pr}}(X)$ to the primitive homology (dual to primitive cohomology).

Lemma 3.1 If $B_{\ell}(X)$ holds for $\ell \leq 2 \operatorname{dim} X-k-2$ the operator $s_{X} \in \operatorname{End}\left(H_{k}(X)\right)$ is algebraic.
Proof. See [9, Lemma 7] or [41, Lemma 1.7]

### 3.2. Modified niveau filtration

We start by a discussion of adjoint correspondences. This material is treated from a cohomological point of view in [11, Section 4.2].

Definition 3.2 Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth projective varieties of dimension $d_{X}, d_{Y}$. Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{j}(X, Y)$.
(i) We say that $\gamma$ admits a $k$-adjoint if there exists $\gamma^{\text {adj }} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{-j}(Y, X)$ such that

$$
Q_{Y}\left(\gamma_{*}(a), b\right)=Q_{X}\left(a, \gamma_{*}^{\mathrm{adj}}(b)\right)
$$

for all $a \in H_{k-2 j}(X), b \in H_{k}(Y)$.
(ii) We say that $\gamma$ admits an adjoint if it admits a $k$-adjoint for all $k$.

Proposition 3.3 If the standard conjectures $B(X)$ and $B(Y)$ hold, every correspondence $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)$ admits an adjoint.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{j}(X, Y)$ and consider the map

$$
\gamma_{*}: H_{k}(X) \rightarrow H_{k+2 j}(Y)
$$

As $B(X)$ and $B(Y)$ hold, the operators $s_{X}$ and $s_{Y}$ are algebraic by Lemma 3.1. As $s_{X}$ and $s_{Y}$ commute with the Lambda operator, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{Y}\left(\gamma_{*}(a), b\right) & =\left\langle\gamma_{*}(a), \Lambda_{Y}^{d_{Y}-k-2 j}\left(s_{Y}(b)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a,{ }^{t} \gamma_{*}\left(\Lambda_{Y}^{d_{Y}-k-2 j}\left(s_{Y}(b)\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a, s_{X}\left(\Lambda_{X}^{d_{X}-k}\left(s_{X}\left(L_{X}^{d_{X}-k}\left({ }^{t} \gamma_{*}\left(\Lambda_{Y}^{d_{Y}-k-2 j}\left(s_{Y}(b)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\gamma^{\mathrm{adj}}=s_{X} \circ L_{X}^{d_{X}-k} \circ{ }^{t} \gamma \circ \Lambda_{Y}^{d_{Y}-k-2 j} \circ s_{Y}
$$

is an adjoint of $\gamma$.
To use the existence of an adjoint, we need a linear algebra lemma (cf. [43, 41, Lemma 5, Lemma 1.6]).

Lemma 3.4 Let $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ be finite-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$-vector spaces equipped with nondegenerate bilinear forms $Q: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ and $Q^{\prime}: H^{\prime} \times H^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$. Suppose that there exist linear maps

$$
\alpha: H^{\prime} \rightarrow H, \quad \beta: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}
$$

such that
(a) $\alpha$ is surjective;
(b) $Q^{\prime} \operatorname{Im}_{\operatorname{Im}(\beta \times \beta)}$ is non-degenerate;
(c) $Q(\alpha(x), y)=Q^{\prime}(x, \beta(y))$ for all $x \in H^{\prime}, y \in H$.

Then $\alpha_{\circ} \beta: H \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. As $H$ is finite dimensional, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{\circ} \beta\right)=0$. Suppose that $y \in \operatorname{ker}(\alpha \circ \beta)$. Then $\beta(y) \in \operatorname{ker}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\beta)$. By (c), we have

$$
0=Q(\alpha(\beta(y)), z)=Q^{\prime}(\beta(y), \beta(z))
$$

for all $z \in H$, hence $\beta(y)=0$ by condition (b). This gives

$$
0=Q^{\prime}(x, \beta(y))=Q(\alpha(x), y)
$$

for all $x \in H^{\prime}$ and since $\alpha$ is surjective we obtain $y=0$.
Corollary 3.5 Suppose that $\gamma: \operatorname{Corr}_{j}(Y, X)$ admits an adjoint. Consider the map $\gamma_{*}: H_{k-2 j}(Y) \rightarrow H_{k}(X)$. Then $\gamma_{*^{\circ}} \gamma_{*}^{\text {adj }}: H_{k}(X) \rightarrow H_{k}(X)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{*} \circ \gamma_{*}^{\text {adj }}: \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{*}\right) .
$$

Proof. Apply the previous lemma with $H^{\prime}=H_{k}(X), \quad \alpha=\gamma_{*}, \quad \beta=\gamma_{*}^{\text {adj }} \quad$ and $H=\operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{*}\right) \subseteq H_{k}(X)$. Condition (a) is satisfied by construction, (b) by Hodge theory (HodgeRiemann bilinear relations) and (c) by the adjoint condition.

Definition 3.6 The modified niveau filtration $\widehat{N}^{\bullet}$ is defined by

$$
\widehat{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)=\sum \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{*}: H_{k-2 j}(Z) \rightarrow H_{k}(X)\right),
$$

where the sum runs over all pairs $(Z, \gamma)$ such that $Z$ is smooth projective of dimension $k-2 j$ and such that $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{j}(Z, X)$ admits a $k$-adjoint.

We have

$$
\widehat{N}^{j} H_{k}(X) \subseteq \widetilde{N}^{j} H_{k}(X) \subseteq N^{j} H_{k}(X)
$$

The filtrations $N^{\bullet}$ and $\widetilde{N}^{\bullet}$ are compatible with the action of correspondences. The filtration $\widehat{N}^{\bullet}$ is compatible with correspondences that admit an adjoint.

Proposition 3.7 Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{j}(X, Y)$. If $B(X)$ and $B(Y)$ hold then we have $\gamma_{*} \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X) \subseteq$ $\widehat{N}^{c+j} H_{k+2 j}(Y)$.

Proof. There exists a smooth projective variety $Z$ and a correspondence $\lambda \in \operatorname{Corr}_{c}(Z, X)$ such that $\lambda$ admits an adjoint and

$$
\widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X)=\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{*}: H_{k-2 c}(Z) \rightarrow H_{k}(X) .
$$

We have

$$
\lambda_{*} \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X)=\operatorname{Im}(\gamma \circ \lambda)_{*}: H_{k-2 c}(Z) \rightarrow H_{k+2 j}(Y)
$$

The image is contained in $\widehat{N}{ }^{c+j} H_{k+2 j}(Y)$ since $\gamma$ admits an adjoint by Proposition 3.3 and $(\gamma \circ \lambda)^{\text {adj }}=\lambda^{\text {adj }} \circ \gamma^{\text {adj }}$.

## 4. On Künneth decompositions

Definition 4.1 Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety.

- We say that $X$ admits a refined Künneth decomposition if there exist mutually orthogonal correspondences $\pi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \circ \Delta_{X} \sim_{\text {hom }} \sum_{i, j} \pi_{i, j} \\
& \left.\circ\left(\pi_{i, j}\right)_{*}\right|_{\operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{q} H_{p}(X)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { id if }(p, q)=(i, j) \\
0(p, q) \neq(i, j) .
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \circ \pi_{i, j}=0 \text { if and only if } \operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{j} H_{i}(X)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- We say that $X$ admits a refined Chow-Künneth decomposition if in addition the $\pi_{i, j}$ are projectors and $\Delta_{X} \sim_{\text {rat }} \sum_{i, j} \pi_{i, j}$.
- We say that $X$ admits a refined Künneth (or Chow-Künneth) decomposition in the strong sense if $\pi_{i, j}$ factors with shift $j$ through a smooth projective variety $Z_{i, j}$ of dimension $i-2 j$ for all $i$ and $j$.

Remark 4.2 By [41, Proposition 1.4] there exists a $Q_{X}$-orthogonal splitting

$$
H^{*}(X)=\oplus_{i, j} \operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{j} H_{i}(X)
$$

The variety $X$ admits a refined Künneth decomposition if this decomposition lifts to the category $\operatorname{Mot}_{\text {hom }}(k)$ of homological motives. It admits a refined Chow-Künneth decomposition if the decomposition lifts to the category $\operatorname{Mot}_{\mathrm{rat}}(k)$ of Chow motives.

In an analogous way, one can define refined Künneth (or Chow-Künneth) decompositions with respect to the filtrations $\widetilde{N}^{\bullet}$ and $\widehat{N}^{\bullet}$.

Proposition 4.3 If $B(X)$ holds, there exists a refined Künneth decomposition in the strong sense with respect to the filtration $\widehat{N}^{*}$.

Proof. (This proof is a reformulation of the argument of [41, Theorem 1] in terms of the modified niveau filtration.) Conjecture $B(X)$ implies that the Künneth components are algebraic, that is, there exist correspondences $\pi_{i} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ such that $\left.\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{*}\right|_{H_{i}(X)}=\delta_{i j} \cdot i d$. By Proposition 3.7, the proof of [41, Proposition 1.4] goes through for the filtration $\widehat{N}^{*}$, and we obtain a $Q_{X}$-orthogonal splitting

$$
H^{*}(X)=\oplus_{i, j} \operatorname{Gr}_{\tilde{N}}^{j} H_{i}(X)
$$

The aim is to construct correspondences $\pi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ that induce this decomposition. This is done by descending induction on $j$. If $j>i / 2$ we take $\pi_{i, j}=0$. Suppose that the correspondences $\pi_{i, k}$ have been constructed for $k>j$. As before there exists $Z$, smooth of dimension $i-2 j$, and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{j}(Z, X)$ such that

$$
\widehat{N}^{j} H_{i}(X)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{*}: H_{i-2 j}(Z) \rightarrow H_{i}(X)\right) .
$$

By replacing $\gamma$ with $\pi_{i} \circ \gamma$ if necessary, we may assume that $\left.\gamma_{*}\right|_{H_{\ell}(Z)}=0$ if $\ell \neq i-2 j$. The correspondence $\pi=\pi_{i}-\sum_{k>j} \pi_{i, k}$ induces the projection $\widehat{N}^{j} H_{i}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\widehat{N}}^{j} H_{i}(X)$. Put $\gamma^{\prime}=\pi_{\circ} \gamma$. By construction

$$
\gamma_{*}^{\prime}: H_{i-2 j}(Z) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\widehat{N}}^{j} H_{i}(X)
$$

is surjective. As $B(X)$ holds, $\pi$ admits an adjoint by Proposition 3.3. By definition, $\gamma$ admits an adjoint, hence $\gamma^{\prime}=\pi_{\circ} \gamma$ admits an adjoint and the correspondence $T=\gamma^{\prime} \circ\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{\text {adj }}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\varphi=T_{*}: \operatorname{Gr}_{\widehat{N}}^{j} H_{i}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\widehat{N}}^{j} H_{i}(X)
$$

by Corollary 3.5. By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, there exists a polynomial expression $\psi=P(\varphi)$ such that $\psi \cdot \varphi=\mathrm{id}$. Put $U=\psi(T)$ and define $\pi_{i, j}=U_{\circ} T$. As $T_{*}=\varphi$ and $U_{*}=\psi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(\pi_{i, j}\right) *\right|_{\operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{j} H_{i}(X)}=\text { id } \\
& \left.\left(\pi_{i, j}\right)_{*}\right|_{\operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{q} H_{p}(X)}=0 \text { if }(p, q) \neq(i, j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction $\pi_{i, j}$ factors with shift $j$ through a smooth projective variety of dimension $i-2 j$ and $\pi_{i, j}=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Gr}_{\widehat{N}}^{j} H_{i}(X)=0$.

Corollary 4.4 If $B(X)$ holds and $H_{k}(X) \subseteq \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X)$, then there exists $\pi_{k}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ such that $\pi_{k} \sim_{\text {hom }} \pi_{k}^{\prime}$ and such that $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$ factors with shift $c$ through a smooth projective variety $Z$ of dimension $k-2 c$ as in Definition 2.1.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, we obtain a decomposition

$$
\pi_{k}=\sum_{j} \pi_{k, j}
$$

with respect to the filtration $\widehat{N}^{\cdot}$. As $H_{k}(X) \subseteq \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X)$, we have $\pi_{k, j}=0$ for all $j<c$, and the result follows.

The corollary can be generalized to the following setting. Suppose that there exists $\pi_{k} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ such that $\left.\left(\pi_{k}\right)_{*}\right|_{H_{\ell}(X)}=\delta_{k \ell} \cdot$ id. If $\pi \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \circ \pi \sim_{\mathrm{hom}} \pi \\
& \pi \circ \pi_{k} \sim_{\mathrm{hom}} \pi_{k} \circ \pi \sim_{\mathrm{hom}} \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

the motive $(X, \pi)$ is a direct factor of $\left(X, \pi_{k}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Mot}_{\text {hom }}(k)$.
Corollary 4.5 Suppose that $B(X)$ holds and that $\pi \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}(X, X)$ is a correspondence as above. Let $H_{\pi}=\operatorname{Im}(\pi) \subseteq H_{k}(X)$ be the sub-Hodge structure defined by $\pi$. If $H_{\pi} \subseteq \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X)$, there exists a correspondence $\pi^{\prime} \sim_{\text {hom }} \pi$ such that $\pi^{\prime}$ factors with shift $c$ through a smooth projective variety Z as in Definition 2.1.

Proof. The proof of Proposition 4.3 shows that we have a decomposition $\pi_{k}=\sum_{j} \pi_{k, j}$ in $\operatorname{Mot}_{\text {hom }}(k)$. Hence,

$$
\pi=\pi_{k} \circ \pi=\sum_{j} \pi_{k, j} \circ \pi
$$

Suppose that there exists $j_{0}<c$ such that $\pi_{k, j_{0}} \circ \pi \neq 0$. Then there exists $x \in H_{k}(X)$ such that $\pi_{k, j}(\pi(x)) \neq 0$. Hence $H_{\pi} \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(\pi_{k, j_{0}}\right) \neq 0$. This contradicts the hypothesis $H_{\pi} \subseteq \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X)$ since $\pi_{k, j_{0}} \mid \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}(X)=0$.

This result implies a modification of [21, Corollary 3.4, Lemma 3.5] that we need later on.
Corollary 4.6 We make the same assumptions about $M$ and $X$. Suppose that $H_{d}^{\text {var }}(X) \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H_{d}(X)$. Then $\pi^{\text {var }} \sim_{\text {hom }} \widetilde{\pi}^{\text {var }}$ where $\widetilde{\pi}^{\text {var }} \in \operatorname{Corr}^{0}(X, X)$ factors through a smooth projective variety $Z$ with shift $c$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.
Remark 4.7 The condition $H_{d}(X) \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H_{d}(X)$ may be replaced by Voisin's condition of 'being parametrized by algebraic cycles of codimension $c^{\prime}$ [46, Definition 0.3 ]. Voisin's condition implies that

$$
\gamma_{*} \circ^{t} \gamma_{*}: H_{d}(X) \rightarrow H_{d}(X)
$$

is a multiple of the identity. Our condition implies that there exists an adjoint $\gamma^{\text {adj }}$ such that $\gamma_{*} \circ \gamma_{*}^{\text {adj }}$ is an isomorphism with an algebraic inverse (see Corollary 3.5 and the proof of Proposition 4.5). This weaker result suffices for our purposes.

Proposition 4.8 Suppose that $B(X)$ holds and that for every smooth projective variety $Z$ of dimension $k-2 j$ the condition $B_{\ell}(Z)$ holds if $\ell \leq k-2 j-2$. Then $\widetilde{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)=\widehat{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that for every pair $(Z, \gamma)$ as in Definition 3.6, $\gamma$ admits a $k$-adjoint. This follows directly from Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 4.9 We have $\widetilde{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)=\widehat{N}^{j} H_{k}(X)$ if $k-2 j \leq 3$. In particular, if $H_{k}(X)=N^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} H_{k}(X)$ the filtrations $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widehat{N}$ on $H_{k}(X)$ coincide with the coniveau filtration. This is true unconditionally on $H_{k}(X), k \leq 3$. If the conjecture $B(M)$ holds, all three filtrations are equal on $H_{k}(X)$ for $k \leq 4$.

Remark 4.10 The condition $B_{\ell}(Z)$ in Proposition 4.8 is needed to obtain an algebraic correspondence that induces $s_{Z}$. If $H \subset H_{d}(X)$ is a sub-Hodge structure such that there exists a smooth projective variety $Z$ of dimension $d-2 c$ such that $H_{d-2 c}^{\mathrm{pr}}(Z) \rightarrow H$ is surjective then this condition is not needed and we have $H \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H_{d}(X)$. We present an example below.

Example 4.11 Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{d+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d+1$. Let $Z=F_{1}(X)$ be the Fano variety of lines contained in $X$. If $X$ is general then $Z$ is smooth of dimension $d-2$ and the incidence correspondence induces a surjective map (cylinder homomorphism)

$$
\gamma_{*}: H_{d-2}^{\mathrm{pr}}(Z) \rightarrow H_{d}^{\mathrm{pr}}(X) ;
$$

see [27, Theorem (5.34)]. Hence, $H_{d}^{\mathrm{pr}}(X) \subset \widehat{N}^{1} H_{d}(X)$ by the previous remark.
Concerning the existence of a refined Chow-Künneth decomposition (in the strong sense) for the filtrations $N^{\bullet}, \widetilde{N}^{\bullet}$ and $\widehat{N}^{\bullet}$ we have the following.

Proposition 4.12 Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbf{C}$ such that $B(X)$ holds and $h(X)$ is finite dimensional. Then the following hold.
(i) There exists a refined Chow-Künneth decomposition in the strong sense for the filtration $\widehat{N}^{\bullet}$.
(ii) There exists a refined Chow-Künneth decomposition in the strong sense for

- $\widetilde{N}^{\bullet}$ if $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 5$,
- $N^{\bullet}$ if $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 3$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, there exists a refined Künneth decomposition in the strong sense for the filtration $\widehat{N}^{\bullet}$. If $h(X)$ is finite dimensional the ideal

$$
\operatorname{ker} A_{d}(X \times X) \rightarrow H_{2 d}(X \times X)
$$

is nilpotent, and the refined Künneth decomposition lifts to $\operatorname{Mot}_{\text {rat }}(k)$ by a lemma of Jannsen [14]. This proves part (i). Part (ii) follows from the comparison between the filtrations: $\widetilde{N}^{j} H_{i}(X)=\widehat{N}^{j} H_{i}(X)$ if $i-2 j \leq 3$ (Corollary 4.9) and $N^{j} H_{i}(X)=\widetilde{N}{ }^{j} H_{i}(X)$ if $i-2 j \leq 1$.

Remark 4.13 Part (ii) is due to Vial [41]. The assumption $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 5$ can be replaced by the conditions of Proposition 4.8.

Remark 4.14 Using Proposition 4.12, the main result of [22] can be extended to arbitrary dimension, provided one replaces Vial's filtration $\widetilde{N}^{\bullet}$ in the statement of [22, Theorem 3] by the filtration $\widehat{N}^{\bullet}$.

## 5. A variant of Voisin's arguments

Proposition 5.1 Let $\Gamma$ be a codimension-k cycle on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ and suppose that for $b \in B$ very general,

$$
\left.\Gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}} \text { in } H^{2 k}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)
$$

is supported on $V_{b} \times W_{b}$, with $V_{b}, W_{b} \subset X_{b}$ closed of codimension $c_{1}$, respectively $c_{2}$. Then there exist closed $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{X}$ of codimension $c_{1}$, respectively $c_{2}$, and a codimension- $k$ cycle $\Gamma^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ supported on $\mathcal{V} \times_{B} \mathcal{W}$ and such that

$$
\left.\Gamma^{\prime}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=\left.\Gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}} \text { in } H^{2 k}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)
$$

for all $b \in B$.
Proof. Use the same Hilbert schemes argument as in [45, Proposition 3.7], which is the case $V_{b}=W_{b}$.
Proposition 5.2 Suppose that $H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)=\widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$ for all $k \in\{e+1, \ldots, d\}$ and all $b \in B$. Then there exist families $\mathcal{Z}_{k} \rightarrow B$ of relative dimension $k-2 c$ and relative degree zero correspondences $\Pi_{k}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{B}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that
(a) $\Pi_{k}^{\prime}$ factors through $\mathcal{Z}_{k}$;
(b) $\left.\Pi_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}$ is homologous to the $k$ th Künneth projector $\pi_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$ for $k=e+1, \ldots, d$.

Proof. Using the assumptions and a Hilbert scheme argument as in [46], there exist a Zariski open subset $U \subset B$, a finite étale covering $\pi: V \rightarrow U$, a family $\mathcal{Z}_{k} \rightarrow V$ of relative dimension $i-2 c$ and relative correspondences $\Gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{V}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{k}, \mathcal{X}\right), \Gamma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{V}\left(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}_{k}\right)$ such that

$$
\left({ }^{*}\right) \quad Q\left(\Gamma_{v}(x), y\right)=Q^{\prime}\left(x, \Gamma_{v}^{\prime}(y)\right)
$$

for all $x \in H_{k}\left(X_{\pi(v)}\right), y \in H_{k-2 c}\left(Z_{\pi(v)}\right)$ and $v \in V$. We now consider $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ as relative cycles over $U$. Let $u \in U$. If $\pi^{-1}(u)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right\}$, we have $\Gamma_{u}=\sum_{j} \Gamma_{v_{j}}, \Gamma_{u}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} \Gamma_{v_{j}}^{\prime}$. As condition (*) holds for all $v_{j}$, we obtain

$$
\left(^{*}\right) \quad Q\left(\Gamma_{u}(x), y\right)=Q^{\prime}\left(x, \Gamma_{u}^{\prime}(y)\right) .
$$

We can extend $\mathcal{Z}$ to $B$ by relative projective completion and desingularization, and extend $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ to relative correspondences over $B$ by taking their Zariski closure.

As before, let $H_{k}^{\text {fix }}\left(X_{b}\right)$ be the image of the restriction map $H_{k+2 r}(M) \rightarrow H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$. As $B(M)$ holds, there exists an algebraic cycle $\beta_{d+r-k}$ that induces the operator $\Lambda^{d+r-k}$. Set $R_{k}=\beta_{d+r-k} L^{d-k}{ }_{\circ} \pi_{k+2 r}(M)$. If we pull back these cycles to $M \times M \times B$ and then to $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$, we obtain relative correspondences $\Pi_{k} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{B}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\left.\Pi_{k}\right|_{H_{k} \mathrm{fix}}\left(X_{b}\right)$ is the identity for all $k$ (see for example [21, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3]). Note that, by construction, $R_{k}$ factors through a subvariety of dimension $r+k$ of $M$ and $\left.\Pi_{k}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}$ factors through a subvariety $Y_{b} \subset X_{b}$ of dimension $k$, that is, $\left.\Pi_{k}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}} \in \operatorname{Im} A_{d}\left(Y_{b} \times X_{b}\right) \rightarrow A_{d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)$.

Write $\mathcal{T}=\Gamma_{\circ} \Gamma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{B}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$. Replacing $\mathcal{T}$ by $\Pi_{k} \circ \mathcal{T}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{T}_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}$ acts as zero on $H_{j}\left(X_{b}\right)$ for all $j \neq k$. By construction, $\left(\mathcal{T}_{b}\right)_{*}: H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right) \rightarrow H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$ is an isomorphism, hence it has an algebraic inverse by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, as we saw in the proof of Proposition 4.3. We want to perform a relative version of this construction. To this end, note that since $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ is a smooth morphism, the sheaf $R_{k} f_{*} \mathbf{Q}$ is locally constant. Hence, there exists an open covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $B$ and isomorphisms $f_{\alpha}$ from $\left.R_{k} f_{*} \mathbf{Q}\right|_{U_{\alpha}}$ to the constant sheaf with fiber $H_{k}\left(X_{0}\right)\left(0 \in U_{\alpha}\right.$ a base point). As $\mathcal{T}$ is a relative correspondence defined over $B$, the maps $\left(\mathcal{T} \mid U_{\alpha}\right)_{*}:\left.\left.R_{k} f_{*} \mathbf{Q}\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \rightarrow R_{k} f_{*} \mathbf{Q}\right|_{U_{\alpha}}$ induce automorphisms

$$
T_{\alpha}: H_{k}\left(X_{0}\right) \rightarrow H_{k}\left(X_{0}\right)
$$

that commute with the transition functions $f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1}$ :

$$
T_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ T_{\beta} \circ f_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} .
$$

Hence, the characteristic polynomial of $T_{\alpha}$ does not depend on $\alpha$. This implies that there exists a polynomial $P(\lambda)$ such that

$$
P\left(\mathcal{T}_{b}\right)_{*}=\left(\mathcal{T}_{b}\right)_{*}^{-1}
$$

for all $b \in B$. Define $\mathcal{U}=P(\mathcal{T}) \in \operatorname{Corr}_{B}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ and set $\Pi_{k}^{\prime}=\mathcal{U}_{0} \mathcal{T}$.
Corollary 5.3 There exist relative correspondences $\Pi_{\mathrm{left}}, \Pi_{\text {mid }}$ and $\Pi_{\text {right }}$ and families $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ of relative dimension $d, \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$ of relative dimension $d-2 c$, such that

- $\Pi_{\text {left }}$ is supported on $\mathcal{Y} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ and $\Pi_{\text {right }}$ is supported on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{Y}$;
- $\Pi_{\text {mid }}$ factors through $\mathcal{Z}$;
- the restriction of

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{X} / B}-\Pi_{\text {left }}-\Pi_{\text {mid }}-\Pi_{\text {right }}
$$

to $X_{b} \times X_{b}$ is homologous to zero for all $b \in B$.
Proof. Define $\Pi_{\text {left }}=\sum_{k=0}^{e} \Pi_{k}, \Pi_{\text {mid }}=\Pi_{d}^{\prime}+\sum_{k=e+1}^{d-1}\left(\Pi_{k}^{\prime}+{ }^{t} \Pi_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Pi_{\text {right }}={ }^{t} \Pi_{\ell}$. For the support condition on $\Pi_{\ell}$ and $\Pi_{r}$ use Proposition 5.1.

## 6. The main results

The setup that we consider in this section is the following. Let $M$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $d+r$. Let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r}$ be very ample line bundles on $M$, and let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ denote the family of all smooth complete intersections of dimension $d$ defined by sections of $E=L_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus L_{r}$. We write $X_{b}=f^{-1}(b)$. The next result plays a major role in deriving the main results. It uses the assumption that the $L_{j}$ are very ample in a crucial way.

Proposition 6.1 (Voisin [46]). Suppose that for general $b \in B$ one has that $X_{b}$ has non-trivial variable homology in degree $d$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a codimension-d cycle on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ with the property that

$$
\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=0 \quad \text { in } H_{2 d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right) .
$$

Then there exists a codimension-d cycle $\gamma$ on $M \times M$ such that

$$
\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}-\left.\gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=0 \quad \text { in } A_{d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)
$$

for all $b \in B$.
Proof. As we will show, the argument is really the same as that of Voisin's original result [46, Proposition 1.6] (where it is assumed that $M$ has trivial Chow groups).
Consider the blow-up $\widetilde{M \times M}$ of the diagonal and the natural quotient map $\mu: \widetilde{M \times M} \rightarrow M^{[2]}$ to the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional subschemes of $M$ of length 2 . Set $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P} H^{0}(X, E)$ and as in [46, Lemma 1.3] introduce

$$
I_{2}(E):=\left\{(s, y) \in \mathbf{P} \times \widetilde{M \times M}|s|_{\mu(y)}=0\right\} .
$$

Next, consider the blow-up of $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ along the relative diagonal:

$$
p: \overline{\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X} .
$$

Observe that $\overline{\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}}$ is Zariski open in $I_{2}(E)$ and so it makes sense to restrict cycles on $I_{2}(E)$ to the fibers $\widehat{X_{b} \times X_{b}}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}} \rightarrow B$. Very ampleness of the $L_{j}$ implies that $I_{2}(E) \rightarrow \widetilde{M \times M}$ is a projective bundle and hence its cohomology can be expressed in terms of cohomology coming from $\widetilde{M \times M}$ and a tautological class. Assume now that

$$
\exists R \in A^{d}\left(I_{2}(E)\right) \text { with }\left.R\right|_{\widehat{X_{b} \times X_{b}}} \sim_{\text {hom }} 0 .
$$

Voisin shows that this implies the existence of a codimension- $d$ cycle $\gamma$ on $M \times M$ and an integer $k$ such that

$$
\left(p_{b}\right)_{*}\left(\left.R\right|_{\overparen{X_{b} \times X_{b}}}\right)=k \Delta_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}+\left.\gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}} \quad \text { in } A_{d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right) .
$$

The first summand acts on all of homology, while the second summand, by construction, acts only on the fixed homology. So the assumption that there is some variable homology implies that $k=0$ and so the cycle $\gamma$ is homologous to zero. To prove the above variation, suppose we are given $\mathcal{D}$ of codimension $d$ on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ as above. As $\overline{\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}} \subset I_{2}(E)$ is Zariski open, there exists a codimension- $d$ cycle $R$ on $I_{2}(E)$ such that $\left.R\right|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}_{\beta} \mathcal{X}}}=p^{*} \mathcal{D}$. Then we have

$$
\left.R\right|_{\widehat{X_{b} \times X_{b}}}=\left.p^{*} \mathcal{D}\right|_{\widetilde{X_{b} \times X_{b}}}=\left(p_{b}\right)^{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}\right)=0 \text { in } H_{2 d}\left(\widetilde{X_{b} \times X_{b}}\right)
$$

for all $b \in B$, where $p_{b}: \widetilde{X_{b} \times X_{b}} \rightarrow X_{b} \times X_{b}$ denotes the blow-up of the diagonal. Hence, if we apply Voisin's original proposition to this cycle $R$, we get the desired conclusion.

Theorem 6.2 Notation as above. Suppose that $B(M)$ holds and the Chow motive of $M$ is finitedimensional. Assume that for a general $b \in B$ the fiber $X_{b}$ has non-trivial variable homology:

$$
H_{d}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\mathrm{var}} \neq 0,
$$

and that for some non-negative integers $c, e$, with $e<d$, we have

$$
H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)=\widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right) \quad \text { for all } k \in\{e+1, \ldots, d\} .
$$

Then, for any $b \in B$, we have

$$
\text { Niveau }\left(A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)\right) \leq e-k \quad \text { for all } k<\min \{d-e, c\},
$$

that is, there exists a subvariety $Y_{b} \subset X_{b}$ of dimension e such that $A_{k}\left(Y_{b}\right) \rightarrow A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$ is surjective.
Proof. Step 1. We first construct a homological decomposition of the diagonal of $X_{b}$

$$
\Delta_{X_{b}} \sim_{\text {hom }} \Delta_{\text {left }}+\Delta_{\text {mid }}+\Delta_{\text {right }} \quad \text { in } H_{2 d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)
$$

where the right-hand side consists of self-correspondences of $X$ of degree $0, \Delta_{\text {right }}={ }^{t} \Delta_{\text {left }}$ and $\Delta_{\text {mid }}$ factors with shift $c$ through a smooth variety $Z$.

This is done as follows. As conjecture $B$ is stable by hyperplane sections (see Remark 2.4), the complete intersections $X_{b}$ satisfy $B\left(X_{b}\right)$, and hence, by Proposition 2.5 , there are correspondences $\pi_{j} \in \operatorname{Corr}^{0}\left(X_{b}, X_{b}\right), j=0, \ldots, 2 d$ inducing the corresponding homological Künneth projectors. By Proposition 4.5, for $k \in\{e+1, \ldots, d\}$, we have that $\pi_{k}\left(X_{b}\right) \sim_{\text {hom }} \pi_{k}^{\prime}\left(X_{b}\right)$, a projector that factors through a variety of dimension $k-2 c$ with shift $c$ as in Definition 2.1. Now set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\text {left }} & =\sum_{k \leq e} \pi_{k}\left(X_{b}\right) \\
\Delta_{\text {right }} & ={ }^{t} \Delta_{\text {left }} \\
\Delta_{\text {mid }} & =\sum_{k=e+1}^{2 d-e-1} \pi_{k}^{\prime}\left(X_{b}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. We spread out the fiberwise correspondences $\Delta_{\text {left }}, \Delta_{\text {right }}, \Delta_{\text {mid }}$ to the family of hypersurfaces

$$
\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B
$$

using Voisin's argument in the form of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2. This gives a homological decomposition of the relative diagonal, in the sense that there exists $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ of relative dimension $d$ and a family $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$ of relative dimension $d-2 c$, and codimension- $d$ cycles

$$
\Pi_{\text {left }}, \quad \Pi_{\text {right }}, \quad \Pi_{\text {mid }}
$$

on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ such that $\Pi_{\text {left }}, \Pi_{\text {right }}$ have support on $\mathcal{Y} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$, respectively on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{Y}$, and $\Pi_{\text {mid }}$ factors through $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$ such that, for any $b \in B$, restriction gives back the diagonal:

$$
\left.\left(\Pi_{\text {left }}+\Pi_{\text {mid }}+\Pi_{\text {right }}\right)\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=\Delta_{X_{b}} \text { in } H_{2 d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right) .
$$

Step 3. We upgrade this to rational equivalence using properties of $M$. So we consider the difference

$$
\mathcal{D}:=\Delta_{\mathcal{X}}-\Pi_{\text {left }}-\Pi_{\mathrm{mid}}-\Pi_{\text {right }},
$$

a relative correspondence with the property that

$$
\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=0 \quad \text { in } H_{2 d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right),
$$

for all $b \in B$. We now apply the key Proposition 6.1 to $\mathcal{D}$. We find a codimension- $d$ cycle $\gamma$ on $M \times M$ such that

$$
\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}-\left.\gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=0 \quad \text { in } \operatorname{Corr}_{0}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right),
$$

for all $b \in B$. The crucial point is that the restriction $\left.\gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)$ is homologically trivial, and so, by Proposition 2.9, is nilpotent.

Step 4. We can now finish the proof. Observe that a specialization argument reduces the proof to showing it for a general $b \in B$ (cf. [45, Theorem 1.7] and [46, Theorem 0.6]). For general $b$, the fiber $X_{b}$ will be in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ so that

$$
\Gamma_{\text {left }}:=\left.\Pi_{\text {left }}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}
$$

will be supported on $Y_{b} \times X_{b}$ with $Y_{b}$ of dimension $c$, and likewise

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\text {mid }}:=\left.\Pi_{\text {mid }}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

will factor with a shift $c$. Let $\Gamma_{\text {right }}$ be the transpose of $\Gamma_{\text {left }}$. For some $N \gg 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{X_{b}}-\Gamma_{\text {left }}-\Gamma_{\text {mid }}-\Gamma_{\text {right }}\right)^{\circ N}=0 \quad \text { in } \operatorname{Corr}_{0}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right), \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\text {left }}, \Gamma_{\text {right }}$ is supported on $Y_{b} \times X_{b}$, respectively on $X_{b} \times Y_{b}$, and $\Gamma_{\text {mid }}$ factors through $Z_{b}$ with shift $c$ as in equation (6.1).
Since $\Gamma_{\text {left }}$ is supported on $Y_{b} \times X_{b}$, Lemma 2.2 implies that its action on $A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$ is trivial for $k<\operatorname{codim} Y=d-e$. The correspondence $\Gamma_{\text {mid }}$ by construction factors through $Z_{b}$ with shift $c$ and so (by the same lemma) its action on $A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$ is trivial, since $k<c$. Now expand the expression (6.2) to conclude that

$$
\left(\Delta_{X_{b}}\right)_{*}=\left(\text { polynomial in } \Gamma_{\text {right }}\right)_{*}: A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right) \rightarrow A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right) .
$$

Since $\Delta_{X_{b}}$ acts as the identity on $A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$, this implies indeed that $A_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)$ is supported on $Y_{b}$, a variety of dimension $e$.

Remark 6.3 It is possible to be more precise: in the situation of Theorem 6.2, we even have that

$$
\cdot L^{d-e}: A^{e-k}\left(X_{b}\right) \rightarrow A^{d-k}\left(X_{b}\right)
$$

is surjective in the range $k<\min \{d-e, c\}$, so the $k$-cycles of $X_{b}$ are supported on a dimension $e$ complete intersection. To obtain this, we remark that the $\Gamma_{\text {right }}$ in the above proof can be expressed in terms of $L^{d-e}$, just as in the proof of [23].

Recall that for curves $A_{0}^{\mathrm{AJ}}=0$ and so, if $A_{0}\left(X_{b}\right)$ is supported on a curve, we have $A_{0}^{\mathrm{AJ}}\left(X_{b}\right)=0$. We thus deduce that for $c=1, e=1$, we get the following special case:

Corollary 6.4 Let $M$ be a smooth $(d+1)$-dimensional projective variety for which $B(M)$ holds and whose (Chow) motive is finite dimensional.

Let $X_{b}, b \in B$ be the family of all smooth hypersurfaces in a very ample linear system and suppose that

$$
H_{d}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\mathrm{var}} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)=\widehat{N}^{1} H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right), \quad k=2, \ldots, d
$$

for general $b \in B$. Then

$$
A_{0}^{A J}\left(X_{b}\right)=0
$$

for all $b \in B$.
Remark 6.5 (1) In view of Corollary $4.9(1)$, for $n=2$, the condition on the coniveau becomes $N^{1} H_{2}\left(X_{b}\right)=H_{2}\left(X_{b}\right)$, that is, all cohomology is algebraic. For $n=3$, we should have in addition that $N^{1} H_{3}\left(X_{b}\right)=H_{3}\left(X_{b}\right)$, that is, $h^{3,0}\left(X_{b}\right)=0$, as well as the generalized Hodge conjecture for $H^{3}\left(X_{b}\right)$.
(2) Note that in Corollary 6.4 there is no condition on $H_{d+1}(M)$, so $p_{g}(M)$ could be non-zero. In this case, nothing is known about the Chow groups of $M$, so it is remarkable that one can at least control the image $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{1}(M) \rightarrow A_{0}\left(X_{b}\right)\right)$.

We now come to our second main theorem. It asserts that a 'short' niveau filtration on the variable cohomology already has strong implications for the Abel-Jacobi kernels.

Theorem 6.6 Let $i: X \hookrightarrow M$ be a complete intersection of dimension $d$. Suppose that

- B (M) holds;
- the Chow motive of $M$ is finite dimensional;
- $H_{d}^{\mathrm{var}}(X) \neq 0$ and for some positive integer $c$ we have $H_{d}^{\mathrm{var}}(X) \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H_{d}(X)$.

Then for $k<c$ or for $k>d-c$ we have

$$
i^{*}: A_{k+r}(M) \rightarrow A_{k}(X), \quad i_{*}: A_{k}(X) \hookrightarrow A_{k}(M) .
$$

Moreover, in this range

$$
A_{k}^{\mathrm{var}}(X)=\operatorname{ker}\left(A_{k}(X) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} A_{k}(M)\right)=0,
$$

## If in addition

(a) $H_{k}(M)=N^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} H_{k}(M)$ for $k \leq d$, then $A_{k}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)=0$ if $k<c$ or $k>d-c$;
(b) $H_{k}(M)=N^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]} H_{k}(M)$ for $k \leq d$, then $A_{k}^{\text {hom }}(X)=0$ if $k<c$ or $k>d-c$.

Proof. Let $X$ be a smooth complete intersection. In Section 2.5, we showed that there is a decomposition

$$
\Delta_{X}=\pi^{\mathrm{fix}}(X)+\pi^{\mathrm{var}}(X)
$$

which in cohomology induces projection onto fixed and variable cohomology, respectively. By Proposition 5.2, there exist relative codimension- $d$ cycles $\Pi^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\text {var }}$ on $\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}$ such that $\Pi^{\prime}$ comes from $M \times M \times B$ and $\Pi^{\mathrm{var}}$ induces $\pi^{\mathrm{var}}(X)$. Moreover, the restriction of

$$
R=\Delta_{\mathcal{X} / B}-\Pi^{\prime}-\Pi_{d}^{\mathrm{var}}
$$

to the general fiber is homologically trivial. By Proposition 6.1, there exists a codimension- $d$ cycle $\gamma$ on $M \times M$ such that

$$
\left.R\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}-\left.\gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}
$$

is rationally equivalent to zero for $b \in B$ general. In particular, $\left.\gamma\right|_{X_{\times X}}$ is homologically trivial. Hence, $\left.\gamma\right|_{X \times X}$ is nilpotent by Proposition 2.9. Let $N$ be the index of nilpotency of $\gamma_{X \times X}$. We obtain

$$
0=\left.\gamma^{\circ N}\right|_{X \times X}=\left(\Delta_{X}-\pi^{\mathrm{fix}}(X)-\pi^{\mathrm{var}}(X)\right)^{\circ N}
$$

By assumption (3) and Corollary 4.6, the correspondence $\pi^{\mathrm{var}}(X)$ factors through a correspondence of degree $-c$ over a variety of dimension $d-2 c$ and so acts trivially on $A_{k}(X)$ if $k<c$ or $k>d-c$. Setting $\psi=\pi^{\text {fix }}(X)$, we find that for some polynomial $P$ we have $P(\psi)_{* \circ} \psi_{*}=$ $\psi_{*^{\circ}} P(\psi)_{*}=$ id on the Chow groups $A_{k}(X)$ with $k$ in this range and the first assertion follows. For the second, observe that $\psi$ acts as zero on $A_{k}^{\mathrm{var}}(X)$.

The assumption (a) above implies that $\pi^{\text {fix }}(X)$ factors through a curve and so this summand acts trivially on $A_{k}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)$ for all $k$. So then the above argument indeed gives that $A_{k}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)=0$ if $k<c$ or $k>d-c$. In case (b), $\pi^{\text {fix }}(X)$ factors through a point and we obtain $A_{k}^{\text {hom }}(X)=0$ if $k<c$ or $k>d-c$.

Corollary 6.7 In the above situation, suppose that $c=\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$. Then the motive $h(X)$ is finitedimensional. Moreover, if for $M$ we have $A_{k}^{A J}(M)=0$ for all $k$, then also $A_{k}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)=0$ for all $k$.
Proof. The assumptions imply surjectivity of $i^{*}: A_{k}^{A J}(M$, id, $r) \rightarrow A_{k}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(h(X)$, id, 0$)$ in the range $k=0, \ldots,\left[\frac{d-2}{2}\right]$. We then apply Vial's result [40, Theorem 3.11] (NB: for an alternative proof of Vial's result in terms of birational motives, cf. [26, Appendix B]).

## 7. Variants with group actions

Let $M$ be a projective manifold of dimension $d+r$ and let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r}$ be ample line bundles on $M$ and, as before, set

$$
E:=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{r} .
$$

We assume that a finite group $G$ acts on $M$ and on the $L_{j}$, and that the linear systems $\left|L_{j}\right|^{G}$, $j=1, \ldots, r$, are base point free. The complete intersection in $M$ corresponding to $s=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \in \mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}(M, E)\right)$ is denoted $X_{s}$. We consider smooth complete intersections coming from $G$-invariant hypersurfaces and set accordingly

$$
B:=\left\{b \in \mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}(M, E)^{G}\right) \mid X_{b} \text { is smooth }\right\} .
$$

This is Zariski open in $\mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}(M, E)^{G}\right)$.
The graph of the action of $g \in G$ on $M$ will be written $\Gamma_{g} \subset M \times M$. As before, we let $\widetilde{M \times M}$ be the blow-up of $M \times M$ in the diagonal and $M^{[2]}$ the Hilbert scheme of length 2 subschemes of $M$ with the natural quotient morphism $\mu: \widetilde{M \times M} \rightarrow M^{[2]}$. Consider the 'bad' locus

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{E, \mu}= & \{y \in \widetilde{M \times M} \mid\} \text { no } s \in H^{0}(M, E)^{G} \text { separates the points } \\
& \text { of the length }-2 \text { scheme } \mu(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the $G$-invariant sections of $E$ do not separate points in $G$-orbits. We demand instead that they separate entire $G$-orbits; in fact, we want something less stringent, as expressed by the following notion, involving the proper transforms $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{g}$ of $\Gamma_{g}$ in $\overline{M \times M}$.

Definition 7.1 Assume $(M, E)$ and $G$ are as above. We say that $H^{0}(M, E)^{G}$ almost separates orbits if the 'bad' locus $B_{E, \mu}$ is contained in $\bigcup_{g \neq i d} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{g} \cup R_{G}$, where $R_{G}$ is a (possibly empty) union of components of codimension $>\operatorname{dim} M=d+r$.

This demand ensures that $I_{2}(E) \rightarrow \widetilde{M \times M}$ is a repeated blow-up of a projective bundle so that its cohomology can be controlled. In order to have an analog of Proposition 6.1, we demand that for $g \in G$ the endomorphisms

$$
\gamma_{g}^{\mathrm{var}}=\left[\Gamma_{g, b}\right]_{*}^{\mathrm{var}} \in \text { End } H_{d}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\mathrm{var}}
$$

should be independent. This can be tested using the following result.
Lemma 7.2 Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a representation of a finite group on a finite-dimensional Q-vector space $V$. Then the endomorphisms $\left\{\rho_{g}, g \in G\right\}$ are independent in End $V$ if $G$ is abelian and every irreducible representation occurs in $V$.

Proof. This is a consequence of elementary representation theory. We may work over $\mathbf{C}$. In the abelian case, the group ring $\mathbf{C}[G]$ is isomorphic to the regular representation of $G$ and since the former has for its base the irreducible non-isomorphic characters, the elements $g, g \in G$, give a basis for $\mathbf{C}[G]$. The representation $\rho$ induces an algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: \mathbf{C}[G] \rightarrow$ End $V$ which
is injective if every irreducible representation occurs in $V$. So the images $\tilde{\rho}_{g}, g \in G$ form an independent set.

Let us next introduce some notation. Suppose that $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is a $\mathbf{Q}$-character defining an irreducible Q-representation $V_{\chi}$, that is, $\chi(g)=\left.\operatorname{Tr}(g)\right|_{V_{\chi}}$ for all $g \in G$. The corresponding projector in the group ring of $G$ is

$$
\pi_{\chi}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) g \in \mathbf{Q}[G]
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\chi}:=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \Gamma_{g, b} \in \operatorname{Corr}_{0}\left(X_{b}, X_{b}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on the Chow group of $M$ and on the homology groups of $M$ as well as the homology of the complete intersections $X_{b}$. The latter action preserves the decomposition into variable and fixed homology. The $j$ th Chow group of the motive $\left(X, \Gamma_{\chi}\right)$ is by definition

$$
A_{j}\left(X, \Gamma_{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\Gamma_{\chi}: A_{j}(X) \rightarrow A_{j}(X)\right)=A_{j}(X)^{\chi},
$$

where for any $G$-module $V$ we set

$$
V^{\chi}:=\{v \in V \mid g(v)=\chi(g) v \text { for all } g \in G\}=\left\{v \in V \mid\left(\Gamma_{\chi}\right)_{*} v=v\right\} .
$$

Thus $\Gamma_{\chi}$ acts as the identity on $V^{\chi}$.
We are now ready to formulate a variant of Proposition 6.1. Its validity is shown in the course of the proof of [46, Theorem 3.3].

Proposition 7.3 Let $(M, E), G$ and $B \subset \mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}(M, E)^{G}\right)$ be as above. Suppose that

- $H^{0}(M, E)^{G}$ almost separates orbits;
- the endomorphisms $\gamma_{g}^{\mathrm{var}} \in$ End $H_{d}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\mathrm{var}}, g \in G$, are linearly independent;
- for general $b \in B$ one has $H_{d}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\mathrm{var}} \neq 0$.

Then for any $\mathcal{D} \in A^{d}\left(\mathcal{X} \times_{B} \mathcal{X}\right)^{\chi}$ with the property that

$$
\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=0 \quad \text { in } H_{2 d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)^{\chi},
$$

there exists a codimension-d cycle $\gamma$ on $M \times M$ such that

$$
\left.\mathcal{D}\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}-\left.\gamma\right|_{X_{b} \times X_{b}}=0 \quad \text { in } A_{d}\left(X_{b} \times X_{b}\right)^{\chi}
$$

for all $b \in B$.

Using this variant, the arguments we employed in Section 6 for $\Delta_{X}$ can thus be applied to $\Gamma_{\chi}$ provided we restrict to $H_{*}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\chi}$. Since $\Gamma_{\chi}$ acts as the identity on $A_{j}(X)^{\chi}$, the same conclusions as before can be drawn for these Chow groups and we obtain the following results.

Theorem 7.4 Let $(M, E), G$ and $B \subset \mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}(M, E)^{G}\right)$ be as above. Moreover, let $\chi$ be a $\mathbf{Q}$-character for $G$ and $\Gamma_{\chi}$ the associated projector (7.1). Suppose that

- B(M) holds;
- $H^{0}(M, E)^{G}$ almost separates orbits;
- the endomorphisms $\gamma_{g}^{\mathrm{var}} \in$ End $H_{d}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\mathrm{var}}, g \in G$, are linearly independent;
- the Chow motive $\left(M, \Gamma_{\chi}\right)$ is finite dimensional.

Assume, moreover, that for a general $b \in B$ one has $H_{d}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\mathrm{var}} \neq 0$ and that

$$
H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right)^{\chi} \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H_{k}\left(X_{b}\right) \quad \text { for all } k \in\{e+1, \ldots, d\}
$$

Then, for any $b \in B$,
Niveau $\left(\left(A_{j}\left(X_{b}\right)\right)^{\chi}\right) \leq e-j \quad$ for all $j<\min \{d-e, c\}$,
that is, there exists a subvariety $Z_{b} \subset X_{b}$ of dimension d such that $A_{j}\left(Z_{b}\right) \rightarrow A_{j}\left(X_{b}, \Gamma_{\chi}\right)$ is surjective if $j<\min \{d-e, c\}$.

Theorem 7.5 Notation as in the previous theorem. Let $X \subset M$ be a $G$-invariant complete intersection of dimension d. Suppose that

- B (M) holds;
- $H^{0}(M, E)^{G}$ almost separates orbits;
- the endomorphisms $\gamma_{g}^{\mathrm{var}}, g \in G$, are linearly independent in $\operatorname{End}\left(H_{d}(X)^{\mathrm{var}}\right)$;
- the Chow motive $\left(M, \Gamma_{\chi}\right)$ is finite dimensional;
- $0 \neq H_{n}(X)^{\mathrm{var}}$ and for some positive integer $c$ we have $H_{d}(X)^{\mathrm{var}, \chi} \subset \widehat{N}^{c} H^{d}(X)$.

Then, for $k<c$ or for $k>d-c$ we have

$$
i^{*}: A_{k+r}(M)^{\chi} \rightarrow A_{k}(X)^{\chi}, \quad i_{*}: A_{k}(X)^{\chi} \hookrightarrow A_{k}(M)^{\chi} .
$$

Moreover, in this range

$$
A_{k}^{\mathrm{var}}(X)^{\chi}=\operatorname{ker}\left(A_{k}(X)^{\chi} \xrightarrow{i_{*}} A_{k}(M)^{\chi}\right)=0 .
$$

If in addition $H_{k}(M)^{\chi}=N^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} H_{k}(M)^{\chi}$ for $k \leq d$, then $A_{k}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)^{\chi}=0$ if $k<c$ or $k>d-c$.
We also have the analog of Corollary 6.7:
Corollary 7.6 In the above situation, suppose that $c=\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$. Then the motive $h\left(X, \Gamma_{\chi}\right)$ is finitedimensional.

## 8. Examples

### 8.1. Hypersurfaces in abelian 3-folds

We let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension 3. Let $\iota=-1_{A}$ be the standard involution. Choose an irreducible principal polarization $L$ that is preserved by $\iota$. The following facts are well known (see for example [19]).

Facts:

- $L$ is ample and sections of $L^{\otimes 2}$ correspond to even theta functions (and hence are invariant under the involution).
- $L^{3}=3!=6$ and $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(L^{\otimes 2}\right)=8$.
- The linear system $\left|L^{\otimes 2}\right|$ defines a 2-to-1 morphism $\kappa: A \rightarrow \operatorname{Km}(A) \subset \mathbf{P}^{7}=\mathbf{P} H^{0}\left(L^{\otimes 2}\right)^{*}$, where $\operatorname{Km}(A)$ is the Kummer 3-fold associated to $A$, an algebraic 3-fold, smooth outside the images of the $2^{6}$ two-torsion points of $A$.
We let $X=\left\{\theta_{0}=0\right\} \subset A$ be a general divisor in $\left|L^{\otimes 2}\right|$. This is a smooth surface invariant under $\iota$ and $\kappa$ induces an étale double cover of surfaces $X \rightarrow Y:=X /\left(\left.\iota\right|_{X}\right) \subset \operatorname{Km}(A)$. The surfaces $X$ and $Y$ are of general type. The crucial properties of $X$ are as follows. We use the standard notation for the character spaces for the action of $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}=\{\mathrm{id}, \iota\}$ on a vector space $V$ :

$$
V^{ \pm}=\{v \in V \mid \iota(v)= \pm v\} .
$$

## Proposition 8.1

(1) We have $H_{1}(X)^{+}=0$.
(2) The splitting

$$
H_{2}^{\mathrm{var}}(X)=H_{2}^{\mathrm{var},+}(X) \oplus H_{2}^{\mathrm{var},-}(X)
$$

is non-trivial and $H_{2}^{\mathrm{var},+}(X)=N^{1} H_{2}^{\mathrm{var},+}(X)$, that is, $H^{2,0}(X)^{\mathrm{var},+}=0$.
Before giving the proof, we observe that Theorem 7.5 and Corollary 7.6 imply:

Corollary 8.2 We have $A_{0}^{\mathrm{var}}(X)^{+}=0$ and the motive $h(X)^{+}=h(Y)$ is finite dimensional (of abelian type).

Proof of Proposition 8.1. (1) Since $\iota$ acts as -id on 1-forms, $b_{1}(Y)=b_{1}(X)^{+}=0$. (2) We consider cohomology instead of homology. Consider the Poincaré residue sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{A}^{3} \rightarrow \Omega_{A}^{3}(X) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} \Omega_{X}^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

In cohomology, this gives

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Omega_{A}^{3}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Omega_{A}^{3}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Omega_{A}^{3}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $H^{0}\left(\Omega_{A}^{3}(X)\right)=H^{0}\left(L^{\otimes 2}\right)$, we deduce that

$$
h_{\text {var }}^{0,2}(X)=7, \quad h_{\text {fix }}^{0,2}(X)=3
$$

By the residue sequence, variable holomorphic 2-forms are the Poincaré-residues along $X$ of meromorphic 3-forms on $A$ with at most a simple pole along $X=\left\{\theta_{0}=0\right\}$ and are given by expressions of the form

$$
\frac{\theta}{\theta_{0}} d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} \wedge d z_{3}
$$

with $\theta$ being a theta-function on $A$ corresponding to a section of $L^{\otimes 2}$, and where $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ are holomorphic coordinates on $\mathbf{C}^{3}$. It follows that such forms are anti-invariant under $\iota$ and so $h_{\mathrm{var}}^{2,0}(X)=h_{\mathrm{var},-}^{2,0}(X)=7$.

To complete the proof, we need to show that $H_{\text {var, }}^{1,1}(X)=H_{\text {var }}^{1,1}(Y)$ is non-trivial. This is a consequence of the following calculation.

Lemma 8.3 The invariants of $X$ and $Y$ are as follows.

| Variety | $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}^{\text {var }}=\left(h_{\text {var }}^{2,0}, h_{\text {var }}^{1,1}, h_{\text {var }}^{0,2}\right)$ | $b_{2}^{\text {fix }}=\left(h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{2,0}, h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{1,1}, h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{0,2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | 6 | $43=(7,29,7)$ | $15=(3,9,3)$ |
| $Y$ | 0 | $7=(0,7,0)$ | $15=(3,9,3)$ |

Proof. By Lefschetz' theorem $b_{1}(X)=b_{1}(A)=6$. To calculate $b_{2}$ we observe that $c_{1}(X)=$ $-\left.2 L\right|_{X}$ and $c_{2}(X)=\left.4 L^{2}\right|_{X}$ so that

$$
c_{1}^{2}(X)=c_{2}(X)=\left.4 L^{2}\right|_{X}=8 L^{3}=48 .
$$

Since $c_{2}(X)=e(X)=2-2 b_{1}(X)+b_{2}(X)=48$, it follows that $b_{2}(X)=58$. Now $b_{2}^{\text {fix },+}(X)=$ $b_{2}(A)=15$ and so $b_{2}^{\text {var }}(X)=43$. The 2 -forms on $X$ that are the restrictions of holomorphic 2-forms on $A$ are clearly invariant and $h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{2,0}(X)=h_{\mathrm{fix},+}^{2,0}(X)=3$. Since $h_{\mathrm{var}}^{2,0}=7$, the invariants for $X$ follow.

For $b_{2}(Y)$, we use that $\iota \mid X$ acts freely on the generic $X$ and so $e(Y)=\frac{1}{2} e(X)=\frac{1}{2} c_{2}(X)=$ $24=2+b_{2}(Y)$ implying that $b_{2}(Y)=22$. Using Künneth, we find $b_{2}^{\mathrm{fix},+}(X)=b_{2}^{+}(A)=$ $b_{2}(A)=15$ and so $b_{2}^{\mathrm{fix},+}(X)=15$ and $b_{2}^{\mathrm{var},+}(X)=7$. Since $h_{\mathrm{var},+}^{2,0}(X)=0$, this yields the invariants for $Y$.

### 8.2. Hypersurfaces in products of a hyperelliptic curve and a K3-surface

Let $C$ be a hyperelliptic curve with hyperelliptic involution $\iota_{C}$, and let $S$ be a K3-surface with $h(S)$ finite dimensional and which admits a fixed point free involution $\iota_{2}$. Such surfaces exist; see for example the examples of Enriques surfaces in [4, Section 4] coming from a K3-surface with Picard number $\geq 19$. By Remark 2.7, the motive of $S$ (and hence of $M:=C \times S$ ) is finite
dimensional. The involution $\iota=\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ acts without fixed points on $M$. We let $L_{1}$ be the hyperelliptic divisor on $C$ and we pick a very ample divisor $L_{2}$ on $S$ invariant under the Enriques involution $\iota_{2}$ and we set $L=L_{1} \boxtimes L_{2}$. Let

$$
i: X \hookrightarrow M=C \times S
$$

be a smooth hypersurface in $|L|$ invariant under $\iota$. Since $\iota$ has no fixed points, $Y=X / \iota$ is a smooth surface. Since it has an étale cover with ample canonical divisor, $Y$ is a surface of general type. The analogs of Proposition 8.1 and its corollary are valid here.

Proposition 8.4 We have

- $H_{1}(X)^{+}=0$;
- $H^{2,0}(X)^{\mathrm{fix},+}=0$;
- the splitting

$$
H_{2}^{\mathrm{var}}(X)=H_{2}^{\mathrm{var},+}(X) \oplus H_{2}^{\mathrm{var},-}(X)
$$

is non-trivial and $H^{2,0}(X)^{\mathrm{var},+}=0$;

- $A_{0}(X)^{\mathrm{var},+}=0$ and the motive $h(X)^{+}=h(Y)$ is finite dimensional of abelian type.

Proof. To simplify notation, we write $2 u=L_{2}^{2}$ with $u \in \mathbf{Z}$, which is possible since $L_{2}^{2}$ is even.
Step 1. Calculation of the Betti numbers of $X$ and $Y$
We claim:

- $b_{1}(X)=2 g$ and $b_{2}(X)=4 g+4(g+2) u+46$,
- $b_{1}(Y)=0$ and $b_{2}(Y)=g+(2 g+2) u+22$.

To show this, observe that the Künneth formula and the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem imply $b_{1}(X)=b_{1}(M)=b_{1}(C)=2 g$ and $b_{1}(Y)=b_{1}^{+}(X)=b_{1}^{+}(C)=0$. To calculate $b_{2}(X)$, we calculate the Euler number $e(X)=c_{2}(X)$ from the Whitney product formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+c_{1}\left(j^{*} L\right)\right)\left(1+c_{1}(X)+c_{2}(X)\right) \\
& \quad=1+(2-2 g) P_{1}+24 P_{2}+\cdots, \quad P_{1}=i^{*} p_{1}^{*}[C], \quad P_{2}=i^{*} p_{2}^{*}[S]
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives $c_{1}(X)=(2-2 g) P_{1}-c_{1}\left(i^{*} L\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2}(X) & =24 P_{2}-c_{1}\left(j^{*} L\right) c_{1}(X) \\
& =24 P_{2}+(2 g-2) P_{1} \cdot c_{1}\left(i^{*} L\right)+c_{1}^{2}\left(i^{*} L\right) \\
& =24 P_{2}+(2 g-2) P_{1} \cdot\left(2 P_{1}+\ell_{2}\right)+\left(2 P_{1}+\ell_{2}\right)^{2}, \quad \ell_{2}=c_{1}\left(i^{*} p_{2}^{*} L_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Identifying $H^{4}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ with the integers, we have

$$
P_{1}^{2}=0, \quad P_{2}=2, \quad\left(P_{1} \cdot \ell_{2}\right)=L_{2}^{2}=2 u, \quad \ell_{2}^{2}=4 u
$$

and so

$$
c_{2}(X)=48+4(g+2) u=2-4 g+b_{2}(X) \Longrightarrow b_{2}(X)=46+4 g+4(g+2) u .
$$

We calculate $b_{2}(Y)$ from the Euler number of $Y$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2+b_{2}(Y)=e(Y) & =\frac{1}{2} e(X)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2-2 g+b_{2}(X)\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow b_{2}(Y)=\frac{1}{2} b_{2}(X)-(g+1)=22+g+2(g+2) u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Variable and fixed homology
Remarking that the fixed cohomology equals $\operatorname{Im}\left(i^{*}: H^{2}(M) \hookrightarrow H^{2}(X)\right)$, we find $b_{2}^{\text {fix }}(X)=b_{2}(M)=b_{2}(C)+b_{2}(S)=23$. Since $M / \iota=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times\{$ Enriques surface $\}$, we find $b_{2}^{\text {fix }}(X)=b_{2}(M / \iota)=11$. We put the result in a table.

| Variety | $b_{2}^{\text {var }}$ | $b_{2}^{\text {fix }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | $4 g+4(g+2) u+23$ | 23 |
| $Y$ | $g+(2 g+2) u+22$ | 11 |

Step 3. Hodge numbers of $X$
As one readily verifies, the fixed cohomology has Hodge numbers

$$
h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{2,0}(X)=1, \quad h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{1,1}(X)=21 .
$$

For the variable cohomology, we have

$$
h_{\text {var }}^{2,0}(X)=(g+1) \cdot u+g+2, \quad h_{\text {var }}^{1,1}(X)=2(g+3) u+2 g-2 .
$$

To see this, consider the Poincaré residue sequence in this situation.

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{M}^{3} \rightarrow \Omega_{M}^{3}(X) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} \Omega_{X}^{2} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

From the long exact sequence in cohomology, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{M}^{3}(X)=p_{1}^{*} \omega_{C}\left(L_{1}\right) \wedge p_{2}^{*} \omega_{S}\left(L_{2}\right) \Longrightarrow h_{\operatorname{var}}^{2,0}(X)=h^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C} \otimes L_{1}\right) \cdot\left(h^{0}\left(S, L_{2}\right)-g\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Riemann-Roch $h^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C} \otimes L_{1}\right)=h^{1}\left(L_{1}^{*}\right)=g+1$ and $h^{0}\left(S, L_{2}\right)=u+2$. The result for $h_{\text {var }}^{2,0}(X)$ follows.
Step 4. Hodge numbers of $Y$
From the fact that $M / \iota$ is the product of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ and an Enriques surface, we that find $h_{\text {fix }+}^{2,0}=0$ and $h_{\mathrm{fix}+}^{1,1}=11$. To find the Hodge numbers for the variable cohomology, we use a basic observation.

Lemma 8.5 We have $h^{0}\left(C, \omega_{C} \otimes L_{1}\right)^{+}=0$.
Proof. Invariant meromorphic 1-forms on $C$ having a pole at most in the hyperelliptic divisor correspond to meromorphic 1-forms on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ with at most one pole. But there are no such forms.

As a corollary, from (8.1), it then follows that $h_{\text {var }}^{0,2}(X)^{+}=0$ and so $H^{2}(X)_{\text {var }}^{+}$is pure of type $(1,1)$. We claim that $H^{2}(X)_{\text {var }}^{+} \neq 0$. Indeed, our calculations lead to the following table.

| Variety | $\left(h_{\mathrm{var}}^{2,0}, h_{\mathrm{var}}^{1,1}, h_{\mathrm{var}}^{0,2}\right)$ | $\left(h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{2,0}, h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{1,1}, h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{0,2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | $((g+1) u+g+2,2(g+3) u+2 g+21, g+1) u+g+2)$ | $(1,21,1)$ |
| $Y$ | $(0,2(g+3) u+2 g+10,0)$ | $(0,11,0)$ |

### 8.3. Hypersurfaces in products of three curves

Let $M=C_{1} \times C_{2} \times C_{3}$ where $C_{\alpha}$ are curves equipped with an involution $\iota_{\alpha}$. Assume that $L_{\alpha}$ is a very ample line bundle on $C_{\alpha}$ which is preserved by $\iota_{\alpha}$ and such that the system $\left|L_{\alpha}\right|^{t_{\alpha}}$ gives a morphism. Put $\iota=\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}, \iota_{3}\right)$ and let $X \subset M$ be a general member of the system $\left|L_{1} \otimes L_{2} \otimes L_{3}\right|^{\iota}$ where we identify $L_{\alpha}$ with its pull back to $M$. The group $G$ generated by the three involutions $\iota_{\alpha}$ acts on $M$. As in the previous subsections, one can calculate the various character spaces for the action of $G$ on $H_{2}(X)^{\mathrm{var}}$. Suppose one factor, say $C_{1}$, is hyperelliptic. Using Lemma 8.5, one sees that this makes the niveau of $H_{2}(X)^{4_{1} \text {,var }}$ equal to 1 . Choosing the other factors suitably so that all character spaces appear in $H_{2}(X)^{\mathrm{var}}$ one finds (many) projectors $\pi$ with $A_{0}^{\mathrm{AJ}, \text { var }}\left(X, \Gamma_{\pi}\right)=0$. Let us give one concrete example.

We let $C_{1}$ be a genus $g$ hyperelliptic curve, and $C_{2}, C_{3}$ genus 3 unramified double covers of some genus 2 curve. We take for $L_{1}$ the degree 2 hyperelliptic bundle and we take for $L_{\alpha}$, $\alpha=2,3$, the degree 2 bundles for which the system $\left|L_{\alpha}\right|$ induces the unramified double cover of $C_{\alpha}$ onto the genus 2 curve. Note that $\iota$ acts without fixed points in this case. As before, we obtain a surface of general type $Y:=X / \iota$. We find the following invariants.

| Variety | $b_{1}$ | $\left(h_{\text {var }}^{2,0}, h_{\text {var }}^{1,1}, h_{\text {var }}^{0,2}\right)$ | $\left(h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{2,0}, h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{1,1}, h_{\mathrm{fix}}^{0,2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | $2(g+6)$ | $(7 g+16,14 g+477 g+16)$ | $(6 g+9,12 g+21,6 g+9)$ |
| $Y$ | 8 | $(0,12 g+28,0)$ | $(4,8,4)$ |

Concluding, $H_{\text {var, }+}^{2}(X)$ is pure of type $(1,1)$ and $H_{\text {var }}^{2}(X)$ contains an invariant and antiinvariant part so that we can apply our considerations to the motive $\left(X, \frac{1}{2}(1+\iota)\right)$ and hence

$$
A_{0}^{\mathrm{AJ}, \mathrm{var}}(X)^{+}=0 .
$$

It follows, as before, that $h(Y)=h(X)^{+}$is finite dimensional.

Remark 8.6 Using [22], we have that the map

$$
A_{1}^{\mathrm{hom}}(Y) \otimes A_{1}^{\mathrm{hom}}(Y) \rightarrow A_{0}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(Y)
$$

induced by intersection product is surjective, like in the case of an abelian surface. To see this, consider the commutative diagram

which shows that the top-line is a surjection.

### 8.4. Odd-dimensional complete intersections of four quadrics

The following example is due to Bardelli [3]. Let $\iota: \mathbf{P}^{7} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{7}$ be the involution defined by

$$
\iota\left(x_{0}: \ldots: x_{3}: y_{0}: \ldots: y_{3}\right)=\left(x_{0}: \ldots: x_{3}:-y_{0}: \ldots:-y_{3}\right) .
$$

Let $X=V\left(Q_{0}, \ldots, Q_{3}\right)$ be the intersection of four $\iota$-invariant quadrics. Then $H^{3,0}(X)^{-}=0$, hence $H^{3}(X)^{-}$is a Hodge structure of level 1. Bardelli showed that there exist a smooth curve $C$ and a correspondence $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corrr}_{1}(C, X)$ such that $\gamma_{*}: H_{1}(C) \rightarrow H_{3}(X)^{-}$is surjective. Hence $H_{3}(X)^{-} \subseteq \widetilde{N}^{1} H_{3}(X)=\widehat{N}^{1} H_{3}(X)$. By Theorem 7.5, we get $A_{0}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)^{-}=0$.

Consider the projector $p=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{id}_{X}-\iota^{*}\right)$. As $\iota_{*}=\iota^{*}$, we have ${ }^{t} p=p$. Hence, the motive $N=(X, p)$ satisfies $N \cong N^{\vee}(3)$ and we can apply [40, Theorem 3.11] to the map $i^{*}: M=\left(\mathbf{P}^{7}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{p}}-\iota^{*}\right)\right) \rightarrow N$. This shows that the motive $N=h(X)^{-}$is finite dimensional; more precisely, it is a direct factor of $M^{\prime}=M \oplus M^{\vee}(3) \oplus_{i} h\left(C_{i}\right)(i)$ for some curves $C_{i}$. As $A_{i}^{\mathrm{AJ}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $i$, we obtain that

$$
A_{i}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)^{-}=0
$$

for all $i$. In other words, the quotient morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y:=X / \iota$ induces an isomorphism

$$
f^{*}: A_{\mathrm{AJ}}^{*}(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{\mathrm{AJ}}^{*}(X) .
$$

This example can be generalized to higher dimension.
Theorem 8.7 Let $\iota$ be the involution on $\mathbf{P}^{2 m+3}(m \geq 2)$ defined by

$$
\iota\left(x_{0}: \cdots: x_{m+1}: y_{0}: \cdots: y_{m+1}\right)=\left(x_{0}: \cdots: x_{m+1}:-y_{0}: \cdots:-y_{m+1}\right)
$$

and let $X=V\left(Q_{0}, \ldots, Q_{3}\right)$ be a complete intersection of four $\iota$-invariant quadrics. Let $G=\{\mathrm{id}, \iota\}$ and let $\chi: G \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ be the character defined by $\chi(\iota)=(-1)^{m-1}$. Then $H^{2 m-1}(X)^{\chi}$ is a Hodge structure of level 1 , and there exist a smooth curve $C$ and a correspondence $\gamma \in \operatorname{Corr}_{m-1}(C, X)$ such that $\gamma_{*}: H_{1}(C) \rightarrow H_{2 m-1}(X)^{\chi}$ is surjective.

Proof. See [30, Chapter 3] or [31, Chapter 4].
Corollary 8.8 The motive $h(X)^{\chi}$ is finite dimensional and $A_{i}^{\mathrm{AJ}}(X)^{\chi}=0$ for all $i$.
Remark 8.9 The same reasoning can be applied to the examples in [44] and the 3-fold studied in [35]. Also, as detailed in [26], our method gives an easy proof of the Bloch conjecture for Burniat-Inoue surfaces (this is first proven in [33, Theorem 9.1] and [5]). A more involved application of our method is given in [36].
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