

SHORT LECTURE ON SHEAVES AND DERIVED CATEGORIES

STÉPHANE GUILLERMOU

ABSTRACT. Extended notes of the first lecture of a minicourse in Montreal, June 2019.

1. SHEAVES

In this section \mathbf{k} is a given ring.

1.1. Definition. A presheaf P (of \mathbf{k} -modules) on a topological space X is the data of \mathbf{k} -modules $P(U)$ for all open subsets U of X together with linear maps $r_U^V: P(U) \rightarrow P(V)$ for all inclusions $V \subset U$ such that $r_V^W \circ r_U^V = r_U^W$ for $W \subset V \subset U$. For a *section* $s \in P(U)$ we usually set $s|_V = r_U^V(s)$. A sheaf F is a presheaf such that, for any covering $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and sections $s_i \in F(U_i)$ satisfying $s_i|_{U_{ij}} = s_j|_{U_{ij}}$, there exists a unique $s \in F(U)$ such that $s_i = s|_{U_i}$.

We often set $\Gamma(U; F) = F(U)$.

The stalk of a presheaf at $x \in X$ is $P_x = \varinjlim_{U \in X} P(U)$, where U runs over the open neighborhoods of x .

A morphism of presheaves $f: P \rightarrow P'$ is the data of groups morphisms $f(U): P(U) \rightarrow P'(U)$ which commute with the restriction maps, that is, $r'_{V,U} \circ f(U) = f(V) \circ r_{V,U}$, for all $V \subset U \subset X$. A morphism of sheaves is a morphism of the underlying presheaves.

We denote by $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the category of sheaves of \mathbf{k} -modules on X .

Examples 1.1. (i) The constant sheaf \mathbf{k}_X on X is defined by $\mathbf{k}_X(U) = \{f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{k}; f \text{ is locally constant}\}$. If N is a \mathbf{k} -module, we define N_X , the constant sheaf with stalks N , in the same way.

(ii) If $Z \subset X$ is a closed subset, we define $\mathbf{k}_{X,Z}$ (or \mathbf{k}_Z if X is understood) by $\mathbf{k}_{X,Z}(U) = \{f: U \cap Z \rightarrow \mathbf{k}; f \text{ is locally constant}\}$.

A morphism u in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ is an isomorphism if and only if u_x is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 1.2 (Associated sheaf of a presheaf). *Let X be a topological space and let $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. There exist a sheaf P^a and a morphism of*

presheaves $u: P \rightarrow P^a$ such that u_x is an isomorphism, for each $x \in X$. Moreover the pair (P^a, u) is unique up to isomorphism.

Any morphism v in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ has a kernel, given by $U \mapsto \ker v(U)$, and a cokernel, given by $(U \mapsto \text{coker } v(U))^a$. The category $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ is abelian (which means that it is additive, kernel and cokernel exist and are well-behaved in the sense “ $\ker(\text{coker}(v)) \simeq \text{coker}(\ker(v))$ ”). We can also check: a sequence $F \xrightarrow{u} G \xrightarrow{v} H$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ is exact if and only if the sequences of stalks $F_x \xrightarrow{u_x} G_x \xrightarrow{v_x} H_x$ are exact for all $x \in X$.

1.2. Operations.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $F_i, i \in I$, be a family of sheaves in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$. Then the product $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$ and the sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i$ exist in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$. The product is the sheaf defined by $\Gamma(U; \prod_{i \in I} F_i) = \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma(U; F_i)$ for any open subset U . The sum is the sheaf associated with the presheaf $U \mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Gamma(U; F_i)$. For any $x \in X$ we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i \right)_x \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} (F_i)_x.$$

Definition 1.4. For $F, G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ we define a sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(F, G) \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, the *internal hom* sheaf, by

$$\Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om(F, G)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_U)}(F|_U, G|_U).$$

We define the tensor product $F \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_X} G$ as the sheaf associated with the presheaf $U \mapsto F(U) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} G(U)$.

We can prove

$$(1.2) \quad (F \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_X} G)_x \simeq F_x \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} G_x, \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Lemma 1.5. *The functor $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, \cdot)$ is left exact in both arguments. The functor $\cdot \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_X} \cdot$ is right exact in both arguments, and exact if \mathbf{k} is a field.*

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between topological spaces.

Definition 1.6. For $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ we define a sheaf $f_*F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ by $(f_*F)(V) = F(f^{-1}(V))$ for any open subset $V \subset Y$, with the restriction maps naturally given by those of F (it is clear that f_*F is a presheaf and it is easy to check that it is actually a sheaf).

If $u: F \rightarrow G$ is a morphism in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, we define $f_*u: f_*F \rightarrow f_*G$ by $(f_*u)(V) = u(f^{-1}(V))$. We obtain a functor $f_*: \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$.

Lemma 1.7. *For any continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the functor f_* is left exact.*

Definition 1.8. For $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ we define a presheaf $f^\dagger G$ on X by $(f^\dagger G)(U) = \varinjlim_{V \supset f(U)} G(V)$, where V runs over the open neighborhoods of $f(U)$ in Y . The restriction maps are naturally induced by those of G . We set $f^{-1}G = (f^\dagger G)^a$.

A morphism $u: F \rightarrow G$ induces morphisms on the inductive limits, $(f^\dagger u)(U): (f^\dagger F)(U) \rightarrow (f^\dagger G)(U)$, for all $U \in \text{Op}(X)$, which are compatible and define $f^\dagger u: f^\dagger F \rightarrow f^\dagger G$. We set $f^{-1}u = (f^\dagger u)^a$. We thus obtain a functor $f^{-1}: \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$.

Lemma 1.9. *The functor f^{-1} is left adjoint to f_* . In particular there exist natural isomorphisms $\text{Hom}(f^{-1}G, F) \simeq \text{Hom}(G, f_*F)$ for all $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$.*

When $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding we often write

$$G|_X := f^{-1}G.$$

If f is the inclusion of an open set, we have $(G|_X)(U) = G(U)$, for all $U \in \text{Op}(X)$.

Example 1.10. Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and $Z \subset X$ a compact subset. Then, for any $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ and $V \in \text{Op}(Z)$, we have $(F|_Z)(V) \simeq \varinjlim_{U \supset V} F(U)$, where U runs over the open neighborhoods of V in X .

Lemma 1.11. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map and let $x \in Y$. For any $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ we have a natural isomorphism $(f^{-1}F)_x \simeq F_{f(x)}$.*

Since the exactness of a sequence of sheaves can be checked in the stalks we deduce:

Lemma 1.12. *For any continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the functor f^{-1} is exact.*

1.3. Locally closed subsets. A subset W of X is locally closed subset if we can write $W = U \cap Z$ with U open and Z closed.

Lemma 1.13. *Let $W \subset X$ be a locally closed subset and $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$. Then there exists a unique sheaf $F_W \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ such that $F_W|_W \simeq F|_W$ and $F_W|_{X \setminus W} \simeq 0$. Moreover we have $F_W \simeq F \otimes (\mathbf{k}_X)_W$.*

We set for short $\mathbf{k}_{X,W} = (\mathbf{k}_X)_W$ and even $\mathbf{k}_W = \mathbf{k}_{X,W}$ when it is clear that we consider sheaves on X .

Example 1.14. If W is closed in X , the sheaf \mathbf{k}_W is already defined in Example 1.1. In general we have $\mathbf{k}_W(U) \simeq \{f: U \cap W \rightarrow \mathbf{k}; f \text{ is locally constant and } \{x; f(x) \neq 0\} \text{ is closed in } U\}$.

Lemma 1.15 (Excision). *Let $W \subset X$ be a locally closed subset and let $W' \subset W$ be a closed subset of W . Then W' and $W \setminus W'$ are locally closed in X and we have an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{W \setminus W'} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_W \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{W'} \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 1.16 (Mayer-Vietoris). *Let $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$ be closed subsets and $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ open subsets. We have exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_1 \cup Z_2} &\rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_1} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{Z_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_1 \cap Z_2} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{U_1 \cap U_2} &\rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{U_1} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{U_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{U_1 \cup U_2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

1.4. Proper direct image. A topological space X is locally compact if, for any $x \in X$ and any neighborhood U of x , there exists a compact neighborhood of x contained in U . Now we assume X, Y are Hausdorff and locally compact. Then a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *proper* if the inverse image of any compact subset of Y is compact.

Definition 1.17. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map of Hausdorff and locally compact spaces. For $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ we define a subsheaf $f_!F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ of f_*F by

$$(f_!F)(V) = \{s \in (f^{-1}(V)); f|_{\text{supp } s}: \text{supp}(s) \rightarrow V \text{ is proper}\}$$

for any open subset $V \subset Y$. If $u: F \rightarrow G$ is a morphism in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, the morphism $f_*u: f_*F \rightarrow f_*G$ sends $f_!F$ to $f_!G$. We obtain a functor $f_!: \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$.

If the map f itself is proper, then we have $f_! \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*$.

Lemma 1.18. *The functor $f_!$ is left exact.*

For $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ and $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ we set

$$\Gamma_c(U; F) = \{s \in F(U); \text{supp}(s) \text{ is compact}\}$$

We have $\Gamma_c(U; F) \simeq a_!(F|_U)$, where a is the projection $U \rightarrow \{\text{pt}\}$.

Proposition 1.19. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be as in Definition 1.17. For any $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ and $y \in Y$ we have*

$$(f_!F)_y \simeq \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(y); F|_{f^{-1}(y)}).$$

Example 1.20. In the situation of Lemma 1.13 let $j: Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Then $\mathbf{k}_{X,Z} \simeq j_!\mathbf{k}_Z$ and $F_Z \simeq j_!j^{-1}F$.

1.5. **Enough injectives in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$.** We first remark the following general result.

Lemma 1.21. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map and assume that $I \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ is injective. Then $f_*I \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ is injective.*

Proof. The injectivity of $f_*(I)$ means that the map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G, f_*I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(F, f_*I)$$

is surjective, for all monomorphism $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$. Since f^{-1} is exact, $f^{-1}F \rightarrow f^{-1}G$ is also a monomorphism and the injectivity of I gives the surjectivity of

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f^{-1}G, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f^{-1}F, I).$$

The result follows since (f^{-1}, f_*) is an adjoint pair. \square

Let X be a topological space and let X^d be the set X endowed with the discrete topology (that is, any subset is open). The identity map $i: X^d \rightarrow X$ is continuous. For any $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the adjunction (i^{-1}, i_*) gives a morphism

$$(1.3) \quad \varepsilon_F: F \rightarrow i_*i^{-1}F.$$

For $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ we have $(i_*i^{-1}F)(U) \simeq \prod_{x \in U} F_x$. We deduce:

Lemma 1.22. *For any $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the adjunction morphism (1.3) is a monomorphism.*

We remark that sheaves on X^d are easy to describe: $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(X^d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d}) \simeq (\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}))^{X^d}$, that is, a sheaf $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$ is a family of \mathbf{k} -modules F_x indexed by X . The exactness of a sequence is checked pointwise. We deduce that, if F_x is injective in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k})$ for all $x \in X$, then $F = \{F_x\}_{x \in X}$ is injective in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$. In particular $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$ has enough injectives: for a given $F = \{F_x\}_{x \in X}$ we choose a monomorphism $F_x \rightarrow I_x$, for all $x \in X$, where I_x is injective (which is possible since $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k})$ has enough injectives). Then $I = \{I_x\}_{x \in X}$ is injective in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$ and $F \rightarrow I$ is a monomorphism.

Proposition 1.23. *For any topological space X , $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ has enough injectives.*

Proof. Let $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$. We have remarked that $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$ has enough injectives. Hence there exists a monomorphism $i^{-1}F \rightarrow I$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$ with I injective. Since i_* is left exact it induces a monomorphism $i_*i^{-1}F \rightarrow i_*I$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$. Composing with (1.3) and using Lemma 1.22 we have a monomorphism $F \rightarrow i_*I$. By Lemma 1.21 the sheaf i_*I is injective and we obtain the result. \square

We remark that if \mathbf{k} is a field, any sheaf in $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$ is injective and the morphism (1.3) is already a monomorphism from F to an injective object. In this situation the standard way of building an injective resolution of a given F (that is, we start with $I^0 = i_*i^{-1}F$ and apply the procedure to $\text{coker } \varepsilon_F$, defining $I^1 = i_*i^{-1}(\text{coker } \varepsilon_F)$, then to $\text{coker } d^1, \dots$) gives the so called *Godement resolution* of F .

1.6. Derived functors. By Proposition 1.23 all left exact functors from $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ to an abelian category have a right derived functor (see Definition 2.18 below). In particular we can consider RHom (the derived functor of Hom from $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ to the category of Abelian groups), $\text{R}\mathcal{H}om$, $\text{R}f_*$ and $\text{R}f!$. For an open subset $U \subset X$ we have the left exact functors $\Gamma(U; \cdot)$ and $\Gamma_c(U; \cdot)$. Their derived functors are denoted $\text{R}\Gamma(U; \cdot)$ and $\text{R}\Gamma_c(U; \cdot)$. We also use

$$H^i(U; F) := H^i\text{R}\Gamma(U; F), \quad H_c^i(U; F) := H^i\text{R}\Gamma_c(U; F).$$

We can also prove that the tensor product has a left derived functor, denoted $\overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}$.

An example: the cohomology of an interval. A sheaf F on X is *flabby* if, for any open subset $U \subset X$, the restriction morphism $F(X) \rightarrow F(U)$ is surjective. We can check that, when \mathbf{k} is a field, flabby is the same thing as injective. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. The family of flabby sheaves is f_* -injective, which implies that we can compute $\text{R}f_*(F)$ using a flabby resolution of F . We apply this result to the computation of $H^i(\mathbb{R}; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]})$ for a closed interval $[a, b]$ of \mathbb{R} .

We recall the monomorphism (1.3) $\varepsilon: \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]} \rightarrow i_*i^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$, where i is the map from \mathbb{R} with the discrete topology to \mathbb{R} . We can identify $i_*i^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$ with the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}$ of functions on $[a, b]$ defined by $\mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}(U) = \{f: U \cap [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. This sheaf is flabby since we can extend a function defined on $U \cap [a, b]$ arbitrarily to a function defined on $[a, b]$. We define $G = \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$ and we have the short exact sequence:

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 1.24. *For any open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ the sequence (1.4) gives the exact sequence of sections:*

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \xrightarrow{a(U)} \Gamma(U; \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}) \xrightarrow{b(U)} \Gamma(U; G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Long exercise. □

Lemma 1.25. *The sheaf G of (1.4) is flabby.*

Proof. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in G(U)$ be given. By Lemma 1.24 there exists $s' \in \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}(U)$ such that $b(U)(s') = s$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}$ is flabby, there exists $t' \in \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $t'|_U = s'$. Then $t = b(\mathbb{R})(t')$ satisfies $t|_U = s$. \square

Hence (1.4) gives a flabby resolution of $\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$. We deduce that for any open subset U of \mathbb{R}

$$H^i(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \simeq H^i(0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}) \xrightarrow{b(U)} \Gamma(U; G) \rightarrow 0).$$

By Lemma 1.24 the morphism $b(U)$ is surjective and we obtain that the cohomology of $\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$ is concentrated in degree 0:

Proposition 1.26. *Let $[a, b]$ be a closed interval in \mathbb{R} . For any open interval U of \mathbb{R} such that $U \cap [a, b] \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$H^0(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \simeq \mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad H^i(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \simeq 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq 0.$$

We can prove in the same way that, if B is a closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n , then $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_B)$ is concentrated in degree 0, where it is \mathbf{k} . We can deduce that $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_X)$ is concentrated in degree 0, as soon as X is contractible (see [3, §2.7]). Using the sequences of the next paragraph it follows that the EilenbergSteenrod axioms are satisfied and we have $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_X) \simeq H^*(X; \mathbf{k})$ for any CW complex X . Let us rewrite this as follows.

Theorem 1.27. *Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset. If Z is a CW complex, then $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_Z)$ is isomorphic to the singular cohomology $H^*(Z; \mathbf{k})$ of Z .*

1.7. Relations between functors. Let us introduce some notations.

Definition 1.28. For a locally closed subset $Z \subset X$ and $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ we set $\Gamma_Z(F) = \mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_Z, F)$. For an open subset $U \subset X$ we set $\Gamma_Z(U; F) = \Gamma(U; \Gamma_Z(F))$.

Lemma 1.29. *Let Z be locally closed and U be open.*

*If Z is closed, we have $\Gamma(U; \Gamma_Z(F)) \simeq \{s \in F(U); \text{supp}(s) \subset Z \cap U\}$.
If Z is open, we have $\Gamma(U; \Gamma_Z(F)) \simeq F(U \cap Z)$.*

The functor $\Gamma_Z(\cdot)$ is left exact and its derived functor is $\text{R}\Gamma_Z(F) = \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_Z, F)$. For an open subset U the functor $\Gamma_Z(U; \cdot)$ is also left exact and we have $\text{R}\Gamma_Z(U; F) \simeq \text{R}\Gamma(U; \text{R}\Gamma_Z(F))$. We set

$$H_Z^i(U; F) = H^i \text{R}\Gamma_Z(U; F).$$

Let $U \subset X$ be open and let $F \in \text{D}(\mathbf{k}_X)$. We can deduce from Lemma 1.15 the following long exact sequences (we use the notations

of the Lemma):

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^i(U; F_{W \setminus W'}) &\rightarrow H^i(U; F_W) \rightarrow H^i(U; F_{W'}) \\ &\rightarrow H^{i+1}(U; F_{W \setminus W'}) \rightarrow \dots, \\ \dots \rightarrow H_{W'}^i(U; F) &\rightarrow H_W^i(U; F) \rightarrow H_{W \setminus W'}^i(U; F) \\ &\rightarrow H_{W'}^{i+1}(U; F) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

We can also deduce from Lemma 1.16 the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}^i(U; F) &\rightarrow H_{Z_1}^i(U; F) \oplus H_{Z_2}^i(U; F) \\ &\rightarrow H_{Z_1 \cup Z_2}^i(U; F) \rightarrow H_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}^{i+1}(U; F) \rightarrow \dots, \\ \dots \rightarrow H^i(U_1 \cup U_2; F) &\rightarrow H^i(U_1; F) \oplus H^i(U_2; F) \\ &\rightarrow H^i(U_1 \cap U_2; F) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(U_1 \cup U_2; F) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using these sequences we can deduce from Theorem 1.27

Lemma 1.30. *Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset such that \bar{U} is compact. Then $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_U) \simeq H_c^*(U; \mathbf{k})$.*

We denote by ω_X the dualizing complex on X . If X is a manifold, ω_X is actually the orientation sheaf shifted by the dimension, that is, $\omega_X \simeq or_X[d_X]$. The duality functors are defined by

$$(1.6) \quad D_X(\bullet) = R\mathcal{H}om(\bullet, \omega_X), \quad D'_X(\bullet) = R\mathcal{H}om(\bullet, \mathbf{k}_X).$$

An important result is the existence of a right adjoint for the derived proper direct image $Rf_!$ (Poincaré-Verdier duality). It is defined under fairly general hypothesis. At least, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of manifolds, there exists $f^!: D^b(\mathbf{k}_Y) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ right adjoint to $Rf_!$, which implies in particular

$$\mathrm{Hom}(Rf_!F, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(F, f^!G)$$

for all $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$, $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_Y)$. When f is a locally closed embedding we have

$$f^!G \simeq f^{-1}(R\Gamma_X(G)).$$

When f is a submersion, we have, setting $\omega_{X|Y} = R\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}(\omega_Y), \omega_X)$

$$f^!G \simeq f^{-1}(G) \otimes \omega_{X|Y}.$$

In particular if f is a submersion with oriented fiber of dimension d , $f^!G \simeq f^{-1}(G)[d]$.

We recall some useful facts (see [3, §2, §3]).

Proposition 1.31. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of manifolds, $F, G, H \in D(\mathbf{k}_X)$, $F', G' \in D(\mathbf{k}_Y)$. Then we have*

(a) $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U, F) \simeq R\Gamma(U; F)$, for $U \subset X$ open,

- (b) $R\Gamma(U; R\mathcal{H}om(F, G)) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}(F|_U, G|_U)$, for $U \subset X$ open,
- (c) $H^i F$ is the sheaf associated with $V \mapsto H^i(V; F)$,
- (d) $H^i R\mathrm{Hom}(F, G)$ is the sheaf associated with $V \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}(F|_V, G|_V[i])$,
- (e) $R\mathcal{H}om(F \overset{L}{\otimes} G, H) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(F, R\mathcal{H}om(G, H))$,
- (f) $Rf_!(F \overset{L}{\otimes} f^{-1}F') \simeq (Rf_!F) \overset{L}{\otimes} F'$, (projection formula),
- (g) $f^! R\mathcal{H}om(F', G') \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F', f^!G')$,
- (h) $Rf_* R\mathcal{H}om(F, G) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(Rf_!F, Rf_*G)$, if f is an embedding,

- (i) for a Cartesian diagram
- $$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow g' \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}$$
- we have the base change

formula $f'^{-1}Rg'_!(F') \simeq Rg_!f^{-1}(F')$,

The adjunction between $\overset{L}{\otimes}$ and $R\mathcal{H}om$ together with $\mathbf{k}_U \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \simeq \mathbf{k}_U$ give

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_U, D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}})) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_U, \mathbf{k}_X) \simeq H^0(U; \mathbf{k}_X)$$

and the canonical section $1 \in H^0(U; \mathbf{k}_X)$ gives a morphism $\mathbf{k}_U \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}})$. Similarly we have a natural morphism $\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_U)$. In the following case they are isomorphisms.

Lemma 1.32. *If the inclusion $U \subset X$ is locally homeomorphic to the inclusion $] -\infty, 0[\times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (for example, if ∂U is smooth), then the above morphisms $\mathbf{k}_U \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}})$ and $\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_U)$ are isomorphisms:*

$$(1.7) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} D'(\mathbf{k}_U), \quad \mathbf{k}_U \xrightarrow{\simeq} D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}}).$$

Proof. Let us prove the first isomorphism. It is enough to check that $\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_U)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (D'(\mathbf{k}_U))_x$ for each $x \in X$. Since $D'(\mathbf{k}_U) = R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U, \mathbf{k}_X)$, Proposition 1.31-(b-c) gives

$$H^i(D'(\mathbf{k}_U))_x \simeq \varinjlim_{x \in V} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_U|_V, \mathbf{k}_X|_V[i]).$$

By (a) we have $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_U|_V, \mathbf{k}_X|_V[i]) \simeq H^i(U \cap V; \mathbf{k}_X)$. By Theorem 1.27 this is the cohomology of $U \cap V$ which can be chosen contractible in our inductive limit. \square

Example 1.33. We have $R\Gamma_{\{0\}}(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\{0\}}[-n]$. Indeed the sheaf $R\Gamma_{\{0\}}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ has support $\{0\}$ and its stalk at 0 coincides with its global sections. We have the excision exact sequence

$$H_{\{0\}}^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}).$$

By Proposition 1.31-(a) $H_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \simeq H^i(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ and this is the cohomology of the sphere. The result follows.

Example 1.34. The previous example generalizes as follows. Let X be a manifold and Z a submanifold of codimension d . Then $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_Z(\mathbf{k}_X) \simeq \mathrm{or}_{Z|X}[-d]$ where $\mathrm{or}_{Z|X}$ is the relative orientation sheaf.

Example 1.35. In \mathbb{R}^2 we define $Z = \{x \geq 0; y \geq 0\}$ and $U = \{x < 0; y < 0\}$. Then $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathbf{k}_U) \simeq \mathbf{k}[-2]$. Indeed, by Lemma 1.32 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathbf{k}_U) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_{Z \cap \bar{U}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_{\{0\}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Example 1.33.

Example 1.36. By the previous example $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathbf{k}_U[2]) \simeq \mathbf{k}$. Let $u: \mathbf{k}_Z \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_U[2]$ be the image of $1 \in \mathbf{k}$. Let $F \in \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2})$ be given by the dt $F \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_Z \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_U[2] \xrightarrow{+1}$. Then F is isomorphic to the complex $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{k}_{Z_1} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{Z_2}$ where $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is in degree 0, $Z_1 = \{x \geq 0\}$, $Z_2 = \{y \geq 0\}$ and d is the sum of the natural morphisms $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_i}$ induced by the inclusions of closed subsets $Z_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

2. DERIVED CATEGORIES

2.1. Categories of complexes.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. A complex (X^\cdot, d_X) in \mathcal{C} is a sequence of composable morphisms in \mathcal{C}

$$\dots \rightarrow X^i \xrightarrow{d_X^i} X^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

such that $d^{i+1} \circ d^i = 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (we forget the subscripts when there is no ambiguity). The sequence of morphisms d_X^i is called the differential.

A morphism f from a complex (X^\cdot, d_X) to a complex (Y^\cdot, d_Y) is a sequence of morphisms $f^i: X^i \rightarrow Y^i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, commuting with the differentials.

We denote by $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ the category of complexes in \mathcal{C} . A complex is said bounded from below (resp. above) if $X^i \simeq 0$ for $i \ll 0$ (resp. $i \gg 0$). It is bounded if it bounded from below and from above. We let $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathbf{C}^b(\mathcal{C})$ be the corresponding categories.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category and let $X = (X^\cdot, d_X) \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} Z^i(X) &= \ker d_X^i, & B^i(X) &= \operatorname{im} d_X^{i-1}, \\ H^i(X) &= Z^i(X)/B^i(X) = \operatorname{coker}(B^i(X) \rightarrow Z^i(X)) \end{aligned}$$

and we call $H^i(X)$ the i^{th} cohomology of X . In the case of the category of groups $Z^i(X)$ (resp. $B^i(X)$) is called the i^{th} group of cocycles (resp. boundaries).

A morphism of complexes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces morphisms $Z^i(f)$, $B^i(f)$, $H^i(f)$ and Z^i, B^i, H^i are functors from $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ to \mathcal{C} . We say that f is a quasi-isomorphism if the morphisms $H^i(f): H^i(X) \rightarrow H^i(Y)$ are isomorphisms, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If \mathcal{C} is abelian, then $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ is also abelian. Moreover for a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ we have $(\ker f)^i = \ker(f^i)$ and $(\operatorname{coker} f)^i = \operatorname{coker}(f^i)$.

Proposition 2.3. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category and let $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exists a canonical long exact sequence in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{H^n(f)} H^n(Y) \xrightarrow{H^n(g)} H^n(Z) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^{n+1}(X) \\ \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(f)} H^{n+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(g)} H^{n+1}(Z) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category and let $I \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. We say that I is *injective* if the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, I)$ is exact, that is, if for any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$, the sequence $\operatorname{Hom}(A, I) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B, I) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. We say that \mathcal{C} has *enough injectives* if for any $M \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, there exist an injective object I and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I$.

Proposition 2.5. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category. We assume that \mathcal{C} has enough projectives. Then any $X \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ has an injective (right) resolution, that is, a morphism $u: X \rightarrow I$ in $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ such that u is a quasi-isomorphism and I^k is injective for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This proposition holds in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ but the right notion of injective resolution is more complicated. The next proposition says that a projective resolution is unique up to homotopy in the following sense.

Definition 2.6. Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category and let $P = (P^\cdot, d_P)$, $Q = (Q^\cdot, d_Q) \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$. We say that two morphisms $f, g: P \rightarrow Q$ in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$

are homotopic if there exists a family of morphisms $s^i: P^i \rightarrow Q^{i-1}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$f^n - g^n = d_Q^{n-1} \circ s^n + s^{n+1} \circ d_P^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The homotopy relation is compatible with the additive structure of $\text{Hom}(P, Q)$ and with the composition in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$. It follows that we can define a category of *complexes up to homotopy* as follows.

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. We define a category $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ by $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})) = \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}))$ and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})}(P, Q) / \sim_h,$$

where \sim_h is the homotopy relation on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})}(P, Q)$. We have an obvious functor $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ which is the identity on objects and the quotient map on the morphisms.

The category $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is additive. It is no longer abelian but it has a triangulated structure.

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category, let $X, Y \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ and let $v: Y \rightarrow J$ be an injective resolution in $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism and $u: X \rightarrow I$ a quasi-isomorphism. Then there exists a morphism $f': I \rightarrow J$ such that $v \circ f = f' \circ u$. Moreover, if $f'': I \rightarrow J$ is another such morphism, then f' and f'' are homotopic. In particular two injective resolutions of X are canonically isomorphic in $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$.*

2.2. Definition of derived categories. Here we only give a brief account on the subject and refer to the first chapter of [3] or to [?] for details and proofs.

Definition 2.9. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category and let $u: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ or in $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$. We say that u is a quasi-isomorphism (qis for short) if the morphisms $H^i(u): H^i(X) \rightarrow H^i(Y)$ are isomorphisms, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The derived category of \mathcal{C} , denoted $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$, is obtained from $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ by inverting the qis. This process is called *localization*.

Definition 2.10. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and \mathcal{S} a family of morphisms in \mathcal{A} . A localization of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{S} is a category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ (a priori in a bigger universe) and a functor $Q: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ such that

- (i) for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $Q(s)$ is an isomorphism,
- (ii) for any category \mathcal{B} and any functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $F(s)$ is an isomorphism for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a (unique) functor $F_{\mathcal{S}}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $F \simeq F_{\mathcal{S}} \circ Q$,

It is possible to construct $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ as a category with the same objects as \mathcal{A} and with morphisms defined as chains $(s_1, u_1, s_2, u_2, \dots, s_n, u_n)$ with $s_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and u_i any morphism in \mathcal{A} modulo some equivalence relation. Such a chain is meant to represent $u_n \circ s_n^{-1} \circ u_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ s_1^{-1}$. However we will only consider a special case where the localization is obtained by a calculus of fractions.

Definition 2.11. A family \mathcal{S} of morphisms in \mathcal{A} is a left multiplicative system if

- (i) any isomorphism belongs to \mathcal{S} ,
- (ii) if $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ and $g \circ f$ is defined, then $g \circ f \in \mathcal{S}$,
- (iii) for given morphisms $f, s, s \in \mathcal{S}$, as in the following diagram, there exist $g, t, t \in \mathcal{S}$, making the diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow s \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y' \end{array}$$

- (iv) for two given morphisms $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{A} , if there exists $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $s \circ f = s \circ g$, then there exists $t \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $f \circ t = g \circ t$:

$$W \xrightarrow{t} X \xrightarrow{f,g} Y \xrightarrow{s} Z.$$

Proposition 2.12. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and \mathcal{S} a left multiplicative system. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ can be described as follows. The set of objects is $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$. For $X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}}(X, Y) = \{(W, s, u); s: W \rightarrow X \text{ is in } \mathcal{S} \text{ and } u: W \rightarrow Y \text{ is in } \mathcal{A}\} / \sim$, where the equivalence relation \sim is given by $(W, s, u) \sim (W', s', u')$ if there exists (W'', s'', u'') , $s'' \in \mathcal{S}$, such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & W & & \\ & s & \uparrow & u & \\ X & \xleftarrow{s''} & W'' & \xrightarrow{u''} & Y \\ & s' & \downarrow & u' & \\ & & W' & & \end{array}$$

The composition “ $u's'^{-1}us^{-1}$ ” is visualized by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & Y' & & & & \\ & \swarrow \text{so}t & & \searrow u'ov & & & \\ X & \xleftarrow{s} & W & \xrightarrow{u} & Y & \xleftarrow{s'} & W' & \xrightarrow{u'} & Z. \end{array}$$

where $t, v, t \in \mathcal{S}$, are given by (iii) in Definition 2.11.

Let us go back to our abelian category \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 2.13. *Let Q_{is} be the family of q_{is} in $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$. Then Q_{is} is a left (and right) multiplicative system.*

Definition 2.14. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category. The derived category of \mathcal{C} is the localization $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) = (\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{Q_{is}}$. We denote by $Q_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ the localization functor (or its composition with $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$). Starting with $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{C})$ where $*$ = +, - or b , we define in the same way $\mathbf{D}^*(\mathcal{C})$.

The categories $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ are additive. They are not abelian in general.

By definition the cohomology functors $H^i: \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, factorize through the localization functor. We still denote by $H^i: \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the induced functors.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ be abelian categories. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be an exact functor. Then $\mathbf{C}(F)$ sends q_{is} to q_{is} . In particular $Q_{\mathcal{C}'} \circ \mathbf{C}(F): \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}')$ sends q_{is} to isomorphisms and factorizes in a unique way through a functor $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}')$ that we still denote by F :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}(F)} & \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}') \\ Q_{\mathcal{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_{\mathcal{C}'} \\ \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}'). \end{array}$$

Remark 2.16. We have a natural embedding of \mathcal{C} in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ which sends $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to the complex (X, d_X) with $X^0 = X$ and $X^i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. This induces by composition other functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$. We can check that all these functors are fully faithful embeddings of \mathcal{C} in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ or $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 2.8 translate as follows.

Proposition 2.17. *Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category. We assume that \mathcal{C} has enough injectives and we let \mathcal{I} be its full subcategory of injective objects. We denote by $Q|_{\mathcal{I}}: \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C})$ the functor induced by the quotient functor. Then $Q|_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Definition 2.18 (Derived functor). Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ be abelian categories. We assume that \mathcal{C} has enough injectives. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ (or $F: \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C}')$) be a left exact functor. Let $\mathbf{K}(F): \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C}')$ be the functor induced by F . We define $R\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}')$ by $R\mathbf{F} =$

$Q_{\mathcal{C}'} \circ \mathbf{K}(F) \circ \mathbf{res}$, where \mathbf{res} is an inverse to the equivalence $Q|_{\mathcal{I}}$ of Proposition 2.17.

If F is exact then $RF \simeq F$ (with the notation of Lemma 2.15). For a left exact functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $H^0 RF(X) \simeq F(X)$ (using the embedding of Remark 2.16).

Truncation functors. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category. For a given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $\tau_{\leq n}, \tau_{\geq n}: \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\leq n}(X) &= \cdots \rightarrow X^{n-2} \rightarrow X^{n-1} \rightarrow \ker(d_X^n) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \\ \tau_{\geq n}(X) &= \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(d_X^{n-1}) \rightarrow X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^{n+2} \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We have natural morphisms in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$, for $n \leq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\leq n}(X) &\rightarrow X, & X &\rightarrow \tau_{\geq n}(X), \\ \tau_{\leq n}(X) &\rightarrow \tau_{\leq m}(X), & \tau_{\geq n}(X) &\rightarrow \tau_{\geq m}(X). \end{aligned}$$

We have $H^i(\tau_{\leq n}(X)) \simeq H^i(X)$ for $i \leq n$ and $H^i(\tau_{\leq n}(X)) \simeq 0$ for $i > 0$. We have a similar result for $\tau_{\geq n}(X)$ and the above morphisms induce the tautological morphisms on the cohomology (that is, the identity morphism of H^i if both groups are non-zero, or the zero morphism).

In particular the functors $\tau_{\leq n}, \tau_{\geq n}$ send qis to qis and they induce functors, denoted in the same way, on $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$, together with the same morphisms of functors. We see from the definition, for any $X \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_{\leq i} \tau_{\geq i}(X) \simeq \tau_{\geq i} \tau_{\leq i}(X) \simeq H^i(X)[-i].$$

Lemma 2.19. *Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category and let $X \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ be an objet concentrated in one degree i_0 , that is, $H^i(X) \simeq 0$ if $i \neq i_0$. Then $X \simeq H^{i_0}(X)[-i_0]$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis and by the description of the cohomology of $\tau_{\leq n}(X), \tau_{\geq n}(X)$, the morphisms $\tau_{\leq i_0}(X) \rightarrow X$ and $\tau_{\leq i_0}(X) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq i_0}(\tau_{\leq i_0}(X))$ are isomorphisms in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Hence $X \simeq \tau_{\geq i_0}(\tau_{\leq i_0}(X))$ and we conclude with (2.1). \square

2.3. Triangulated structure. We recall that a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is an additive category endowed with an auto-equivalence $X \mapsto X[1]$ and a family of distinguish triangles (dt) $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$ such that

- (TR1) every morphism can be extended to distinguished triangle, the collection of distinguished triangles is stable under isomorphism and, for any $X \in \mathcal{T}$ the triangle $X \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} X \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} X[1]$ is distinguished,

- (TR2) $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$ is a dt if and only if $Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1] \xrightarrow{-f[1]} Y[1]$ is a dt,
- (TR3) for two dt $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$ and $X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y' \xrightarrow{g'} Z' \xrightarrow{h'} X'[1]$, any commutative square $f' \circ u = v \circ f$ (with $u: X \rightarrow X'$, $v: Y \rightarrow Y'$) can be extended to a morphism of triangles (that is, there exists $w: Z \rightarrow Z'$ making two other commutative squares),
- (TR4) octahedral axiom (it is the distinguished triangle version of the isomorphism $(C/A)/(B/A) \simeq C/B$ for two inclusions of \mathbf{k} -modules $A \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow C$).

If \mathcal{C} is an abelian category, then $D(\mathcal{C})$ is triangulated. If $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C})$, then there exists a morphism $Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$ in $D(\mathcal{C})$ such that $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$ is a dt. If $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$ is a dt in $D(\mathcal{C})$, then we have a long exact sequence in \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{H^n(f)} H^n(Y) \xrightarrow{H^n(g)} H^n(Z) \xrightarrow{H^n(h)} H^{n+1}(X) \\ \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(f)} H^{n+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(g)} H^{n+1}(Z) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The derived functor RF of Definition 2.18 is triangulated (i.e. it sends a dt to a dt).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Micro-support des faisceaux: applications aux modules différentiels*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris série I Math **295** 8, 487–490 (1982).
- [2] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Microlocal study of sheaves*, Astérisque **128** Soc. Math. France (1985).
- [3] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **292** Springer-Verlag (1990).
- [4] M. Robalo and P. Schapira, *A lemma for microlocal sheaf theory in the ∞ -categorical setting*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. Vol. **54** 379–391 (2018).
- [5] O. Schnürer and W. Soergel, *Proper base change for separated locally proper maps*, Rendiconti del Seminario matematico della Università di Padova **135** (2016).