

GENERALIZED AREA IN MINKOWSKIAN SPACES

Valentin BOJU and Louis FUNAR

It is only a one way to talk about the length of a curve in a Banach space, while the concept of area (especially the one given by geometric methods) is a subject not exhausted even in Euclidean space. See [4], [11].

For  $\| \cdot \|$  a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we denote by  $K$  the closed unit ball and by  $S$  the unit sphere associated to  $\| \cdot \|$ ;  $K$  is a symmetric convex body and the norm  $\| \cdot \|$  is related to  $K$  by  $\|X\| = \inf \{ t \geq 0; X \in tK \}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the class of all hypersurfaces  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having area denoted by  $a(M)$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Our purpose is to give an intrinsic definition for area  $a(M, K)$  of a hypersurface  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  with respect to an arbitrary fixed norm  $\| \cdot \|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We have  $a(M, K) = a(M)$  in the Euclidean case. We shall call  $a(M, K)$  the  $K$ -area of  $M$ .

First for a polyhedral hypersurface  $T$  having  $T_i$  as  $(n-1)$  dimensional faces we set

$$(1) \quad a(T, K) = W_{n-1} \sum_i \frac{a(T_i)}{a(K(T_i))}$$

where  $K(T_i)$  is the central section of  $K$ , parallel with  $T_i$ , and  $W_{n-1}$  is the volume of unit ball in Euclidean  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .

For a  $C^1$  - hypersurface  $M$  having volume form  $d\sigma$ , we denote by  $n_M: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function defined by

$$n(x) = a(K(T_x M))$$

where  $T_x M$  is the  $(n-1)$  - plane tangent to  $M$ . Then we define

$$(2) a(M, K) = \int_{W_{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma}{n}.$$

We remark that formulas (1) and (2) agree for  $M$  a polyhedral hypersurface. In fact,

$$a(M, K) = \sum_i a(M_i, K)$$

for every decomposition  $M = \bigcup M_i$  into smooth pieces  $M_i$  such that  $a(M_i \cap M_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

We say that two smooth hypersurfaces  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are  $\varepsilon$  - neighbours ( $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ ) if there exists an application  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  (called ambient isotopy), having the following properties:

$$AI_1) \quad \Phi(*, 0) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n};$$

$$AI_2) \quad \Phi(*, 1) \text{ is a bijection of } M_0 \text{ and } M_1;$$

$$AI_3) \quad \text{the length of } \Phi(*, t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is less than } \varepsilon;$$

$$AI_4) \quad \|I - d_x \Phi(x, t)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in M_0, t \in [0, 1].$$

The concept of  $\varepsilon$  - neighbours can be extended to the case of piecewise smooth hypersurfaces by imposing for the ambient isotopy  $\Phi$  to be of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  in a neighbourhood of  $(M_0 \cup M_1) \times [0, 1]$  and  $AI_4)$  to work for almost all points  $(x, t) \in M_0, x \in [0, 1]$ .

The space  $\mathcal{H}$  of all piecewise smooth compact hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is endowed with the topology having as a base the sets  $\{M \mid M \text{ and } M_0 \text{ are } \varepsilon - \text{neighbours}\}$

for  $M_0 \in \mathcal{H}, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ . Of course, this topology is associated to a metric  $\delta$ .

THEOREM. If  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  then

$$a(P_n, K) \rightarrow a(P, K).$$

Sketch of proof. We shall show only the fact that given two smooth hypersurfaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  that are  $\varepsilon$ -neighbours then

$$|a(H_1, K) - a(H_2, K)| < C\varepsilon$$

for  $C$  a real number depending on  $H_1, K$ . According to (1) and (2) (eventually cutting  $H_j$  into small pieces) we have to prove that

$$(3) \quad \left| \int_{H_1} \frac{d\sigma_1}{n_1} - \int_{H_2} \frac{d\sigma_2}{n_2} \right| < C\varepsilon.$$

From hypothesis

$$(4) \quad \left| n_1(x) - n_2(\varphi(x, 1)) \right| < \frac{C}{\gamma} \varepsilon.$$

If  $N_{1,x}$  is the unit normal at  $H_1$  in  $x$ , if defined, then the condition  $(AI_4)$  implies

$$(5) \quad \langle N_{1,x}, N_2, \varphi(x, 1) \rangle < \varepsilon.$$

Because the  $n_i$ 's are continuous and a  $(x^1 \cap K)$  is also continuous (as a function  $S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ), then (3) holds. From  $(AI_3)$ ,  $(AI_4)$ , and (5) we obtain

$$(6) \quad \left| \int_{H_1} d\sigma_1 - \int_{H_2} d\sigma_2 \right| < C_2 \varepsilon$$

( $H_1$  are compact hypersurfaces). Because  $n_1(x) > C_3 > 0$  the inequalities (4) and (6) give (3). The theorem will follow because  $P_n$  and  $P$  are  $\varepsilon_n$ -neighbours where  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ .

We observe that a convex hypersurface is almost smooth, and two  $\varepsilon$ -neighbours convex hypersurfaces are  $c\varepsilon$ -neighbours for a suitable constant  $c$  depending only of the geometry of

the hypersurfaces. Consequently:

COROLLARY. If a sequence of convex hypersurfaces  $P_n$  is simply convergent to a convex hypersurface  $P$  then

$$a(P_n, K) \rightarrow a(P, K).$$

Upon a theorem of Busemann, characterizing the isometries of a finite dimensional Banach space, it follows that the  $K$ -area so defined  $a(M, K)$  is invariant under the motions of the Minkowski space. This enables us to say that the measure introduced above is natural.

We mention that for higher order measures over hypersurfaces a similar result still holds by giving a structure of metric space  $(\mathcal{H}, \delta_p)$  which is compatible with the continuity of them.

This process is an analogue of the construction of Sobolev spaces so we name the spaces  $(\mathcal{H}, \delta_p)$  Sobolev spaces associated to a given measure. This will be treated in a further paper.

We see that the function  $a(K \cap x^\perp)$  satisfies for a symmetric convex body  $K$  the conditions imposed in Minkowski's problem [6, 8, 9, 10] so there exists a convex symmetric body  $K^*$  having the element of area given locally by  $a(K \cap x^\perp) d\sigma$ , where  $d\sigma$  is the area form on  $S^{n-1}$ .

Then the standard Cauchy formula [2, 7, 12] enables us to write

$$(7) \quad a(M, K) = \frac{1}{W_{n-1}} \int_{K^*} a(\text{pr}_x M, K) d\sigma_{K^*}$$

where  $\text{pr}_x M$  is the projection of  $M$  onto the tangent plane at  $x$  to  $K$ . If we take the maximal cross-section  $D(M, K) = \sup a(\text{pr}_x M, K)$ , and the minimal cross-section  $W(M, K) = \inf a(\text{pr}_x M, K)$  then is straight forward;

$$(8) \quad \frac{a(K^*)}{W_{n-1}} W(M,K) \leq a(M,K) \leq \frac{a(K^*)}{W_{n-1}} D(M,K).$$

#### R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] R.V.BENSON, Euclidean Geometry and convexity, Mc Graw - Hill Book C., New York-London-Sydney, 1966
- [2] W.BLASCHKE, Kreis und Kugel, 2. Aufl. W.de Gruyter, Berlin, 1955
- [3] H.BUSEMANN, The geometry of geodesics, Academic Press, New York, 1955.
- [4] G. CESARI, Surface Area, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1956
- [5] H.FEDERER, Geometric measure Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg-New York, 1969
- [6] H.GLUCK, Manifolds with preassigned curvature, Bull. AMS, v. 81, No 2, p.313-329
- [7] K.LEICHTWEISS, Convexe Mengen, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1980
- [8] J. MOSER, On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry Dynamical Systems, Academic Press, New York, 1973, 273-280
- [9] L.NIRENBERG, The Weyl and Minkowski Problems in differential geometry in the large, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 6 (1953), 337-394
- [10] A.V.POGORELOV, Mnogomernaia problema Minkovskogo, Nauka, 1974

- [1] T.RADO , Length and Area, Am. Math. Soc., Vol. 30,  
Colloquium Publ., New York, 1948
- [12] L.A.SANTALÓ , Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability,  
Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., 1976
- [13] F.A. VALENTINE, Convex sets, Mc Graw - Hill Book C.,  
New York, 1964

Manuskripteingang: 23.10.1989

VERFASSER:

Dr.Valentin BOJU  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Craiova  
Al.I. Cuza, nr.13  
R - 1100 CRAIOVA  
ROMANIA

Louis FUNAR  
Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Bucharest  
Academiei, nr.14  
R - 70109 BUCHAREST  
ROMANIA