

ON FANO FOLIATIONS

CAROLINA ARAUJO AND STÉPHANE DRUEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we address Fano foliations on complex projective varieties. These are foliations \mathcal{F} whose anti-canonical class $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is ample. We focus our attention on a special class of Fano foliations, namely *del Pezzo* foliations on complex projective manifolds. We show that these foliations are algebraically integrable, with one exceptional case when the ambient space is \mathbb{P}^n . We also provide a classification of del Pezzo foliations with mild singularities.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Foliations and Pfaff fields	3
3. Algebraically integrable foliations	5
4. Examples	8
5. The relative anticanonical bundle of a fibration and applications	10
6. Foliations and rational curves	13
7. Algebraic integrability of del Pezzo foliations	18
8. On del Pezzo foliations with mild singularities	27
9. Del Pezzo foliations on projective space bundles	29
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, much progress has been made in the classification of complex projective varieties. The general viewpoint is that complex projective manifolds X should be classified according to the behavior of their canonical class K_X . As a result of the minimal model program, we know that every complex projective manifold can be build up from 3 classes of (possibly singular) projective varieties, namely, varieties X for which K_X is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier, and satisfies $K_X < 0$, $K_X \equiv 0$ or $K_X > 0$. Projective manifolds X whose anti-canonical class $-K_X$ is ample are called *Fano manifolds*, and are quite special. For instance, Fano manifolds are known to be rationally connected (see [Cam92] and [KMM92]).

One defines the index ι_X of a Fano manifold X to be the largest integer dividing $-K_X$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. A classical result of Kobayashi-Ochiai's asserts that $\iota_X \leq \dim X + 1$, and equality holds if and only if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$. Moreover, $\iota_X = \dim X$ if and only if X is a quadric hypersurface ([KO73]). Fano manifolds whose index satisfies $\iota_X = \dim X - 1$ were classified by Fujita in [Fuj82a] and [Fuj82b]. These are called *del Pezzo* manifolds. The philosophy behind these results is that Fano manifolds with high index are the simplest projective manifolds.

Similar ideas can be applied in the context of *foliations* on complex projective manifolds. If $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ is a foliation on a complex projective manifold X , we define its canonical class to be $K_{\mathcal{F}} = -c_1(\mathcal{F})$. In analogy with the case of projective manifolds, one expects the numerical properties of $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ to reflect geometric aspects of \mathcal{F} . In fact, ideas from the minimal model program have been successfully applied to the theory of foliations (see for instance [Bru04] and [McQ08]), and led to a birational classification in the case of rank one foliations on surfaces ([Bru04]). More recently, Loray, Pereira and Touzet have investigated the structure of codimension 1 foliations with $K_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv 0$ in [LPT11b].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M22, 37F75.

The first named author was partially supported by CNPq and Faperj Research Fellowships.

The second named author was partially supported by the CLASS project of the A.N.R.

In this paper we propose to investigate *Fano foliations* on complex projective manifolds. These are foliations $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ whose anti-canonical class $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is ample (see Section 2 for details). As in the case of Fano manifolds, we expect Fano foliations to present very special behavior. This is the case for instance if the rank of \mathcal{F} is 1, i.e., \mathcal{F} is an ample invertible subsheaf of T_X . By Wahl's Theorem [Wah83], this can only happen if $(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Guided by the theory of Fano manifolds, we define the index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$ of a foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex projective manifold X to be the largest integer dividing $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. The expected philosophy is that Fano foliations with high index are the simplest ones. For instance, when $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, the index of a foliation $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ of rank r satisfies $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} \leq r$. By [DC05, Théorème 3.8], equality holds if and only if \mathcal{F} is induced by a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-r}$, i.e., it comes from the family r -planes in \mathbb{P}^n containing a fixed $(r-1)$ -plane. Fano foliations in $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ satisfying $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r-1$ were classified in [LPT11a, Theorem 6.2]. They fall into one of the following two classes.

- (1) Either \mathcal{F} is induced by a dominant rational map $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^{n-r}, 2)$, defined by $n-r$ linear forms and one quadratic form, or
- (2) \mathcal{F} is the linear pullback of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^{n-r+1} induced by a global holomorphic vector field.

In analogy with Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem, we have the following result.

Theorem ([ADK08, Theorem 1.1]). *Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a Fano foliation of rank r on a complex projective manifold X . Then $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} \leq r$, and equality holds only if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.*

We say that a Fano foliation $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ of rank r on a complex projective manifold X is a *del Pezzo foliation* if $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r-1$. Ultimately we would like to classify del Pezzo foliations. In addition to the above mentioned foliations on \mathbb{P}^n , we know examples of del Pezzo foliations of any rank on quadric hypersurfaces, del Pezzo foliations of rank 2 on certain Grassmannians, and del Pezzo foliations of rank 2 and 3 on \mathbb{P}^m -bundles over \mathbb{P}^l . These examples are described in Sections 4 and 9.

We note that the generic del Pezzo foliation on \mathbb{P}^n of type (2) above does not have algebraic leaves. Our first main result says that this is the only del Pezzo foliation that is not algebraically integrable. We also describe the geometry of the general leaf in all other cases.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a del Pezzo foliation on a complex projective manifold $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Then \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable, and its general leaves are rationally connected.*

One of the key ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following criterion by Bogomolov and McQuillan for a foliation to be algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf.

Theorem 1.2 ([BM01, Theorem 0.1], [KSCT07, Theorem 1]). *Let X be a normal complex projective variety, and \mathcal{F} a foliation on X . Let $C \subset X$ be a complete curve disjoint from the singular loci of X and \mathcal{F} . Suppose that the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_C$ is an ample vector bundle on C . Then the leaf of \mathcal{F} through any point of C is an algebraic variety, and the leaf of \mathcal{F} through a general point of C is moreover rationally connected.*

Given a del Pezzo foliation $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ on a complex projective manifold X , it is not clear a priori how to find a curve $C \subset X$ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2. Instead, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will apply Theorem 1.2 in several steps. First we construct suitable subfoliations $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ for which we can prove algebraic integrability and rationally connectedness of general leaves. Next we consider the the closure W in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{H} , as explained in Section 3. We then apply Theorem 1.2 to the foliation on W induced by \mathcal{F} .

In the course of our study of Fano foliations, we were led to deal with singularities of foliations. We introduce new notions of singularities for foliations, inspired by the theory of singularities of pairs, developed in the context of the minimal model program. In order to explain this, let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be an algebraically integrable foliation on a complex projective manifold X , and denote by $i: \tilde{F} \rightarrow X$ the normalization of the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} . Then there is an effective Weil divisor $\tilde{\Delta}$ on \tilde{F} such that $-K_{\tilde{F}} = i^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) + \tilde{\Delta}$. We call the pair $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ a general *log leaf* of \mathcal{F} . We say that \mathcal{F} has *log canonical singularities along a general leaf* if $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is log canonical (see Section 3 for details). Algebraically integrable Fano foliations having log canonical singularities along a general leaf have a very special property: there is a common point contained in the closure of a general leaf (see Proposition 5.3). This property is useful to derive classification results under some restrictions on the singularities of \mathcal{F} , such as the following (see also Theorem 8.1).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a del Pezzo foliation of rank r on a complex projective manifold $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Suppose that \mathcal{F} has log canonical singularities and is locally free along a general leaf. Then either $\rho(X) = 1$, or $r \leq 3$, X is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle over \mathbb{P}^l and $\mathcal{F} \not\subset T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$.*

Notice that a del Pezzo foliation \mathcal{F} on $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$ is algebraically integrable by Theorem 1.1. Hence it makes sense to ask that \mathcal{F} has log canonical singularities along a general leaf in Theorem 1.3 above. We remark that del Pezzo foliations of codimension 1 on Fano manifolds with Picard number 1 were classified in [LPT11a, Proposition 3.7].

Theorem 1.3 raises the problem of classifying del Pezzo foliations on \mathbb{P}^m -bundles $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$. If $m = 1$, then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^l$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a foliation $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus i} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ (see 9.1). For $m \geq 2$, we have the following result (see Theorems 9.2 and 9.6 for more details).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a del Pezzo foliation on a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$, with $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \not\subset T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$. Then there is an exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$ on \mathbb{P}^l such that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via the relative linear projection $X \dashrightarrow Z = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{K})$ of a foliation $q^* \det(\mathcal{Q}) \subset T_Z$. Here $q : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ denotes the natural projection. Moreover, one of the following holds.*

- (1) $l = 1$, $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$, \mathcal{K} is an ample vector bundle such that $\mathcal{K} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m}$ for any integer a , and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ ($r_{\mathcal{F}} = 2$).
- (2) $l = 1$, $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathcal{O}(2)$, $\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m}$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ ($r_{\mathcal{F}} = 2$).
- (3) $l = 1$, $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$, $\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m-1}$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ ($r_{\mathcal{F}} = 3$).
- (4) $l \geq 2$, $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$, and \mathcal{K} is V -equivariant for some $V \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^l, T_{\mathbb{P}^l} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \setminus \{0\}$ ($r_{\mathcal{F}} = 2$).

Conversely, given \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{Q} satisfying any of the conditions above, there exists a del Pezzo foliation of that type.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the basic notions concerning foliations and Pfaff fields on varieties. In Section 3 we focus on algebraically integrable foliations, and develop notions of singularities for these foliations. In Section 4 we describe examples of Fano foliations on Fano manifolds with Picard number 1. In Section 5 we study the relative anti-canonical bundle of a fibration, and provide applications to the theory of Fano foliations. In Section 6 we recall some results from the theory of rational curves on varieties, and explain how they apply to foliations. In Section 7 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 8 we address the problem of classifying Fano foliations with mild singularities. In particular we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 9 we address del Pezzo foliations on projective space bundles.

We plan to address Fano foliations on Fano manifolds with Picard number 1 and related questions in forthcoming works.

Notation and conventions. We always work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. Varieties are always assumed to be irreducible. We denote by $\text{Sing}(X)$ the singular locus of a variety X . Given a sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a variety X , we denote by \mathcal{F}^* the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. If r is the generic rank of \mathcal{F} , then we denote by $\det(\mathcal{F})$ the sheaf $(\wedge^r \mathcal{F})^{**}$. If \mathcal{G} is another sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X , then we denote by $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ the sheaf $(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})^{**}$. If \mathcal{E} is a locally free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a variety X , we denote by $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ the Grothendieck projectivization $\text{Proj}_X(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{E}))$. If X is a normal variety and $X \rightarrow Y$ is any morphism, we denote by $T_{X/Y}$ the sheaf $(\Omega_{X/Y}^1)^*$. In particular, $T_X = (\Omega_X^1)^*$. If X is a smooth variety and D is a reduced divisor on X with simple normal crossings support, we denote by $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ the sheaf of differential 1-forms with logarithmic poles along D , and by $T_X(-\log D)$ its dual sheaf $\Omega_X^1(\log D)^*$. Notice that $\det(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D)$.

Acknowledgements. Much of this work was developed during the authors' visits to IMPA and Institut Fourier. We would like to thank both institutions for their support and hospitality. We also thank our colleagues Julie Déserti and Jorge Vitório Pereira for very helpful discussions.

2. FOLIATIONS AND PFAFF FIELDS

Definition 2.1. Let X be normal variety. A *foliation* on X is a nonzero coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ satisfying

- (1) \mathcal{F} is closed under the Lie bracket, and
- (2) \mathcal{F} is saturated in T_X (i.e., T_X/\mathcal{F} is torsion free).

The rank $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is the generic rank of \mathcal{F} .

The *canonical class* $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is any Weil divisor on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \det(\mathcal{F})$.

A *foliated variety* is a pair (X, \mathcal{F}) consisting of a normal variety X together with a foliation \mathcal{F} on X .

Definition 2.2. A foliation \mathcal{F} on a normal variety is said to be *1-Gorenstein* if its canonical class $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Cartier divisor.

Remark 2.3. Condition (2) above implies that \mathcal{F} is reflexive. Indeed, T_X is reflexive by [Har80, Corollary 1.2]. Thus, the inclusion $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ factors through $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^{**}$. The induced map $\mathcal{F}^{**} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$ is generically zero. Hence it is identically zero since T_X/\mathcal{F} is torsion free by (2). Thus $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{**}$.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a variety, and r a positive integer. A *Pfaff field of rank r* on X is a nonzero map $\eta : \Omega_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, where \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf on X (see [EK03]). The *singular locus* S of η is the closed subscheme of X whose ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_S is the image of the induced map $\Omega_X^r \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

A closed subscheme Y of X is said to be *invariant* under η if

- (1) no irreducible component of Y is contained in the singular locus of η , and
- (2) the restriction $\eta|_Y : \Omega_X^r|_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_Y$ factors through the natural map $\Omega_X^r|_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y^r$, in other words, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_X^r|_Y & \xrightarrow{\eta|_Y} & \mathcal{L}|_Y \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Omega_Y^r & & \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is the natural one.

Notice that a 1-Gorenstein foliation \mathcal{F} of rank r on normal variety X naturally gives rise to a Pfaff field of rank r on X :

$$\eta : \Omega_X^r = \wedge^r(\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow \wedge^r(T_X^*) \rightarrow \wedge^r(\mathcal{F}^*) \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{F}^*) \simeq \det(\mathcal{F})^* = \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{F} be a 1-Gorenstein foliation on a normal variety X . The *singular locus* of \mathcal{F} is defined to be the singular locus S of the associated Pfaff field. We say that \mathcal{F} or (X, \mathcal{F}) is *regular at a point* $x \in X$ if $x \notin S$. We say that \mathcal{F} or (X, \mathcal{F}) is *regular* if $S = \emptyset$.

Using Frobenius' theorem, one can prove the following.

Lemma 2.6 ([BM01, Lemma 1.3.2]). *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a 1-Gorenstein foliated variety. Suppose that \mathcal{F} regular and locally free at a point $x \in X$. Then there exists an analytic open neighborhood U of x , a complex analytic space W , and a smooth morphism $U \rightarrow W$ of relative dimension $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_U = T_{U/W}$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a smooth variety, and \mathcal{F} a foliation of rank r on X with singular locus S . Let S_1 be the set of points $x \in X$ at which \mathcal{F} is not locally free, and S_2 the set of points $x \in X$ such that \mathcal{F} is locally free at x and $\mathcal{F} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow T_X \otimes k(x)$ is not injective.*

- (1) *Then $S \subset S_1 \cup S_2$ as sets, and $S \setminus S_1 = S_2$.*
- (2) *Let $Y \subset X$ be an irreducible subvariety of dimension $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $Y \not\subset S_1 \cup S_2$. Then $Y \setminus S_1 \cup S_2$ is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus S_1 \cup S_2}$ if and only if Y is invariant under the associated Pfaff field $\eta : \Omega_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be a point at which \mathcal{F} is locally free. Then there is an open neighborhood of x where $\det(\mathcal{F}^*)$ is invertible. Thus $x \in S$ if and only if $x \in S_2$, proving (1).

Let $x \in Y \setminus S_1 \cup S_2$ be a smooth point of Y and let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r$ be local vector fields that generate \mathcal{F} on an affine neighbourhood U of x . Observe that $\eta|_U : \Omega_X^r|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})|_U$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(U, \Omega_X^r|_U) &\longrightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})|_U) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \alpha(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r)\omega \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})|_U)$ is such that $\omega(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r) = 1$. It follows that Y is invariant under η if and only if, for any local function f on U vanishing along $Y \cap U$, and any local $(r-1)$ -differential form β on U , we have $(df \wedge \beta)(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r) = 0$. This happens if and only if, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and any local function f on U vanishing along $Y \cap U$, we have $df(\vec{v}_i) = 0$. This is in turn equivalent to requiring that $\vec{v}_i(x) \in T_{Y,x}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, which is saying precisely that $Y \setminus S_1 \cup S_2$ is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus S_1 \cup S_2}$. This proves (2). \square

Next we define Fano foliations and Fano Pfaff fields.

Definition 2.8. Let X be a normal projective variety.

Let \mathcal{F} be a 1-Gorenstein foliation on X . We say that \mathcal{F} is a *Fano foliation* if $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is ample. In this case, the index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is the largest positive integer such that $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim \iota_{\mathcal{F}}H$ for a Cartier divisor H on X .

Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on X , r a positive integer, and $\eta : \Omega_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ a Pfaff field. We say that η is a *Fano Pfaff field* if \mathcal{L}^{-1} is ample. In this case, the index ι_{η} of η is the largest positive integer such that $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \sim \mathcal{A}^{\otimes \iota_{\eta}}$ for a line bundle \mathcal{A} on X .

Remark 2.9. Let X be a smooth complex projective variety. If X admits a Fano foliation or a Fano Pfaff field, then X is uniruled by [Miy87, Corollary 8.6].

In analogy with Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem, we have the following.

Theorem 2.10 ([ADK08, Theorem 1.1]). *Let X be a smooth complex projective variety, \mathcal{L} a line bundle on X , r a positive integer, and $\eta : \Omega_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ a Fano Pfaff field. Then:*

- (1) $\iota_{\eta} \leq r + 1$;
- (2) $\iota_{\eta} = r + 1$ if and only if $r = \dim(X)$ and $(X, \mathcal{L}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-1))$;
- (3) $\iota_{\eta} = r$ if and only if either $(X, \mathcal{L}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1))$ for some $n \geq r$, or $r = \dim(X)$ and $(X, \mathcal{L}) \simeq (Q_r, \mathcal{O}_{Q_r}(-1))$, where Q_r denotes a smooth quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{r+1} and $\mathcal{O}_{Q_r}(-1)$ denotes the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r+1}}(-1)$ to Q_r .

Definition 2.11. Let X be a smooth projective variety, and \mathcal{F} a Fano foliation on X of rank $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ and index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$. We say that \mathcal{F} is a *del Pezzo foliation* if $r_{\mathcal{F}} \geq 2$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$.

3. ALGEBRAICALLY INTEGRABLE FOLIATIONS

Definition 3.1. Let X be normal variety. A foliation \mathcal{F} on X is said to be *algebraically integrable* if the leaf of \mathcal{F} through a general point of X is an algebraic variety. In this situation, by abuse of notation we often use the word ‘‘leaf’’ to mean the closure in X of a leaf of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be normal projective variety, and \mathcal{F} an algebraically integrable foliation on X . There is a unique irreducible closed subvariety W of $\text{Chow}(X)$ whose general point parametrizes the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} (viewed as a reduced and irreducible cycle in X). In other words, if $U \subset W \times X$ is the universal cycle, with universal morphisms $\pi : U \rightarrow W$ and $e : U \rightarrow X$, then e is birational, and, for a general point $w \in W$, $e(\pi^{-1}(w)) \subset X$ is the closure of a leaf of \mathcal{F} .*

Notation 3.3. We say that the subvariety W provided by Lemma 3.2 is *the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F}* .

Proof of Lemma 3.2. First of all, recall that $\text{Chow}(X)$ has countably many irreducible components. On the other hand, since we are working over \mathbb{C} , \mathcal{F} has uncountably many leaves. Therefore, there is a closed subvariety W of $\text{Chow}(X)$ such that

- (1) the universal cycle over W dominates X , and
- (2) the subset of points in W parametrizing leaves of \mathcal{F} (viewed as reduced and irreducible cycles in X) is Zariski dense in W .

Let $U \subset W \times X$ be the universal cycle over W , denote by $p : W \times X \rightarrow W$ and $q : W \times X \rightarrow X$ the natural projections, and by $\pi = p|_U : U \rightarrow W$ and $e = q|_U : U \rightarrow X$ their restrictions to U . We need to show that, for a general point $w \in W$, $e(\pi^{-1}(w)) \subset X$ is the closure of a leaf of \mathcal{F} .

To simplify notation, we suppose that X is smooth. In the general case, in what follows one should replace X with its smooth locus X_0 , W with a dense open subset $W_0 \subset q(p^{-1}(X_0))$ and U with $U_0 = q^{-1}(X_0) \cap p^{-1}(W_0) \cap U$.

Let $\eta_X : \Omega_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ be the Pfaff field associated to \mathcal{F} . It induces a Pfaff field of rank r on $W \times X$:

$$\eta_{W \times X} : \Omega_{W \times X}^r = \wedge^r(p^*\Omega_W^1 \oplus q^*\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow \wedge^r(q^*\Omega_X^1) \simeq q^*\Omega_X^r \rightarrow q^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

We claim that U is invariant under $\eta_{W \times X}$. Indeed, let K be the kernel of the natural morphism $\Omega_{W \times X}^r|_U \rightarrow \Omega_U^r$. The composite map $K \rightarrow \Omega_{W \times X}^r|_U \rightarrow e^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ vanishes on a Zariski dense subset of U by Lemma 2.7. Since $e^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ is torsion-free, it vanishes identically, and thus the restriction $\eta_{W \times X}|_U : \Omega_{W \times X}^r|_U \rightarrow e^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ factors through $\Omega_{W \times X}^r|_U \rightarrow \Omega_U^r$. Similarly, the morphism $\eta_U : \Omega_U^r \rightarrow e^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ factors

through the natural morphism $\Omega_U^r \rightarrow \Omega_{U/W}^r$. Lemma 2.7 then implies that, for a general point $w \in W$, $e(\pi^{-1}(w)) \subset X$ is the closure of a leaf of \mathcal{F} . \square

Next we come to the definition of a general log leaf of an algebraically integrable foliation.

Definition 3.4. Let X be normal projective variety, \mathcal{F} a 1-Gorenstein algebraically integrable foliation of rank r on X , and $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \Omega_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ the corresponding Pfaff field. Let F be the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} , and $n : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F \subset X$ its normalization. By Lemma 2.7, F is invariant under $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e., the restriction $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}|_F : \Omega_X^r|_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})|_F$ factors through the natural map $\Omega_X^r|_F \rightarrow \Omega_F^r$. By Lemma 3.5 below, the induced map $\eta : \Omega_F^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})|_F$ extends uniquely to a generically surjective map $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega_{\tilde{F}}^r \rightarrow n^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$. Hence there is a canonically defined effective Weil divisor $\tilde{\Delta}$ on \tilde{F} such that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(K_{\tilde{F}} + \tilde{\Delta}) \simeq n^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$. Namely, $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the divisor of zeroes of $\tilde{\eta}$.

We call the pair $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ a *general log leaf* of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 3.5 ([ADK08, Proposition 4.5]). *Let X be a variety and $n : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ its normalization. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on X , r a positive integer, and $\eta : \Omega_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ a Pfaff field. Then η extends uniquely to a Pfaff field $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^r \rightarrow n^* \mathcal{L}$ of rank r .*

Next we define notions of singularity for 1-Gorenstein algebraically integrable foliations according to the singularity type of their general log leaf. First we recall some definitions of singularities of pairs, developed in the context of the minimal model program. We refer to [KM98, section 2.3] for details.

3.6 (Singularities of pairs.). Let X be a normal projective variety, and $\Delta = \sum a_i \Delta_i$ an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X , i.e., Δ is a nonnegative \mathbf{Q} -linear combination of distinct prime Weil divisors Δ_i 's on X . Suppose that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier, i.e., some nonzero multiple of it is a Cartier divisor on X .

Let $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of the pair (X, Δ) . This means that \tilde{X} is a smooth projective variety, f is a birational projective morphism whose exceptional locus is the union of prime divisors E_i 's, and the divisor $\sum E_i + f_*^{-1} \Delta$ has simple normal crossing support. There are uniquely defined rational numbers $a(E_i, X, \Delta)$'s such that

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + f_*^{-1} \Delta = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_{E_i} a(E_i, X, \Delta) E_i.$$

The $a(E_i, X, \Delta)$'s do not depend on the log resolution f , but only on the valuations associated to the E_i 's.

We say that (X, Δ) is *log terminal* (or *klt*) if all $a_i < 1$, and, for some log resolution $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of (X, Δ) , $a(E_i, X, \Delta) > -1$ for every f -exceptional prime divisor E_i . We say that (X, Δ) is *log canonical* if all $a_i \leq 1$, and, for some log resolution $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of (X, Δ) , $a(E_i, X, \Delta) \geq -1$ for every f -exceptional prime divisor E_i . If these conditions hold for some log resolution of (X, Δ) , then they hold for every log resolution of (X, Δ) .

Definition 3.7. Let X be normal projective variety, \mathcal{F} a 1-Gorenstein algebraically integrable foliation on X , and $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ its general log leaf. We say that \mathcal{F} has *log terminal (respectively log canonical) singularities along a general leaf* if $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is log terminal (respectively log canonical). In particular, if \mathcal{F} has log terminal singularities along a general leaf, then $\tilde{\Delta} = 0$.

Remark 3.8. Let X be normal projective variety, and \mathcal{F} a 1-Gorenstein algebraically integrable foliation of rank r on X . Let W be the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} , and $U \subset W \times X$ the universal cycle. Denote by $e : U \rightarrow X$ the natural morphism. We saw in the proof of Lemma 3.2 that \mathcal{F} induces a Pfaff field $\eta_U : \Omega_U^r \rightarrow e^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$, which factors through the natural morphism $\Omega_U^r \rightarrow \Omega_{U/W}^r$.

Let \tilde{W} and \tilde{U} be the normalizations of W and U , respectively. Denote by $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{W}$ and $\tilde{e} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow X$ the induced morphisms. By Lemma 3.5, $\eta_U : \Omega_U^r \rightarrow e^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ extends uniquely to a Pfaff field $\eta_{\tilde{U}} : \Omega_{\tilde{U}}^r \rightarrow \tilde{e}^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$. As before, this morphism factors through the natural morphism $\Omega_{\tilde{U}}^r \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{U}/\tilde{W}}^r$, yielding a generically surjective map

$$\Omega_{\tilde{U}/\tilde{W}}^r \rightarrow \tilde{e}^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Thus there is a canonically defined effective Weil divisor Δ on \tilde{U} such that $\det(\Omega_{\tilde{U}/\tilde{W}}^1)[\otimes] \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}(\Delta) \simeq \tilde{e}^* \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$.

Let w be a general point of \tilde{W} , set $\tilde{U}_w := \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(w)$ and $\Delta_w := \Delta|_{\tilde{U}_w}$. Then (\tilde{U}_w, Δ_w) coincides with the general log leaf $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ defined above. In particular, by [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.5], \mathcal{F} has log terminal (respectively log canonical) singularities along a general leaf if and only if (\tilde{U}, Δ) has log terminal (respectively log canonical) singularities over the generic point of \tilde{W} .

The same construction can be carried out by replacing W with a general closed subvariety of it.

Next we compare the notions of singularities for algebraically integrable foliations introduced in Definition 3.7 with those introduced earlier in [McQ08]. We recall McQuillan's definitions, which do not require algebraic integrability.

3.9 ([McQ08, Definition I.1.2]). Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated variety. Given a birational morphism $\varphi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, there is a unique foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on \tilde{X} that agrees with $\varphi^*\mathcal{F}$ on the open subset of \tilde{X} where φ is an isomorphism. We say that $\varphi : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{F})$ is a birational morphism of foliated varieties.

From now on assume moreover that $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and φ is projective. Then there are uniquely defined rational numbers $a(E, X, \mathcal{F})$'s such that

$$K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = \varphi^*K_{\mathcal{F}} + \sum_E a(E, X, \mathcal{F})E,$$

where E runs through all exceptional prime divisors for φ . The $a(E, X, \Delta)$'s do not depend on the birational morphism φ , but only on the valuations associated to the E 's.

For an exceptional prime divisor E over X , define

$$\epsilon(E) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \text{ is invariant by the foliation,} \\ 1 & \text{if } E \text{ is not invariant by the foliation.} \end{cases}$$

The foliated variety (X, \mathcal{F}) is said to be

$$\begin{cases} \text{terminal} \\ \text{canonical} \\ \text{log terminal} \\ \text{log canonical} \end{cases} \quad \text{in the sense of McQuillan if, for all } E \text{ exceptional over } X, a(E, X, \mathcal{F}) \begin{cases} > 0, \\ \geq 0, \\ > -\epsilon(E), \\ \geq -\epsilon(E). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.10. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a 1-Gorenstein foliated variety. If \mathcal{F} is regular, then (X, \mathcal{F}) is canonical in the sense of McQuillan.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{F})$ be a birational projective morphism of foliated varieties with \tilde{X} smooth. Let $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \Omega_X^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ and $\eta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} : \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{r_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}})$ be the associated Pfaff fields. Since \mathcal{F} is regular, $\varphi^*\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \varphi^*\Omega_X^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \varphi^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a surjective morphism.

We claim that the composite map $\varphi^*\Omega_X^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{r_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}})$ factors through $\varphi^*\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \varphi^*\Omega_X^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \varphi^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$. Indeed, denote by K be the kernel of $\varphi^*\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \varphi^*\Omega_X^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \varphi^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$. The composite map $K \rightarrow \varphi^*\Omega_X^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{r_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}})$ vanishes over a dense subset of \tilde{X} . Since $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}})$ is torsion-free, it vanishes identically on \tilde{X} . This proves the claim. So we obtain a nonzero map $\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}})$. Thus there is an effective divisor E on \tilde{X} such that $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = \varphi^*K_{\mathcal{F}} + E$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let X be a normal projective variety, and \mathcal{F} a 1-Gorenstein algebraically integrable foliation on X . Let W be the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} . If (X, \mathcal{F}) is log terminal (respectively log canonical) in the sense of McQuillan, then \mathcal{F} has log terminal (respectively log canonical) singularities along a general leaf.*

Proof. We follow the notation in Remark 3.8.

Let $w \in \tilde{W}$ be a general point and let (\tilde{U}_w, Δ_w) be the corresponding log leaf. We denote by $\tilde{e}_w : \tilde{U}_w \rightarrow X$ the natural morphism. Recall that

$$(3.1) \quad K_{\tilde{U}_w} + \Delta_w = \tilde{e}_w^*(K_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{F}) is log terminal (respectively log canonical) in the sense of McQuillan. We have to show that the pair (\tilde{U}_w, Δ_w) is log terminal (respectively log canonical).

Let $d : Y \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ be a log resolution of singularities, and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & g & & \\
& & \curvearrowright & & \\
Y & \xrightarrow{d} & \tilde{U} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}} & X \\
& & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \\
& & \tilde{W} & &
\end{array}$$

Denote by \mathcal{F}_Y the foliation induced by \mathcal{F} on Y , and notice that $\mathcal{F}_Y = T_{Y/\tilde{W}}$. Write

$$K_{\mathcal{F}_Y} = g^* K_{\mathcal{F}} + \sum a(E, X, \mathcal{F})E,$$

where E runs through all exceptional prime divisors for g . Note that the support of the divisor Δ on \tilde{U} defined in Remark 3.8 is exceptional over X , so the strict transforms of its components in Y appear among the E 's.

Set $Y_w := d^{-1}(\tilde{U}_w)$, $d_w := d|_{Y_w} : Y_w \rightarrow \tilde{U}_w$, and $E_w := E|_{\tilde{U}_w}$. Since $w \in \tilde{W}$ is general, $K_{\mathcal{F}_Y}|_{Y_w} = K_{Y_w}$. Thus

$$(3.2) \quad K_{Y_w} = d_w^* \tilde{e}_w^*(K_{\mathcal{F}}) + \sum a(E, X, \mathcal{F})E_w.$$

Notice that $d_w : Y_w \rightarrow \tilde{U}_w$ is a log resolution of singularities. From (3.2) and (3.1) we deduce that

$$K_{Y_w} = d_w^*(K_{\tilde{U}_w} + \Delta_w) + \sum a(E, X, \mathcal{F})E_w.$$

This proves the result. \square

Remark 3.12. The notions of singularities of foliations discussed above do not say anything about the singularities of the ambient space. For instance, let Y be a smooth variety, T any normal variety, and set $X := Y \times T$, with natural projection $p : X \rightarrow Y$. Set $\mathcal{F} := p^*T_Y \subset T_X$. Then (X, \mathcal{F}) is a regular 1-Gorenstein foliated variety, canonical in the sense of McQuillan, while X may be very singular.

4. EXAMPLES

4.1 (Foliations of rank r and index r on \mathbb{P}^n). Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ be a Fano foliation of rank r and index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r$ on \mathbb{P}^n . These are classically known as *degree 0 foliations on \mathbb{P}^n* . By [DC05, Théorème 3.8], \mathcal{F} is defined by a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-r}$. The singular locus of \mathcal{F} is a linear subspace S of dimension $r-1$. The closure of the leaf through a point $p \notin S$ is the r -dimensional linear subspace L of \mathbb{P}^n containing both p and S . Let $p_1, \dots, p_r \in S$ be r linearly independent points in S , and $v_i \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1))$ a nonzero section vanishing at p_i . Then the v_i 's define an injective map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus r} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ whose image is \mathcal{F} . Thus the restricted map $\mathcal{F}|_L \rightarrow T_L$ is induced by the sections $v_i|_L \in H^0(L, T_L(-1)) \subset H^0(L, T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)|_L)$. In particular, the zero locus of the map $\det(\mathcal{F})|_L \rightarrow \det(T_L)$ is the codimension one linear subspace $S \cap L \subset L$. Thus the log leaf $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta}) = (L, S \cap L)$ is log canonical, and \mathcal{F} has log canonical singularities along a general leaf.

4.2 (Foliations of rank r and index $r-1$ on \mathbb{P}^n). Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ be a Fano foliation of rank r and index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r-1$ on \mathbb{P}^n . By [LPT11a, Theorem 6.2],

- either \mathcal{F} is defined by a rational dominant map $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^{n-r}, 2)$, defined by $n-r$ linear forms and one quadric form, where $\mathbb{P}(1^{n-r}, 2)$ denotes the weighted projective space of type $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r \text{ times}}, 2)$.
- or \mathcal{F} is the linear pullback of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^{n-r+1} induced by a global holomorphic vector field.

Note that a foliation on \mathbb{P}^{n-r+1} induced by a global holomorphic vector field may or may not have algebraic leaves. Moreover, algebraically integrable foliations of rank r and index $r-1$ on \mathbb{P}^n may or may not have log canonical singularities along a general leaf.

4.3 (Fano foliations on Grassmannians). Let m and n be nonnegative integers, and V a complex vector space of dimension $n+1$. Let $G = G(m+1, V)$ be the Grassmannian of $(m+1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces of V , with tautological exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let k be an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq n-m-1$, and W a $(k+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace of V . Set

$$\mathcal{F} := W \otimes \mathcal{H}^* \subset V \otimes \mathcal{H}^*.$$

The map $V \otimes \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K}^*$ induced by $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ yields a map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K}^* \simeq T_G$. For a general point $[L] \in G$, $L \cap W = \{0\}$ since $k+m \leq n-1$. Thus the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_G$ is injective at $[L]$. Since \mathcal{F} is locally free, $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow T_G$ is injective. Let P be the linear span of L and W in V . It has dimension $m+k+2 \leq n+1$. Notice that the Grassmannian $G(m+1, P) \subset G$ is tangent to \mathcal{F} at a general point of $G(m+1, P)$.

Suppose that $k \leq n-m-2$ (or equivalently that $\dim(P) < \dim(V)$). Then \mathcal{F} is a subbundle of T_G in codimension one, and thus saturated in T_G by lemma 9.7. In particular \mathcal{F} is a Fano foliation on G of rank $r = (m+1)(k+1)$. Its singular locus S is the set of points $[L] \in G$ such that $\dim(L \cap W) \geq 1$.

Recall that $\text{Pic}(G) = \mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{O}_G(1)]$ where $\mathcal{O}_G(1) \simeq \det(\mathcal{L})$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{m+1}V)}(1)$ under the Plücker embedding. It follows that \mathcal{F} has index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = k+1$. In particular, $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r-1$ if and only if $m=1$ and $k=0$. In this case, $G = G(2, V)$ and \mathcal{F} is the rank 2 foliation on G whose general leaf is the \mathbb{P}^2 of 2-dimensional linear subspaces of a general 3-plane containing the line W .

Finally, observe that $S \cap G(m+1, P)$ is irreducible and has codimension one in $G(m+1, P)$. Moreover, $\det(T_{G(m+1, P)}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{G(m+1, P)}(m+k+2)$, and $\det(\mathcal{F})|_{G(m+1, P)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{G(m+1, P)}(k+1)$. It follows that the map $\det(\mathcal{F})|_{G(m+1, P)} \rightarrow \det(T_{G(m+1, P)})$ vanishes at order $m+1$ along $S \cap G(m+1, P)$. So the general log leaf of \mathcal{F} is

$$(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta}) = \left(G(m+1, P), (m+1) \cdot (S \cap G(m+1, P)) \right).$$

In particular, \mathcal{F} has log canonical singularities along a general leaf if and only if $m=0$, i.e., $G = \mathbb{P}^n$, and \mathcal{F} is the foliation described in 4.1 above. In all other cases, the closures of the leaves of \mathcal{F} do not have a common point in G .

When $m=1$ and $k=0$, we obtain a rank 2 del Pezzo foliation on $G = G(2, V)$ with general log leaf $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, 2H)$, where H is a line in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Next we want to discuss Fano foliations on hypersurfaces of projective spaces. In order to do so, it will be convenient to view foliations as given by differential forms.

4.4 (Foliations as q -forms). Let X be a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ a foliation of rank r on X . Set $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* := (T_X/\mathcal{F})^*$, and $N_{\mathcal{F}} := (N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)^*$. These are called the *conormal* and *normal* sheaves of the foliation \mathcal{F} , respectively. The conormal sheaf $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is a saturated subsheaf of Ω_X^1 of rank $q := n-r$. The q -th wedge product of the inclusion $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \subset \Omega_X^1$ gives rise to a nonzero twisted differential q -form ω with coefficients in the line bundle $\mathcal{L} := \det(N_{\mathcal{F}})$, which is *locally decomposable* and *integrable*. To say that $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{L})$ is locally decomposable means that, in a neighborhood of a general point of X , ω decomposes as the wedge product of q local 1-forms $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_q$. To say that it is integrable means that for this local decomposition one has $d\omega_i \wedge \omega = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. Conversely, given a twisted q -form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{L}) \setminus \{0\}$ which is locally decomposable and integrable, we define a foliation of rank r on X as the kernel of the morphism $T_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^{q-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ given by the contraction with ω .

Lemma 4.5. *Fix $n \geq 3$, and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d \geq 3$. Let k and q be integers such that $k \leq q \leq n-2$ and $q \geq 1$. Then $h^0(X, \Omega_X^q(k)) = 0$.*

Before we prove the lemma, we recall Bott's formulae.

4.6 (Bott's Formulae). Let n, p, q and k be integers, with n positive and p and q nonnegative. Then

$$h^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p(k)) = \begin{cases} \binom{k+n-p}{k} \binom{k-1}{p} & \text{for } q=0, 0 \leq p \leq n \text{ and } k > p, \\ 1 & \text{for } k=0 \text{ and } 0 \leq p=q \leq n, \\ \binom{-k+p}{-k} \binom{-k-1}{n-p} & \text{for } q=n, 0 \leq p \leq n \text{ and } k < p-n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let r, s and t be integers, with r and s nonnegative. Observe that the natural pairing $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{n-p} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n$ is perfect. It induces an isomorphism $\wedge^r T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(t) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{n-r}(t+n+1)$. So the formulae above become

$$h^s(\mathbb{P}^n, \wedge^r T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(t)) = \begin{cases} \binom{t+n+1+r}{t+n+1} \binom{t+n}{n-r} & \text{for } s=0, 0 \leq r \leq n \text{ and } t+r \geq 0, \\ 1 & \text{for } t=-n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq n-r=s \leq n, \\ \binom{-t-1+r}{-t-n-1} \binom{-t-n-2}{r} & \text{for } s=n, 0 \leq r \leq n \text{ and } t+n+r+2 \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.5. By [Fle81, Satz 8.11],

- (1) $h^0(X, \Omega_X^r(s)) = 0$ for $s < r \leq n-1$,
- (2) $h^1(X, \Omega_X^r(s)) = 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq n-2$ and $s \leq r-2$.

Thus, it is enough to prove that $h^0(X, \Omega_X^q(q)) = 0$ for $1 \leq q \leq n-2$. Let $q \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. By Bott's formulae,

- (1) $h^0(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^r(r)) = 0$ for $r \geq 1$,
- (2) $h^1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^r(s)) = 0$ for $s < r-1$.

The cohomology of the exact sequence of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^{n+1}

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q-d) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q)|_X \rightarrow 0,$$

and the vanishing of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q))$ and $H^1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q-d))$ imply the vanishing of $H^0(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q)|_X)$.

The cohomology of the exact sequence of sheaves on X

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{q-1}(q-d) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q)|_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^q(q) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the vanishing of $H^0(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^q(q)|_X)$ and $H^1(X, \Omega_X^{q-1}(q-d))$ yield the result. \square

Proposition 4.7 (Fano foliations on hypersurfaces). *Fix $n \geq 3$, and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d \geq 3$. Let $r \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$, and ι be a positive integer. Then there exists a Fano foliation of rank r and index ι on X if and only if $d + \iota \leq r + 1$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a Fano foliation on X of rank r and index ι defined by a twisted $(n-r)$ -form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-r}(n+2-d-\iota))$. Notice that $1 \leq n-r \leq n-2$. By lemma 4.5, we must have

$$n-r < n+2-d-\iota,$$

or, equivalently,

$$d + \iota \leq r + 1.$$

Conversely, let $r \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ and ι be such that $d + \iota \leq r + 1$. Let $\omega \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^{n-r}(n+2-d-\iota))$ be a general twisted $(n-r)$ -form defining a Fano foliation of rank $r+1$ and index $d + \iota \leq r + 1$ on \mathbb{P}^{n+1} . Then $\omega|_X \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-r}(n+2-d-\iota))$ defines a foliation on X of rank r and index ι . \square

Corollary 4.8. *Fix $n \geq 3$, and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$. Then there exists a Fano foliation on X of rank $r \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ and index $\iota = r - 1$ if and only if $d = 2$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a Fano foliation on X of rank $r \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ and index $\iota = r - 1$ on X . By Proposition 4.7, we must have $d \leq 2$. Conversely, a foliation of rank $r+1$ and index $\iota = r + 1$ on \mathbb{P}^{n+1} induces a foliation of rank r and index $\iota = r - 1$ on X . \square

Question 4.9. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and dimension $n \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a Fano foliation of rank r and index ι on X , with $d + \iota = r + 1$. Is \mathcal{F} induced by a Fano foliation of rank $r+1$ and index $r+1$ on \mathbb{P}^{n+1} ?*

In Section 9, we provide several examples of del Pezzo foliations on projective space bundles.

5. THE RELATIVE ANTICANONICAL BUNDLE OF A FIBRATION AND APPLICATIONS

In [Miy93, Theorem 2], Miyaoka proved that the anticanonical bundle of a smooth projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ onto a smooth proper curve cannot be ample. In [ADK08, Theorem 3.1], this result was generalized by dropping the smoothness assumption, and replacing $-K_{X/C}$ with $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta)$, where Δ is an effective Weil divisor on X such that (X, Δ) is log canonical over the generic point of C . In this section we give a further generalization of this result and provide applications to the theory of Fano foliations.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a normal projective variety, and $f : X \rightarrow C$ a surjective morphism with connected fibers onto a smooth curve. Let $\Delta_+ \subseteq X$ and $\Delta_- \subseteq X$ be effective Weil \mathbf{Q} -divisors with no common components such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(k\Delta_-) = \mathcal{O}_C$ for every non negative integer k . Set $\Delta := \Delta_+ - \Delta_-$, and assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier.*

- (1) *If (X, Δ) is log canonical over the generic point of C , then $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta)$ is not ample.*
- (2) *If (X, Δ) is klt over the generic point of C , then $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta)$ is not nef and big.*

Proof. To prove (1), we assume to the contrary that (X, Δ) is log canonical over the generic point of C , and $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta)$ is ample. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of singularities of (X, Δ) , A an ample divisor on C , and $m \gg 0$ such that $D = -m(K_{X/C} + \Delta) - f^*A$ is very ample. Then

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_+ - \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_- = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta_+ - \Delta_-) + E_+ - E_-,$$

where E_+ and E_- are effective π -exceptional divisors with no common components and the support of $\pi_*^{-1}\Delta + E_+ + E_-$ is a snc divisor.

Set $\tilde{f} := f \circ \pi$ and let $\tilde{D} \in |\pi^*D|$ be a general member. Setting $\tilde{\Delta}_+ = \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_+ + \frac{1}{m}\tilde{D} + E_-$, we obtain that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta}_+)$ is log canonical over the generic point of C and that

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta}_+ \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{f}^*K_C + E_+ + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_- - \frac{1}{m}\tilde{f}^*A.$$

Furthermore, since E_+ is effective and π -exceptional, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(lE_+) = \mathcal{O}_X$ for any $l \in \mathbf{N}$. Then for any $l \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(lm(K_{\tilde{X}/C} + \tilde{\Delta}_+)) &\simeq \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l(mE_+ + m\pi_*^{-1}\Delta_- - \tilde{f}^*A)) \\ &\simeq \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(l(mE_+ + m\pi_*^{-1}\Delta_-)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-lA). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(lm(E_+ + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_-)) = \mathcal{O}_C$. Indeed, let $U \subseteq C$ be a non empty open subset and let $\tilde{\lambda} \in H^0(\tilde{f}^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(lm(E_+ + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_-)))$ that is, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a rational function on \tilde{X} such that $\text{div}(\tilde{\lambda}) + lm(E_+ + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_-) \geq 0$ over $\tilde{f}^{-1}(U)$. Let λ be the unique rational function on X such that $\tilde{\lambda} = \pi \circ \lambda$. Then $\text{div}(\lambda) + lm\Delta_- \geq 0$ over $f^{-1}(U)$ since E_+ is π -exceptional. Since $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(lm\Delta_-) = \mathcal{O}_C$ by assumption, there exists a regular function μ on U such that $\lambda = f \circ \mu$ over $f^{-1}(U)$. Thus the natural map $\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(lm(E_+ + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta_-))$ is an isomorphism as claimed.

Finally, observe that $\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(lm(K_{\tilde{X}/C} + \tilde{\Delta}_+))$ is semi-positive by [Cam04, Theorem 4.13], but that contradicts the fact that A is ample. This proves (1).

To prove (2), we assume to the contrary that (X, Δ) is klt over the generic point of C , and $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta)$ is nef and big. There exists an effective \mathbf{Q} -Cartier \mathbf{Q} -divisor N on X such that $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta) - \varepsilon N$ is ample for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ be such that $(X, \Delta + \varepsilon N)$ is klt over the generic point of C . Set $\Delta'_+ := \Delta_+ + \varepsilon N$, $\Delta'_- := \Delta_-$, and $\Delta' := \Delta + \varepsilon N$. Then

$$-(K_{X/C} + \Delta') = -(K_{X/C} + \Delta) - \varepsilon N$$

is ample, contradicting part (1) above. This proves (2). \square

Remark 5.2. Examples arising from Fano foliations show that Theorem 5.1 is sharp. To fix notation, let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be an algebraically integrable Fano foliation on a smooth projective variety X , with general log leaf $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$. We let $C \subset \text{Chow}(X)$ be a general complete curve contained in the closure of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} . We denote by U the normalization of the universal cycle over C , with universal morphism $e : U \rightarrow X$. Since C is general, $e : U \rightarrow X$ is birational onto its image. By Remark 3.8, there is a canonically defined Weil divisor Δ on U such that $-(K_{U/C} + \Delta) = e^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$. In particular, since $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is ample, $-(K_{U/C} + \Delta)$ is always nef and big. It is ample if and only if the leaves parametrized by C have no common point. Moreover, (U, Δ) is log canonical over the generic point of C if and only if $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is log canonical.

By choosing \mathcal{F} to have log canonical singularities along a general leaf, we see that we cannot strengthen the conclusion of Theorem 5.1 by replacing “ample” with “nef and big”.

On the other hand, consider the rank 2 del Pezzo foliation \mathcal{F} on $X = G(2, V)$ defined in 4.3. Then $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, 2H)$, where H is a line in \mathbb{P}^2 . So it is not log canonical, while in this case $-(K_{U/C} + \Delta)$ is ample. So we cannot relax the assumption that (U, Δ) is log canonical over the generic point of C in Theorem 5.1.

As a first application of Theorem 5.1, we derive a special property of Fano foliations with mild singularities. This property will play a key role in our study of Fano foliations.

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be a normal projective variety, and $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ an algebraically integrable Fano foliation on X . If \mathcal{F} has log canonical singularities along a general leaf, then there is a common point in the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let W be the normalization of the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} , and U the normalization of the universal cycle over W , with universal family morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ & & W \end{array}$$

Denote by U_w the fiber of π over a point $w \in W$.

For every $x \in X$, $\pi|_{e^{-1}(x)} : e^{-1}(x) \rightarrow W$ is finite. If we show that $\dim(e^{-1}(x)) \geq \dim(W)$ for some $x \in X$, then we conclude that $\pi(e^{-1}(x)) = W$, and thus $x \in e(U_w)$ for every $w \in W$, i.e., x is contained in the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} .

Suppose to the contrary that $\dim(e^{-1}(x)) < \dim(W)$ for every $x \in X$. Let $C \subset W$ be a general complete intersection curve, and let U_C be the normalization of $\pi^{-1}(C)$, with natural morphisms $\pi_C : U_C \rightarrow C$ and $e_C : U_C \rightarrow X$. Since C is general, C is not contained in $\pi(e^{-1}(x))$ for any $x \in X$, and thus the morphism $e_C : U_C \rightarrow X$ is finite onto its image. In particular, $e_C^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$ is ample.

By Remark 3.8, \mathcal{F} induces a generically surjective morphism $\Omega_{U_C/C}^r \rightarrow e_C^* \det(\mathcal{F})^*$. By Lemma 5.4 below followed by Lemma 3.5, after replacing C with a finite cover if necessary, we may assume that π_C has reduced fibers. This implies that $\det(\Omega_{U_C/C}^1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_C}(K_{U_C/C})$. Thus there exists an effective integral divisor Δ_C on U_C such that

$$-(K_{U_C/C} + \Delta_C) = e_C^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Since \mathcal{F} has log canonical singularities along a general leaf, the pair (U_C, Δ_C) is log canonical over the generic point of C . But this contradicts Theorem 5.1, and the result follows. \square

Lemma 5.4 ([BLR95, Theorem 2.1]). *Let X be a quasi-projective variety, and $f : X \rightarrow C$ a flat surjective morphism onto a smooth curve with reduced general fiber. Then there exists a finite morphism $C' \rightarrow C$ such that $f' : X' \rightarrow C'$ is flat with reduced fibers. Here X' denotes the normalization of $C' \times_C X$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow C'$ is the morphism induced by the projection $C' \times_C X \rightarrow C'$.*

Using these ideas, next we give elementary proof of the Lipman-Zariski conjecture for klt spaces (see [GKKP10, Theorem 6.1]).

Proposition 5.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be an algebraically integrable foliation on a normal projective variety X . Suppose that \mathcal{F} has log terminal singularities and is locally free along a general leaf. Then the leaf through a general point of X is proper and smooth and there exists an almost proper map $X \dashrightarrow Y$ whose general fibers are leaves of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let W be the normalization of the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} , and U the normalization of the universal cycle over W . By [Kol07, Theorem 3.35, 3.45] (see also [GKK10, Corollary 4.7] and Theorem 8.2), there is a log resolution of singularities $d : Y \rightarrow U$ such that $d_* T_Y(-\log \Sigma) = T_U$ where $\Sigma \subset Y$ is the largest reduced divisor contained in $d^{-1}(\text{Sing}(U))$. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & g & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{d} & U & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \pi & & \\ & & W & & \end{array}$$

By assumption, there is a dense open subset $W_0 \subset W$ such that $e^* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free along $U_0 := \pi^{-1}(W_0)$. We set $Y_0 := d^{-1}(U_0)$ and $\Sigma_0 := \Sigma|_{Y_0}$. By Remark 3.8, since $e^* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free along U_0 , \mathcal{F} induces a foliation $(e^* \mathcal{F})|_{U_0} \subset T_{U_0}$. Thus there is an injection

$$g^* \mathcal{F}|_{Y_0} \hookrightarrow T_{Y_0}(-\log \Sigma_0) \subset T_{Y_0}.$$

Hence there exists an effective integral divisor Σ'_0 on Y_0 such that

$$K_{Y_0} + \Sigma_0 + \Sigma'_0 = (g^*K_{\mathcal{F}})|_{Y_0}.$$

Let $w \in W_0$ be a general point, and (U_w, Δ_w) the corresponding log leaf. Set $Y_w := d^{-1}(U_w)$, $d_w := d|_{Y_w} : Y_w \rightarrow U_w$, $\Sigma_w := \Sigma|_{Y_w}$, and $\Sigma'_w := \Sigma'|_{Y_w}$. By assumption, (U_w, Δ_w) is log terminal. Thus $\Delta_w = 0$. Hence $K_{U_w} = (e^*K_{\mathcal{F}})|_{U_w}$, and we get

$$K_{Y_w} + \Sigma_w + \Sigma'_w = (g^*K_{\mathcal{F}})|_{Y_w} = d_w^*K_{U_w}.$$

Notice that $d_w : Y_w \rightarrow U_w$ is a log resolution of singularities, and recall that U_w is log terminal. On the other hand, Σ_w and Σ'_w are effective integral divisors on Y_w . So we must have $\Sigma_w = \Sigma'_w = 0$. This implies that U_w is smooth, and by Lemma 5.6 below, \mathcal{F} is regular along the image of U_w . \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let Y be a variety with normalization morphism $n : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$. Let \mathcal{G} be a locally free sheaf of rank $r_{\mathcal{G}}$ on Y and $\eta : \Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be any morphism. Let $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^1 \rightarrow n^*\mathcal{G}$ be the extension given by [Sei66]. Let r be a positive integer and let $S_r(\eta)$ (resp. $S_r(\tilde{\eta})$) be the locus where $\wedge^r \eta : \Omega_Y^r \rightarrow \wedge^r \mathcal{G}$ (resp. $\wedge^r \tilde{\eta} : \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^r \rightarrow n^*\wedge^r \mathcal{G}$) is not surjective. Then $S_r(\tilde{\eta}) = n^{-1}(S_r(\eta))$.*

Proof. If η has rank $> r$ at a some point y in Y then $n^*\eta$ has rank $> r$ at every point in $n^{-1}(y)$ and thus $\tilde{\eta}$ has rank $> r$ at every point in $n^{-1}(y)$. Therefore $S_r(\tilde{\eta}) \subset n^{-1}(S_r(\eta))$.

Let us assume that η has rank $\leq r$ at some point y in Y . By shrinking Y if necessary, we may decompose \mathcal{G} as $\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{\mathcal{G}}-r} \oplus \mathcal{G}_1$ in such a way that the induced morphism $\eta_0 : \Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{\mathcal{G}}-r}$ is zero at y . Write $\eta = (\eta_0, \eta_1)$ and let $\tilde{\eta}_0 : \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^1 \rightarrow n^*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{\mathcal{G}}-r}$ (respectively $\tilde{\eta}_1 : \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^1 \rightarrow n^*\mathcal{G}_1$) be the extension of $\eta_0 : \Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r_{\mathcal{G}}-r}$ (respectively $\eta_1 : \Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$) given by [Sei66]. Then $\tilde{\eta} = (\tilde{\eta}_0, \tilde{\eta}_1)$ and the claim then follows from [Dru04, Lemme 1.2]. \square

Corollary 5.7 (Lipman-Zariski conjecture for klt spaces. See also [GKKP10, Theorem 6.1]). *Let X be a klt space such that the tangent space T_X is locally free. Then X is smooth.*

Proof. The result follows from proposition 5.5 applied to the foliation induced by the projection morphism $X \times C \rightarrow C$, where C is a smooth complete curve. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let \mathcal{F} be a 1-Gorenstein algebraically integrable foliation on a normal projective variety X . Suppose that \mathcal{F} has log terminal singularities along a general leaf. Then $\det(\mathcal{F})$ is not nef and big.*

Proof. We let $C \subset \text{Chow}(X)$ be a general complete curve contained in the closure of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} . We denote by U the normalization of the universal cycle over C , with natural morphisms $\pi : U \rightarrow C$ and $e : U \rightarrow X$. Since C is general, $e : U \rightarrow X$ is birational onto its image. Thus if $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is nef and big, then so is $e^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$.

By Remark 3.8, \mathcal{F} induces a Pfaff field $\Omega_{U/C}^r \rightarrow e^*\mathcal{O}_C(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$, where r denotes the rank of \mathcal{F} . By Lemma 5.4 followed by Lemma 3.5, after replacing C with a finite cover if necessary, we may assume that π has reduced fibers. This implies that $\det(\Omega_{U/C}^r) \simeq \mathcal{O}_U(K_{U/C})$. Thus there exists a canonically defined effective divisor Δ on U such that

$$-(K_{U/C} + \Delta) = e^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

By assumption, (U, Δ) is log terminal over the generic point of C . So, by Theorem 5.1, $e^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$ cannot be nef and big. \square

6. FOLIATIONS AND RATIONAL CURVES

If a smooth projective variety X admits a Fano foliation \mathcal{F} , then it is uniruled, as we have observed in Remark 2.9. In order to study the pair (X, \mathcal{F}) , it is useful to understand the behavior of \mathcal{F} with respect to families of rational curves on X . This is the theme of this section. We start by recalling some definitions and results from the theory of rational curves on smooth projective varieties. We refer to [Kol96] for more details.

Let X be a smooth projective variety, and H a family of rational curves on X , i.e., an irreducible component of $\text{RatCurves}^n(X)$. If C is a curve from the family H , with normalization morphism $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C \subset X$, then we denote by $[C]$ or $[f]$ any point of H corresponding to C . We denote by $\text{Locus}(H)$ the locus of X swept out by curves from H . We say that H is *unsplit* if it is proper, and *minimal* if, for a general point $x \in \text{Locus}(H)$, the closed subset H_x of H parametrizing curves through x is proper. We say that H is

dominating if $\overline{\text{Locus}(H)} = X$. In this case we say that a curve C parametrized by H is a *moving curve* on X , and that any curve from H is a deformation of C .

6.1 (Minimal dominating families of rational curves). Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety. Then X always carries a minimal dominating family of rational curves. Fix one such family H , and let $[f] \in H$ be a general point. By [Kol96, IV.2.9], $f^*T_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus d} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-d-1)}$, where $d = \deg(f^*T_X) - 2 \geq 0$.

Given a general point $x \in X$, let \tilde{H}_x be the normalization of H_x . By [Kol96, II.1.7, II.2.16], \tilde{H}_x is a finite union of smooth projective varieties of dimension $d = \deg(f^*T_X) - 2$. Define the tangent map $\tau_x : \tilde{H}_x \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(T_x X^*)$ by sending a curve that is smooth at x to its tangent direction at x . Define \mathcal{C}_x to be the image of τ_x in $\mathbb{P}(T_x X^*)$. This is called the *variety of minimal rational tangents* at x associated to the minimal family H . The map $\tau_x : \tilde{H}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_x$ is in fact the normalization morphism by [Keb02] and [HM04].

Definition 6.2. Let $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ be a proper morphism defined on a dense open subset of X . A family of rational curves H on X is said to be *horizontal* (with respect to π_0) if the general member of H meets X_0 and is not contracted by π_0 . If moreover $\text{Locus}(H)$ dominates Y_0 , then we say that H is *h-dominating* (with respect to π_0).

Notice that if X admits a horizontal family of rational curves, then it admits a minimal horizontal family of rational curves. Indeed, it is enough to take a horizontal family having minimal degree with respect to some fixed ample line bundle on X . Similarly for h-dominating families.

Lemma 6.3. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, and $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ a surjective proper morphism defined on a dense open subset of X . Suppose that H is a minimal horizontal family of rational curves with respect to π_0 . Then $-K_X \cdot H \leq \dim Y_0 + 1$, where $-K_X \cdot H$ denotes the intersection number of $-K_X$ with any curve from the family H . Moreover*

- If $-K_X \cdot H = \dim Y_0 + 1$, then H is dominating.
- If $-K_X \cdot H = \dim Y_0$, then $\text{Locus}(H)$ has codimension at most 1 in X .

Proof. Let x be a general point in $\text{Locus}(H)$, and denote by $\text{Locus}(H_x)$ the locus of X swept out by curves from H_x . By assumption, any irreducible component Z of $\text{Locus}(H_x)$ is proper. Moreover, by [Kol96, IV.3.13.3], any curve in Z is numerically proportional in X to a curve from the family H . In particular Z cannot contain any curve contracted by π_0 . Therefore $\dim(\text{Locus}(H_x)) \leq \dim(Y_0)$.

On the other hand, by [Kol96, IV.2.6.1], $\dim(X) + (-K_X \cdot H) \leq \dim(\text{Locus}(H)) + \dim(\text{Locus}(H_x)) + 1$. Thus

$$-K_X \cdot H \leq \dim(Y_0) + 1 - \left(\dim(X) - \dim(\text{Locus}(H)) \right) \leq \dim Y_0 + 1.$$

If $-K_X \cdot H = \dim Y_0 + 1$, then we must have $\dim(\text{Locus}(H)) = \dim(X)$, i.e., H is dominating. If $-K_X \cdot H = \dim Y_0$, then we must have $\dim(X) - \dim(\text{Locus}(H)) \leq 1$. \square

6.4 (Rationally connected quotients). Let H_1, \dots, H_k be families of rational curves on X . For each i , let \overline{H}_i denote the closure of H_i in $\text{Chow}(X)$. Two points $x, y \in X$ are said to be (H_1, \dots, H_k) -equivalent if they can be connected by a chain of 1-cycles from $\overline{H}_1 \cup \dots \cup \overline{H}_k$. This defines an equivalence relation on X . By [Cam92] (see also [Kol96, IV.4.16]), there exists a proper surjective equidimensional morphism $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ from a dense open subset of X onto a normal variety whose fibers are (H_1, \dots, H_k) -equivalence classes. We call this map the (H_1, \dots, H_k) -rationally connected quotient of X . When T_0 is a point we say that X is (H_1, \dots, H_k) -rationally connected.

From now on we investigate the behavior of foliations on a smooth projective variety X with respect to families of rational curves on X . We start with a simple but useful observation.

Lemma 6.5. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, H a family of rational curves on X , and \mathcal{F} an algebraically integrable foliation on X . Suppose that ℓ is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} and avoids the singular locus of \mathcal{F} for some $[\ell] \in H$. Then the same holds for general $[\ell] \in H$.*

Proof. Let W be the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} , with universal family morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ p \downarrow & & \\ & & W \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{A}_W be a general very ample effective divisor on W , and set $\mathcal{A} = q_*(p^*(\mathcal{A}_W))$.

The condition that ℓ is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} and avoids the singular locus S of \mathcal{F} is equivalent to the condition that $\ell \cap S = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{A} \cdot \ell = 0$. Hence, if this condition holds for some $[\ell] \in H$, then it holds for general $[\ell] \in H$. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety, H_1, \dots, H_k unsplit families of rational curves on X , and \mathcal{F} an algebraically integrable foliation on X . Denote by $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ the (H_1, \dots, H_k) -rationally connected quotient of X . Suppose that a general curve from each of the families H_i 's is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} and avoids the singular locus of \mathcal{F} . Then there is an inclusion $T_{X_0/T_0} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$.*

Proof. Let W be the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{F} , with universal family morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ p \downarrow & & \\ & & W \end{array}$$

Let A_W be a general very ample effective divisor on W , and set $A = q_*(p^*(A_W))$. By assumption, a general curve $\ell \subset X$ parametrized by each H_i is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} , and avoids the singular locus of \mathcal{F} . Thus $A \cdot \ell = 0$.

Let $X_t = (\pi_0)^{-1}(t)$ be a general fiber of π_0 . By [Kol96, IV.3.13.3], every proper curve $C \subset X_t$ is numerically equivalent in X to a linear combination of curves from the families H_i 's, and so $A \cdot C = 0$. This shows that $A|_{X_t} \equiv 0$, and thus $X_t \subset q(p^{-1}(w))$ for some $w \in W$, i.e., X_t is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} . We conclude that $T_{X_0/T_0} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ by Lemma 6.7 below. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation of rank $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ on a normal variety X , and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ an equidimensional morphism with connected fibers onto a normal variety. Suppose that the general fiber of π is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} . Then \mathcal{F} induces a foliation \mathcal{G} of rank $r_{\mathcal{G}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - (\dim(X) - \dim(Y))$ on Y , together with an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\pi^*\mathcal{G})^{**}.$$

Definition 6.8. Under the hypothesis of Lemma 6.7, we say that \mathcal{F} is the *pullback via π of the foliation \mathcal{G}* .

Proof of Lemma 6.7. Notice that the induced map $T_{X/Y} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$ is generically zero by assumption. Since T_X/\mathcal{F} is torsion free, it must be identically zero, hence we have an inclusion $T_{X/Y} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

First we define the foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Y$ induced by \mathcal{F} analytically. Let $y \in Y$ be a general point. Choose an analytic open neighborhood $V \subset Y$ of y , and a local holomorphic section $s : V \rightarrow X$ of π . There exists an analytic open neighborhood $U \subset X$ of $x = s(y)$, and a complex analytic space W such that the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_U$ are the fibers of a holomorphic map $p : U \rightarrow W$. After shrinking V if necessary, we get a holomorphic map $p \circ s : V \rightarrow W$, which defines a foliation \mathcal{G}_s on V . Notice that

$$(6.1) \quad T_y \mathcal{G}_s = d\pi_x(T_x \mathcal{F}),$$

where $d\pi_x : T_x X \rightarrow T_y Y$ denotes the tangent map of π at x , $T_x \mathcal{F}$ and $T_y \mathcal{G}$ denote the fibers of $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ and $\mathcal{G}_s \subset T_Y$ at x and y , respectively. Notice that \mathcal{G}_s does not depend on the choice of local section $s : V \rightarrow X$, since X is normal, $T_{X/Y} \subset \mathcal{F}$, and π has connected fibers. Moreover, these foliations defined locally glue and extend to a foliation \mathcal{G} of rank $r_{\mathcal{G}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - (\dim(X) - \dim(Y))$ on Y .

Next we give an algebraic description of \mathcal{G} . Since π is equidimensional, there are dense open subsets $X_0 \subset X$ and $Y_0 \subset Y$ such that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$, $\text{codim}_Y(Y \setminus Y_0) \geq 2$, $\pi_0 := \pi|_{X_0}$ maps X_0 into Y_0 , $\mathcal{F}_0 := \mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a subbundle of T_{X_0} , and $\mathcal{G}_0 := \mathcal{G}|_{Y_0}$ is a subbundle of T_{Y_0} . Consider the tangent map $d\pi_0 : T_{X_0} \rightarrow (\pi_0)^* T_{Y_0}$. By (6.1), \mathcal{G}_0 coincides with the saturation of the subsheaf $(\pi_0)_*(d\pi_0(\mathcal{F}_0))$ in T_{Y_0} .

and the induced map $\alpha : d\pi_0(\mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow (\pi_0)^*T_{Y_0}/(\pi_0)^*\mathcal{G}_0$ is generically zero. Since \mathcal{G}_0 is a subbundle of T_{Y_0} , $(\pi_0)^*T_{Y_0}/(\pi_0)^*\mathcal{G}_0$ is torsion free, and hence the map α must be identically zero. So we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{X_0/Y_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow (\pi_0)^*\mathcal{G}_0$. Note that the sheaves $T_{X/Y}$, \mathcal{F} and $(\pi^*\mathcal{G})^{**}$ are reflexive. Since reflexive sheaves on a normal variety are normal sheaves ([Har80, Proposition 1.6]), and $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$, we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{X/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\pi^*\mathcal{G})^{**}$. \square

In the setting of Lemma 6.6, if moreover the families H_i 's are dominating, then we may drop the assumption that \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable. This is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 6.9. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, H_1, \dots, H_k unsplit dominating families of rational curves on X , and \mathcal{F} a foliation on X . Denote by $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ the (H_1, \dots, H_k) -rationally connected quotient of X . If $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^*\mathcal{F}$ for general $[f] \in H_i$, $0 \leq i \leq k$, then there is an inclusion $T_{X_0/T_0} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$.*

Proof. Recall that for general $[f] \in H_i$ one has $f^*T_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus d_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus (n-d_i-1)}$, where $n = \dim X$ and $d_i = \deg(f^*T_X) - 2$. Hence, the assumption $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \simeq T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^*\mathcal{F}$ implies that the natural inclusion $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^*T_X$ factors through $f^*\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow f^*T_X$. Therefore a general curve from each of the families H_i 's is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} .

Let $x \in X$ be a general point. We define inductively a sequence of (irreducible) subvarieties of X as follows. Set $V_0(x) := \{x\}$, and let $V_{j+1}(x)$ be the closure of the union of curves from the families H_i , $0 \leq i \leq k$, that pass through a general point of $V_j(x)$.

Then $\dim V_{j+1}(x) \geq \dim V_j(x)$, and equality holds if and only if $V_{j+1}(x) = V_j(x)$. In particular, there exists j_0 such that $V_j(x) = V_{j_0}(x)$ for every $j \geq j_0$. We set $V(x) = V_{j_0}(x)$. Since x is general, $V(x)$ is smooth at x . Notice also that $V(x)$ is irreducible, and that $V(x)$ is contained in the leaf of \mathcal{F} through x by construction.

We define the subfoliation $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{F}$ by setting $\mathcal{V}_x = T_x V(x)$ for general $x \in X$. The leaf of \mathcal{V} through x is precisely $V(x)$. In particular \mathcal{V} is an algebraically integrable foliation of X . Moreover, by construction, a general curve from each of the families H_i 's is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{V} , and avoids the singular locus of \mathcal{V} by [Kol96, II.3.7]. The result then follows from Lemma 6.6. \square

Next we apply the results from the previous section to characterize pairs (X, \mathcal{F}) when \mathcal{F} is a Fano foliation that is ample when restricted to a general member of a minimal covering family of rational curves on X .

Lemma 6.10. *Let X be an n -dimensional smooth projective variety admitting a minimal dominating family of rational curves H . Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a Fano foliation of rank r on X . If $f^*\mathcal{F}$ is an ample vector bundle for general $[f] \in H$, then $(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$.*

Proof. Denote by $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ the H -rationally connected quotient of X . By [ADK08, Proposition 2.7], after shrinking X_0 and T_0 if necessary, we may assume that π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^k -bundle, and the inclusion $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \hookrightarrow T_{X_0}$ factors through the natural inclusion $T_{X_0/T_0} \hookrightarrow T_{X_0}$. Recall that $f^*T_{X_0/T_0} \cong \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus k-1}$. If $\mathcal{O}(2) \subset f^*\mathcal{F}$ for general $[f] \in H$, then the general curve from H is tangent to the foliation \mathcal{F} . Hence the general fiber of π_0 is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} . Since $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \subset T_{X_0/T_0}$, we must have $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} = T_{X_0/T_0}$. If $\mathcal{O}(2) \not\subset f^*\mathcal{F}$ for general $[f] \in H$, then $f^*\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(1)^{\oplus r}$. Since $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is saturated in T_{X_0/T_0} , we must have $r < k$. Then [DC05, Théorème 3.8] implies that the foliation induced by \mathcal{F} on a general fiber of π_0 is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(1)^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^k}$. In either case, we conclude that \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable and has log canonical singularities along a general leaf. Proposition 5.3 then implies that there is a point $x \in X$ contained in the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} . This is only possible if T_0 is a point, and $(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$. \square

Lemma 6.11. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, $X_0 \subset X$ a dense open subset, T_0 a positive dimensional normal variety, and $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ a proper surjective equidimensional morphism with a rationally connected general fiber. Let T be the normalization of the closure of T_0 in $\text{Chow}(X)$, and U the universal cycle over T .*

Through a general point of T_0 there exists a curve $C_0 \subset T_0$ such that the following holds. Let $C \rightarrow T$ be the normalization of the closure of C_0 in T , U_C the normalization of $U \times_T C$, and $\pi_C : U_C \rightarrow C$ the induced morphism. Then

- all irreducible fibers of $\pi_C : U_C \rightarrow C$ are reduced,
- $U_C \rightarrow X$ is finite, and

- no fiber of π_C is entirely mapped into the exceptional locus of the universal morphism $U \rightarrow X$.

Moreover, given any subset $Z \subset T$ such that $\text{codim}_T(Z) \geq 2$, C_0 can be chosen so that the image of C in T avoids Z .

Proof. Consider the universal morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ T & & \end{array}$$

Given $t \in T$, we write $U_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$.

Since X is smooth, the exceptional locus E of e has pure codimension one in U . Let F be an irreducible component of E . Since $X_0 \cap e(E) = \emptyset$ and π is equidimensional, $\pi(F)$ has codimension one in T . Moreover, $\forall t \in T$, either $F \cap U_t = \emptyset$, or $F \cap U_t$ is a union of irreducible components of U_t . So we may assume that $X_0 = X \setminus e(\pi^{-1}(\pi(E)))$. Let $E' \subset E$ be the union of irreducible components F of E such that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(F)) \subset E$. If $t \in \pi(E) \setminus \pi(E')$, then U_t has at least two irreducible components, at least one of which is not contained in E .

Let $S_0 \subset T_0$ be the locus over which the fibers of π_0 are multiple, and let S be its closure in T . By [GHS03], $\text{codim}_T(S) \geq 2$. Let $C \subset X \setminus e(E \cup \pi^{-1}(S \cup Z))$ be a general complete intersection curve, and set $C_0 := \pi_0(C \cap X_0) \subset T_0 \setminus S$. Let U_C be the normalization of $U \times_T C$, and $\pi_C : U_C \rightarrow C$ the induced morphism. By construction, the irreducible fibers of π_C over C_0 are reduced. Moreover, the image of C in T does not meet $\pi(E')$. Hence the fibers of π_C over $C \setminus C_0$ have at least two irreducible components, at least one of which is not mapped into E . \square

Lemma 6.12. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, $X_0 \subset X$ a dense open subset, T_0 a positive dimensional normal variety, and $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ a proper surjective equidimensional morphism of relative dimension $r - 1$. Let \mathcal{F} be a rank r Fano foliation on X , and assume that there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X_0/T_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \rightarrow (\pi_0^* \mathcal{G}_0),$$

where \mathcal{G}_0 is an invertible subsheaf of T_{T_0} . Suppose that the general fiber F of π_0 is rationally connected, and satisfies $c_1(\mathcal{A})^{r-1} \cdot F \leq 2$ for some ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on X .

Then \mathcal{G}_0 defines a foliation by rational curves on T_0 .

Proof. Let T be the normalization of the closure of T_0 in $\text{Chow}(X)$, and U the normalization of the universal cycle over T . We denote by $\pi : U \rightarrow T$ and $e : U \rightarrow X$ the universal morphisms, and by E the exceptional locus of e . Let \mathcal{F}_U be the foliation induced by \mathcal{F} on U . Notice that \mathcal{F}_U is regular along the general fiber of π . Moreover \mathcal{F}_U and $e^* \mathcal{F}$ agree on $U \setminus E$.

By Lemma 6.7, there exists a smooth open subset $T_1 \subset T$ with $\text{codim}_T(T \setminus T_1) \geq 2$, a rank 1 subbundle $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset T_{T_1}$, and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{U_1/T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{U_1} \rightarrow (\pi_1^* \mathcal{G}_1),$$

where $U_1 = \pi^{-1}(T_1)$, $\mathcal{F}_{U_1} = \mathcal{F}_U|_{U_1}$, and $\pi_1 = \pi|_{U_1} : U_1 \rightarrow T_1$. In particular, there is a canonically defined effective divisor D_1 on U_1 such that

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{O}_{U_1}(-K_{\mathcal{F}_{U_1}}) \simeq \pi_1^* \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \left(\mathcal{O}_{U_1}(-D_1) [\otimes] \det(T_{U_1/T_1}) \right).$$

Moreover, since \mathcal{F}_{U_1} is regular along the general fiber of π_1 , the divisor D_1 does not dominate T_1 .

Let $C_0 \rightarrow T_0$ be the curve provided by Lemma 6.11, and $n : C \rightarrow T$ the normalization of its closure in T . We also require that $n(C) \subset T_1$. Let U_C be the normalization of $U_1 \times_{T_1} C$, and denote by $\pi_C : U_C \rightarrow C$, $q : U_C \rightarrow U_1$, and $e_C : U_C \rightarrow X$ the natural morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & e_C & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ U_C & \xrightarrow{q} & U_1 & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ \pi_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \\ C & \xrightarrow{n} & T_1 & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 6.11,

- (a) all irreducible fibers of $\pi_C : U_C \rightarrow C$ are reduced,
- (b) $e_C : U_C \rightarrow X$ is finite, and
- (c) no fiber of π_C is entirely mapped into E by q .

Since $c_1(\mathcal{A})^{r-1} \cdot F \leq 2$, condition (a) above implies that every fiber of π_C is reduced at all of its generic points. Thus π_C is smooth at the generic points of every fiber (see [Gro66, Chap. IV Corollaires 15.2.3 and 14.4.2]). By shrinking T_1 if necessary, we may assume that the same holds for π_1 . By [Dru99, Lemme 4.4], $\det(T_{U_C/C}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_C}(-K_{U_C/C})$, and $\det(T_{U_1/T_1}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_1}(-K_{U_1/T_1})$. Thus

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{O}_{U_C}(-K_{U_C/C}) \simeq q^* \det(T_{U_1/T_1}).$$

Since \mathcal{F}_{U_1} and $e^*\mathcal{F}$ agree on $U_1 \setminus E$, there are effective divisors Δ_+ and Δ_- on U_C , both supported on components of fibers of π_C that are mapped into E by q , such that

$$(6.4) \quad q^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}_{U_1}}) = e_C^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) + \Delta_+ - \Delta_-.$$

Condition (c) above implies that Δ_+ and Δ_- are supported on reducible fibers of π_C , and no fiber of π_C is entirely contained in their supports. In particular, $(\pi_C)_*\mathcal{O}_{U_C}(k\Delta_-) = \mathcal{O}_C \quad \forall k \geq 0$.

By pulling back (6.4) to U_C , and combining it with (6.2) and (6.3), we get that

$$\mathcal{O}_{U_C}(-K_{U_C/C} + D + \Delta_+ - \Delta_-) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_C}(e_C^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}})) \otimes \pi_C^*(n^*\mathcal{G}_1)^*,$$

where D is an effective divisor on U_C that does not dominate C . By Theorem 5.1, $-(K_{U_C/C} + D + \Delta_+ - \Delta_-)$ is not ample. On the other hand, condition (b) above implies that $e_C^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$ is ample. So we must have $\deg_C(n^*\mathcal{G}_1) > 0$, and thus the general leaf of the foliation on T_0 defined by \mathcal{G}_0 is a rational curve by Theorem 1.2. \square

7. ALGEBRAIC INTEGRABILITY OF DEL PEZZO FOLIATIONS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Our argument involves constructing subfoliations of del Pezzo foliations which inherit some of their positivity properties. One way to construct such subfoliations is via Harder-Narasimhan filtrations, as we now explain.

7.1 (Harder-Narasimhan filtration). Let X be an n -dimensional projective variety, and \mathcal{A} an ample line bundle on X . Let \mathcal{F} be a torsion-free sheaf of rank r on X . We define the slope of \mathcal{F} with respect to \mathcal{A} to be $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \mathcal{A}^{n-1}}{r}$. We say that \mathcal{F} is $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ -semistable if for any subsheaf \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{F} we have $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F})$.

Given a torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , there exists a filtration of \mathcal{F} by subsheaves

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{F},$$

with $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ -semistable quotients $\mathcal{Q}_i = \mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$, and such that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Q}_1) > \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Q}_2) > \dots > \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Q}_k)$. This is called the *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* of \mathcal{F} (see [HN75], [HL97, 1.3.4]).

Lemma 7.2. *Let X be a normal projective variety, \mathcal{A} an ample line bundle on X , and $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ a foliation on X . Let $0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}$ be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of \mathcal{F} with respect to \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{F}_i \subsetneq T_X$ defines a foliation on X for every i such that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_i) > 0$.*

Proof. This is well known. See for instance [She92, Lemma 9.1.3.1]. \square

Notation 7.3. Let X be a normal projective variety, \mathcal{A} an ample line bundle on X , and \mathcal{F} a coherent torsion free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Let $m_i \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq \dim(X) - 1$, be large enough integers, $H_i \in |m_i\mathcal{A}|$ be general members, and set $C := H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{\dim(X)-1}$. By the Mehta-Ramanathan Theorem (see [MR82, 6.1] or [HL97, 7.2.1]), the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of \mathcal{F} with respect to \mathcal{A} commutes with restriction to C . In this case we say that C is a *general complete intersection curve for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{A} in the sense of Mehta-Ramanathan*. If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{A} are clear from the context, we simply say that C is a *general complete intersection curve*.

Lemma 7.4. *Let X and Y be smooth complex projective varieties with $\dim(Y) \geq 1$, X_0 an open subset of X with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$, Y_0 a dense open subset of Y and $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ a proper surjective equidimensional morphism. Let \mathcal{G} be a coherent torsion-free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules on Y , and \mathcal{F} a coherent torsion-free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \simeq \pi_0^*\mathcal{G}|_{Y_0}$. Let \mathcal{A} be an ample line bundle on X , and $C \subset X_0$ a general*

complete intersection curve for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{A} in the sense of Mehta-Ramanathan. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}|_C$ is not semistable.

Then there exists a subsheaf $\mathcal{H} \subsetneq \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mu\left(\left(\pi_0^*(\mathcal{H}|_{Y_0})\right)|_C\right) > \mu(\mathcal{F}|_C)$.

Proof. Consider general elements $H_i \in |m_i \mathcal{A}|$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, \dim(X) - 1\}$, where the $m_i \in \mathbf{N}$ are large enough so that the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of \mathcal{F} commutes with restriction to the complete intersection curve $C = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{\dim(X)-1}$. Set $Z := H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{\dim(X)-\dim(Y)}$, and $Z_0 := Z \cap X_0$. Then Z is a smooth variety of dimension equal to $\dim(Y)$, and the restriction $\varphi_0 := \pi_0|_{Z_0} : Z_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is a finite morphism. Denote by $j : Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z$ the inclusion.

Let K be a splitting field of the function field $K(Z_0)$ over $K(Y_0)$, and let $\psi : Z' \rightarrow Z \subset X$ be the normalization of Z in K . Set $Z'_0 := \psi^{-1}(Z_0)$, and let $j' : Z'_0 \hookrightarrow Z'$ be the inclusion. Let ψ_0 be the restriction of ψ to Z'_0 , and set $\mathcal{F}' := (\psi^* \mathcal{F})^{**} = j'_*(\psi_0^* \varphi_0^*(\mathcal{G}|_{Y_0}))$. Let G be the Galois group of $K(Z'_0)$ over $K(Y_0)$.

By [HL97, Lemma 3.2.2], \mathcal{F}' is not semistable with respect to $\psi^* \mathcal{H}|_Z$. Let \mathcal{E}' be the maximally destabilizing subsheaf of \mathcal{F}' . Then $\mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{E}') > \mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{F}')$. This implies $\mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{E}'|_{C'}) > \mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{F}'|_{C'})$, where $C' = C \times_Z Z'$.

Because of its uniqueness, the maximally destabilizing subsheaf \mathcal{E}' of \mathcal{F}' is invariant under the action of G on \mathcal{F}' . Thus, up to shrinking Y_0 if necessary, we may assume that there is a subsheaf $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{E}' \simeq (j_* \psi_0^* \varphi_0^*(\mathcal{H}|_{Y_0}))$. By [HL97, Lemma 3.2.1], $\mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{E}') = \mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{E}'|_{C'}) = d \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{H}|_C)$, and $\mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{F}') = \mu_{(\psi^* \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{F}'|_{C'}) = d \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{G}|_C)$, where d denotes the degree of $C' \rightarrow C$. \square

Proposition 7.5. *Let X be a normal projective variety, \mathcal{A} an ample line bundle on X , and $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ a foliation on X . Suppose that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$, and let $C \subset X$ be a general complete intersection curve. Then either*

- \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaves, or
- there exists an algebraically integrable subfoliation $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$ with rationally connected general leaf such that $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cdot C \geq \det(\mathcal{F}) \cdot C$.

Remark 7.6. Let \mathcal{F} be coherent torsion-free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X , and $C \subset X$ a general complete intersection curve. Then \mathcal{F} is locally free along C , so that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \cdot C$ is well-defined.

Proof of Proposition 7.5. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is semistable with respect to \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{F}|_C$ is semistable with slope $\mu(\mathcal{F}|_C) > 0$, and $\mathcal{F}|_C$ is an ample vector bundle by [Har71, Theorem 2.4]. Thus \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf by Theorem 1.2.

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is not semistable. Let $0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}$ be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of \mathcal{F} with respect to \mathcal{A} . Note that $k \geq 2$. Set $i_0 := \max\{i \geq 1 \mid \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) > 0\}$, and $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F}_{i_0}$. Since $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{G}) > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq T_X$ defines a foliation on X by Lemma 7.2 above. Since $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_1) > \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1) > \dots > \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_k/\mathcal{F}_{k-1})$, we must have

- $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) > 0$ for all $i \leq i_0$, and
- $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq 0$ for any $i \geq i_0 + 1$.

Thus

- (1) $(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1})|_C$ is an ample vector bundle on C for any $i \leq i_0$ by [Har71, Theorem 2.4], and
- (2) $\det(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \cdot C \leq 0$ for $i \geq i_0 + 1$.

From (1) it follows that $\mathcal{G}|_C$ is an ample vector bundle on C . Thus \mathcal{G} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf by Theorem 1.2. From (2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathcal{G}) \cdot C &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq i_0} \det(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \cdot C \\ &= \det(\mathcal{F}) \cdot C - \sum_{i_0+1 \leq i \leq k} \det(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \cdot C \\ &\geq \det(\mathcal{F}) \cdot C. \end{aligned}$$

\square

These results allow us to prove Theorem 1.1 in the special case when X has Picard number 1.

Proposition 7.7. *Let \mathcal{F} be a del Pezzo foliation on a smooth projective variety $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$ with $\rho(X) = 1$. Then \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf.*

Proof. By Remark 2.9, X is uniruled. Since $\rho(X) = 1$, X is in fact a Fano manifold. Let \mathcal{A} be an ample line bundle on X such that $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{A}]$. By assumption, $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\otimes r-1}$, where $r = r_{\mathcal{F}} \geq 2$.

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is not algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf. By Proposition 7.5, there exists a subfoliation $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\det(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\otimes k}$ for some $k \geq r-1 \geq r_{\mathcal{G}}$. By [ADK08, Theorem 1], $(X, \mathcal{A}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. \square

In the next two propositions we address algebraically integrability of del Pezzo foliations on projective space bundles. Recall from 4.1 the description of degree 0 foliations on \mathbb{P}^n , $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus r} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$. The leaves of \mathcal{H} are fibers of a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-r}$ from an $(r-1)$ -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{P}^n . We want to describe families of such foliations.

7.8 (Families of degree 0 foliations on \mathbb{P}^m). Let T be a smooth positive dimensional variety, \mathcal{E} a locally free sheaf of rank $m+1 \geq 2$ on T , and set $X := \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , by $\pi : X \rightarrow T$ the natural projection, and by $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$ a general fiber of π . Let $\mathcal{H} \subsetneq T_{X/T}$ be a foliation of rank $s \geq 1$ on X , and suppose that $\mathcal{H}|_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{\oplus s} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$. We first observe that there is an open subset $T_0 \subset T$, with $\text{codim}_T(T \setminus T_0) \geq 2$, such that, for any $t \in T_0$, $\mathcal{H}|_{X_t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{\oplus s} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$, where $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t) \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$. Indeed, there exists an open subset $T_0 \subset T$, with $\text{codim}_T(T \setminus T_0) \geq 2$ such that $(T_{X/T})/\mathcal{H}$ is flat over T_0 . Then, for any $t \in T_0$, the inclusion $\mathcal{H} \subsetneq T_{X/T}$ restricts to an inclusion $\mathcal{H}|_{X_t} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}|_{X_t}$ is torsion free for any $t \in T_0$. By removing a subset of codimension ≥ 2 in T_0 if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(s) \simeq (\det(\mathcal{H}))|_{X_t} \simeq \det(\mathcal{H}|_{X_t}) \subset \wedge^s T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$ for any $t \in T_0$. By Bott's formulae, $\mathcal{H}|_{X_t}$ is saturated in $T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$. So $\mathcal{H}|_{X_t}$ is a degree 0 foliation of rank s on \mathbb{P}^m , i.e., $\mathcal{H}|_{X_t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{\oplus s}$.

Set $\mathcal{V}' := \pi_*(\mathcal{H}(-1)) \subset \pi_*(T_{X/T}(-1)) \simeq \mathcal{E}^*$, and denote by \mathcal{V} the saturation of \mathcal{V}' in \mathcal{E}^* . Note that $\pi^*\mathcal{V}$ is a reflexive sheaf by [Har80, Proposition 1.9]. The above observations imply that over T_0 we have $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}$, and $\pi^*\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{H}(-1)$. Hence $\mathcal{H} = (\pi^*\mathcal{V})(1)$. In particular,

$$\det(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \pi^*(\det \mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(s).$$

Let \mathcal{K} be the kernel of the dual map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$. By removing a subset of codimension ≥ 2 in T_0 if necessary, we may assume that there is an exact sequence of vector bundles on T_0 :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}|_{T_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{T_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*|_{T_0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the \mathbb{P}^{m-s} -bundle $Z := \mathbb{P}_{T_0}(\mathcal{K}|_{T_0})$, with natural projection $q : Z \rightarrow T_0$. The above exact sequence induces a rational map $p : \pi^{-1}(T_0) \dashrightarrow Z$ over T_0 , which restricts to a surjective morphism $p_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Z$, where X_0 is the complement in $\pi^{-1}(T_0)$ of the \mathbb{P}^{s-1} -subbundle $\mathbb{P}_{T_0}(\mathcal{V}^*|_{T_0}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_{T_0})$. By construction, $\mathcal{H}|_{X_0} = T_{X_0/Z}$. Note also that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$.

Proposition 7.9. *Let C be a smooth complete curve, \mathcal{E} an ample locally free sheaf of rank $m+1 \geq 3$ on C , and set $X := \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , and by $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ the natural projection. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset T_{X/C}$ be a foliation of rank $r \geq 2$ on X such that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r-1) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}$ for some nef line bundle \mathcal{L} on C . Then \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf.*

Proof. Denote by $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$ the general fiber of π . We may assume that $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{X/C}$. So the restriction of \mathcal{F} to Y is a Fano foliation of rank r and index $r-1$ on \mathbb{P}^m . Recall from 4.2 the classification of such foliations established in [LPT11a]. The foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable if and only if so is $\mathcal{F}|_Y \subsetneq T_Y$. So we may assume that $\mathcal{F}|_Y$ is the pullback via a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^m \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m-r+1}$ of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^{m-r+1} induced by a global holomorphic vector field, i.e., $\mathcal{F}|_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}$.

Set $\mathcal{V}' := \pi_*(\mathcal{F}(-1)) \subset \pi_*(T_{X/C}(-1)) \simeq \mathcal{E}^*$, and denote by \mathcal{V} the saturation of \mathcal{V}' in \mathcal{E}^* . Notice that the inclusion $(\pi^*\mathcal{V}')(1) \subset \mathcal{F}$ extends to an inclusion $\mathcal{H} := (\pi^*\mathcal{V})(1) \subset \mathcal{F} \subset T_{X/C}$. So \mathcal{H} is a subfoliation of \mathcal{F} of rank $r-1$ such that $\mathcal{H}|_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{r-1}$.

Let the notation be as in 7.8, with $T = T_0 = C$ and $s = r-1$. There is a surjective morphism $p_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Z$ such that $\mathcal{H}|_{X_0} = T_{X_0/Z}$, and $\det(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \pi^*(\det(\mathcal{V})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(r-1)$.

By Lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} induces a rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Z$ on Z such that $\det(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}) \simeq \det(T_{X_0/Z}) \otimes p_0^*\mathcal{G}$. (Notice that \mathcal{G} is an invertible sheaf by [Har80, Proposition 1.9].) Recall that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$. So $\mathcal{G} \simeq q^*(\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{L})$, and $\det(\mathcal{V}^*)$ is ample since so is \mathcal{E} . Let $B \subset Z$ be a general complete intersection curve. Then $\mathcal{G}|_B$ is an ample line bundle. Thus \mathcal{G} is a foliation by rational curves by Theorem 1.2. The general leaf of \mathcal{F} is the closure of the inverse image by p_0 of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} . Hence it is algebraic and rationally connected. \square

Proposition 7.10. *Let \mathcal{E} be an ample locally free sheaf of rank $m + 1 \geq 2$ on \mathbb{P}^l , and set $X := \mathbb{P}^l(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ the natural projection, and $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$ the general fiber of π . Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a foliation of rank $r \geq 2$ on X such that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r-1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}$ for some nef line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathbb{P}^l . Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$, and set $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$. Then*

- (1) \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf;
- (2) $r \in \{2, 3\}$, and $r = 3$ implies $l = 1$;
- (3) $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ unless $r = 2$, $l = 1$, and $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$;
- (4) if $m \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{H}|_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$, and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{R},$$

where $\mathcal{R} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ is an ample invertible subsheaf.

- (5) if $m = 1$, then $l \geq r = 3$, $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^l$, $\mathcal{H} = T_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via the natural projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ of a degree zero foliation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ on \mathbb{P}^l .

Proof. Denote by $\ell \subset Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$ a general line. Set $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{H} \subsetneq \pi^* T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. Notice that \mathcal{H} is saturated in T_X , and stable under the Lie bracket. So it defines a foliation of rank $r_{\mathcal{H}} < r$ on X . Note also that \mathcal{Q} is torsion-free. The sheaves \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{Q} are locally free in a neighborhood of ℓ , and we have an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}|_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}|_{\ell} \rightarrow 0.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{Q}|_{\ell} \subset (\pi^* T_{\mathbb{P}^l})|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus l}$, and $\det(\mathcal{H}|_{\ell}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r-1) \otimes \det(\mathcal{Q}|_{\ell})^* \subset \wedge^{r_{\mathcal{H}}} (T_{\mathbb{P}^m}|_{\ell})$. So $\deg(\det(\mathcal{Q})|_{\ell}) \in \{-1, 0\}$. We claim that $\det(\mathcal{Q})|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\det(\mathcal{Q})|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Then $r_{\mathcal{H}} = r-1 = m$, $\mathcal{H} = T_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}$, and $\mathcal{F}|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. By lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} induces a rank 1 foliation on \mathbb{P}^l . Let $C_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^l$ be the germ of a (complex analytic) leaf of this foliation. Then $\pi_0^{-1}(C_0)$ is a germ of a leaf of \mathcal{F} , and thus $f^* \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, a contradiction. This proves that $\det(\mathcal{Q})|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Since $\det(\mathcal{H}|_{\ell}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r-1) \subset \wedge^{r_{\mathcal{H}}} (T_{\mathbb{P}^m}|_{\ell})$ and $r_{\mathcal{H}} < r$, one of the following occurs.

- (a) $m \geq r$, $\mathcal{H}|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-1}$, and $\mathcal{Q}|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$; or
- (b) $m = r-2$, $\mathcal{H} = T_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}$, and $\mathcal{Q}|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$.

First we treat case (a). By generic flatness, we have $\mathcal{H}|_Y \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$. Notice that $\mathcal{H}|_Y$ is closed under the Lie bracket, and $\det(\mathcal{H}|_Y) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(r-1) \subset \wedge^{r-1} T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$. By Bott's formulae, $\mathcal{H}|_Y$ is saturated in $T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$. So $\mathcal{H}|_Y$ is a degree 0 foliations of rank $r-1$ on \mathbb{P}^m , i.e., $\mathcal{H}|_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$.

By [Har80, Proposition 1.9], \mathcal{Q}^{**} is locally free, and thus $\mathcal{Q}^{**} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{R}$ for some invertible subsheaf $\mathcal{R} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. We have $\mathcal{O}_X(r-1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L} \simeq \det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \det(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{R}$.

Let the notation be as in 7.8, with $T = \mathbb{P}^l$ and $s = r-1$. So we have a surjective morphism $p_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Z$ such that $\mathcal{H}|_{X_0} = T_{X_0/Z}$, and $\det(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \pi^*(\det(\mathcal{V})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(r-1)$. Hence $\mathcal{R} \simeq \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{L} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. Recall from 7.8 the exact sequence of vector bundles on T_0 :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}|_{T_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{T_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*|_{T_0} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $T_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^l$ is an open subset such that $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathbb{P}^l \setminus T_0) \geq 2$. Since \mathcal{E} is ample, and \mathcal{V} has rank $r-1$, we must have $\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(k)$ for some $k \geq r-1$. By Bott's formulae, $r \leq 3$. Moreover, if $l \geq 2$, then $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. If $r = 3$, then $l = 1$ and $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. If $r = 2$ and $l = 1$, then $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2\}$.

By Lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} induces a rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Z$ on Z such that $\det(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}) \simeq \det(T_{X_0/Z}) \otimes p_0^* \mathcal{G}$. Notice that \mathcal{G} is an invertible sheaf by [Har80, Proposition 1.9]. Recall that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$. So we have $\mathcal{G} \simeq q^*(\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{L})$, and $\det(\mathcal{V}^*)$ is ample since so is \mathcal{E} . Recall also that $q : Z \rightarrow T_0$ is a \mathbb{P}^{m-r+1} -bundle. So through a general point of Z there exists a complete curve B not contracted by q , and avoiding the singular locus of the foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Z$. The restriction $\mathcal{G}|_B$ is an ample line bundle. Thus \mathcal{G} is a foliation by rational curves by Theorem 1.2. The general leaf of \mathcal{F} is the closure of the inverse image by p_0 of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} . Hence it is algebraic and rationally connected.

Next we consider case (b): $\mathcal{H} = T_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}$, $l \geq 3$, and $\mathcal{Q}|_{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. By Lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a rank 2 foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. In particular, $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \det(T_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}) \otimes \pi^* \det(\mathcal{G})$. Hence $\det(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \subset \wedge^2 T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. By assumption, \mathcal{L} is nef and \mathcal{E} is ample of rank ≥ 2 . So, by Bott's formulae, we must have $\det(\mathcal{Q}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2$ and $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Since \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle, $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 2}$ for any line $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^l$. By [OSS80, Theorem 3.2.1], $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1)$. Thus $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^l$,

and \mathcal{G} is a degree zero foliation on \mathbb{P}^l . The leaves of \mathcal{G} are 2-planes containing a fixed line in \mathbb{P}^l . Hence the leaves of \mathcal{F} are algebraic and rationally connected. \square

Remark 7.11. Let the notation and assumptions be as in Proposition 7.10, and denote by $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ the general log leaf of \mathcal{F} . If $m \geq 2$, then π induces a \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundle structure $\pi_{\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If $l = 1$, then $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a prime divisor of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$ -relative degree 1. If $l > 1$ (in which case $r = 2$), then $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the union of a prime divisor of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$ -relative degree 1 and a fiber of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$. If $m = 1$, π induces a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle structure $\pi_{\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a fiber of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$. Notice that in all cases $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is log canonical.

Remark 7.12. In Section 9 we will classify locally free sheaves \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^l for which $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ admits a del Pezzo foliation $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$. Moreover, we will give a precise geometric description of such foliations.

Now we consider another special case of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 7.13. *Let \mathcal{F} be a del Pezzo foliation of rank $r \geq 2$ on a smooth projective variety X . Let H be minimal dominating family of rational curves on X , with associated rationally connected quotient $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \not\subseteq T_{X_0/T_0}$, and $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ for a general member $[f] \in H$.*

Then there are integers $l \geq 1$, $m \geq 2$, and an ample locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^l such that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, under this isomorphism, π_0 becomes the natural projection $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$, and $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r-1)$, where $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$.

In particular, \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf by Proposition 7.10.

Proof. Write $\det(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes r-1}$ for an ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on X . Then $f^*\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ for any $[f] \in H$, which implies that H is unsplit. By [ADK08, Lemma 2.2], we may assume that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$, T_0 is smooth, and π_0 is proper, surjective, equidimensional, and has irreducible and reduced fibers. We denote by m the relative dimension of π_0 , and set $l := \dim T_0$.

First we show that π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle. Set $\mathcal{H}_0 := \mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \cap T_{X_0/T_0} = \ker(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \rightarrow \pi_0^*T_{T_0})$. Let $[f] \in H$ be a general member. By assumption, $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \not\subseteq T_{X_0/T_0}$. Moreover $f^*T_{T_0} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus \dim(T_0)}$. So we conclude that \mathcal{H}_0 has rank equal to $r-1 < m$, and $f^*\mathcal{H}_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-1}$. Thus π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle by [ADK08, Proposition 2.7]. Denote by $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$ a general fiber of π_0 .

Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be a saturated subsheaf extending $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$, and set $\mathcal{Q} := (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{H})^{**}$. Then \mathcal{H} is reflexive, \mathcal{Q} is locally free of rank one by [Har80, Proposition 1.9], and $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \det(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}|_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}$. Therefore there exists an invertible subsheaf $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset T_{T_0}$ such that $\mathcal{Q}|_{X_0} = \pi_0^*\mathcal{G}_0$, and an inclusion

$$(7.1) \quad (\mathcal{A}|_{X_0})^{\otimes r-1} \simeq \det(\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}) \hookrightarrow \wedge^{r-1}T_{X_0/T_0} \otimes \pi_0^*\mathcal{G}_0 .$$

The next step is to show that \mathcal{G}_0 induces a foliation by rational curves on T_0 . For this purpose, let $B \subset X_0$ be a general smooth complete curve, set $\mathcal{G}_B := (\pi_0|_B)^*\mathcal{G}_0$, $X_B := X_0 \times_{T_0} B$, and consider the induced \mathbb{P}^m -bundle $\pi_B : X_B \rightarrow B$. Denote by \mathcal{A}_{X_B} the ample line bundle on X_B obtained by pulling back \mathcal{A} from X . Then (7.1) yields an inclusion

$$\mathcal{A}^{\otimes r-1} \otimes \pi_B^*(\mathcal{G}_B^*) \subset \wedge^{r-1}T_{X_B/B} .$$

It follows from [ADK08, Lemma 5.2] that $\deg_B(\mathcal{G}_B) > 0$. By Theorem 1.2, this implies that the general leaf of the foliation induced by $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset T_{T_0}$ is a rational curve.

Let $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ be a smooth compactification of a general leaf C_0 of the foliation induced by $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset T_{T_0}$, and let X_C be the normalization of the closure of $\pi_0^{-1}(C_0)$ in X , with induced morphism $\pi_C : X_C \rightarrow C$. Denote by \mathcal{A}_{X_C} the pullback of \mathcal{A} to X_C . Every fiber of π_C is generically reduced and irreducible since it has degree one with respect to the ample line bundle \mathcal{A}_{X_C} . Since C is smooth, π_C is flat, and X_C is normal, every fiber satisfy Serre's condition S_1 , and hence it is integral. Therefore $\pi_C : X_C \rightarrow C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle by [Fuj75, Corollary 5.4]. Notice that the image of X_C in X is invariant under \mathcal{F} . Thus, by Lemma 3.5, \mathcal{F} induces a foliation \mathcal{F}_{X_C} of rank r on X_C such that $\det(\mathcal{F}_{X_C}) \simeq (\mathcal{A}_{X_C})^{\otimes r-1} \otimes \pi_C^*\mathcal{L}$ for some nef line bundle \mathcal{L} on C . It follows from Proposition 7.10 that $r \in \{2, 3\}$, and \mathcal{F}_{X_C} is algebraically integrable with rationally connected general leaf. Hence the same holds for \mathcal{F} .

Let $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ be a general log leaf of \mathcal{F} . Denote by $\tilde{e} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow X$ the natural morphism, and by $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}}$ the pullback of \mathcal{A} to \tilde{F} . Recall the formula:

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}}^{\oplus r-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\Delta}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{e}^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) + \tilde{\Delta}) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(-K_{\tilde{F}}).$$

Notice that \tilde{F} is also the normalization of a general leaf of \mathcal{F}_{X_C} for some C as above. By Remark 7.11, π_C induces a \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundle structure $\pi_{\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. So we can write $\tilde{F} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}((\pi_{\tilde{F}})_*\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}})$, and $(\pi_{\tilde{F}})_*\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_r)$, with $1 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(-K_{\tilde{F}}) \simeq \pi_{\tilde{F}}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_1 - \cdots - a_r + 2) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}}^{\otimes r}$. Substituting this in the formula above, we get:

$$\tilde{\Delta} \in |\pi_{\tilde{F}}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_1 - \cdots - a_r + 2) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}}|.$$

In particular, we see that $\tilde{\Delta}$ contains a unique irreducible component that dominates \mathbb{P}^1 under $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$, which we denote by $\tilde{\sigma}$. Moreover, the restriction of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$ to $\tilde{\sigma}$ makes it a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 .

Let $\sigma' : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ be the section of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$ corresponding to a general surjection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_r)$, and set $C' = \sigma'(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \tilde{F}$. Then C' is a moving curve on \tilde{F} , and thus

$$0 \leq \tilde{\Delta} \cdot C' = -a_1 - \cdots - a_{r-1} + 2 \leq 3 - r.$$

If $r = 3$, then $\tilde{\Delta} \cdot C' = 0$, which implies that $\tilde{\Delta}$ does not contain any fiber of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$ as irreducible component, i.e., $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\sigma}$. Similarly, if $r = 2$, then either $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\sigma}$, or $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{f}$, where \tilde{f} is a fiber of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$. In any case, we see that $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is log canonical. Therefore, by Proposition 5.3, there is a point $x_0 \in X$ contained in the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} .

Suppose that $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\sigma}$. We will show that $l = 1$. Let T be the normalization of the closure of T_0 in $\text{Chow}(X)$, with universal family morphisms $\pi : U \rightarrow T$ and $e : U \rightarrow X$. We denote by \mathcal{F}_U the foliation on U induced by \mathcal{F} , and by \mathcal{G}_T the foliation on T induced by \mathcal{G}_0 . Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{e} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \tilde{F} & \longrightarrow & X_C & \longrightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ & \searrow^{\pi_{\tilde{F}}} & \downarrow^{\pi_C} & & \downarrow^{\pi} & & \\ & & C & \longrightarrow & T & & \end{array}$$

Let $E \subset U$ be the exceptional locus of e . Since X is smooth, E has pure codimension one in U . Since $c_1(\mathcal{A})^m \cdot Y = 1$, the fibers of π are irreducible, and thus E is a union of fibers of π .

We claim that the image of \tilde{F} in U does not meet E . This implies that e is an isomorphism over a neighborhood of x_0 . Suppose otherwise that there is a point $c \in C$ that is mapped into $\pi(E) \subset T$. Set $\tilde{f} := \pi_{\tilde{F}}^{-1}(c) \subset \tilde{F}$, and denote by $x \in e(E) \subset X$ the image of a general point of \tilde{f} . Note that $e^{-1}(x)$ is positive dimensional, while its intersection with the image of \tilde{F} in U is zero-dimensional. Hence there is a positive dimensional family of general leaves of \mathcal{F}_U meeting $e^{-1}(x)$, yielding a positive dimensional family of general leaves of \mathcal{F} passing through x . This shows that $\tilde{f} \subset \tilde{\Delta}$, contradicting the assumption that $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\sigma}$, and proving the claim.

Since e is an isomorphism over a neighborhood of x_0 , and the general leaf of \mathcal{F} contains x_0 , we conclude that the general leaf of \mathcal{G}_T contains the point $t_0 = \pi(e^{-1}(x_0)) \in T$. Let $u \in \pi^{-1}(t_0)$ be a general point, and let $c \in C$ be a point mapped to t_0 . Then there is a leaf of \mathcal{F}_{X_C} whose image in U contains the point u . Thus, if $l = \dim T > 1$, then we can find a positive dimensional family of general leaves of \mathcal{F}_U containing u . Since e is birational at u , we conclude that u lies in the singular locus of \mathcal{F} . Thus $e(\pi^{-1}(t_0))$ is contained in the singular locus of \mathcal{F} , and $\tilde{\Delta}$ contains a fiber of $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$, contradicting our assumptions. We have just proved that if $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\sigma}$, then $l = 1$, and thus $X = X_C \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\pi_*\mathcal{A})$.

From now on suppose that $r = 2$ and $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{f}$. In particular we must have $l > 1$. We must show that in this case π_0 extends to a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$. Let $H' \subset \text{RatCurves}(X)$ be a family that parametrizes (among possibly other curves) the image of $\tilde{\sigma}$ in X . Since $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{F}} \cdot \tilde{\sigma} = 1$, H' is unsplit. Notice that H and H' are numerically independent in $N_1(X)$, and X is (H, H') -rationally connected. The latter is because \tilde{F} is itself rationally connected with respect to families obtained from restriction of H and H' , and there is a point $x_0 \in X$ contained in the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} . It follows from [Kol96, IV.3.13.3] that $\rho(X) = 2$.

Next we show that H generates an extremal ray of the Mori cone $\overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ (see [KM98] for the definition and properties of the Mori cone). First we claim that the common point x_0 lies in the image of $\tilde{\sigma}$ in X . In any case, $x_0 \in \tilde{e}(\tilde{\Delta})$ by Lemma 5.6. Notice that \mathcal{F}_{X_C} is regular at the generic point of any fiber of π_C .

Thus the image of the singular locus of \mathcal{F}_{X_C} in \tilde{F} is $\tilde{\sigma}$. Hence, given any point in the image of $\tilde{F} \setminus \tilde{\sigma}$ in X_C , there is a leaf of \mathcal{F}_{X_C} that does not pass through this point. So we must have $x_0 \in \ell' := \tilde{e}(\tilde{\sigma})$. Now let $Z \subset X$ be the closure of the union of the curves ℓ' when F runs through general leaves of \mathcal{F} . Then Z is irreducible, it dominates T_0 , and $\dim Z = \dim T_0$. By [Kol96, IV.3.13.3], $N_1(Z)$ is generated by $[\ell']$. By construction, a general point of X can be connected to Z by a curve from H . Since H is unsplit, this is a closed condition, and it holds for every point of X . It follows from [BSW92, (Proof of) Lemma 1.4.5] (see also [Occ06, Remark 3.3]) that any curve on X is numerically equivalent to a linear combination $\lambda\ell' + \mu\ell$, where $\lambda \geq 0$ and ℓ is a curve parametrized by H . This implies that $[\ell]$ generates an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X)$. Indeed, suppose $[\ell] = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \overline{NE}(X) \setminus \{0\}$. Write $\alpha_i = \lambda_i[\ell'] + \mu_i[\ell]$, with $\lambda_i \geq 0$. Then $(1 - \mu_1 - \mu_2)\ell \equiv (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\ell'$. Since ℓ and ℓ' are numerically independent, we must have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. Thus $[\ell]$ generates an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X)$, and π_0 extends to a morphism $\bar{\pi} : X \rightarrow W$, namely the contraction of the extremal ray generated by H .

We claim that the morphism $\bar{\pi} : X \rightarrow W$ is equidimensional. Let X_t be a component of a fiber of $\bar{\pi}$. Since $\bar{\pi}$ is the contraction of the extremal rays generated by H , $N_1(X_t)$ is generated by classes of curves from the family H . Moreover, since any point of X can be connected to Z by a curve from H , X_t meets Z . On the other hand, $N_1(Z)$ is generated by $[\ell']$. Thus $Z \cap X_t$ must be 0-dimensional. We conclude from these observations that $\dim X_t + \dim Z = \dim X$, and $\bar{\pi}$ is equidimensional.

By [Fuj87, Lemma 2.12], W is smooth and π is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle. Recall from the beginning of the proof that there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{G} \subset T_W$ on W such that $\mathcal{G} \cdot B > 0$ for a curve $B \subset W$. Since $\rho(W) = 1$, it follows that \mathcal{G} is ample. By [Wah83], since $l > 1$, we must have $(W, \mathcal{G}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^l, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1))$. \square

We end this section by proving Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Write $\det(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes r-1}$ for an ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on X . Set $r := r_{\mathcal{F}} \geq 2$ and $n := \dim(X) \geq 3$. The proof is by induction on n .

By Remark 2.9, we know that X is uniruled. Fix a minimal dominating family H of rational curves on X , and let $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ be the H -rationally connected quotient of X .

Step 1. Let $[f] \in H$ be a general member. We show that one of the following holds.

- (1) $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, and H is unsplit, or
- (2) $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ ($r = 2$), or
- (3) $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$ ($r \geq 3$), and H is unsplit.

Write $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_r)$, where $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$ and $a_1 + \cdots + a_r = (r-1)\mathcal{A} \cdot \ell$. By [Kol96, IV.2.9], $f^*T_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus d} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus (n-d-1)}$ where $d = \deg(f^*T_X) - 2 \geq 0$. By Lemma 6.10, $f^*\mathcal{F}$ cannot be ample. Therefore, either the a_i 's are as in one of the three cases listed above, or $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Moreover, in the latter case and in cases (1) or (3) above, we have $\mathcal{A} \cdot \ell = 1$, and hence H is unsplit.

We claim that $f^*\mathcal{F} \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Suppose otherwise. Then $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^*\mathcal{F}$ for general $[f] \in H$, and Lemma 6.9 implies that $T_{X_0/T_0} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$. Hence $f^*T_{X_0/Y_0} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-2}$. By [Ara06], π_0 is a projective space bundle, and we may assume that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$. By lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} induces a foliation by curves on T_0 . For a general point t_0 in T_0 , let $C_0 \subset T_0$ be the germ of the leaf (in the complex analytic topology) through t_0 . Then $\pi_0^{-1}(C_0)$ is the germ of a leaf of \mathcal{F} , and thus $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, a contradiction.

Step 2. We treat case (1): $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and H is unsplit.

By [ADK08, Lemma 2.2], we may assume that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$, T_0 is smooth, and π_0 is proper, surjective, equidimensional, and has irreducible and reduced fibers.

If $\dim(T_0) = 0$, then, since the family H is unsplit, $\rho(X) = 1$, and the result follows from Proposition 7.7. So we may assume that $\dim(T_0) \geq 1$. By Proposition 7.13, we may assume also that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \subsetneq T_{X_0/T_0}$. Denote by Y a general fiber of π_0 , and set $m = \dim Y$. Then $\mathcal{F}|_Y \subset T_Y$ is a Del Pezzo foliation on the smooth projective variety Y . If $Y \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^m$, then the result follows by induction on the dimension. So we may assume that $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$. Since $\mathcal{A}|_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)$, π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle by [Fuj75, Corollary 5.4]. By removing a subset of codimension ≥ 2 in T_0 if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a subbundle of T_{X_0/T_0} .

Let $B \subset X_0$ be a general smooth complete curve, set $X_B := X_0 \times_{T_0} B$, and consider the induced \mathbb{P}^m -bundle $\pi_B : X_B \rightarrow B$. Denote by \mathcal{A}_{X_B} the pullback from X of the line bundle \mathcal{A} , and by $\mathcal{F}_{X_B} \subsetneq T_{X_B/B}$

the pullback of \mathcal{F} . Then $\det(\mathcal{F}_{X_B}) = \mathcal{A}_{X_B}^{\otimes r-1}$. Thus \mathcal{F}_{X_B} is algebraically integrable and has rationally connected general leaf by Proposition 7.9. Since B is general, the same holds for \mathcal{F} .

Step 3. We treat case (2): $r = 2$ and $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. We will show in particular that if $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \not\subset T_{X_0/T_0}$, then π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π_0 of a foliation by rational curves on T_0 .

Let $x \in X$ be a general point, and $\mathcal{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_x X^*)$ the variety of minimal rational tangents at x associated to H . Since $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^*\mathcal{F}$ for general $[f] \in H$, we have $\mathcal{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_x^*) \subset \mathbb{P}(T_x X^*)$.

We claim that $\dim(H_x) = 0$. Indeed, suppose $\dim(H_x) > 0$. Then $\mathcal{C}_x = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_x^*) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. By [Ara06], after shrinking X_0 and T_0 if necessary, $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ becomes a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over a smooth base. Hence $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} = T_{X_0/T_0} \hookrightarrow T_{X_0}$, and thus $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Suppose $\sharp(H_x) \geq 2$, and fix $[\ell] \in H_x$. Then the surface obtained as the union of curves from H meeting ℓ at general points is invariant under \mathcal{F} , and thus it is the leaf of \mathcal{F} through x . This shows that the general leaf of \mathcal{F} is algebraic and rationally connected.

From now on we assume that $\sharp(H_x) = 1$. After shrinking X_0 and T_0 if necessary, we may assume that π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth base, and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X_0/T_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \rightarrow (\pi_0^*\mathcal{G}_0),$$

where \mathcal{G}_0 is an invertible subsheaf of T_{T_0} . By Lemma 6.12, \mathcal{G}_0 defines a foliation by rational curves on T_0 . The general leaf of \mathcal{F} is the closure of the inverse image by π_0 of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} . Hence it is algebraic and rationally connected.

Step 4. Finally we treat case (3): $r \geq 3$, $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$ and H is unsplit. In particular, we show that one of the following holds:

- π_0 is a quadric bundle of relative dimension $r - 1$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π_0 of a foliation by rational curves on T_0 .
- π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback by π_0 of a foliation by rationally connected surfaces on T_0 .

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \subset f^*\mathcal{F}$, we must have $T_{X_0/T_0} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ by lemma 6.9. Thus $f^*T_{X_0/T_0} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus k}$ with $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and $\dim T_0 > 0$.

Suppose that $k = 2$. Then $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} = T_{X_0/T_0}$. In particular, \mathcal{F} has log canonical singularities along a general leaf. But this contradicts Proposition 5.3, which asserts that there is a common point through a general leaf of \mathcal{F} .

Next suppose that $k = 1$, and denote by Y the general fiber of π_0 . Then $\dim(T_0) \geq 2$, $\dim Y = r - 1$, and $\det(T_Y) \simeq (\mathcal{A}|_Y)^{\otimes r-1}$. Thus $Y \simeq Q_{r-1}$ by [KO73]. By Lemmas 6.7 and 6.12, \mathcal{F} induces a foliation \mathcal{G}_0 by rational curves on T_0 . The general leaf of \mathcal{F} is the closure of the inverse image under π_0 of a general leaf of \mathcal{G}_0 . Hence it is algebraic and rationally connected.

Finally we suppose that $k = 0$, and denote by Y the general fiber of π_0 . Then $\dim(T_0) \geq 3$, $\dim Y = r - 2$, and $\det(T_Y) \simeq (\mathcal{A}|_Y)^{\otimes r-1}$. Thus $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$ by [KO73], and, since H is unsplit, π_0 can be extended in codimension 1 to a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle over a smooth base. We still denote this extension by $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$. By lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} induces a rank 2 foliation \mathcal{G}_0 on T_0 . By removing a subset of codimension ≥ 2 in T_0 if necessary, we may assume that \mathcal{G}_0 is a subbundle of T_{T_0} . Since π_0 is smooth, $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} = (d\pi_0)^{-1}(\pi_0^*\mathcal{G}_0)$, and there exists an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X_0/Y_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \rightarrow \pi_0^*\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let \mathcal{Q} be a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules extending $\pi_0^*\mathcal{G}_0$. Let $B \subset X_0$ be a general complete intersection curve for \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{A} in the sense of Mehta-Ramanathan. Consider the induced \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle $\pi_B : X_B = B \times_{T_0} X_0 \rightarrow B$, with natural morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & q & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ X_B & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & X \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_0 & & \\ B & \xrightarrow{n} & T_0 & & \end{array}$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{X_B}(q^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}})) = \mathcal{O}_{X_B}(-K_{X_B/B}) \otimes \pi_B^*(n^*(\det(\mathcal{G}_0)))$. We know that $-K_{X_B/B}$ is not ample by [Miy93, Theorem 2]. Hence $\deg_B(n^*(\det(\mathcal{G}_0))) > 0$.

If $n^*\mathcal{G}_0$ is ample, then the general leaf of \mathcal{G}_0 is algebraic and rationally connected by Theorem 1.2. Since the general leaf of \mathcal{F} is the closure of the inverse image under π_0 of a general leaf of \mathcal{G}_0 , it follows that the general leaf of \mathcal{F} is algebraic and rationally connected. So we may assume that $n^*\mathcal{G}_0$ is not ample, and hence not semistable.

By Lemma 7.4, there is a saturated rank 1 subsheaf $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $\deg_B(n^*\mathcal{M}_0) > \frac{1}{2} \deg_B(n^*\mathcal{G}_0) > 0$. By Theorem 1.2, the general leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset T_{T_0}$ is a rational curve. Let $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ be a smooth compactification of a general leaf C_0 of \mathcal{M}_0 , and let X_C be the normalization of the closure of $\pi_0^{-1}(C_0)$ in X , with induced morphism $\pi_C : X_C \rightarrow C$. Denote by \mathcal{A}_{X_C} the pullback of \mathcal{A} to X_C . Every fiber of π_C is generically reduced and irreducible since it has degree one with respect to the ample line bundle \mathcal{A}_{X_C} . Since C is smooth, π_C is flat, and X_C is normal, every fiber satisfy Serre's condition S_1 , and hence it is integral. Therefore $\pi_C : X_C \rightarrow C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle by [Fuj75, Corollary 5.4]. In particular, X_C is smooth.

By removing a subset of codimension ≥ 2 in T_0 if necessary, we may assume that \mathcal{M}_0 is a line bundle on T_0 , and that there is a line bundle \mathcal{M}'_0 on T_0 fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' be the unique line bundles on X extending $\pi_0^*\mathcal{M}_0$ and $\pi_0^*\mathcal{M}'_0$, respectively. Let \mathcal{H} be the saturated subsheaf of T_X extending $(d\pi_0)^{-1}(\pi_0^*\mathcal{M}_0)$. Then \mathcal{H} is a foliation of rank $r-1$ on X , and $\det(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\otimes r-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^*$. Notice also that X_C is the normalization of a general leaf of \mathcal{H} , and that \mathcal{H} is regular along a general fiber of π_0 .

Denote by (X_C, D) the general log leaf of \mathcal{H} , and by $q : X_C \rightarrow X$ the natural morphism. Since \mathcal{H} is regular along a general fiber of π_0 , D is supported on a finite union of fibers of π_C . Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{X_C}(-K_{X_C}) \simeq q^*\det(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_C}(D)$. So we have

$$q^*\mathcal{L}' \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_C}(K_{X_C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{X_C}^{\otimes r-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_C}(D).$$

On the other hand, since $\dim X_C = r-1$ and \mathcal{A}_{X_C} is ample, Fujita's theorem ([,]) implies that $\mathcal{O}_{X_C}(K_{X_C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{X_C}^{\otimes r-1}$ is nef. We remark that exactly one of the following holds.

- (a) For any moving section $\sigma \subset X_C$ of π_C , we have $q^*\mathcal{L}' \cdot \sigma > 0$.
- (b) $\mathcal{O}_{X_C}(-K_{X_C}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X_C}^{\otimes r-1}$ and $D = 0$. In this case $X_C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{A}_{X_C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)$ and $q^*\mathcal{L}' \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_C}$.

Let W be the normalization of the closure in $\text{Chow}(X)$ of the subvariety parametrizing general leaves of \mathcal{H} , and U the normalization of the universal cycle over W , with universal family morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ & & W \end{array}$$

There are open subsets $X_1 \subset X$ and $W_1 \subset W$, with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_1) \geq 2$, such that e is an isomorphism over X_1 , and π induces an equidimensional morphism $\pi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow W_1$ with connected fibers. Notice that $\mathcal{H}|_{X_1} = T_{X_1/W_1}$. By Lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} induces a rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{N}_1 \subset T_{W_1}$, and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}|_{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{X_1} \rightarrow (\pi_1^*\mathcal{N}_1)^{**}.$$

Thus, there is a canonically defined effective divisor D_1 on X_1 such that

$$\mathcal{L}'|_{X_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(D_1) \simeq (\pi_1^*\mathcal{N}_1)^{**}.$$

By removing a subset of codimension ≥ 2 in W_1 if necessary, we may assume that W_1 is smooth and \mathcal{N}_1 is locally free. Our aim is to show that the general leaf of \mathcal{N}_1 is a rational curve. Since the general leaf of \mathcal{F} is the closure of the inverse image under π_1 of a general leaf of \mathcal{N}_1 , it will follow that the general leaf of \mathcal{F} is algebraic and rationally connected.

Let $\sigma \subset X_C$ be a moving section. Then its image in X is a moving curve. Let ℓ' be a general deformation of $q(\sigma)$. In particular, we may assume that $\ell' \subset X_0 \cap X_1$, and that both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{H} are regular along ℓ' by [Kol96, II.3.7].

Suppose that ℓ' is not tangent to \mathcal{H} . By Lemma 6.5, we must have $D \neq 0$. So we are in case (a) above, and thus $\mathcal{L}' \cdot \ell' = q^*\mathcal{L}' \cdot \sigma > 0$. Now consider the curve $\pi_1(\ell')$ in W_1 . It is a moving curve, the foliation

$\mathcal{N}_1 \subset T_{W_1}$ is regular along $\pi_1(\ell')$, and $\mathcal{N}_1 \cdot \pi_1(\ell') > 0$. By Theorem 1.2, this implies that the leaves of \mathcal{N}_1 are rational curves.

Next we suppose that ℓ' is tangent to \mathcal{H} . Set $C' = \pi_0(\ell') \subset T_0$. Then C' is a leaf of $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset T_0$, and \mathcal{M}_0 is regular along C' , i.e., $\mathcal{M}_0|_{C'} \simeq T_{C'}$. Moreover, the same holds for a general deformation of C' by Lemma 6.5. Thus $T_{T_0}|_{C'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus \dim T_0 - 1}$. As in Step 1, we see that $\mathcal{G}_0|_{C'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}' \cdot \ell' = \mathcal{M}_0 \cdot C' = 0$. So we are in case (b) above: $X_C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{A}_{X_C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)$ and $D = 0$. We may assume that σ is the ruling of X_C dominating C . Thus ℓ' determines an unsplit dominating family H' of rational curves on X , and $\pi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is nothing but the (H, H') -rationally connected quotient of X . By Lemma 6.12, \mathcal{N}_1 is a foliation by rational curves on W_1 . □

8. ON DEL PEZZO FOLIATIONS WITH MILD SINGULARITIES

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. In fact, Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from Theorem 8.1 below and Proposition 5.3.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ be a del Pezzo foliation of rank r on a smooth projective n -dimensional variety X . Suppose that \mathcal{F} is locally free along the closure of a general leaf. Then*

- (1) *either X a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle over \mathbb{P}^{n-m} , with $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, or*
- (2) *for any minimal dominating family of rational curves on X , with associated rationally connected quotient $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$, we have $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \subset T_{X_0/T_0}$.*

When $X \not\simeq \mathbb{P}^n$, we know from Theorem 1.1 that a del Pezzo foliation \mathcal{F} on X is algebraically integrable. In the proof of Theorem 8.1 in this case, we will consider a suitable resolution of singularities of the general leaf of \mathcal{F} , whose existence is guaranteed by the following theorem.

Theorem 8.2 ([Kol07, Theorem 3.35, 3.45] and [GKK10, Corollary 4.7]). *Let X be a normal variety. Then there exists a resolution of singularities $d : Y \rightarrow X$ such that*

- *d is an isomorphism over $X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$, and*
- *$d_*T_Y(-\log D) \simeq T_X$ where D is the largest reduced divisor contained in $d^{-1}(\text{Sing}(X))$.*

We call a resolution d as in Theorem 8.2 a *canonical desingularization* of X .

8.3. Let X be a normal projective variety, and \mathcal{F} an algebraically integrable 1-Gorenstein foliation on X . We denote by F the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} , \tilde{F} its normalization, $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ the corresponding log leaf, and Y a canonical desingularization of \tilde{F} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \bar{e} & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{d} & \tilde{F} & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\
 & & & \searrow & \bar{e} & \nearrow & \\
 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is locally free along F . Let $D \subset Y$ be the largest reduced divisor contained in $d^{-1}(\text{Sing}(\tilde{F}))$. Then $\bar{e}^*\mathcal{F} \subset T_Y(-\log D) \subset T_Y$. Therefore there exists an effective divisor Δ on Y such that

$$\bar{e}^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) + \Delta + D = -K_Y.$$

Recall from Definition 3.4 that $K_{\tilde{F}} + \tilde{\Delta} = \bar{e}^*K_{\mathcal{F}}$. Hence we have $\Delta + D = d^*\tilde{\Delta} - K_{Y/\tilde{F}}$. Moreover $\text{Sing}(\tilde{F}) \subset \text{Supp}(\tilde{\Delta})$ by Lemma 5.6. Thus $\text{Supp}(\Delta + D) \subset d^{-1}(\text{Supp}(\tilde{\Delta}))$.

Remark 8.4. Let the notation and assumptions be as in 8.3 above, and suppose moreover that \mathcal{F} is a Fano foliation. Then $\Delta + D \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\Delta + D = 0$, it follows from the above discussion that \tilde{F} is smooth and $\tilde{\Delta} = 0$. Therefore, \mathcal{F} is induced by an almost proper map $X \dashrightarrow T$, contradicting Proposition 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Write $\det(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes r-1}$, with \mathcal{A} an ample line bundle on X , and denote by S the singular locus of \mathcal{F} . We follow the notation introduced in 8.3 above.

Let H be a minimal dominating family of rational curves on X , and $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ the associated rationally connected quotient. Let $[f] \in H$ be a general member. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0} \not\subset T_{X_0/T_0}$. Recall from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that one of the following holds.

- (1) Either $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, or
- (2) $r = 2$, $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π_0 of a foliation by rational curves on T_0 , or
- (3) $r \geq 3$, H is unsplit, $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$, and one of the following holds.
 - (a) Either π_0 is a quadric bundle of relative dimension $r - 1$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π_0 of a foliation \mathcal{G}_0 by rational curves on T_0 , or
 - (b) π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback by π_0 of a foliation \mathcal{G}_0 by rationally connected surfaces on T_0 .

If we are in case (1), then π_0 makes X a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle over \mathbb{P}^{n-m} , with $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, by Proposition 7.13.

Suppose we are in case (2). Notice that \mathcal{F} is regular along a general curve from H .

The restriction of π_0 to $F \cap X_0$ induces a surjective morphism with connected fibers $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $f \subset Y$ be a general fiber of φ , and set $\ell := \bar{e}(f) \subset F$. Then $\ell \cap S = \emptyset$, and F is smooth along ℓ . Thus $f \cap \text{Supp}(\Delta + D) = \emptyset$. Hence $\text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$ is a union of irreducible components of fibers of φ .

We claim that $Y = \tilde{F} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Suppose otherwise. Then there exists a section C_0 of φ such that $C_0^2 < 0$. Since $C_0 \not\subset \text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$, we have:

$$1 \geq C_0^2 + 2 = -K_Y \cdot C_0 = -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \bar{e}_*(C_0) + (\Delta + D) \cdot C_0 \geq 1.$$

Hence we must have $(\Delta + D) \cdot C_0 = 0$, and thus the inclusion $\bar{e}^*\mathcal{F} \subset T_Y$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of C_0 . In particular, no smooth fiber of φ is contained in $\text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$. Suppose φ has reducible fibers, and let C_1 be an irreducible component of a reducible fiber such that $C_0 \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then $C_1^2 < 0$ and $C_1 \not\subset \text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$. As before, we get that $(\Delta + D) \cdot C_1 = 0$, and thus the inclusion $\bar{e}^*\mathcal{F} \subset T_Y$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of C_1 . Proceeding by induction, we conclude that no irreducible component of a reducible fiber of φ is contained in $\text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$. Thus $\Delta + D = 0$. But this is impossible by Remark 8.4. Therefore we must have $Y = \tilde{F} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, as claimed. In particular, $D = 0$.

Let C_0 be a section of φ such that $C_0^2 = 0$, and denote by f a fiber of φ . By Remark 8.4, $\Delta \neq 0$. Thus $\Delta \equiv mf$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. We have:

$$2 = -K_Y \cdot C_0 = -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \bar{e}_*(C_0) + \Delta \cdot C_0 \geq 1 + m \geq 2.$$

Hence we must have $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \bar{e}_*(C_0) = 1$ and $\Delta = f$. Set $\ell' = \bar{e}(C_0)$, and let H' be the family of rational curves on X containing $[\ell']$. Then $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell' = 1$, and thus H' is unsplit. Let $[f'] \in H'$ be a general member. As in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that $(f')^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Let $\pi' : X' \rightarrow T'$ be the H' -rationally connected quotient of X . Notice that ℓ and ℓ' are numerically independent in X . Therefore ℓ is not contracted by π' . On the other hand, ℓ is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} . So we must have $\mathcal{F}|_{X'} \not\subset T_{X'/T'}$. From the analysis of case (1) above and Proposition 7.13, we conclude that π' makes X a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 .

Next we show that case (3a) does not occur.

Suppose to the contrary that H is unsplit, $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$ is a quadric bundle of relative dimension $r - 1$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π_0 of a foliation \mathcal{G}_0 by rational curves on T_0 . By [ADK08, Lemma 2.2], π_0 can be extended in codimension 1 in X to a proper surjective equidimensional morphism with irreducible and reduced fibers. We still denote this extension by $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$.

The morphism π_0 induces a morphism $\pi_B : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow B$ is a smooth compactification of a general leaf B of the foliation \mathcal{G}_0 on T_0 . Since \mathcal{F} is regular along a general fiber of π_0 , $\text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$ is a union of irreducible components of fibers of π_B .

Let $\ell' \subset Y$ be a general curve from a minimal horizontal family of rational curves with respect to π_B . Then $\ell' \not\subset \text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$, and

$$-K_Y \cdot \ell' = -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \bar{e}_*\ell' + (\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' = (r - 1)\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{e}_*\ell' + (\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' \geq r - 1 \geq 2.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.3, $-K_Y \cdot \ell' \leq 2$. So we must have $r = 3$, $\mathcal{A} \cdot \ell' = 1$, and $(\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' = 0$. The latter implies that $\bar{e}(\ell') \cap S = \emptyset$. Thus $\bar{e}(\ell') \cap \text{Sing}(F) = \emptyset$, and all fibers of π_B meet the regular locus of \mathcal{F} . By Remark 8.4, $\Delta + D \neq 0$. Thus π_B has at least one reducible fiber, and at least one irreducible component of such reducible fiber meets the regular locus of \mathcal{F} . By letting B run through general leaves of the foliation \mathcal{G}_0 , the images in X of reducible fibers of π_B sweep out a divisor on X . But this contradicts the fact that π_0 has irreducible fibers in codimension 1.

Finally we show that case (3b) can only occur if X is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle over \mathbb{P}^{n-m} .

So suppose H is unsplit, $r \geq 3$, $f^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$, π_0 is a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π_0 of a foliation \mathcal{G}_0 by rationally connected surfaces on T_0 . Recall that π_0 can be extended to a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle in codimension 1 in X . We still denote this extension by $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow T_0$.

Let Z be the normalization of a general leaf of \mathcal{G}_0 . Then π_0 induces a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle $\pi_Z : Y_0 \rightarrow Z_0$, where Y_0 and Z_0 are dense open subsets of Y and Z , respectively. Let H'_Y be a minimal h-dominating family of rational curves with respect to π_Z , and $[\ell'] \in H'_Y$ a general member. Fix a family H' of rational curves on X containing a point of $\text{RatCurves}^n(X)$ corresponding to $\bar{e}(\ell')$.

Since \mathcal{F} is regular along a general fiber of π_0 , $\ell' \notin \text{Supp}(\Delta + D)$, and

$$-K_Y \cdot \ell' = -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \bar{e}_*\ell' + (\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' = (r-1)\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{e}_*\ell' + (\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' \geq r-1 \geq 2.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.3, $-K_Y \cdot \ell' \leq 3$. So $-K_Y \cdot \ell' \in \{2, 3\}$. Moreover $\mathcal{A} \cdot \bar{e}_*\ell' = 1$, and thus H' is unsplit.

First let us assume that $-K_Y \cdot \ell' = 3$. Then $r = 4 - (\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' \in \{3, 4\}$. By Lemma 6.3, H'_Y is a dominating family of rational curves on Y . Thus H' is an unsplit dominating family of rational curves on X . Let $[f'] \in H'$ be a general member, and $\pi' : X' \rightarrow T'$ the H' -rationally connected quotient of X . Notice that H and H' are numerically independent in X . Therefore the general curve from H is not contracted by π' , while it is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} . So we must have $\mathcal{F}|_{X'} \not\subset T_{X'/T'}$. From the analysis of the previous cases, we conclude that either f' falls under case (1) above, and so X is a \mathbb{P}^m -bundle over \mathbb{P}^{n-m} , or $f'^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r-3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$, π' is a \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -bundle, and $T_{X'/T'} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X'}$. In the latter case, \mathcal{F} is regular along a general fiber of π' . Thus $(\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' = 0$ and $r = 4$. Let $\pi'' : X'' \rightarrow T''$ be the (H, H') -rationally connected quotient of X . By Lemma 6.9, $T_{X''/T''} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X''}$, and thus $\text{rank}(T_{X''/T''}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) = 4$. On the other hand, since H and H' are numerically independent in X , the fibers of the \mathbb{P}^2 -bundles π_0 and π' cannot meet along a positive dimensional variety. Therefore the fibers of π'' have dimension at least 4. We conclude that $\mathcal{F}|_{X''} = T_{X''/T''}$. But this is impossible by Proposition 5.3.

From now on we assume that $-K_Y \cdot \ell' = 2$. Then $(\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' = 0$ and $r = 3$. By Lemma 6.3, either H'_Y is a dominating family of rational curves on Y , or $\text{Locus}(H'_Y)$ has codimension 1 in Y .

If H'_Y dominating, then H' is an unsplit dominating family of rational curves on X . Let $\pi' : X' \rightarrow T'$ be the H' -rationally connected quotient of X . As before, we conclude that $T_{X'/T'} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X'}$. Let $\pi'' : X'' \rightarrow T''$ be the (H, H') -rationally connected quotient of X . By Lemma 6.9, $T_{X''/T''} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X''}$. By Proposition 5.3, $T_{X''/T''} \neq \mathcal{F}|_{X''}$. Thus $\text{rank}(T_{X''/T''}) = 2$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π'' of a foliation by rational curves on T'' . The same argument used in case (3a) above shows that this is impossible.

Finally, we assume that $\text{Locus}(H'_Y)$ has codimension 1 in Y . Since $(\Delta + D) \cdot \ell' = 0$, we have $\bar{e}(\ell') \cap S = \emptyset$. Therefore the general member of H' avoids S and is tangent to \mathcal{F} by Lemma 6.5. Let $\pi'' : X'' \rightarrow T''$ be the (H, H') -rationally connected quotient of X , and denote by F'' a general fiber of π'' . By Lemma 6.6, $T_{X''/T''} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{X''}$. In particular, $\dim F'' \leq 3 = \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})$. We will show that $\dim F'' = 3$. From this it follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X''} = T_{X''/T''}$, contradicting Proposition 5.3, and finishing the proof of Theorem 8.1.

Let $y \in \text{Locus}(H'_Y)$ be a general point. By [Kol96, IV.2.6.1],

$$3 + 2 = \dim Y + (-K_Y \cdot \ell') \leq \dim(\text{Locus}(H'_Y)) + \dim(\text{Locus}((H'_Y)_y)) + 1 \leq 2 + \dim(\text{Locus}((H'_Y)_y)) + 1.$$

Thus $\dim(\text{Locus}((H'_Y)_y)) = 2$. Since $\text{Locus}((H'_Y)_y) \subset \text{Locus}(H'_Y)$, and the latter is irreducible and 2-dimensional, we conclude that $(\text{Locus}((H'_Y)_y)) = \text{Locus}(H'_Y)$. Then the image of $\text{Locus}(H'_Y)$ in X is contained in a general fiber of π'' . Moreover, it does not contain any curve from the family H' , since H and H' are numerically independent in X . Thus $\dim F'' = 3$. \square

9. DEL PEZZO FOLIATIONS ON PROJECTIVE SPACE BUNDLES

Our first aim in this section is to give a precise geometric description of del Pezzo foliations \mathcal{F} on projective space bundles $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ such that $\mathcal{F} \not\subset T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$.

9.1 (Two special cases). Let \mathcal{E} be an ample locally free sheaf of rank $m+1 \geq 2$ on \mathbb{P}^l , and set $X := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , and by $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ the natural projection. Let $\mathcal{F} \not\subset T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$ be a del Pezzo foliation on X , and write $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\otimes r_{\mathcal{F}}-1}$ for an ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on X . By Proposition 7.10, $r_{\mathcal{F}} \in \{2, 3\}$. We first determine the restriction of \mathcal{A} to a general line ℓ on a fiber of π .

As in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we verify that $\mathcal{A} \cdot l = 1$ unless $m = 1$, $r_{\mathcal{F}} = 2 \leq l$, $\mathcal{F}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}$, and $T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$.

Suppose we are in the latter case. We claim that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^l$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a degree 0 foliation of rank 1 on \mathbb{P}^l . Indeed, by Lemma 6.7, \mathcal{F} is the pullback by π of a rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. So $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \det(T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}) \otimes \pi^* \det(\mathcal{G})$, and $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(2) \otimes \pi^*(\det(\mathcal{E}^*) \otimes \mathcal{G})$. Write $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(k)$ for some integer k . If $k \leq 0$, then $\det(T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}) \simeq \mathcal{A} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(-k)$ is ample, contradicting [Miy93, Theorem 2]. By Bott's formulae, $k = 1$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^l$ be a line, and write $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$ with $a \leq b$. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ be the section corresponding to the projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)$, and set $C := \sigma(\mathbb{P}^1)$. Then

$$1 \leq \mathcal{A} \cdot C = (\mathcal{O}_X(2) \otimes \pi^*(\det(\mathcal{E}^*) \otimes \mathcal{G})) \cdot C = 2a - (a + b) + 1.$$

Thus $a \geq b$, and so $a = b$. By [OSS80, Theorem 3.2.1], $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(a)^{\oplus 2}$. This proves the claim.

So we may restrict ourselves to the case when \mathcal{A} restricts to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the fibers of π . Then, by replacing \mathcal{E} with $\pi_* \mathcal{A}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1)$.

By Proposition 7.10, if $m = 1$, then $l \geq r = 3$, $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^l$, $\mathcal{H} = T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback via the natural projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ of a degree zero foliation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ on \mathbb{P}^l . So we may assume that $m \geq 2$.

Theorem 9.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be an ample locally free sheaf of rank $m + 1 \geq 3$ on \mathbb{P}^l , and set $X := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , and by $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ the natural projection. Let $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$ be a foliation of rank $r \geq 2$ on X such that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r - 1)$.*

- (1) *The possible values for the pair (l, r) are $(1, 2)$, $(1, 3)$ and $(l, 2)$, with $l \geq 2$.*
- (2) *There exists a subbundle $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{E}^*$ such that $\mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l} \simeq (\pi^* \mathcal{V})(1)$, an inclusion $j : \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$, and a commutative diagram of exact sequences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l} \simeq (\pi^* \mathcal{V})(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_W \otimes \pi^* \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^* j \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l} & \longrightarrow & T_X & \longrightarrow & \pi^* T_{\mathbb{P}^l} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $W \subset X$ is a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_X W \geq 2$.

- (3) *If $l \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(-1)$. If $l = 1$, then either $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, or $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$, or $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$.*
- (4) *Let \mathcal{H} be the kernel of the dual map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$, and consider the \mathbb{P}^{m-r+1} -bundle $Z := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{H})$, with natural projection $q : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$. Then \mathcal{F} is the pullback by the linear projection $X/\mathbb{P}^l \dashrightarrow Z/\mathbb{P}^l$ of a foliation on Z induced by a nonzero global section of $T_Z \otimes q^* \det(\mathcal{V})$.*
- (5) *If $l = 1$ and $m \geq r + 1$, then \mathcal{F} is locally free and $W = \emptyset$. If moreover $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, then $\mathcal{F} \simeq (\pi^* \mathcal{V})(1) \oplus \pi^* \det(\mathcal{V}^*)$.*

Proof. Item (1) was proved in Proposition 7.10

Set $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$, and recall from the proof of Proposition 7.10 that $\mathcal{H} \simeq (\pi^* \mathcal{V})(1)$, where $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{E}^*$ is a saturated subsheaf of rank $r - 1$ (and thus a subbundle in codimension 1 in \mathbb{P}^l). Moreover, there is an inclusion $\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$, and an isomorphism $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{H})^{**} \simeq \pi^* \det(\mathcal{V}^*)$. We claim that \mathcal{V} is in fact a subbundle of \mathcal{E}^* . If $l = 1$, then this is clear. Moreover, in this case either $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, or $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$, or $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$. If $l \geq 2$, then $r = 2$, and \mathcal{V} is locally free of rank 1. The condition $\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ implies that $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(-1)$. Since \mathcal{E} is ample, \mathcal{V} must be a subbundle of \mathcal{E}^* . This proves (2) and (3).

Let \mathcal{H} be the kernel of the dual map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$. Consider the \mathbb{P}^{m-r+1} -bundle $Z := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{H})$, with natural projection $q : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$. The surjection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$ induces a rational map $p : X \dashrightarrow Z$ over \mathbb{P}^l , which restricts to a surjective morphism $p_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Z$, where X_0 is the complement in X of the \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -subbundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}^*) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. As in 7.8, we have $\mathcal{H}|_{X_0} = T_{X_0/Z}$. By Lemma 6.7, $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is the pullback via p_0 of a rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq T_Z$. One checks easily that $\mathcal{G} \simeq q^* \det(\mathcal{V}^*)$. This proves (4).

In order to prove (5), recall from 7.8 that, since $l = 1$, $\mathcal{H}|_F$ is a degree 0 foliation of rank $r - 1$ on $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$ for any fiber F of π . Thus the map $\det(\mathcal{H}) \hookrightarrow \wedge^{r-1} T_X$ vanishes along a closed subset of dimension equal to $1 + (r - 2) = r - 1 \leq \dim(X) - 3$. I.e., \mathcal{H} is a subbundle of \mathcal{F} in codimension ≤ 2 . By lemma 9.9, \mathcal{F} is locally free and $W = \emptyset$. If moreover $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \pi^* \det(\mathcal{V})) &\simeq H^1(X, \pi^* \mathcal{V}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \\ &\simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \otimes \mathcal{E}) && \text{by Leray's spectral sequence} \\ &= 0 && \text{since } \mathcal{E} \text{ is ample.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{H} \oplus \pi^* \det(\mathcal{V}^*)$. \square

Our next goal is to classify locally free sheaves \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^l for which $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$ admits a del Pezzo foliation $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$. For that purpose, we first recall the definition and basic properties of the Atiyah class of locally free sheaves on smooth varieties.

9.3 (The Atiyah class of a locally free sheaf). Let T be a smooth variety, and \mathcal{E} a locally free sheaf of rank $m+1 \geq 1$ on T . Let $J_T^1(\mathcal{E})$ be the sheaf of 1-jets of \mathcal{E} . I.e., as a sheaf of abelian groups on T , $J_T^1(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{E} \oplus (\Omega_T^1 \otimes \mathcal{E})$, and the \mathcal{O}_T -module structure is given by $f(e, \alpha) = (fe, f\alpha - df \otimes e)$, where f, e and α are local sections of $\mathcal{O}_T, \mathcal{E}$ and $\Omega_T^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}$, respectively. The *Atiyah class* of \mathcal{E} is defined to be the element $at(\mathcal{E}) \in H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_T^1)$ corresponding to the Atiyah extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_T^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow J_T^1(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

It can be explicitly described as follows. Choose an affine open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of T such that \mathcal{E} admits a frame $f_i : \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{m+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}|_{U_i}$ for each U_i . For $i, j \in I$, define $f_{ij} := f_j^{-1}|_{U_{ij}} \circ f_i|_{U_{ij}}$. Then

$$at(\mathcal{E}) = [(-f_j|_{U_{ij}} \circ df_{ij}|_{U_{ij}} \circ f_i^{-1}|_{U_{ij}})_{i,j}] \in H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_T^1).$$

(See [Ati57, Proof of Theorem 5].)

Set $X := \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{E})$, and denote by $\pi : X \rightarrow T$ the natural projection. The push-forwarded Euler sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \pi_* T_{X/T} \rightarrow 0$ yields a map

$$H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_T^1) \rightarrow H^1(T, \pi_* T_{X/T} \otimes \Omega_T^1) \simeq H^1(X, T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1),$$

where the last isomorphism is given by Leray's spectral sequence. We denote by $\bar{at}(\mathcal{E}) \in H^1(X, T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1)$ the image of $at(\mathcal{E})$ under this map.

We claim that $\bar{at}(\mathcal{E})$ is the class in $H^1(X, T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1)$ of the exact sequence

$$(9.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_{X/T} \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow \pi^* T_T \rightarrow 0.$$

To show this, we compute a cocycle that represents the extension class of (9.1). Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be the affine open cover of T chosen above. By shrinking U_i if necessary, we may assume that T_T admits a frame $t_i : \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^l \xrightarrow{\sim} T_T|_{U_i}$ for each U_i , where $l = \dim(T)$. Let t_i^\vee be dual frame of Ω_T^1 , and set $\pi_i := \pi|_{U_i}$. The frame f_i induces an isomorphism $U_i \times \mathbb{P}^m \simeq \mathbb{P}_{U_i}(\mathcal{E}|_{U_i})$ over U_i , and a splitting $s_i : \pi_i^*(T_T|_{U_i}) \rightarrow T_X|_{V_i}$ of (9.1) over $V_i := \pi_i^{-1}(U_i)$. For $i, j \in I$, define

$$a_{i,j} := (s_j|_{V_{ij}} \otimes id_{\pi^* \Omega_T^1}|_{V_{ij}} - s_i|_{V_{ij}} \otimes id_{\pi^* \Omega_T^1}|_{V_{ij}})(\pi_i^* t_i|_{V_{ij}} \otimes \pi_i^* t_i^\vee|_{V_{ij}}),$$

where t_i is viewed as a line vector whose entries are local sections of T_T , and t_i^\vee as a column vector. Then $[(a_{i,j})_{i,j}] \in H^1(X, T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1)$ is a cocycle representing the class of (9.1). Write $df_{ij} = (\alpha_{ijkn})_{k,n \in \{0, \dots, m\}}$ with $\alpha_{ijkn} \in H^0(U_{ij}, \Omega_T^1|_{U_{ij}})$ for $0 \leq k, n \leq m$, and set $\bar{df}_{ij} := \left(\alpha_{ijkn} y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \right)_{k,n \in \{0, \dots, m\}}$, where $(y_0 : \dots : y_m)$ are homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^m associated to the frame f_i , and $\alpha_{ijkn} y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \in H^0(V_{ij}, T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1|_{V_{ij}})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j} &= (s_j|_{V_{ij}} \otimes id_{\pi^* \Omega_T^1}|_{V_{ij}} - s_i|_{V_{ij}} \otimes id_{\pi^* \Omega_T^1}|_{V_{ij}})(\pi_i^* t_i|_{V_{ij}} \otimes \pi_i^* t_i^\vee|_{V_{ij}}) \\ &= (s_j|_{V_{ij}}(\pi_i^* t_i|_{V_{ij}}) - s_i|_{V_{ij}}(\pi_i^* t_i|_{V_{ij}})) \otimes \pi_i^* t_i^\vee|_{V_{ij}} \\ &= (-f_j|_{U_{ij}} \cdot \bar{df}_{ij}|_{U_{ij}}) \otimes \pi_i^* t_i^\vee|_{V_{ij}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves our claim.

9.4 (Equivariance for locally free sheaves). Let the notation be as in 9.3. Let \mathcal{W} be an invertible sheaf on T , and $V \in H^0(T, T_T \otimes \mathcal{W})$ a twisted vector field on T . We say that \mathcal{E} is *V-equivariant* if there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\tilde{V} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ lifting the derivation $V : \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ (see [CL77]). By [CL77, Proposition 1.1], \mathcal{E} is *V-equivariant* if and only if $V_* at(\mathcal{E}) \in H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{W})$ vanishes.

Lemma 9.5. *Let T be a smooth variety, and \mathcal{E} a locally free sheaf of rank $m+1 \geq 1$ on T . Set $X := \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{E})$, denote by $\pi : X \rightarrow T$ the natural projection, and by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X . Suppose that there are locally free subsheaves $i : \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow T_{X/T}$ and $j : \mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow T_T$ fitting into an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote by $e \in H^1(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{Q}^*)$ the class of this extension.

- (1) *There exists a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X$ extending $i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow T_{X/Y}$ and $\pi^*j : \pi^*\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \pi^*T_T$ if and only if $i_*e = j^*\bar{at}(\mathcal{E})$ in $H^1(X, T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{Q}^*) \simeq H^1(T, \pi_*T_{X/T} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$.*
- (2) *The set of morphisms of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X$ extending i and π^*j is either empty, or it is a torsor under $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\pi^*\mathcal{Q}, T_{X/T}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))$.*
- (3) *Suppose that $\mathcal{H}(-1) = \pi^*\mathcal{V}$ for some locally free sheaf \mathcal{V} on T . Notice that $i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow T_{X/T}$ induces a map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \pi_*(T_{X/Y}(-1)) \simeq \mathcal{E}^*$. Denote by \bar{e} the image of e under the composite map $H^1(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{Q}^*) \simeq H^1(T, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*) \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$. Then there exists a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X$ extending i and π^*j if and only if $\bar{e} - j^*at(\mathcal{E}) \in H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$ is in the image of the natural map $H^1(T, \mathcal{Q}^*) \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$.*

Proof. Notice that i_*e is the extension class of the lower exact sequence in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/T} & \longrightarrow & T_{X/T} \sqcup_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the left hand square is co-cartesian. Similarly, $j^*(\bar{at}(\mathcal{E}))$ is the extension class of the upper exact sequence in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/T} & \longrightarrow & T_X \times_{\pi^*T_T} \pi^*\mathcal{Q} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^*j \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/T} & \longrightarrow & T_X & \longrightarrow & \pi^*T_T \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the right hand square is cartesian. Thus there exists a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $k : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X$ that fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow k & & \downarrow \pi^*j \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/T} & \longrightarrow & T_X & \longrightarrow & \pi^*T_T \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

if and only if $i_*e = j^*(\bar{at}(\mathcal{E}))$ in $H^1(X, T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{Q}^*) \simeq H^1(T, \pi_*T_{X/T} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$. This proves (1).

Let $k_1, k_2 : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X$ be morphisms of \mathcal{O}_X -modules extending i and π^*j . Then their difference $k_1 - k_2$ lies in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, T_{X/T}) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, T_X)$, and $(k_1 - k_2)|_{\mathcal{H}} \equiv 0$. Conversely, if $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\pi^*\mathcal{Q}, T_{X/T})$, then $k_1 + \varphi \circ p : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X$ extends i and π^*j , where $p : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{Q}$ is the surjective map from above. This proves (2).

For statement (3), observe that $j^*(\bar{at}(\mathcal{E}))$ is the image of $at(\mathcal{E})$ under the composite map

$$H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_T^1) \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*) \rightarrow H^1(T, \pi_*T_{X/T} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*).$$

Moreover, $i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow T_{X/T}$ induces a map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \pi_*(T_{X/Y}(-1)) \simeq \mathcal{E}^*$. The class i_*e is the image of $e \in H^1(\mathcal{H} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{Q}^*) \simeq H^1(T, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$ under the composite map

$$H^1(T, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*) \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*) \rightarrow H^1(T, \pi_*T_{X/T} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$$

since the map $\pi^*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow T_{X/T}$ factors through the natural map $\pi^*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{E}^*(1)$. The cohomology of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \pi_*T_{X/T} \rightarrow 0$ twisted by \mathcal{Q}^* yields the exact sequence

$$H^1(T, \mathcal{Q}^*) \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*) \rightarrow H^1(T, \pi_*T_{X/T} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*).$$

These observations put together prove (3). \square

We return to the problem of classifying locally free sheaves \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^l for which $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$ admits a del Pezzo foliation $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$.

Theorem 9.6. *Let \mathcal{E} be an ample locally free sheaf of rank $m+1 \geq 3$ on \mathbb{P}^l , and set $X := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , and by $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$ the natural projection. Let r be an integer such that $2 \leq r \leq m+1-1$. Then there exists a foliation $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$ of rank r on X such that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r-1)$ if and only if one of the following holds.*

- (1) $l = 1$, $r = 2$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for some ample vector bundle \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\mathcal{K} \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m}$ for any integer a .

- (2) $l = 1, r = 2$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m}$ for some integer $a \geq 1$.
(3) $l = 1, r = 3$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m-1}$ for some integer $a \geq 1$.
(4) $l \geq 2, r = 2$, and there exists a V -equivariant vector bundle \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{P}^l for some $V \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^l, T_{\mathbb{P}^l} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(-1)) \setminus \{0\}$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. First we show that these are necessary conditions. Suppose there exists a foliation $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$ of rank r on X such that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r-1)$. By Theorem 9.2, we know that the possible values for the pair (l, r) are $(1, 2)$, $(1, 3)$ and $(l, 2)$, with $l \geq 2$. Set $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$, and recall from Theorem 9.2 that $\mathcal{H} \simeq (\pi^*\mathcal{V})(1)$, where $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{E}^*$ is a subbundle of rank $r-1$. Moreover if $l \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(-1)$. If $l = 1$, then either $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, or $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$, or $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$. There is an inclusion $j : \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. Finally, let \mathcal{K} be the kernel of the dual map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$, and consider the \mathbb{P}^{m-r+1} -bundle $Z := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$. By Theorem 9.2, \mathcal{F} is the pullback by the linear projection $X/\mathbb{P}^l \dashrightarrow Z/\mathbb{P}^l$ of a foliation on Z induced by an inclusion $q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \subset T_Z$ that lifts $q^*j : q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \hookrightarrow q^*T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$.

Let $at(\mathcal{K}) \in H^1(\mathbb{P}^l, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^l}^1)$ be the Atiyah class of \mathcal{K} . Let $V \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^l, T_{\mathbb{P}^l} \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}))$ be the section associated to $j : \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. By Lemma 9.5, there exists a map $q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \rightarrow T_Z$ lifting q^*j if and only if $j_*at(\mathcal{K}) \in H^1(\mathbb{P}^l, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}))$ is in the image of the natural map $H^1(\mathbb{P}^l, \det(\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^l, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}))$.

If $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, then $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \det(\mathcal{V})) = 0$. Thus there exists $q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \rightarrow T_Z$ lifting $q^*j : q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \hookrightarrow q^*T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ if and only if \mathcal{K} is V -equivariant. If $l \geq 2$, this is case (4) above.

From now on suppose $l = 1$, and write $\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_k)$, with $k = m - r + 1$. In terms of this decomposition we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} at(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_0)) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & at(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_k)) \end{pmatrix} = at(\mathcal{K})$$

$$\in H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) = \begin{pmatrix} H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_0 - a_0) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) & \cdots & H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_0 - a_k) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_k - a_0) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) & \cdots & H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_k - a_k) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\det(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1$, then there exists a map $q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \rightarrow T_Z$ lifting q^*j if and only if $a_0 = \cdots = a_k$. Since \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle, this implies that $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{V}^* \oplus \mathcal{K}$. This is case (2) or (3) above.

Finally, suppose that $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Since \mathcal{E} is ample, we must have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{K}$, and \mathcal{K} must be ample. Suppose that $\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus n}$ for some integer a . Then $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$. Denote by $g : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ the second projection. Then $T_Z \otimes q^*\det(\mathcal{V}) \simeq q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus (q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \otimes g^*T_{\mathbb{P}^{m-1}})$. Thus any nonzero global section of $T_Z \otimes q^*\det(\mathcal{V})$ vanishes along an hypersurface in Z , contradicting the fact that $q^*\det(\mathcal{V})$ is saturated in T_Z .

Conversely, let us show that these are sufficient conditions. Given l, \mathcal{E} and r satisfying one of the conditions above, we will construct a foliation $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq T_{X/\mathbb{P}^l}$ of rank r on X such that $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r-1)$ in steps. First we will find a vector bundle \mathcal{V} of rank $r-1$ on \mathbb{P}^l fitting into an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

and such that there is an inclusion $j : \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. We then set $Z := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$. The exact sequence above induces a rational map $p : X \dashrightarrow Z$ over \mathbb{P}^l , which restricts to a surjective morphism $p_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Z$, where X_0 is the complement in X of the \mathbb{P}^{r-2} -subbundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}^*) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. Note that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$. The next step consists of lifting the inclusion $j : \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ to an inclusion $q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \subset T_Z$. We then check that $q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*)$ is saturated in T_Z , and let \mathcal{F} be the unique saturated subsheaf of T_X extending $dp_0^{-1}(q^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*)) \subset T_{X_0}$. It is a foliation on X satisfying $\det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(r-1)$.

Case (1). Suppose that $l = 1, r = 2$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for some ample vector bundle \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\mathcal{K} \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m}$ for any integer a . We set $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ and let $j : \mathcal{V}^* \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ be the inclusion associated to some $V \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \setminus \{0\}$. Then \mathcal{K} is V -equivariant, and so there exists a map $q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \hookrightarrow T_Z$ lifting q^*j . It remains to show that $q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ is saturated in T_Z . To prove this, by Lemma 9.7, it is enough to show that $q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ is a subbundle of T_Z in codimension 1. Suppose to

the contrary that the map $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow T_Z$ vanishes along an hypersurface Σ in Z . Then the composed map $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow T_Z \rightarrow q^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ vanishes along Σ , and Σ must be a fiber of q . By Lemma 9.5, $\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus n}$ for some $a \geq 1$, contradicting our assumptions.

Case (2). Suppose that $l = 1$, $r = 2$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m}$ for some integer $a \geq 1$. We set $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ and fix an isomorphism $j : \mathcal{V}^* \simeq T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Then $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$, and $q^* j$ lifts to a foliation $q^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset T_Z$.

Case (3). Suppose that $l = 1$, $r = 3$, and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m-1}$ for some integer $a \geq 1$. We set $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ and fix an isomorphism $j : \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \simeq T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Then $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{m-2}$, and $q^* j$ lifts to a foliation $q^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset T_Z$.

Case (4). Suppose that $l \geq 2$, $r = 2$, and there exists a V -equivariant vector bundle \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{P}^l for some $V \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^l, T_{\mathbb{P}^l} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(-1)) \setminus \{0\}$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \rightarrow 0$. We set $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(-1)$ and let $j : \mathcal{V}^* \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ be the inclusion associated to V . Since \mathcal{K} is V -equivariant, there exists a map $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \hookrightarrow T_Z$ lifting $q^* j$. It remains to show that $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1)$ is saturated in T_Z . To prove this, by Lemma 9.7, it is enough to show that $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1)$ is a subbundle of T_Z in codimension 1. Suppose to the contrary that the map $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \rightarrow T_Z$ vanishes along an hypersurface Σ in Z . Then the composed map $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \rightarrow T_Z \rightarrow q^* T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ vanishes along Σ , and $q(\Sigma)$ has codimension 1 in \mathbb{P}^l . This is saying that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ vanishes in codimension 1 in \mathbb{P}^l , which is impossible since $l \geq 2$. \square

Lemma 9.7. *Let X be a normal variety, and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$ coherent sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, with \mathcal{E} locally free and \mathcal{F} torsion-free. Then \mathcal{E} is saturated in \mathcal{F} if and only if \mathcal{E} is a subbundle of \mathcal{F} in codimension 1.*

Proof. To say that \mathcal{E} is saturated in \mathcal{F} is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{E} is torsion-free. To say that \mathcal{E} is a subbundle of \mathcal{F} in codimension 1 is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{E} is locally free in codimension 1. Since X is normal, if \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{E} is torsion-free, then it is locally free in codimension 1. Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{E} is locally free in codimension 1, and let us show that \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{E} is torsion-free. Let f be a nonzero local section of \mathcal{O}_X and s a local section of \mathcal{F} such that fs is a local section of \mathcal{E} . Since \mathcal{F} is torsion-free, s is a rational section of \mathcal{E} . By assumption, s is regular in codimension 1. Since X is normal and \mathcal{E} is locally free, it follows that s is a regular local section of \mathcal{E} . \square

Remark 9.8. Lemma 9.7 fails to be true if \mathcal{F} is not torsion-free. Let $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ where \mathcal{T} is a torsion sheaf whose support has codimension ≥ 2 in X . Then \mathcal{E} is a subbundle of \mathcal{F} in codimension 1 but $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}$ is a torsion sheaf.

Lemma 9.9. *Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of coherent sheaves on a noetherian integral Cohen-Macaulay scheme X . Suppose that \mathcal{F} is reflexive, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{Q}^{**} are locally free, and \mathcal{Q} is locally free in codimension 2. Then \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{Q} are locally free.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there is an open subset $U \subset X$, with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 3$, such that $\mathcal{H}|_U$ and $\mathcal{Q}|_U$ are locally free. Moreover $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*$ is locally free, and hence a Cohen-Macaulay sheaf. So we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*) \simeq H^1(U, (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)|_U)$. Therefore, the extension class of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}|_U \rightarrow 0$ on U yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{**} \rightarrow 0$ on X such that $\mathcal{F}'|_U \simeq \mathcal{F}|_U$. Since X is reduced and both \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{Q}^{**} are locally free, so is \mathcal{F}' . Since \mathcal{F} is reflexive, $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}'$ by [Har80, Proposition 1.6]. \square

Our next goal is to construct del Pezzo foliations \mathcal{F} on projective space bundles $X \rightarrow T$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{X/T}$. These can be viewed as families of del Pezzo foliations on projective spaces.

Construction 9.10. Let T be a positive dimensional smooth projective variety, \mathcal{E} an ample locally free sheaf of rank $m + 1 \geq 2$ on T , and set $X := \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , and by $\pi : X \rightarrow T$ the natural projection. Let r be an integer such that $2 \leq r \leq m - 1$.

We explain how to construct del Pezzo foliation \mathcal{F} of rank r on X such that $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_{X/T}$.

Suppose that there are locally free sheaves \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{K} on T , of rank $r - 1 \geq 1$ and $m - r + 2 \geq 3$, respectively, fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, \mathcal{Q} is ample. Denote by $e \in H^1(T, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$ the class of this extension.

Set $Z := \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow T$, denote by $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ the tautological line bundle on Z , and by $q : Z \rightarrow T$ the natural projection. Recall the pushed-forward Euler's sequence:

$$(9.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow q_*T_{Z/T} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $c : Y \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of X along $L := \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{Q})$ where the inclusion $L \subset X$ is induced by the surjection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. Let $E \subset Y$ be the exceptional divisor of c . By Leray's spectral sequence, there is a natural isomorphism $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{Q}^*) \simeq H^1(T, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*)$. Let \mathcal{H} be the vector bundle on Z associated to the image of e in $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{Q}^*)$. Then \mathcal{H} has rank r , $q_*\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E}$, and there is a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & q^*\mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & q^*\mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & q^*\mathcal{Q} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & q^*\mathcal{Q} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Denote by $g : \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow Z$ the natural projection, and by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{H})}(1)$ the tautological line bundle. Observe that there is an isomorphism $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{H})$ that fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y \simeq \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{H}) & \\ c \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow g \\ X = \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{E}) & & Z = \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{H}), \\ & \pi \searrow & \swarrow q \\ & T & \end{array}$$

where c is induced by the surjection

$$c^*\mathcal{E} = g^*(q^*\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow g^*\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{H})}(1).$$

In order to construct a del Pezzo foliation \mathcal{F} on X we make the following assumptions.

- (1) There is an injective map $\varphi : \det(\mathcal{Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{H})$ (equivalently, $h^0(T, \det(\mathcal{Q})^* \otimes \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{H})) \neq 0$).
- (2) The inclusion $q^*\det(\mathcal{Q}) \subset T_{Z/T} \subset T_Z$ induced by φ via (9.2) defines a foliation on Z . By Lemma 9.7 this is equivalent to requiring that the map $q^*\det(\mathcal{Q}) \hookrightarrow T_{Z/T}$ is nonzero in codimension 1.

We then set $\mathcal{F}_Y := dg^{-1}(q^*\det(\mathcal{Q})) \subset T_Y$, and $\mathcal{F} := df(\mathcal{F}_Y) \subset T_{X/T} \subset T_X$.

For any $t \in T$, let $v_t \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_t)$ be an endomorphism induced by φ at $t \in T$. Then the foliation on $X_t \simeq \mathbb{P}^m$ induced by \mathcal{F} is the linear pullback of a foliation \mathcal{G}_t on $Z_t \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m-r+1}$ induced by the global holomorphic vector field \vec{v}_t associated to v_t . One can prove that the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{G}_t is a rational curve C meeting the singular locus of \mathcal{G}_t at a single point. Moreover, either this point is a cusp on C , or \vec{v}_t viewed as a local vector field on C vanishes with multiplicity at least 2.

Once assumption (1) above is fulfilled, we investigate when assumption (2) holds.

First we claim that v_t is a nilpotent endomorphism for any $t \in T$. Indeed, the composite map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \det(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{H})^{\otimes k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_T \\ \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_k & \longmapsto & \varphi(\alpha_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(\alpha_k) & \longmapsto & \text{Tr}(\varphi(\alpha_1) \circ \cdots \circ \varphi(\alpha_k)) \end{array}$$

is zero since $\det(\mathcal{Q})$ is ample. Thus $\text{Tr}(\underbrace{v_t \circ \cdots \circ v_t}_{k \text{ times}}) = 0$ for any $k \geq 1$, showing that v_t is nilpotent.

Notice that $q^*\det(\mathcal{Q})$ is saturated in $T_{Z/T}$ if and only if the following holds. For a general point $t \in T$, v_t has rank ≥ 2 , and there exists an open subset $T_0 \subset T$, with $\text{codim}_T(T \setminus T_0) \geq 2$, such that v_t has rank ≥ 1 for any point $t \in T_0$.

Finally, observe that the assumptions are fulfilled if \mathcal{H} contains $\det(\mathcal{Q}) \oplus \det(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes 2} \oplus \det(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes 3}$ as a direct summand, and $\det(\mathcal{Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\det(\mathcal{Q}) \oplus \det(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes 2} \oplus \det(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes 3})$ is associated to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We end this section by addressing Fano Pfaff fields on projective space bundles.

Proposition 9.11. *Let T be a smooth projective variety, \mathcal{E} a locally free sheaf of rank $m + 1 \geq 2$ on T , and set $X := \mathbb{P}_T(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , and by $\pi : X \rightarrow T$ the natural projection. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer.*

- (1) *If $r \geq m + 3$ then $h^0(X, \wedge^r T_X(-r + 1)) = 0$.*
- (2) *If $h^0(X, \wedge^r T_X(-r + 1)) \neq 0$, then $h^0(X, \wedge^{r-s} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \otimes \pi^*(\wedge^s T_T)) \neq 0$ for some $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. If $h^0(X, \wedge^{r-2} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \otimes \pi^*(\wedge^2 T_T)) \neq 0$ then $r = m + 2 \geq 3$.*
- (3) *If \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle and $h^0(X, \wedge^{r-2} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \otimes \pi^*(\wedge^2 T_T)) \neq 0$, then either $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $r - 2 = m = 1$, or $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $r - 2 = m = 2$, or $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^l$ ($l \geq 2$) and $r - 2 = m = 1$.*
- (4) *If \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle, $l = \dim(T) \geq 1$, $h^0(X, \wedge^{r-1} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \otimes \pi^* T_T) \neq 0$ and $\rho(T) = 1$, then $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^l$ and $r \leq 3$.*

Proof. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X/T} \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow \pi^* T_T \rightarrow 0$$

yields a filtration

$$\wedge^r T_X = F_0 \supset F_1 \supset \cdots \supset F_{r+1} = 0$$

such that

$$F_i/F_{i+1} \simeq \wedge^i T_{X/T} \otimes \pi^*(\wedge^{r-i} T_T).$$

By Bott's formulae, $h^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \wedge^i T_{\mathbb{P}^m}(-r + 1)) = 0$ if either $0 \leq i \leq r - 3$ and $r - 2 \leq m$, or $i = r - 2$ and $r - 2 < m$. So in these cases we have $h^0(X, (F_i/F_{i+1})(-r + 1)) = 0$, proving (1) and (2).

From now on suppose that \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle. If $h^0(X, \wedge^{r-2} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \otimes \pi^*(\wedge^2 T_T)) \neq 0$, then $r - 2 = m \geq 1$, $r \geq 3$, and $\wedge^{r-2} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \simeq \pi^* \det(\mathcal{E}^*)$. Hence $h^0(T, \wedge^2 T_T \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}^*)) \neq 0$. By [DP10], either $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $r - 2 = m = 1$, or $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $r - 2 = m = 2$, or $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^l$ ($l \geq 2$) and $r - 2 = m = 1$, proving (3).

Now suppose that $\rho(T) = 1$, and $h^0(X, \wedge^{r-1} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \otimes \pi^* T_T) \neq 0$. Euler's sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E}^*(1) \rightarrow T_{X/T} \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^{r-2} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \rightarrow \wedge^{r-1} \pi^*(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow \wedge^{r-1} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Bott's formulae, $h^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \wedge^{r-2} T_{\mathbb{P}^m}(-r + 1)) = 0$ and $h^1(\mathbb{P}^m, \wedge^{r-2} T_{\mathbb{P}^m}(-r + 1)) = 0$. Hence $\pi_*(\wedge^{r-2} T_{X/T}(-r + 1)) = 0$ and $R^1 \pi_*(\wedge^{r-2} T_{X/T}(-r + 1)) = 0$. Thus, by pushing forward by π the above exact sequence, we conclude that $\wedge^{r-1} \mathcal{E}^* \simeq \pi_*(\wedge^{r-1} T_{X/T}(-r + 1))$. The projection formula then yields an isomorphism

$$H^0(T, \wedge^{r-1} \mathcal{E}^* \otimes T_T) \simeq H^0(X, \wedge^{r-1} T_{X/T}(-r + 1) \otimes \pi^* T_T) \neq \{0\}.$$

By [AKP08, Corollary 4.3], we must have $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^l$.

A nonzero section of $\wedge^{r-1} \mathcal{E}^* \otimes T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$ yields a nonzero map $\alpha : \wedge^{r-1} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^l}$. Let $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^l$ be a general line, and write $\mathcal{E}|_\ell \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_m)$, with $1 \leq a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_m$. Then α induces a nonzero map

$$\wedge^{r-1} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_m)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus l-1}.$$

Thus $r - 1 \leq a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-2} \leq 2$, proving (4). \square

REFERENCES

- [ADK08] Carolina Araujo, Stéphane Druel, and Sándor J. Kovács, *Cohomological characterizations of projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, Invent. Math. **174** (2008), no. 2, 233–253. MR 2439607 (2009j:14021)
- [AKP08] Marian Aprodu, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell, *Galois coverings and endomorphisms of projective varieties*, Math. Z. **260** (2008), no. 2, 431–449. MR 2429621 (2009m:14017)
- [Ara06] Carolina Araujo, *Rational curves of minimal degree and characterizations of projective spaces*, Math. Ann. **335** (2006), no. 4, 937–951.
- [Ati57] M. F. Atiyah, *Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 181–207. MR 0086359 (19,172c)
- [BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468. MR 2601039 (2011f:14023)
- [BLR95] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud, *Formal and rigid geometry. IV. The reduced fibre theorem*, Invent. Math. **119** (1995), no. 2, 361–398. MR 1312505 (97e:11075)

- [BM01] F. Bogomolov and M. McQuillan, *Rational curves on foliated varieties*, IHES preprint, 2001.
- [Bru04] M. Brunella, *Birational geometry of foliations*, IMPA Mathematical Publications, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 2004. MR 2114696 (2005k:32037)
- [BSW92] Mauro C. Beltrametti, Andrew J. Sommese, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *Results on varieties with many lines and their applications to adjunction theory*, Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1507, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 16–38. MR 1178717 (93i:14007)
- [Cam92] F. Campana, *Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **25** (1992), no. 5, 539–545.
- [Cam04] Frédéric Campana, *Orbifolds, special varieties and classification theory*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 3, 499–630. MR 2097416 (2006c:14013)
- [CL77] J. B. Carrell and D. I. Lieberman, *Vector fields and Chern numbers*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), no. 3, 263–273. MR 0435456 (55 #8416)
- [DC05] Julie Déserti and Dominique Cerveau, *Feuilletages et actions de groupes sur les espaces projectifs*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (2005), no. 103, vi+124 pp. (2006). MR 2200857 (2007b:37110)
- [DP10] Stéphane Druel and Matthieu Paris, *Characterizations of projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, Preprint [arXiv:1012.5238](https://arxiv.org/abs/1012.5238), 2010.
- [Dru99] Stéphane Druel, *Structures de Poisson sur les variétés algébriques de dimension 3*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **127** (1999), no. 2, 229–253. MR MR1708651 (2000h:14013)
- [Dru04] ———, *Caractérisation de l'espace projectif*, Manuscripta Math. **115** (2004), no. 1, 19–30. MR MR2092774 (2005k:14023)
- [EK03] Eduardo Esteves and Steven L. Kleiman, *Bounding solutions of Pfaff equations*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 8, 3771–3793, Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman. MR 2007384 (2004m:32061)
- [Fle81] Hubert Flenner, *Divisorenklassengruppen quasihomogener Singularitäten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **328** (1981), 128–160. MR 636200 (83a:13009)
- [Fuj75] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized varieties with Δ -genera zero*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **22** (1975), 103–115.
- [Fuj82a] Takao Fujita, *Classification of projective varieties of Δ -genus one*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **58** (1982), no. 3, 113–116. MR 664549 (83g:14003)
- [Fuj82b] ———, *On polarized varieties of small Δ -genera*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **34** (1982), no. 3, 319–341. MR 676113 (85e:14013)
- [Fuj87] ———, *On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 167–178. MR 946238 (89d:14006)
- [GHS03] T. Graber, J. Harris, and J. Starr, *Families of rationally connected varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 1, 57–67 (electronic).
- [GKK10] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Sándor J. Kovács, *Extension theorems for differential forms and Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing on log canonical varieties*, Compos. Math. **146** (2010), no. 1, 193–219. MR 2581247
- [GKKP10] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, Sándor J. Kovács, and Thomas Peternell, *Differential forms on log canonical spaces*, Preprint [arXiv:1003.2913v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.2913v3), 2010.
- [Gro66] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 28, 255. MR MR0217086 (36 #178)
- [Har71] Robin Hartshorne, *Ample vector bundles on curves*, Nagoya Math. J. **43** (1971), 73–89. MR 0292847 (45 #1929)
- [Har80] ———, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), no. 2, 121–176. MR 597077 (82b:14011)
- [HL97] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [HM04] J.-M. Hwang and N. Mok, *Birationality of the tangent map for minimal rational curves*, Asian J. Math. **8** (2004), no. 1, 51–64.
- [HN75] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan, *On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves*, Math. Ann. **212** (1975), 215–248. MR MR0364254 (51 #509)
- [Keb02] Stefan Kebekus, *Families of singular rational curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **11** (2002), no. 2, 245–256.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. MR 1658959 (2000b:14018)
- [KMM92] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, and S. Mori, *Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), no. 3, 765–779.
- [KO73] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.
- [Kol96] János Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [Kol07] ———, *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 166, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007. MR 2289519 (2008f:14026)
- [KSCT07] Stefan Kebekus, Luis Solá Conde, and Matei Toma, *Rationally connected foliations after Bogomolov and McQuillan*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 1, 65–81. MR 2257320 (2007m:14047)
- [LPT11a] Frank Loray, Jorge Vitório Pereira, and Frédéric Touzet, *Foliations with trivial canonical bundle on fano 3-folds*, Preprint [arXiv:1112.3871v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3871v1), 2011.
- [LPT11b] ———, *Singular foliations with trivial canonical class*, Preprint [arXiv:1107.1538v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.1538v3), 2011.

- [McQ08] Michael McQuillan, *Canonical models of foliations*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008), no. 3, part 2, 877–1012. MR 2435846 (2009k:14026)
- [Miy87] Yoichi Miyaoka, *Deformations of a morphism along a foliation and applications*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 245–268. MR MR927960 (89e:14011)
- [Miy93] ———, *Relative deformations of morphisms and applications to fibre spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **42** (1993), no. 1, 1–7.
- [MR82] V. B. Mehta and A. Ramanathan, *Semistable sheaves on projective varieties and their restriction to curves*, Math. Ann. **258** (1981/82), no. 3, 213–224.
- [Occ06] Gianluca Occhetta, *A characterization of products of projective spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **49** (2006), no. 2, 270–280. MR 2226250 (2007e:14063)
- [OSS80] Christian Okonek, Michael Schneider, and Heinz Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 3, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1980. MR 561910 (81b:14001)
- [Sei66] A. Seidenberg, *Derivations and integral closure*, Pacific J. Math. **16** (1966), 167–173.
- [She92] *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1992, Papers from the Second Summer Seminar on Algebraic Geometry held at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1991, Astérisque No. 211 (1992). MR 1225842 (94f:14013)
- [Wah83] J. M. Wahl, *A cohomological characterization of \mathbf{P}^n* , Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 2, 315–322.

CAROLINA ARAUJO: IMPA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, RIO DE JANEIRO, 22460-320, BRAZIL
E-mail address: caraujo@impa.br

STÉPHANE DRUEL: INSTITUT FOURIER, UMR 5582 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE 1, BP 74, 38402 SAINT MARTIN D'HÈRES, FRANCE
E-mail address: druel@ujf-grenoble.fr