## **Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized in SpringerLink**



### **Towards The Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture**

**Jean-Pierre Demailly**

*In memory of M. Salah Baouendi*

<sup>1</sup> **Abstract** The Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture stipulates that for every projective

<sup>2</sup> variety *X* of general type over C, there exists a proper algebraic subvariety of *X*

3 containing all non constant entire curves  $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ . Using the formalism of

<sup>4</sup> directed varieties, we prove here that this assertion holds true in case *X* satisfies a <sup>5</sup> strong general type condition that is related to a ceain jet-semistitoperty of the tangent

 $\epsilon$  bundle  $T_X$ . We then give a sufficient criterion for the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of an

<sup>7</sup> arbitrary directed variety (*X*, *V* ).

<sup>8</sup> **Keywords** Projective algebraic variety · Variety of general type · Entire curve ·

<sup>9</sup> Jet bundle · Semple tower · Green-griffiths-lang conjecture · Holomorphic morse

<sup>10</sup> inequality · Semistable vector bundle · Kobayashi hyperbolic

<sup>11</sup> **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 14C30 · 32J25; Secondary  $12 \quad 14C20$ 

#### <sup>13</sup> **1 Introduction**

**Example 12**<br> *In memory of M. Salah Baouendi*<br> *In memory of M. Salah Baouendi*<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNCORRECTED**<br> **UNC** <sup>14</sup> The goal of this paper is to study the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture, as stated in <sup>15</sup> [\[7,](#page-19-0) 10]. It is useful to work in a more general context and consider the category of <sup>16</sup> directed projective manifolds (or varieties). Since the basic problems we deal with <sup>17</sup> are birationally invariant, the varieties under consideration can always be replaced <sup>18</sup> by nonsingular models. A directed projective manifold is a pair  $(X, V)$  where  $X$  is a 19 projective manifold equipped with an analytic linear subspace  $V \subset T_X$ , i.e. a closed 20 irreducible complex analytic subset *V* of the total space of  $T_X$ , such that each fiber

J.-P. Demailly Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble-Alpes, BP74, 100 Rue des Maths, 38402 Saint-martin D'héres, France e-mail: jean-pierre.demailly@ujf-grenoble.fr

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

A. Baklouti et al. (eds.), *Analysis and Geometry*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 127, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-17443-3\_8

 $v_x = V \cap T_{X,x}$  is a complex vector space [If *X* is not irreducible, *V* should rather be <sup>22</sup> assumed to be irreducible merely over each component of *X*, but we will hereafter assume that our varieties are irreducible]. A morphism  $\Phi : (X, V) \to (Y, W)$ 24 in the category of directed manifolds is an analytic map  $\Phi : X \to Y$  such that  $\Phi_* V \subset W$ . We refer to the case *V* = *T<sub>X</sub>* as being the *absolute case*, and to the case  $V = T_{X/S} = \text{Ker } d\pi$  for a fibration  $\pi : X \to S$ , as being the *relative case*; *V* may also be taken to be the tangent space to the leaves of a singular analytic foliation also be taken to be the tangent space to the leaves of a singular analytic foliation 28 on *X*, or maybe even a non integrable linear subspace of  $T_X$ .

<sup>29</sup> We are especially interested in *entire curves* that are tangent to *V*, namely non 30 constant holomorphic morphisms  $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$  of directed manifolds. In 31 the absolute case, these are just arbitrary entire curves  $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ . The Green-<sup>32</sup> Griffiths-Lang conjecture, in its strong form, stipulates

<sup>33</sup> **1.1 GGL conjecture** Let *X* be a projective variety of general type. Then there exists 34 a proper algebraic variety  $Y \subsetneq X$  such that every entire curve  $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$  satisfies 35  $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$ .

<sup>36</sup> [The weaker form would state that entire curves are algebraically degenerate, so that  $s^7$  *f* ( $\mathbb{C}$ )  $\subset Y_f \subsetneq X$  where  $Y_f$  might depend on *f* ]. The smallest admissible algebraic 38 set  $Y \subset X$  is by definition the *entire curve locus* of X, defined as the Zariski closure

$$
^{39}
$$

$$
\text{ECL}(X) = \overline{\bigcup_{f} f(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{Zar}}.
$$
 (1.1)

**P**,  $U \subset W$ . We refer to the case  $V = T_X$  as being the *abiolute case*, and to the  $T \leq T_X$  as Kerd and to the Heraltion  $\pi : X \to S$ , as being the *relative case*;  $V = T_X$  and  $\pi$  box  $\pi$ , or may be vera for a fibration  $\pi$ <sup>40</sup> If *X* ⊂  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}$  is defined over a number field  $\mathbb{K}_{0}$  (i.e. by polynomial equations with 41 equations with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}_0$  and  $Y = \text{ECL}(X)$ , it is expected that for every 42 number field  $\mathbb{K} \supset \mathbb{K}_0$  the set of  $\mathbb{K}$ -points in  $X(\mathbb{K}) \setminus Y$  is finite, and that this property 43 characterizes  $\text{ECL}(X)$  as the smallest algebraic subset Y of X that has the above 44 property for all  $\mathbb{K}$  [10]. This conjectural arithmetical statement would be a vast <sup>45</sup> generalization of the Mordell-Faltings theorem, and is one of the strong motivations <sup>46</sup> to study the geometric GGL conjecture as a first step.

<span id="page-2-0"></span> **1.2 Problem** (*generalized GGL conjecture*) Let (*X*, *V* ) be a projective directed manifold. Find geometric conditions on *V* ensuring that all entire curves *f* :  $(C, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$  are contained in a proper algebraic subvariety  $Y \subsetneq X$ . Does this hold when  $(X, V)$  is of general type, in the sense that the canonical sheaf  $K_V$  is  $51$  big ?

 $52$  As above, we define the entire curve locus set of a pair  $(X, V)$  to be the smallest 53 admissible algebraic set  $Y \subset X$  in the above problem, i.e.

$$
ECL(X, V) = \overline{\bigcup_{f:(\mathbb{C},T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X,V)} \mathcal{I}^{Zar}}.
$$
 (1.2)

55 We say that  $(X, V)$  is *Brody hyperbolic* if  $ECL(X, V) = \emptyset$ ; as is well-known, this <sup>56</sup> is equivalent to Kobayashi hyperbolicity whenever *X* is compact.

Towards The Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture 3

In case *V* has no singularities, the *canonical sheaf K<sub>V</sub>* is defined to be  $(\det O(V))^*$ 58 where  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  is the sheaf of holomorphic sections of  $V$ , but in general this naive<br>59 definition would not work. Take for instance a generic pencil of elliptic curves <sup>59</sup> definition would not work. Take for instance a generic pencil of elliptic curves <sup>60</sup>  $\lambda P(z) + \mu Q(z) = 0$  of degree 3 in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ , and the linear space *V* consisting of the through  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  defined by  $z \mapsto Q(z)/P(z)$  $\mathbb{R}^3$  tangents to the fibers of the rational map  $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$  defined by  $z \mapsto Q(z)/P(z)$ .  $62$  Then *V* is given by

$$
^{63}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{as} & 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(T_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) \xrightarrow{PdQ-QdP} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}(6) \otimes \mathcal{J}_S \longrightarrow 0\n\end{array}
$$

**EXECUTE:** The state of the filtrenal map  $\mathbb{F}_C^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_C^1$  defined by  $z \mapsto Q(z)$ <br>
then V is given by<br>  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(T_{\mathbb{F}_C^2}) \xrightarrow{PdQ-OdP} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_C^2}(6) \otimes \mathcal{J}_S \longrightarrow 0$  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(T_{\mathbb{F}_C^2}) \xrightarrow{PdQ-OdP} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_C^2}(6) \otimes \mathcal{J}_S \longrightarrow 0$  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(T_{\mathbb{F}_C^2}) \xrightarrow{PdQ-OdP} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_C^2}(6) \otimes \mathcal{J}_S \longrightarrow 0$ <br>
there  $S = \text{Sing}(V)$  consists of the 9 64 where  $S = \text{Sing}(V)$  consists of the 9 points  $\{P(z) = 0\} \cap \{Q(z) = 0\}$ , and 65  $\mathcal{J}_S$  is the corresponding ideal sheaf of *S*. Since det  $\mathcal{O}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = \mathcal{O}(3)$ , we see that 66 (det( $O(V)$ <sup>\*</sup> =  $O(3)$  is ample, thus Problem 1.2 would not have a positive answer (all leaves are elliptic or singular rational curves and thus covered by entire curves). <sup>67</sup> (all leaves are elliptic or singular rational curves and thus covered by entire curves). <sup>68</sup> An even more "degenerate" example is obtained with a generic pencil of conics, in which case  $(\det(\mathcal{O}(V))^* = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $\#S = 4$ .

 If we want to get a positive answer to Problem 1.2, it is therefore indispensable to give a definition of  $K_V$  that incorporates in a suitable way the singularities of V;  $\tau$ <sup>2</sup> this will be done in Definition 2.1 (see also Proposition 2.2). The goal is then to give a positive answer to Problem 1.2 under some possibly more restrictive conditions for the pair  $(X, V)$ . These conditions will be expressed in terms of the tower of Semple jet bundles

$$
76 \t (X_k, V_k) \to (X_{k-1}, V_{k-1}) \to \cdots \to (X_1, V_1) \to (X_0, V_0) := (X, V) \t (1.3)
$$

 $77$  which we define more precisely in Sect. 2, following [1]. It is constructed inductively <sup>78</sup> by setting *Xk* = *P*(*Vk*<sup>−</sup>1) (projective bundle of *lines* of *Vk*−1), and all *Vk* have the 79 same rank  $r = \text{rank } V$ , so that dim  $X_k = n + k(r - 1)$  where  $n = \dim X$ . Entire <sup>80</sup> curve loci have their counterparts for all stages of the Semple tower, namely, one can 81 define

$$
82\\
$$

$$
ECL_k(X, V) = \overline{\bigcup_{f:(\mathbb{C},T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X,V)} f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{Zar}}.
$$
\n(1.4)

where  $f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X_k, V_k)$  is the *k*-jet of f. These are by definition algebraic 84 subvarieties of *X<sub>k</sub>*, and if we denote by  $\pi_{k,\ell}: X_k \to X_\ell$  the natural projection from <br>85 *X<sub>k</sub>* to *X<sub>e</sub>*. 0 < *k* < *k*, we get immediately  $X_k$  to  $X_\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ , we get immediately

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\pi_{k,\ell}(\mathrm{ECL}_k(X, V)) = \mathrm{ECL}_\ell(X, V), \quad \mathrm{ECL}_0(X, V) = \mathrm{ECL}(X, V). \tag{1.5}
$$

 $\epsilon_{\rm F}$  Let  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$  be the tautological line bundle over  $X_k$  associated with the projective 88 structure. We define the  $k$ -stage Green-Griffiths locus of  $(X, V)$  to be

$$
\text{GG}_k(X, V) = (X_k \setminus \Delta_k) \cap \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \text{base locus of } \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* A^{-1} \right) \tag{1.6}
$$

where *A* is any ample line bundle on *X* and  $\Delta_k = \bigcup_{2 \leq \ell \leq k} \pi_{k,\ell}^{-1}(D_\ell)$  is the union of "vertical divisors" (see Sect 2; the vertical divisors play no role and have to be 91 of "vertical divisors" (see Sect. [2;](#page-6-2) the vertical divisors play no role and have to be 92 removed in this context). Clearly,  $GG_k(X, V)$  does not depend on the choice of A. 93 The basic vanishing theorem for entire curves (cf.  $[1, 7, 16]$  $[1, 7, 16]$  $[1, 7, 16]$  $[1, 7, 16]$  $[1, 7, 16]$ ) asserts that every entire 94 curve  $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$  satisfies all differential equations  $P(f) = 0$  arising from sections  $P \in H^0(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* A^{-1})$ , hence

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
{}_{96}\qquad \qquad \mathsf{ECL}_k(X, V) \subset \mathsf{GG}_k(X, V). \tag{1.7}
$$

<sup>97</sup> (For this, one uses the fact that  $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C})$  is not contained in any component of  $\Delta_k$ , 98 cf.  $[1]$ ). It is therefore natural to define the global Green-Griffiths locus of  $(X, V)$  to be

$$
GG(X, V) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_{k,0} \left( GG_k(X, V) \right). \tag{1.8}
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span> $100$  By  $(1.5)$  and  $(1.7)$  we infer that

101 **ECL(***X*, *V*)  $\subset$  GG(*X*, *V*). (1.9)

<span id="page-4-2"></span><sup>102</sup> The main result of [4] (Theorem 2.37 and Corollary 4.4) implies the following useful <sup>103</sup> information:

 **1.3 Theorem** *Assume that* (*X*, *V* ) *is of "general type", i.e. that the canonical sheaf K<sub>V</sub> is big on X. Then there exists an integer*  $k_0$  *such that*  $GG_k(X, V)$  *is a proper algebraic subset of*  $X_k$  *for*  $k \geq k_0$  [*though*  $\pi_{k,0}(GG_k(X, V))$  *might still be equal to*  $X$  *for all*  $k$  ]. *X for all k* ]*.*

108 In fact, if *F* is an invertible sheaf on *X* such that  $K_V \otimes F$  is big, the probabilistic <sup>109</sup> estimates of [4, Corollarys 2.38 and 4.4] produce sections of

$$
1\\1\\0
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{m}{kr}\Big(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k}\Big)F\Big) \tag{1.10}
$$

111 for  $m \gg k \gg 1$ . The (long and involved) proof uses a curvature computation and <sup>112</sup> singular holomorphic Morse inequalities to show that the line bundles involved in  $113$  (0.11) are big on  $X_k$  for  $k \gg 1$ . One applies this to  $F = A^{-1}$  with *A* ample on *X* to 114 produce sections and conclude that  $GG_k(X, V) \subsetneq X_k$ .

urve  $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$  satisfies all differential equations  $P(f) = 0$  a<br>
com sections  $P \in H^0(X_k, O_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* A^{-1})$  $P \in H^0(X_k, O_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* A^{-1})$  $P \in H^0(X_k, O_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* A^{-1})$ , hence<br>  $\text{EC1}_d(X, V) \subset \text{GG}_k(X, V)$ .<br>
For this, one uses the fact that  $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C})$  is not co 115 Thanks to (1.9), the GGL conjecture is satisfied whenever  $GG(X, V) \subsetneq X$ . By <sup>116</sup> [\[5\]](#page-19-5), this happens for instance in the absolute case when *X* is a generic hypersurface <sup>117</sup> of degree  $d \ge 2^{n^5}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  (see also [13] for better bounds in low dimensions, and <sup>118</sup> [\[14,](#page-19-7) 15]). However, as already mentioned in [10], very simple examples show that 119 one can have  $GG(X, V) = X$  even when  $(X, V)$  is of general type, and this already 120 occurs in the absolute case as soon as dim  $X \geq 2$ . A typical example is a product of <sup>121</sup> directed manifolds

$$
122\\
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
(X, V) = (X', V') \times (X'', V''), \qquad V = pr'^*V' \oplus pr''^*V''.
$$
 (1.11)

The absolute case  $V = T_X$ ,  $V' = T_{X'}$ ,  $V'' = T_{X''}$  on a product of curves is the simplest instance. It is then easy to check that  $GG(X, V) = X$ , cf. (3.2). Diverio and Rousseau [\[6\]](#page-19-9) have given many more such examples, including the case of in-126 decomposable varieties  $(X, T_X)$ , e.g. Hilbert modular surfaces, or more generally 127 compact quotients of bounded symmetric domains of rank  $> 2$ . The problem here is the failure of some sort of stability condition that is introduced in Sect. 4. This leads 129 to a somewhat technical concept of more manageable directed pairs  $(X, V)$  that we call *strongly of general type*, see Definition 4.1. Our main result can be stated

<span id="page-5-0"></span> **1.4 Theorem** (partial solution to the generalized GGL conjecture) *Let* (*X*, *V* ) *be a directed pair that is strongly of general type. Then the Green-Griffiths-Lang con- jecture holds true for* (*X*, *V* )*, namely* ECL(*X*, *V* ) *is a proper algebraic subvariety of X.*

% ompact quotients of bounded symmetric domains of rank  $\geq$  2. The problem in failing conducts of stability condition that is introduced in Sect.4. This and of stability condition that is interded and  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$  a 135 The proof proceeds through a complicated induction on  $n = \dim X$  and  $k =$  rank *V*, which is the main reason why we have to introduce directed varieties, even in the absolute case. An interesting feature of this result is that the conclusion on  $\text{ECL}(X, V)$  is reached without having to know anything about the Green-Griffiths 139 locus  $GG(X, V)$ , even a posteriori. Nevetheless, this is not yet enough to confirm 140 the GGL conjecture. Our hope is that pairs  $(X, V)$  that are of general type without being strongly of general type—and thus exhibit some sort of "jet-instability"— can be investigated by different methods, e.g. by the diophantine approximation techniques of McQuillan [11]. However, Theorem 1.4 provides a sufficient criterion for Kobayashi hyperbolicity [8, 9], thanks to the following concept of algebraic jet-hyperbolicity.

146 **1.5 Definition** A directed variety  $(X, V)$  will be said to be algebraically jet-147 hyperbolic if the induced directed variety structure  $(Z, W)$  on every irreducible 148 algebraic variety *Z* of *X* such that rank  $W > 1$  has a desingularization that is strongly of general type [see Sects. 3 and 5 for the definition of induced directed structures and further details]. We also say that a projective manifold *X* is algebraically jet-151 hyperbolic if  $(X, T_X)$  is.

 In this context, Theorem 1.4 yields the following connection between algebraic jet-hyperbolicity and the analytic concept of Kobayashi hyperbolicity.

 **1.6 Theorem** *Let* (*X*, *V* ) *be a directed variety structure on a projective manifold X. Assume that* (*X*, *V* ) *is algebraically jet-hyperbolic. Then* (*X*, *V* ) *is Kobayashi hyperbolic.*

 I would like to thank Simone Diverio and Erwan Rousseau for very stimulating discussions on these questions. I am grateful to Mihai P˘aun for an invitation at KIAS (Seoul) in August 2014, during which further very fruitful exchanges took place, and

#### <span id="page-6-2"></span><sup>161</sup> **2 Semple Jet Bundles and Associated Canonical Sheaves**

**EVALUATION CONST[R](#page-19-4)ANT CONSTRANT CONSTRANT CONDUP (TO THE AND THEORY CONDUPTION CONDUPT AND CONDUPTED THE AND MONDUPTON CONDUCT THE AND THE CONDUPTION CONDUPTION CONDUPTION CONDUPTION CONDUPTION CONDUPTION (CONDUPTION COND** 162 Let  $(X, V)$  be a directed projective manifold and  $r = \text{rank }V$ , that is, the dimension of 163 generic fibers. Then *V* is actually a holomorphic subbundle of  $T_X$  on the complement  $X \setminus Sing(V)$  of a certain minimal analytic set  $Sing(V) \subsetneq X$  of codimension  $\geq 2$ , 165 called hereafter the singular set of *V*. If  $\mu : \hat{X} \to X$  is a proper modification  $\hat{X}$  is a composition of blow-ups with smooth centers say) we get a directed manifold (a composition of blow-ups with smooth centers, say), we get a directed manifold <sup>167</sup>  $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{V})$  by taking  $\widehat{V}$  to be the closure of  $\mu_*^{-1}(V')$ , where  $V' = V_{|X'}$  is the restriction of *V* over a Zariski open set  $X' \subset X \setminus$  Sing(*V*) such that  $\mu : \mu^{-1}(X') \to X'$  is a of *V* over a Zariski open set  $X' \subset X \setminus Sing(V)$  such that  $\mu : \mu^{-1}(X') \to X'$  is a biholomorphism. We will be interested in taking modifications realized by iterated <sup>169</sup> biholomorphism. We will be interested in taking modifications realized by iterated <sup>170</sup> blow-ups of certain nonsingular subvarieties of the singular set Sing(*V*), so as to 171 eventually "improve" the singularities of  $V$ ; outside of  $Sing(V)$  the effect of blowing-172 up will be irrelevant, as one can see easily. Following  $[4]$ , the canonical sheaf  $K_V$  is <sup>173</sup> defined as follows.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**2.1 Definition** For any directed pair  $(X, V)$  with *X* nonsingular, we define  $K_V$  to be the rank 1 analytic sheaf such that

 $K_V(U)$  = sheaf of locally bounded sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X(\Lambda^r V'^*)(U \cap X')$ 

where  $r = \text{rank}(V)$ ,  $X' = X \setminus \text{Sing}(V)$ ,  $V' = V_{|X'}$ , and "bounded" means bounded 175 with respect to a smooth hermitian metric  $h$  on  $T_X$ .

For  $r = 0$ , one can set  $K_V = \mathcal{O}_X$ , but this case is trivial: clearly ECL(*X*, *V*) =  $\emptyset$ . 177 The above definition of  $K_V$  may look like an analytic one, but it can easily be turned <sup>178</sup> into an equivalent algebraic definition:

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**2.2 Proposition** Consider the natural morphism  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^r T_X^*) \to \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^r V^*)$  where *r* = rank *V* [ $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^r V^*)$  *being defined here as the quotient of*  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^r T_X^*)$  *by r-forms that have zero restrictions to*  $O(\Lambda^r V^*)$  *on*  $X \setminus Sing(V)$  *]. The bidual*  $\mathcal{L}_V = O_X(\Lambda^r V^*)^{**}$ <sup>182</sup> *is an invertible sheaf, and our natural morphism can be written*

$$
\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^r T_X^*) \to \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^r V^*) = \mathcal{L}_V \otimes \mathcal{J}_V \subset \mathcal{L}_V \tag{2.1}
$$

<sup>184</sup> *where*  $J_V$  *is a certain ideal sheaf of*  $O_X$  *whose zero set is contained in* Sing(*V*) *and* <sup>185</sup> *the arrow on the left is surjective by definition. Then*

$$
K_V = \mathcal{L}_V \otimes \overline{\mathcal{J}}_V \tag{2.2}
$$

187 *where*  $J_V$  *is the integral closure of*  $J_V$  *in*  $O_X$ *. In particular, K<sub>V</sub> <i>is always a coherent* <sup>188</sup> *sheaf.*

*Proof* Let  $(u_k)$  be a set of generators of  $O(\Lambda^r V^*)$  obtained (say) as the images of a basis  $(dz_I)_{|I|=r}$  of  $\Lambda^r T_X^*$  in some local coordinates near a point  $x \in X$ . Write <sup>191</sup> *u<sub>k</sub>* =  $g_k \ell$  where  $\ell$  is a local generator of  $\mathcal{L}_V$  at *x*. Then  $\mathcal{J}_V = (g_k)$  by definition.<br><sup>192</sup> The boundedness condition expressed in Definition 2.1 means that we take sections The boundedness condition expressed in Definition  $2.1$  means that we take sections

of the form  $f\ell$  where  $f$  is a holomorphic function on  $U \cap X'$  (and  $U$  a neighborhood  $194$  of *x*), such that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
|f| \le C \sum |g_k| \tag{2.3}
$$

196 for some constant  $C > 0$ . But then f extends holomorphically to U into a function 197 that lies in the integral closure  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_V$ , and the latter is actually characterized analytically 198 by condition  $(2.3)$ . This proves Proposition 2.2.

<sup>199</sup> By blowing-up  $\mathcal{J}_V$  and taking a desingularization  $\hat{X}$ , one can always find a *log-*<br> *P*<sub>200</sub> *resolution* of  $\mathcal{J}_V$  (or  $K_V$ ) i.e. a modification  $u : \hat{X} \to X$  such that  $u^* \mathcal{J}_V \subset \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}$  is *resolution* of  $J_V$  (or  $K_V$ ), i.e. a modification  $\mu : \hat{X} \to X$  such that  $\mu^* J_V \subset \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$  is an invertible ideal sheaf (hence integrally closed); it follows that  $\mu^* \overline{J}_V = \mu^* J_V$  and an invertible ideal sheaf (hence integrally closed); it follows that  $\mu^* \overline{J}_V = \mu^* \mathcal{J}_V$  and  $\mu^* K_V = \mu^* \mathcal{J}_V \otimes \mu^* \mathcal{J}_V$  are invertible sheaves on  $\hat{X}$ . Notice that for any modification  $\mu^*K_V = \mu^*L_V \otimes \mu^* \mathcal{J}_V$  are invertible sheaves on  $\widehat{X}$ . Notice that for any modification  $\mu' : (X', V') \to (X, V)$ , there is always a well defined natural morphism  $\mu' : (X', V') \to (X, V)$ , there is always a well defined natural morphism

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\mu'^* K_V \to K_{V'} \tag{2.4}
$$

or some constant  $C > 0$ . But then  $f$  extends holomorphically to  $U$  into a fut<br>
at tas in the integral closure  $\overline{f}V$ , and the latter is actually characterized analyt<br>
y condition (2.3). This proves Proposition 2.2,<br> <sup>205</sup> (though it need not be an isomorphism, and  $K_{V}$  is possibly non invertible even when  $\mu'$  is taken to be a log-resolution of  $K_V$ ). Indeed  $(\mu')_* = d\mu' : V' \to \mu^*V$  is continuous with respect to ambient hermitian metrics on *X* and *X'* and going to the continuous with respect to ambient hermitian metrics on  $X$  and  $X'$ , and going to the <sup>208</sup> duals reverses the arrows while preserving boundedness with respect to the metrics.  $\text{If } \mu'' : X'' \to X' \text{ provides a simultaneous log-resolution of } K_{V'} \text{ and } \mu'^* K_V, \text{ we get a non trivial morphism of invertible sheaves.$ a non trivial morphism of invertible sheaves

$$
(\mu' \circ \mu'')^* K_V = \mu''^* \mu'^* K_V \longrightarrow \mu''^* K_{V'}, \tag{2.5}
$$

hence the bigness of  $\mu^* K_V$  with imply that of  $\mu^{\prime\prime*} K_{V'}$ . This is a general principle that we would like to refer to as the "monotonicity principle" for canonical sheaves: that we would like to refer to as the "monotonicity principle" for canonical sheaves: <sup>214</sup> one always get more sections by going to a higher level through a (holomorphic) <sup>215</sup> modification.

**2.3 Definition** We say that the rank 1 sheaf  $K_V$  is "big" if the invertible sheaf  $\mu^* K_V$  is big in the usual sense for any log resolution  $\mu : \hat{X} \to X$  of  $K_V$ . Finally, we say 217 is big in the usual sense for any log resolution  $\mu : X \to X$  of  $K_V$ . Finally, we say that  $(X, V)$  is of *general type* if there exists a modification  $\mu' : (X', V') \to (X, V)$ that  $(X, V)$  is of *general type* if there exists a modification  $\mu' : (X', V') \to (X, V)$ <br>such that  $K_{V'}$  is high any higher blow-up  $\mu'' : (X'' \setminus V'') \to (X' \setminus V')$  then also vields such that  $K_{V'}$  is big; any higher blow-up  $\mu'' : (X'', V'') \to (X', V')$  then also yields<br>and a big canonical sheaf by (2.4) 220 a big canonical sheaf by  $(2.4)$ .

Clearly, "general type" is a birationally (or bimeromorphically) invariant concept, by the very definition. When dim  $X = n$  and  $V \subset T_X$  is a subbundle of rank  $r \geq 1$ , one constructs a tower of "Semple *k*-jet bundles"  $\pi_{k,k-1} : (X_k, V_k) \to (X_{k-1}, V_{k-1})$ that are  $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ -bundles, with dim  $X_k = n + k(r - 1)$  and rank $(V_k) = r$ . For this, we take  $(X_0, V_0) = (X, V)$ , and for every  $k \ge 1$ , we set inductively  $X_k := P(V_{k-1})$ and

$$
V_k := (\pi_{k,k-1})_*^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1) \subset T_{X_k},
$$

<sup>221</sup> where  $O_{X_k}(1)$  is the tautological line bundle on  $X_k$ ,  $\pi_{k,k-1}$  :  $X_k = P(V_{k-1})$  →  $X_{k-1}$  the natural projection and  $(π_{k-1})_k = dπ_{k-1}$  :  $Tx_n \to π^*_k$ ,  $Tx_n$  its *Xk*<sup>−1</sup> the natural projection and  $(\pi_{k,k-1})_* = d\pi_{k,k-1}$  :  $T_{X_k} \to \pi_{k,k-1}^* T_{X_{k-1}}$  its

 $223$  differential (cf. [\[1](#page-19-2)]). In other terms, we have exact sequences

$$
0 \longrightarrow T_{X_k/X_{k-1}} \longrightarrow V_k \stackrel{(\pi_{k,k-1})_*}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$
\n
$$
(2.6)
$$

$$
\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \longrightarrow (\pi_{k,k-1})^* V_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) \longrightarrow T_{X_k/X_{k-1}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.7}
$$

where the last line is the Euler exact sequence associated with the relative tangent bundle of  $P(V_{k-1}) \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ . Notice that we by definition of the tautological line bundle we have

<span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1) \subset \pi_{k,k-1}^* V_{k-1} \subset \pi_{k,k-1}^* T_{X_{k-1}},
$$

227 and also rank $(V_k) = r$ . Let us recall also that for  $k \ge 2$ , there are "vertical divisors"  $D_k = P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}}) \subset P(V_{k-1}) = X_k$ , and that  $D_k$  is the zero divisor of the section of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) \otimes \pi_{k,k-1}^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(-1)$  induced by the second arrow of the first exact<br>sequence (2.6) when k is replaced by  $k-1$ . This vields in particular 230 sequence  $(2.6)$ , when *k* is replaced by  $k - 1$ . This yields in particular

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) = \pi_{k,k-1}^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_k). \tag{2.8}
$$

By composing the projections we get for all pairs of indices  $0 \leq j \leq k$  natural morphisms

$$
\pi_{k,j}: X_k \to X_j, \quad (\pi_{k,j})_* = (d\pi_{k,j})_{|V_k}: V_k \to (\pi_{k,j})^* V_j,
$$

and for every *k*-tuple  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  we define

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}) = \bigotimes_{1 \leq j \leq k} \pi_{k,j}^* \mathcal{O}_{X_j}(a_j), \quad \pi_{k,j} : X_k \to X_j.
$$

232 We extend this definition to all weights  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Q}^k$  to get a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle in Pic(*X*)⊗ $\mathbb{Z}$  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $233$  Now, Formula  $(2.8)$  yields

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\mathbf{b} \cdot D) \quad \text{where } m = |\mathbf{a}| = \sum a_j, \mathbf{b} = (0, b_2, \dots, b_k)
$$
\n(2.9)

235 and  $b_j = a_1 + \cdots + a_{j-1}, 2 \le j \le k$ .

 $0 \rightarrow O_{X_k} \rightarrow (\pi_{k,k-1})^* V_{k-1} \otimes O_{X_k}(1) \rightarrow T_{X_k/X_{k-1}} \rightarrow 0$ ,<br>
there the last line is the Euler exact sequence associated with the relative ta<br>
undle of  $P(V_{k-1}) \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ . Notice that we by definition of the tautologic:<br>  $O_{X$ 236 When Sing(*V*)  $\neq \emptyset$ , one can always define  $X_k$  and  $V_k$  to be the respective closures of  $X'_k$ ,  $V'_k$  associated with  $X' = X \setminus Sing(V)$  and  $V' = V_{|X'}$ , where the closure is taken in the nonsingular "absolute" Semple tower  $(X_k^a, V_k^a)$  obtained from  $(X_0^a, V_0^a) = (X, T_X)$ . We leave the reader check the following easy (but important) <sup>240</sup> observation.

 $2.4$  **Fonctoriality** If  $\Phi$  :  $(X, V) \rightarrow (Y, W)$  *is a morphism of directed varieties*  $\mathbb{R}^{242}$  *such that*  $\Phi_* : T_X \to \Phi^* T_Y$  *is injective* (*i.e.*  $\Phi$  *is an immersion*), *then there is a*  $\alpha$ <sup>243</sup> corresponding natural morphism  $\Phi_{[k]} : (X_k, V_k) \to (Y_k, W_k)$  at the level of Semple  $\mathbb{R}^{244}$  bundles. If one merely assumes that the differential  $\Phi_*: V \to \Phi^*W$  is non zero,

 $_2$ <sup>45</sup> there is still a well defined meromorphic map  $\Phi_{[k]}$  :  $(X_k, V_k)$  --->  $(Y_k, W_k)$  for 246 *all*  $k \geq 0$ .

247 In case *V* is singular, the *k*-th Semple bundle  $X_k$  will also be singular, but we 248 can still replace  $(X_k, V_k)$  by a suitable modification  $(X_k, V_k)$  if we want to work <sup>249</sup> with a nonsingular model  $\widehat{X}_k$  of  $X_k$ . The exceptional set of  $\widehat{X}_k$  over  $X_k$  can be chosen to lie above Sing(V)  $\subset X$ , and proceeding inductively with respect to k. chosen to lie above Sing( $V$ )  $\subset X$ , and proceeding inductively with respect to  $k$ , <sup>251</sup> we can also arrange the modifications in such a way that we get a tower structure  $(X_{k+1}, V_{k+1}) \rightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ ; however, in general, it will not be possible to achieve that  $V_k$  is a subbundle of  $T_{\widehat{X}_k}$ .<br>
It is not true that  $K\widehat{\sigma}$  is h

It is not true that  $K\hat{\nu}_k$  is big in case  $(X, V)$  is of general type (especially since the fibers of  $X_k \to X$  are towers of  $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$  bundles, and the canonical bundles of <sup>256</sup> projective spaces are always negative !). However, a twisted version holds true, that <sup>257</sup> can be seen as another instance of the "monotonicity principle" when going to higher <sup>258</sup> stages in the Semple tower.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>259 **2.5 Lemma** If  $(X, V)$  is of general type, then there is a modification  $(X, V)$  such<br>that all naive  $(\hat{Y}, \hat{Y})$  of the associated Saunda tower have a twisted sauguised <sup>260</sup> *that all pairs*  $(X_k, V_k)$  *of the associated Semple tower have a twisted canonical*<br>*ky dla Ka*  $\odot$  *(a)* that is still big when are multiplies Ka ky a switchle  $\odot$  line <sup>261</sup> bundle  $K_{\widehat{V}_k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_k}(p)$  *that is still big when one multiplies*  $K_{\widehat{V}_k}$  *by a suitable* Q-line  $\delta_{\mathcal{R}_k}(p), p \in \mathbb{Q}_+.$ 

*Proof.* First assume that *V* has no singularities. The exact sequences (2.6) and [\(2.7\)](#page-8-2) provide

$$
K_{V_k} := \det V_k^* = \det(T_{X_k/X_{k-1}}^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) = \pi_{k,k-1}^* K_{V_{k-1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-(r-1))
$$

263 where  $r = \text{rank}(V)$ . Inductively we get

$$
X_{V_k} = \pi_{k,0}^* K_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-(r-1)\mathbf{1}), \qquad \mathbf{1} = (1,\ldots,1) \in \mathbb{N}^k. \tag{2.10}
$$

We know by [1] that  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{c})$  is relatively ample over *X* when we take the special weight **c** =  $(2 \, 3^{k-2}, \ldots, \hat{2} \, 3^{k-j-1}, \ldots, 6, 2, 1)$ , hence

$$
K_{V_k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}((r-1)\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon \mathbf{c}) = \pi_{k,0}^* K_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\varepsilon \mathbf{c})
$$

an still replace  $(X_k, V_k)$  by a suitable modification  $(X_k, V_k)$  if we want to<br>  $\vec{X}_k$  over  $X_k$  over  $X_k$  over  $X_k \in \mathbb{R}$  over  $X_k \in \mathbb{R}$  over  $X_k \in \mathbb{R}$  over  $X_k \in X_{k+1}$  once  $\text{Sing}(V) \subset X$ , and proceeding inductive <sup>265</sup> is big over *X<sub>k</sub>* for any sufficiently small positive rational number  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$ . Thanks to Formula (2.9), we can in fact replace the weight  $(r-1)1 + \varepsilon c$  by its total degree 266 to Formula (2.9), we can in fact replace the weight  $(r - 1)1 + \varepsilon c$  by its total degree<br>267  $p = (r - 1)k + \varepsilon |c| \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ . The general case of a singular linear space follows by  $p = (r - 1)k + \varepsilon |\mathbf{c}| \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ . The general case of a singular linear space follows by<br>
considering suitable "sufficiently high" modifications  $\hat{X}$  of X, the related directed 268 considering suitable "sufficiently high" modifications  $\hat{X}$  of *X*, the related directed<br>269 structure  $\hat{V}$  on  $\hat{X}$ , and embedding  $(\hat{X}_k, \hat{V}_k)$  in the absolute Semple tower  $(\hat{X}_k^a, \hat{V}_k^a)$ structure  $\widehat{V}$  on  $\widehat{X}$ , and embedding  $(\widehat{X}_k, \widehat{V}_k)$  in the absolute Semple tower  $(\widehat{X}_k^a, \widehat{V}_k^a)$ <sup>270</sup> of  $\widehat{X}$ . We still have a well defined morphism of rank 1 sheaves

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\pi_{k,0}^* K_{\widehat{V}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_k}(-(r-1)\mathbf{1}) \to K_{\widehat{V}_k}
$$
 (2.11)

<sup>272</sup> because the multiplier ideal sheaves involved at each stage behave according to the monotonicity principle applied to the projections  $\pi_{k,k-1}^a : \hat{X}_k^a \to \hat{X}_{k-1}^a$  and their

#### differentials  $(\pi_{k,k-1}^a)_*$ , which yield well-defined transposed morphisms from the  $(k-1)$ -st stage to the k-th stage at the level of exterior differential forms. Our <sup>275</sup> (*k* − 1)-st stage to the *k*-th stage at the level of exterior differential forms. Our  $_{276}$  contention follows.

#### <sup>277</sup> **3 Induced Directed Structure on a Subvariety of a Jet Space**

278 Let *Z* be an irreducible algebraic subset of some *k*-jet bundle  $X_k$  over  $X, k \ge 0$ . We 279 define the linear subspace  $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$  to be the closure

$$
^{28}
$$

<span id="page-10-1"></span> $W := \overline{T_{Z'} \cap V_k}$  (3.1)

281 taken on a suitable Zariski open set  $Z'$  ⊂  $Z_{reg}$  where the intersection  $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$  has  $282$  constant rank and is a subbundle of  $T_{Z'}$ . Alternatively, we could also take *W* to be the closure of  $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$  in the *k*-th stage  $(X_k^a, V_k^a)$  of the absolute Semple tower, which 284 has the advantage of being nonsingular. We say that  $(Z, W)$  is the *induced* directed <sup>285</sup> variety structure; this concept of induced structure already applies of course in the case  $k = 0$ . If  $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$  is such that  $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$ , then

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\text{either } f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z_{\alpha} \quad \text{or} \quad f'_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset W, \tag{3.2}
$$

where  $Z_{\alpha}$  is one of the connected components of  $Z \setminus Z'$  and  $Z'$  is chosen as in [\(3.1\)](#page-10-1);<br>especially, if  $W = 0$ , we conclude that  $f_{U_1}(\mathbb{C})$  must be contained in one of the especially, if  $W = 0$ , we conclude that  $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C})$  must be contained in one of the <sup>290</sup>  $Z_{\alpha}$ 's. In the sequel, we always consider such a subvariety *Z* of  $X_k$  as a directed pair  $(Z, W)$  by taking the induced structure described above. By (3.2), if we proceed by  $(Z, W)$  by taking the induced structure described above. By  $(3.2)$ , if we proceed by induction on dim *Z*, the study of curves tangent to *V* that have a *k*-lift  $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$  $293$  is reduced to the study of curves tangent to  $(Z, W)$ . Let us first quote the following <sup>294</sup> easy observation.

<span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span>**3.1 Observation** *For*  $k \geq 1$ , let  $Z \subsetneq X_k$  *be an irreducible algebraic subset that projects onto*  $X_{k-1}$ *, i.e.*  $\pi_{k,k-1}(Z) = X_{k-1}$ *. Then the induced directed variety*  $(Z, W)$  ⊂  $(X_k, V_k)$ *, satisfies* 

$$
1 \leq \text{rank } W < r := \text{rank }(V_k).
$$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**I Induced Directed Structure on a Subvariety of a Jet Space**<br>
et Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some *k*-jet bundle  $X_k$  over  $X, k \ge$ <br>
efine the linear subspace  $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$  to be the closure<br>  $W := \overline{T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ *Proof.* Take a Zariski open subset  $Z' \subset Z_{reg}$  such that  $W' = T_{Z'} \cap V_k$  is a vector 296 bundle over *Z'*. Since  $X_k$  →  $X_{k-1}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ -bundle, *Z* has codimension at most  $297$  *r* − 1 in  $X_k$ . Therefore rank  $W \ge \text{rank } V_k - (r - 1) \ge 1$ . On the other hand, if we had rank  $W = \text{rank } V_k$  generically, then  $T_{Z'}$  would contain  $V_k|_{Z'}$ , in particular it 299 would contain all vertical directions  $T_{X_k/X_{k-1}} \subset V_k$  that are tangent to the fibers of  $X_k \to X_{k-1}$ . By taking the flow along vertical vector fields, we would conclude that <sup>301</sup> *Z'* is a union of fibers of  $X_k \rightarrow X_{k-1}$  up to an algebraic set of smaller dimension,  $302$  but this is excluded since *Z* projects onto  $X_{k-1}$  and  $Z \subsetneq X_k$ .  $\Box$ 

303 **3.2 Definition** For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $Z \subset X_k$  be an irreducible algebraic subset of  $X_k$ . We assume moreover that  $Z \not\subset D_k = P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}})$  (and put here  $D_1 = \emptyset$  in what  $305$  follows to avoid to have to single out the case  $k = 1$ ). In this situation we say that 306 (*Z*, *W*) is of general type modulo  $X_k \to X$  if either  $W = 0$ , or rank  $W \ge 1$  and there exists *p* ∈  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  such that  $K_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(p)_{|Z}$  is big over *Z*, possibly after replacing *Z* by a suitable nonsingular model  $\hat{Z}$  (and pulling-back *W* and  $\mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $(p)_{|Z}$  to the *z* by a suitable nonsingular model  $\hat{Z}$  (and pulling-back *W* and  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(p)_{|Z}$  to the nonsingular variety  $\hat{Z}$ ). nonsingular variety  $\hat{Z}$ ).

<span id="page-11-0"></span><sup>310</sup> The main result of [4] mentioned in the introduction as Theorem 1.3 implies the 311 following important "induction step".

 **3.3 Proposition** *Let* (*X*, *V* ) *be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. Take an irreducible algebraic subset*  $Z \not\subset D_k$  *of the associated k-jet Semple bundle Xk that projects onto Xk*<sup>−</sup>1*, k* ≥ 1*, and assume that the induced directed space* 315 (*Z*, *W*)  $\subset$  (*X<sub>k</sub>*, *V<sub>k</sub>*) *is of general type modulo*  $X_k \to X$ *, rank*  $W \ge 1$ *. Then there exists a divisor*  $\Sigma \subset Z_{\ell}$  *in a sufficiently high stage of the Semple tower*  $(Z_{\ell}, W_{\ell})$ *associated with*  $(Z, W)$ *, such that every non constant holomorphic map f* :  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ *tangent to V that satisfies*  $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$  *also satisfies*  $f_{[k+ℓ]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \Sigma$ .

xists  $p \in \tilde{Q}_+$  such that  $K_W \otimes C_{X_k}(p)_{|Z}$  is big over Z, possibly after replead in the introduction as Theorem 1.3 implifies nonsingular variety  $\tilde{Z}$ ).<br>
The main result of [4] mentioned in the introduction as Th *Proof* Let *E* ⊂ *Z* be a divisor containing  $Z_{sing} \cup (Z \cap \pi_{k,0}^{-1}(\text{Sing}(V)))$ , chosen so that on the nonsingular Zariski open set  $Z' = Z \times E$  all linear spaces  $T_{\pi i}$ . *V* that on the nonsingular Zariski open set  $Z' = Z \setminus E$  all linear spaces  $T_{Z'}$ ,  $V_{k|Z'}$ and  $W' = T_{Z'} \cap V_k$  are subbundles of  $T_{X_k|Z'}$ , the first two having a transverse  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  intersection on *Z'*. By taking closures over *Z'* in the absolute Semple tower of *X*, we 323 get (singular) directed pairs  $(Z_\ell, W_\ell) \subset (X_{k+\ell}, V_{k+\ell})$ , which we eventually resolve 324 into  $(\bar{Z}_\ell, \bar{W}_\ell)$  ⊂  $(\bar{X}_{k+\ell}, \bar{V}_{k+\ell})$  over nonsingular bases. By construction, locally bounded sections of  $\mathcal{O}(\infty)$  curve bounded sections of  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_{k+\ell}}(m)$  restrict to locally bounded sections of  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}_{\ell}}(m)$  over  $\widehat{Z}_{\ell}$ .  $Z_{\ell}$ .

Since Theorem  $1.3$  and the related estimate  $(1.10)$  are universal in the category of directed varieties, we can apply them by replacing *X* with  $\hat{Z} \subset \hat{X}_k$ , the order *k* by a new index  $\ell$ , and  $F$  by

$$
F_k = \mu^* \Big( \big( \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(p) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}_X(-\varepsilon A) \big)_{|Z} \Big)
$$

327 where  $\mu : \hat{Z} \to Z$  is the desingularization,  $p \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  is chosen such that  $K_W \otimes$ <br>328  $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(\mathcal{D})$  is big. A is an ample bundle on X and  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{O}_+^*$  is small enough. The *o*<sub>*xk</sub>* (*p*)<sub>|*Z*</sub> is big, *A* is an ample bundle on *X* and  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$  is small enough. The assumptions show that  $K \otimes \otimes F_k$  is big on  $\hat{Z}$  therefore by applying our theorem and</sub> 329 assumptions show that  $K_{\hat{W}} \otimes F_k$  is big on  $\hat{Z}$ , therefore, by applying our theorem and taking  $m \gg \ell \gg 1$ , we get in fine a large number of (metric bounded) sections of taking  $m \gg l \gg 1$ , we get in fine a large number of (metric bounded) sections of

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z}_{\ell}}(m) \otimes \widehat{\pi}_{k+\ell,k}^{*} \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{m}{\ell r'}\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\ell}\Big)F_{k}\Big) \\
= \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_{k+\ell}}(m\mathbf{a}') \otimes \widehat{\pi}_{k+\ell,0}^{*} \mathcal{O}\Big(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kr}\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{k}\Big)A\Big)_{|\widehat{Z}_{\ell}}\n\end{aligned}
$$

334

where  $\mathbf{a}' \in \mathbb{Q}_+^{k+\ell}$  is a positive weight (of the form  $(0, \ldots, \lambda, \ldots, 0, 1)$  with some non zero component  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  at index k). These sections descend to metric bounded non zero component  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  at index k). These sections descend to metric bounded sections of

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X_{k+\ell}}((1+\lambda)m)\otimes \widehat{\pi}_{k+\ell,0}^*\mathcal{O}\Big(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kr}\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{k}\Big)A\Big)_{|Z_{\ell}}.
$$

<sup>335</sup> Since *A* is ample on *X*, we can apply the fundamental vanishing theorem (see e.g. <sup>336</sup> [\[2\]](#page-19-13) or [4], Statement 8.15), or rather an "embedded" version for curves satisfying  $f_{k}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$ , proved exactly by the same arguments. The vanishing theorem implies 338 that the divisor  $\Sigma$  of any such section satisfies the conclusions of Proposition [3.3,](#page-11-0) 339 possibly modulo exceptional divisors of  $\hat{Z} \to Z$ ; to take care of these, it is enough to add to  $\Sigma$  the inverse image of the divisor  $E = Z \setminus Z'$  initially selected. to add to  $\Sigma$  the inverse image of the divisor  $E = Z \setminus Z'$  initially selected.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span><sup>341</sup> **4 Strong General Type Condition for Directed Manifolds**

<sup>342</sup> Our main result is the following partial solution to the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjec-<sup>343</sup> ture, providing a sufficient algebraic condition for the analytic conclusion to hold <sup>344</sup> true. We first give an ad hoc definition.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>345 **4.1 Definition** Let  $(X, V)$  be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We  $346$  say that that  $(X, V)$  is "strongly of general type" if it is of general type and for every  $_{347}$  irreducible algebraic set  $Z \subsetneq X_k$ ,  $Z \not\subset D_k$ , that projects onto *X*, the induced directed  $_348$  structure  $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$  is of general type modulo  $X_k \to X$ .

*4.2 Example* The situation of a product  $(X, V) = (X', V') \times (X'', V'')$  described in  $(1.11)$  shows that  $(X, V)$  can be of general type without being strongly of general type. In fact, if  $(X', V')$  and  $(X'', V'')$  are of general type, then  $K_V = pr' {^*K}_{V'} \otimes pr'' {^*K}_{V''}$ is big, so  $(X, V)$  is again of general type. However

$$
Z = P(pr'^*V') = X'_1 \times X'' \subset X_1
$$

 $\mathcal{O}_{X_{1+t}}((1+\lambda)m) \otimes \hat{\pi}_{t+t,0}^* \mathcal{O}\Big(-\frac{mc}{k_r}\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{k}\Big)A\Big|_{L_x^*}$ <br>
ince *A* is ample on *X*, we can apply the fundamental vanishing theorem (see 1)<sup>2</sup> [y<sub>[</sub>(C) ⊂ *Z*<sub>,</sub> proved exactly by the same argument has a directed structure  $W = pr' * V'_1$  which does not possess a big canonical bundle over *Z*, since the restriction of  $K_W$  to any fiber  $\{x'\}\times X''$  is trivial. The higher stages  $(Z_k, W_k)$  of the Semple tower of  $(Z, W)$  are given by  $Z_k = X'_{k+1} \times X''$  and  $W_k = pr' * V'_{k+1}$ , so it is easy to see that  $GG_k(X, V)$  contains  $Z_{k-1}$ . Since  $Z_k$  projects 353 onto *X*, we have here GG(*X*, *V*) = *X* (see [6] for more sophisticated indecomposable <sup>354</sup> examples).

*4.3 Remark* It follows from Definition 3.2 that  $(Z, W)$  ⊂  $(X_k, V_k)$  is automatically of general type modulo  $X_k \to X$  if  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)_{|Z}$  is big. Notice further that

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1+\varepsilon)_{|Z}=\big(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\varepsilon)\otimes \pi_{k,k-1}^*\mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(1)\otimes \mathcal{O}(D_k)\big)_{|Z}
$$

where  $O(D_k)_{Z}$  is effective and  $O_{X_k}(1)$  is relatively ample with respect to the projection  $X_k$  →  $X_{k-1}$ . Therefore the bigness of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(1)$  on  $X_{k-1}$  also implies that every directed subvariety  $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$  is of general type modulo  $X_k \to X$ . If  $(X, V)$  is of general type, we know by the main result of [\[4](#page-19-4)] that  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$  is big for  $k \geq k_0$  large enough, and actually the precise estimates obtained therein give explicit bounds for such a  $k_0$ . The above observations show that we need to check the condition of Definition 4.1 only for  $Z \subset X_k$ ,  $k \leq k_0$ . Moreover, at least in the case where *V*, *Z*, and  $W = T_Z \cap V_k$  are nonsingular, we have

$$
K_W \simeq K_Z \otimes \det(T_Z/W) \simeq K_Z \otimes \det(T_{X_k}/V_k)_{|Z} \simeq K_{Z/X_{k-1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)_{|Z}.
$$

355 Thus we see that, in some sense, it is only needed to check the bigness of  $K_W$  modulo 356 *X<sub>k</sub>* → *X* for "rather special subvarieties"  $Z \subset X_k$  over  $X_{k-1}$ , such that  $K_{Z/X_{k-1}}$  is <sup>357</sup> not relatively big over *Xk*−1. -

or  $k \geq k_0$  large enough, and actually the precise estimates obtained therein<br>
piplicit bounds for such a  $k_0$ . The above observations show that we need to<br>
piplicit bounds for such as  $k_0$ . The above observations show 358 4.4 Hypersurface case Assume that  $Z \neq D_k$  is an irreducible hypersurface of  $X_k$ <sup>359</sup> that projects onto *Xk*−1. To simplify things further, also assume that *V* is nonsingular. Since the Semple jet-bundles  $X_k$  form a tower of  $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ -bundles, their Picard groups satisfy Pic( $X_k$ )  $\simeq$  Pic( $X$ )  $\oplus \mathbb{Z}^k$  and we have  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* B$  for some  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* B$  for some  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* B$  for so  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  and *B* ∈ Pic(*X*), where  $a_k = d > 0$  is the relative degree of the hypersurface over *X<sub>k−1</sub>*. Let *σ* ∈ *H*<sup>0</sup>(*X<sub>k</sub>*, *O<sub><i>X<sub>k</sub>*</sub>(*Z*)) be the section defining *Z* in *X<sub>k</sub>*. The induced directed variety (*Z*, *W*) has rank *W* = *r* − 1 = rank *V* − 1 and formula (2.11) vields directed variety (*Z*, *W*) has rank  $W = r - 1 = \text{rank }V - 1$  and formula (2.11) yields 365  $K_{V_k} = \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-(r-1)\mathbf{1}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^*(K_V)$ . We claim that

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
K_W \supset (K_{V_k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(Z))_{|Z} \otimes \mathcal{J}_S = \big(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}-(r-1)\mathbf{1}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^*(B \otimes K_V)\big)_{|Z} \otimes \mathcal{J}_S \tag{4.1}
$$

where  $S \subsetneq Z$  is the set (containing  $Z_{sing}$ ) where  $\sigma$  and  $d\sigma_{|V_k}$  both vanish, and  $\mathcal{J}_S$  is the ideal locally generated by the coefficients of  $d\sigma_{|V_k}$  along  $Z = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ . In fact, the the ideal locally generated by the coefficients of  $d\sigma_{|V_k}$  along  $Z = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ . In fact, the intersection  $W = T_Z \cap V_k$  is transverse on  $Z \setminus S$ ; then (4.1) can be seen by looking at the morphism

$$
V_{k|Z} \xrightarrow{d\sigma_{|V_k}} \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(Z)_{|Z},
$$

and observing that the contraction by  $K_{V_k} = \Lambda^r V_k^*$  provides a metric bounded section of the canonical sheaf  $K_W$ . In order to investigate the positivity properties of  $K_W$ , one has to show that *B* cannot be too negative, and in addition to control the singularity set *S*. The second point is a priori very challenging, but we get useful information for the first point by observing that  $\sigma$  provides a morphism  $\pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}_X(-B) \to \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a})$ ,<br>hence a nontrivial morphism hence a nontrivial morphism

$$
\mathcal{O}_X(-B) \to E_{\mathbf{a}} := (\pi_{k,0})_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a})
$$

 $367$  By [1, , Section 12], there exists a filtration on  $E_a$  such that the graded pieces are <sup>368</sup> irreducible representations of GL(*V*) contained in  $(V^*)^{\otimes \ell}, \ell \leq |a|$ . Therefore we <sup>369</sup> get a nontrivial morphism

$$
\mathcal{O}_X(-B) \to (V^*)^{\otimes \ell}, \qquad \ell \leq |\mathbf{a}|. \tag{4.2}
$$

<sup>371</sup> If we know about certain (semi-)stability properties of *V*, this can be used to control  $\Box$  the negativity of *B*.

<sup>373</sup> We further need the following useful concept that slightly generalizes entire curve <sup>374</sup> loci.

<sup>375</sup> **4.5 Definition** If *Z* is an algebraic set contained in some stage *Xk* of the Semple 376 tower of  $(X, V)$ , we define its "induced entire curve locus" IEL<sub>*X*,*V*</sub>(*Z*) ⊂ *Z* to be  $_{377}$  the Zariski closure of the union  $\int f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C})$  of all jets of entire curves  $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to$ 378  $(X, V)$  such that  $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$ .

We further need the following useful concept that slightly generalizes entire<br>
ve for **SEC**<br> **UNCORRECTE:**<br> **UNCORRECTE:**<br> **UNCORRECTE TO ALTEX ANDED**<br>
We have of  $(X, V)$ , we define its "induced entire curve locus." IEL<sub>X </sub> We have of course  $IEL_{X,V}(IEL_{X,V}(Z)) = IEL_{X,V}(Z)$  by definition. It is not hard to check that modulo certain "vertical divisors " of  $X_k$ , the IEL<sub>X, V</sub>(Z) locus is essentially the same as the entire curve locus  $ECL(Z, W)$  of the induced directed variety, but we will not use this fact here. Notice that if  $Z = \bigcup Z_\alpha$  is a decomposition of *Z* into irreducible divisors, then

$$
IEL_{X,V}(Z) = \bigcup_{\alpha} IEL_{X,V}(Z_{\alpha}).
$$

 $379$  Since IEL<sub>X, V</sub>( $X_k$ ) = ECL<sub>k</sub>(X, V), proving the Green-Griffiths-Lang property 380 amounts to showing that  $IEL_{X,V}(X) \subsetneq X$  in the stage  $k = 0$  of the tower. The <sup>381</sup> basic step of our approach is expressed in the following statement.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>382 **4.6 Proposition** *Let*  $(X, V)$  *be a directed variety and*  $p_0 \le n = \dim X$ ,  $p_0 \ge 1$ . 383 Assume that there is an integer  $k_0 \geq 0$  such that for every  $k \geq k_0$  and every *i*ss4 *irreducible algebraic set*  $Z \subsetneq X_k$ ,  $Z \not\subsetneq D_k$ , such that  $\dim \pi_{k,k_0}(Z) \geq p_0$ , the *set induced directed structure*  $(Z \mid W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$  is of general type modulo  $X_k \to X$ 385 *induced directed structure*  $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$  *is of general type modulo*  $X_k \to X$ . 386 *Then* dim  $ECL_{k_0}(X, V) < p_0$ .

*Proof* We argue here by contradiction, assuming that dim  $ECL_{k_0}(X, V) \geq p_0$ . If

$$
p'_0 := \dim \operatorname{ECL}_{k_0}(X, V) > p_0
$$

and if we can prove the result for  $p'_0$ , we will already get a contradiction, hence we can assume without loss of generality that dim  $ECL_{k_0}(X, V) = p_0$ . The main argument consists of producing inductively an increasing sequence of integers

$$
k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_j < \cdots
$$

and directed varieties  $(Z^j, W^j) \subset (X_{k_j}, V_{k_j})$  satisfying the following properties :

388 (3.6.1)  $Z^0$  is one of the irreducible components of  $ECL_{k0}(X, V)$  and dim  $Z^0 = p_0$ . (3.6.2)  $Z^j$  is one of the irreducible components of  $ECL_{k_j}(X, V)$  and  $\pi_{k_j, k_0}(Z^j) = Z^0$ .  $Z<sup>0</sup>$ 

391 (3.6.3) For all  $j \ge 0$ , IEL<sub>*X*</sub>,  $V(Z^{j}) = Z^{j}$  and rank  $W_{j} \ge 1$ .

(3.6.4) For all  $j \ge 0$ , the directed variety  $(Z^{j+1}, W^{j+1})$  is contained in some stage (of order  $\ell_j = k_{j+1} - k_j$ ) of the Semple tower of  $(Z^j, W^j)$ , namely

$$
(Z^{j+1}, W^{j+1}) \subsetneq (Z_{\ell_j}^j, W_{\ell_j}^j) \subset (X_{k_{j+1}}, V_{k_{j+1}})
$$

<sup>392</sup> and

$$
W^{j+1} = \overline{T_{Z^{j+1}} \cap W_{\ell_j}^j} = \overline{T_{Z^{j+1}} \cap V_{k_j}}
$$
(4.3)

is the induced directed structure; moreover 
$$
\pi_{k_{j+1},k_j}(Z^{j+1}) = Z^j
$$
.  
\n(3.6.5) For all  $j \ge 0$ , we have  $Z^{j+1} \subsetneq Z^j_{\ell_j}$  but  $\pi_{k_{j+1},k_{j+1}-1}(Z^{j+1}) = Z^j_{\ell_j-1}$ .

 $(Z^{j+1}, W^{j+1}) \subset (Z^{j}, W^{j}_{t}) \subset (X_{k_{j+1}}, W_{k_{j+1}})$ <br>
and<br>
and<br>  $W^{j+1} = T_{Z^{j+1} \cap W^{j}_{t}} = T_{Z^{j+1} \cap W_{t}}$ <br>
is the induced directed structure; moreover  $\pi_{k_{j+1},k_{j}}(Z^{j+1}) = Z^{j}$ .<br>
3.6.5) For all  $j \ge 0$ , we have  $Z^{j+1} \subset Z^{j}_{t$ For  $j = 0$ , we simply take  $Z^0$  to be one of the irreducible components  $S_\alpha$ of  $\text{ECL}_{k_0}(X, V)$  such that dim  $S_\alpha = p_0$ , which exists by our hypothesis that dim  $ECL_{k_0}(X, V) = p_0$ . Clearly,  $ECL_{k_0}(X, V)$  is the union of the  $IEL_{X, V}(S_\alpha)$  and we have  $IEL_{X,V}(S_{\alpha}) = S_{\alpha}$  for all those components, thus  $IEL_{X,V}(Z^{0}) = Z^{0}$  and  $\dim Z^0 = p_0$ . Assume that  $(Z^j, W^j)$  has been constructed. The subvariety  $Z^j$  cannot be contained in the vertical divisor  $D_k$ . In fact no irreducible algebraic set *Z* such that IEL<sub>*X*,*V*</sub>(*Z*) = *Z* can be contained in a vertical divisor  $D_k$ , because  $\pi_{k,k-2}(D_k)$ corresponds to stationary jets in *Xk*<sup>−</sup><sup>2</sup> ; as every non constant curve *f* has non stationary points, its  $k$ -jet  $f_{[k]}$  cannot be entirely contained in  $D_k$ ; also the induced directed structure  $(Z, W)$  must satisfy rank  $W \ge 1$  otherwise IEL<sub>*X*</sub>,  $V(Z) \subsetneq Z$ . Condition (3.6.2) implies that dim  $\pi_{k_j,k_0}(Z^j) \ge p_0$ , thus  $(Z^j, W^j)$  is of general type modulo  $X_k \to X$  by the assumptions of the proposition. Thanks to Proposition 3.3, we get  $X_{k_i} \rightarrow X$  by the assumptions of the proposition. Thanks to Proposition 3.3, we get an algebraic subset  $\Sigma \subsetneq Z_{\ell}^{j}$  in some stage of the Semple tower  $(Z_{\ell}^{j})$  of  $Z^{j}$  such that every entire curve  $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$  satisfying  $f_{[k_i]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z^j$  also satisfies  $f_{[k, +\ell]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \Sigma$ . By definition, this implies the first inclusion in the sequence

$$
Z^{j} = \text{IEL}_{X,V}(Z^{j}) \subset \pi_{k_j + \ell, k_j}(\text{IEL}_{X,V}(\Sigma)) \subset \pi_{k_j + \ell, k_j}(\Sigma) \subset Z^{j}
$$

(the other ones being obvious), so we have in fact an equality throughout. Let  $(S'_\alpha)$ <br>be the irreducible components of IEI  $x, y(S')$ . We have IEI  $x, y(S') = S'$  and one be the irreducible components of IEL<sub>*X*</sub>,*V*( $\Sigma$ ). We have IEL<sub>*X*</sub>,*V*( $S'_{\alpha}$ ) =  $S'_{\alpha}$  and one of the components  $S'$  must satisfy of the components  $S'_{\alpha}$  must satisfy

$$
\pi_{k_j+\ell,k_j}(S'_\alpha)=Z^j=Z^j_0.
$$

We take  $\ell_j \in [1, \ell]$  to be the smallest order such that  $Z^{j+1} := \pi_{k_j + \ell, k_j + \ell_j}(S'_\alpha) \subsetneq Z^j$  and set  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By definition of  $\ell_j$ , we have  $Z_{\ell_j}^j$ , and set  $k_{j+1} = k_j + \ell_j > k_j$ . By definition of  $\ell_j$ , we have  $\frac{a_j}{\pi}$ ,  $\frac{a_{j+1,k_{j+1}-1}(Z^{j+1})}{Z^j} = Z^j_{\ell_j-1}$ , otherwise  $\ell_j$  would not be minimal. Then  $\pi_{k_{j+1},k_j}$  $(Z^{j+1}) = Z^j$ , hence  $\pi_{k_{j+1},k_0}(Z^{j+1}) = Z^0$  by induction, and all properties (3.6.1–<br>3.6.5) follow easily Now by Observation 3.1, we have 3.6.5) follow easily. Now, by Observation 3.1, we have

Author Proof

 $rank W^{j}$  <  $rank W^{j-1}$  <  $\cdots$  <  $rank W^{1}$  <  $rank W^{0}$  =  $rank V$ .

<sup>396</sup> This is a contradiction because we cannot have such an infinite sequence. Proposi- $397$  tion [4.6](#page-14-0) is proved.

398 The special case  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $p_0 = n$  of Proposition 4.6 yields the following conse-<sup>399</sup> quence.

<span id="page-16-0"></span><sup>400</sup> **4.7 Partial solution to the generalized GGL conjecture** *Let* (*X*, *V* ) *be a directed* <sup>401</sup> *pair that is strongly of general type. Then the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture holds*  $\mathcal{A}_{402}$  *true for*  $(X, V)$ , namely  $\mathsf{ECL}(X, V) \subsetneq X$ , in other words there exists a proper  $_{{\tt 403}}$  algebraic variety  $Y\subsetneq X$  such that every non constant holomorphic curve  $f:{\tt \mathbb{C}} \to X$ *tangent to V satisfies*  $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$ .

The special case  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $p_0 = n$  of Proposition 4.6 yields the following cuence.<br> **The special case**  $k_0 = 0$ **,**  $p_0 = n$  of Proposition 4.6 yields the following cuence.<br> **The mails simply of general type.** Then the Green-<sup>405</sup> *4.8 Remark* The proof is not very constructive, but it is however theoretically ef-406 fective. By this we mean that if  $(X, V)$  is strongly of general type and is taken in a <sup>407</sup> bounded family of directed varieties, i.e. *X* is embedded in some projective space <sup>408</sup> P<sup>*N*</sup> with some bound δ on the degree, and *P*(*V*) also has bounded degree ≤ δ' when viewed as a subvariety of *P*(*T*<sub>π*N*</sub>), then one could theoretically derive bounds when viewed as a subvariety of  $P(T_{\mathbb{P}^N})$ , then one could theoretically derive bounds *dy* (*n*,  $\delta$ ,  $\delta'$ ) for the degree of the locus *Y*. Also, there would exist bounds  $k_0(n, \delta, \delta')$ <br>*dy* for the orders *k* and bounds *d<sub>1</sub>*(*n*,  $\delta$ ,  $\delta'$ ) for the degrees of subvarieties  $Z \subset Y$ , that for the orders *k* and bounds  $d_k(n, \delta, \delta')$  for the degrees of subvarieties  $Z \subset X_k$  that have to be checked in the definition of a pair of strong general type. In fact [4] have to be checked in the definition of a pair of strong general type. In fact,  $[4]$ <sup>413</sup> produces more or less explicit bounds for the order *k* such that Proposition 3.3 holds true. The degree of the divisor  $\Sigma$  is given by a section of a certain twisted line bundle 415 *O*<sub>*X<sub>k</sub>*</sub> (*m*) ⊗  $\pi_{k,0}^*O_X(-A)$  that we know to be big by an application of holomorphic 416 Morse inequalities – and the bounds for the degrees of  $(X_k, V_k)$  then provide bounds Morse inequalities – and the bounds for the degrees of  $(X_k, V_k)$  then provide bounds  $\Box$  for *m*.

4.9 Remark The condition that  $(X, V)$  is strongly of general type seems to be related to some sort of stability condition. We are unsure what is the most appropriate definition, but here is one that makes sense. Fix an ample divisor *A* on *X*. For every irreducible subvariety *Z* ⊂ *X<sub>k</sub>* that projects onto *X<sub>k−1</sub>* for *k* ≥ 1, and *Z* = *X* = *X*<sub>0</sub> for  $k = 0$ , we define the slope  $\mu_A(Z, W)$  of the corresponding directed variety  $(Z, W)$  to be

$$
\mu_A(Z, W) = \frac{\inf \lambda}{\operatorname{rank} W},
$$

where  $\lambda$  runs over all rational numbers such that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  for which

$$
K_W \otimes \big(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}(\lambda A)\big)_{|Z} \text{ is big on } Z
$$

(again, we assume here that  $Z \not\subset D_k$  for  $k \geq 2$ ). Notice that  $(X, V)$  is of general type if and only if  $\mu_A(X, V) < 0$ , and that  $\mu_A(Z, W) = -\infty$  if  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)_{|A}$  is big. Also, the proof of Lemma 2.5 shows that

$$
\mu_A(X_k, V_k) \le \mu_A(X_{k-1}, V_{k-1}) \le \ldots \le \mu_A(X, V) \quad \text{for all } k
$$

418 (with  $\mu_A(X_k, V_k) = -\infty$  for  $k \ge k_0 \gg 1$  if  $(X, V)$  is of general type). We say that  $(X, V)$  is *A*-iet-stable (resp. *A*-iet-semi-stable) if  $\mu_A(Z, W) \le \mu_A(X, V)$  (resp. 419 that  $(X, V)$  is *A-jet-stable* (resp. *A-jet-semi-stable*) if  $\mu_A(Z, W) < \mu_A(X, V)$  (resp.<br>420  $\mu_A(Z, W) < \mu_A(X, V)$ ) for all  $Z \subseteq X_k$  as above. It is then clear that if  $(X, V)$  is of  $\mu_A(Z, W) \leq \mu_A(X, V)$  for all  $Z \subsetneq X_k$  as above. It is then clear that if  $(X, V)$  is of general type and A-iet-semi-stable, then it is strongly of general type in the sense of <sup>421</sup> general type and *A*-jet-semi-stable, then it is strongly of general type in the sense of <sup>422</sup> Definition 4.1. It would be useful to have a better understanding of this condition of 423 stability (or any other one that would have better properties).  $\Box$ 

**Example (a.s.** It would be useful to have a better understanding of this condition<br> **UNCORRECTED** As a more that would have better properties).<br> *UDCRECTION* CEV and the section of X is a minimal complex surface are set *4.10 Example* (**case of surfaces**) Assume that *X* is a minimal complex surface of general type and  $V = T_X$  (absolute case). Then  $K_X$  is nef and big and the Chern classes of *X* satisfy  $c_1 \leq 0$  ( $-c_1$  is big and nef) and  $c_2 \geq 0$ . The Semple jet-bundles *X<sub>k</sub>* form here a tower of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles and dim *X<sub>k</sub>* = *k* + 2. Since det *V*<sup>∗</sup> = *K<sub>X</sub>* is big, the strong general type assumption of 4.6 and 4.8 need only be checked for irreducible hypersurfaces  $Z \subset X_k$  distinct from  $D_k$  that project onto  $X_{k-1}$ , of relative degree *m*. The projection  $\pi_{k,k-1}$  :  $Z \rightarrow X_{k-1}$  is a ramified *m* : 1 cover. Putting  $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}(B), B \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , we can apply (4.1) to get an inclusion

$$
K_W \supset (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{1}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^*(B \otimes K_X))_{|Z} \otimes \mathcal{J}_S, \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^k, \quad a_k = m.
$$

Let us assume  $k = 1$  and  $S = \emptyset$  to make things even simpler, and let us perform numerical calculations in the cohomology ring

$$
H^{\bullet}(X_1, \mathbb{Z}) = H^{\bullet}(X)[u]/(u^2 + c_1u + c_2), \qquad u = c_1(O_{X_1}(1))
$$

(cf. [3, Section 2] for similar calculations and more details). We have

$$
Z \equiv mu + b
$$
 where  $b = c_1(B)$  and  $K_W \equiv (m - 1)u + b - c_1$ .

We are allowed here to add to  $K_W$  an arbitrary multiple  $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(p)$ ,  $p \geq 0$ , which we 425 rather write  $p = mt + 1 - m$ ,  $t \ge 1 - 1/m$ . An evaluation of the Euler-Poincaré <sup>426</sup> characteristic of  $K_W + \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(p)_{|Z}$  requires computing the intersection number

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{427} \qquad & \left( K_W + \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(p)_{|Z} \right)^2 \cdot Z = \left( mt \, u + b - c_1 \right)^2 (mu + b) \\ &= m^2 t^2 \left( m (c_1^2 - c_2) - bc_1 \right) + 2mt (b - mc_1)(b - c_1) \\ &+ m (b - c_1)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}
$$

taking into account that  $u^3 \cdot X_1 = c_1^2 - c_2$ . In case  $S \neq \emptyset$ , there is an additional 432 (negative) contribution from the ideal  $\mathcal{J}_S$  which is  $O(t)$  since *S* is at most a curve. In as any case, for  $t \gg 1$ , the leading term in the expansion is  $m^2t^2(m(c_1^2-c_2)-bc_1)$  and the other terms are negligible with respect to  $t^2$ , including the one coming from *S*. 435 We know that  $T_X$  is semistable with respect to  $c_1(K_X) = -c_1 \geq 0$ . Multiplication by the section  $\sigma$  yields a morphism  $\pi_{1,0}^*O_X(-B) \to O_{X_1}(m)$ , hence by direct image,<br>27 a morphism  $O_V(-B) \to S^mT^*$  Evaluating slopes against  $K_V$  (a big nef class) a morphism  $\mathcal{O}_X(-B) \to S^m T_X^*$ . Evaluating slopes against  $K_X$  (a big nef class), the semistability condition implies  $bc_1 \n\leq \frac{m}{2}c_1^2$ , and our leading term is bigger that Author ProofAuthor Proof

onditions become less demanding for higher order jets (e.g.  $c_1^2 > c_2$  is enoury<br>  $U \subset X_2$ , and  $c_1^2 > c_1^2$  suitings for  $Z \subset X_3$ . When rank  $V = 1$ , maigror-<br>
come from the use of Ahlfors currents in combination with M  $m^3t^2(\frac{1}{2}c_1^2-c_2)$ . We get a positive answer in the well-known case where  $c_1^2 > 2c_2$ , corresponding to *TX* being almost ample. Analyzing positivity for the full range of values  $(k, m, t)$  and of singular sets *S* seems an unsurmountable task at this point; in general, calculations made in [\[3,](#page-19-14) [12](#page-19-15)] indicate that the Chern class and semistability conditions become less demanding for higher order jets (e.g.  $c_1^2 > c_2$  is enough for *Z* ⊂ *X*<sub>2</sub>, and  $c_1^2$  >  $\frac{9}{13}c_2$  suffices for *Z* ⊂ *X*<sub>3</sub>). When rank *V* = 1, major gains come from the use of Ahlfors currents in combination with McQuillan's tautological inequalities [11]. We therefore hope for a substantial strengthening of the above sufficient conditions, and a better understanding of the stability issues, possibly in combination with a use of Ahlfors currents and tautological inequalities. In the 449 case of surfaces, an application of Proposition 4.6 for  $k_0 = 1$  and an analysis of the behaviour of rank 1 (multi-)foliations on the surface *X* (with the crucial use of [11]) was the main argument used in [3] to prove the hyperbolicity of very general surfaces of degree  $d \ge 21$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . For these surfaces, one has  $c_1^2 < c_2$  and  $c_1^2/c_2 \to 1$ 453 as  $d \to +\infty$ . Applying Proposition 4.6 for higher values  $k_0 \geq 2$  might allow to enlarge the range of tractable surfaces, if the behavior of rank 1 (multi)-foliations on  $X_{k_0-1}$  can be analyzed independently.

#### <span id="page-18-0"></span><sup>456</sup> **5 Algebraic Jet-Hyperbolicity Implies Kobayashi** <sup>457</sup> **Hyperbolicity**

458 Let  $(X, V)$  be a directed variety, where X is an irreducible projective variety; the <sup>459</sup> concept still makes sense when *X* is singular, by embedding (*X*, *V* ) in a projective <sup>460</sup> space ( $\mathbb{P}^N$ ,  $T_{\mathbb{P}^N}$ ) and taking the linear space *V* to be an irreducible algebraic subset 461 of  $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  that is contained in  $T_X$  at regular points of X.

462 **5.1 Definition** Let  $(X, V)$  be a directed variety. We say that  $(X, V)$  is algebraically 463 jet-hyperbolic if for every  $k \ge 0$  and every irreducible algebraic subvariety  $Z \subset X_k$ that is not contained in the union  $\Delta_k$  of vertical divisors, the induced directed structure 465 (*Z*, *W*) either satisfies  $W = 0$ , or is of general type modulo  $X_k \rightarrow X$ , i.e. has a 466 desingularization  $(\widehat{Z}, \widehat{W})$ ,  $\mu : \widehat{Z} \to \widehat{Z}$ , such that some twisted canonical sheaf<br>467  $K_{\widehat{W}} \otimes \mu^*(\mathcal{O}_Y, (\mathbf{a})_{|\mathcal{Z}})$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , is big.  $K_{\widehat{W}} \otimes \mu^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a})_{|Z}), \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , is big.

<sup>468</sup> Proposition 4.6 then gives

<sup>469</sup> **5.2 Theorem** *Let* (*X*, *V* ) *be an irreducible projective directed variety that is alge-*<sup>470</sup> *braically jet-hyperbolic in the sense of the above definition. Then* (*X*, *V* ) *is Brody*  $471$  (*or Kobayashi*) *hyperbolic, i.e.*  $ECL(X, V) = \emptyset$ .

<sup>472</sup> *Proof* Here we apply Proposition 4.6 with  $k_0 = 0$  and  $p_0 = 1$ . It is enough to deal 473 with subvarieties  $Z \subset X_k$  such that dim  $\pi_{k,0}(Z) \geq 1$ , otherwise  $W = 0$  and can reduce  $Z$  to a smaller subvariety by (3.2). Then we conclude that dim ECL(*X*, *V*) < reduce *Z* to a smaller subvariety by (3.2). Then we conclude that dim ECL(*X*, *V*) < <sup>475</sup> 1. All entire curves tangent to *V* have to be constant, and we conclude in fact that  $476$  ECL(*X*, *V*) =  $\emptyset$ .

#### **References**

- <span id="page-19-2"></span> 1. J.-P. Demailly, Algebraic criteria for Kobayashi hyperbolic projective varieties and jet dif- ferentials. AMS Summer School on Algebraic Geometry, Santa Cruz 1995, in *Proceedings Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, ed. by J. Kollár, R. Lazarsfeld, Am. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 285–360 (1997)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>Symposizion *Fore Mathematics*, ed. by J. Kollár, R. Lazarschol, Am. Math. Soc. Providents in *Pare Mathematics*, ed. by J. Kollár, R. Lazarschol, Am. Math. Soc. Providents and the CHET Deaz. Although the analysis of the 2 2. J.-P. Demailly, Variétés hyperboliques et équations différentielles algébriques. Gaz. Math. **73**, 3–23 (juillet 1997). [http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/cartanaugm.](http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/cartanaugm.pdf) pdf
- <span id="page-19-14"></span> 3. J.-P. Demailly, J. El Goul, Hyperbolicity of generic surfaces of high degree in projective 3-space. Am. J. Math. **122**, 515–546 (2000)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span> 4. J.-P. Demailly, Holomorphic Morse inequalities and the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture. Pure App. Math. Q. **7**, 1165–1208 (2011) . November 2010, arxiv:math.AG/1011.3636, dedicated to the memory of Eckart Viehweg
- <span id="page-19-5"></span> 5. S. Diverio, J. Merker, E. Rousseau, Effective algebraic degeneracy. Invent. Math. **180**, 161–223 (2010)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span> 6. S. Diverio, E. Rousseau, The exceptional set and the Green-Griffiths locus do not always coincide. arxiv:math.AG/1302.4756 (v2)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span> 7. M. Green, P. Griffiths, Two applications of algebraic geometry to entire holomorphic mappings, in *The Chern Symposium, Proceedings of the International Symposium Berkeley, CA, 1979*. Springer, New York, pp. 41–74 (1980)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span> 8. S. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings*, Pure and Applied Mathe-matics, vol. 2 (Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1970)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span> 9. S. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic complex spaces*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 318 (Springer, Berlin, 1998)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>10. S. Lang, Hyperbolic and Diophantine analysis. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **14**, 159–205 (1986)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span> 11. M. McQuillan, Diophantine approximation and foliations. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **87**, 121–174 (1998)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span> 12. M. McQuillan, Holomorphic curves on hyperplane sections of 3-folds. Geom. Funct. Anal. **9**, 370–392 (1999)
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>506 13. M. Păun, Vector fields on the total space of hypersurfaces in the projective space and hyper-bolicity. Math. Ann. **340**, 875–892 (2008)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span> 14. Y.T. Siu, Some recent transcendental techniques in algebraic and complex geometry, in *Pro- ceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I*, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, pp. 439–448
- <span id="page-19-8"></span> 15. Y.T. Siu, *Hyperbolicity in Complex Geometry*, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel (Springer, Berlin, 2004), pp. 543–566
- 16. Y.T. Siu, S.K. Yeung, Hyperbolicity of the complement of a generic smooth curve of high
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>degree in the complex projective plane. Invent. Math. **124**, 573–618 (1996)

### **Author Queries**

#### **Chapter 8**

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

# **MARKED PROOF**

## **Please correct and return this set**

Please use the proof correction marks shown below for all alterations and corrections. If you in dark ink and are made well within the page margins. wish to return your proof by fax you should ensure that all amendments are written clearly

