

On the Green-Griffiths-Lang and Kobayashi conjectures for the hyperbolicity of algebraic varieties

Jean-Pierre Demailly

Institut Fourier, Université de Grenoble I & Académie des Sciences de Paris

Conference “Uniformization, Riemann-Hilbert Correspondence,
Calabi-Yau Manifolds, and Picard-Fuchs Equations”

Institut Mittag-Leffler, July 13-18, 2015

Kobayashi hyperbolicity and entire curves

Definition

A complex space X is said to be **Kobayashi hyperbolic** if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

Kobayashi hyperbolicity and entire curves

Definition

A complex space X is said to be **Kobayashi hyperbolic** if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

By an **entire curve** we mean a non constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ into a complex n -dimensional manifold.

Kobayashi hyperbolicity and entire curves

Definition

A complex space X is said to be **Kobayashi hyperbolic** if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

By an **entire curve** we mean a non constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ into a complex n -dimensional manifold.

Theorem (Brody, 1978)

For a **compact** complex manifold X , $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$, TFAE:

- (i) X is **Kobayashi hyperbolic**
- (ii) X is **Brody hyperbolic**, i.e. \nexists entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$
- (iii) The Kobayashi **infinitesimal pseudometric** is everywhere non degenerate

Kobayashi hyperbolicity and entire curves

Definition

A complex space X is said to be **Kobayashi hyperbolic** if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

By an **entire curve** we mean a non constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ into a complex n -dimensional manifold.

Theorem (Brody, 1978)

For a **compact** complex manifold X , $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$, TFAE:

- (i) X is **Kobayashi hyperbolic**
- (ii) X is **Brody hyperbolic**, i.e. \nexists entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$
- (iii) The Kobayashi **infinitesimal pseudometric** is everywhere non degenerate

Our interest is the study of hyperbolicity for **projective varieties**.
In dim 1, X is hyperbolic iff genus $g \geq 2$.

Positivity concepts/General type varieties

Definition

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle on a nonsingular complex projective variety X .

- L is said to be **ample** if for $m \gg 1$ the space of sections $S_m = H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ gives an embedding

$$\Phi_m : X \hookrightarrow P(S_m^*) = \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}, \quad N_m = \dim S_m.$$

Positivity concepts/General type varieties

Definition

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle on a nonsingular complex projective variety X .

- L is said to be **ample** if for $m \gg 1$ the space of sections $S_m = H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ gives an embedding

$$\Phi_m : X \hookrightarrow P(S_m^*) = \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}, \quad N_m = \dim S_m.$$

- L is said to be **big** if the dimensions of sections

$$\dim H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \sim cm^n$$

have maximal growth $\kappa(L) = n = \dim X$.

Positivity concepts/General type varieties

Definition

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle on a nonsingular complex projective variety X .

- L is said to be **ample** if for $m \gg 1$ the space of sections $S_m = H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ gives an embedding

$$\Phi_m : X \hookrightarrow P(S_m^*) = \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}, \quad N_m = \dim S_m.$$

- L is said to be **big** if the dimensions of sections

$$\dim H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \sim cm^n$$

have maximal growth $\kappa(L) = n = \dim X$.

- X is said to be of **general type** if K_X is big.

Positivity concepts/General type varieties

Definition

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle on a nonsingular complex projective variety X .

- L is said to be **ample** if for $m \gg 1$ the space of sections $S_m = H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ gives an embedding

$$\Phi_m : X \hookrightarrow P(S_m^*) = \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}, \quad N_m = \dim S_m.$$

- L is said to be **big** if the dimensions of sections

$$\dim H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \sim cm^n$$

have maximal growth $\kappa(L) = n = \dim X$.

- X is said to be of **general type** if K_X is big.

Example

A non singular hypersurface $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree d has $K_X = \mathcal{O}(d - n - 2)$, **X is of general type iff $d > n + 2$.**

Main conjectures

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

- A compact complex variety X is **volume hyperbolic** iff X is of **general type**, i.e. K_X is big.

Main conjectures

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

- A compact complex variety X is **volume hyperbolic** iff X is of **general type**, i.e. K_X is big.
- In particular, this is so if X is **Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic**; one expects K_X to be **ample** in that case.

Main conjectures

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

- A compact complex variety X is **volume hyperbolic** iff X is of **general type**, i.e. K_X is big.
- In particular, this is so if X is **Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic**; one expects K_X to be **ample** in that case.

Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture (GGL)

Let X be a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} of general type. Then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ algebraic such that all entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfy $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Main conjectures

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

- A compact complex variety X is **volume hyperbolic** iff X is of **general type**, i.e. K_X is big.
- In particular, this is so if X is **Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic**; one expects K_X to be **ample** in that case.

Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture (GGL)

Let X be a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} of general type. Then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ algebraic such that all entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfy $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Consequence of CGT + GGL

A compact complex manifold X should be Kobayashi hyperbolic iff it is projective and every subvariety Y of X is of **general type**.

Main conjectures

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

- A compact complex variety X is **volume hyperbolic** iff X is of **general type**, i.e. K_X is big.
- In particular, this is so if X is **Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic**; one expects K_X to be **ample** in that case.

Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture (GGL)

Let X be a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} of general type. Then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ algebraic such that all entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfy $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Consequence of CGT + GGL

A compact complex manifold X should be Kobayashi hyperbolic iff it is projective and every subvariety Y of X is of **general type**.

Arithmetic counterpart (**Lang 1987**): If X is projective and defined over a number field, the smallest locus $Y = \text{GGL}(X)$ in GGL's conjecture is also the smallest Y such that $X(\mathbb{K}) \setminus Y$ is finite $\forall \mathbb{K}$.

Results on the Kobayashi conjecture

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

- Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \geq d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Results on the Kobayashi conjecture

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

- Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \geq d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.
- By a result of M. Zaidenberg, the optimal bound must satisfy $d_n \geq 2n + 1$, and one expects $d_n = 2n + 1$.

Results on the Kobayashi conjecture

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

- Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \geq d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.
- By a result of M. Zaidenberg, the optimal bound must satisfy $d_n \geq 2n + 1$, and one expects $d_n = 2n + 1$.

Using “jet technology” and **deep results of McQuillan** for curve foliations on surfaces, the following has been proved:

Theorem (D., El Goul, 1998)

A very generic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of **degree $d \geq 21$** is hyperbolic. Independently McQuillan got $d \geq 35$.

This was more recently improved to **$d \geq 18$** (Păun, 2008).

Results on the Kobayashi conjecture

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

- Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \geq d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.
- By a result of M. Zaidenberg, the optimal bound must satisfy $d_n \geq 2n + 1$, and one expects $d_n = 2n + 1$.

Using “jet technology” and **deep results of McQuillan** for curve foliations on surfaces, the following has been proved:

Theorem (D., El Goul, 1998)

A very generic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of **degree $d \geq 21$** is hyperbolic. Independently McQuillan got $d \geq 35$.

This was more recently improved to **$d \geq 18$** (Păun, 2008).

In 2012, Yum-Tong Siu announced a proof of the case of **arbitrary dimension n , with a very large d_n** (and a rather involved proof).

Results on the generic Green-Griffiths conjecture

By a combination of an algebraic existence theorem for jet differentials and of Siu's technique of "slanted vector fields" (itself derived from ideas of H. Clemens, L. Ein and C. Voisin), the following was proved:

Theorem (S. Diverio, J. Merker, E. Rousseau, 2009)

A generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $d \geq d_n := 2^{n^5}$ satisfies the GGL conjecture.

Results on the generic Green-Griffiths conjecture

By a combination of an algebraic existence theorem for jet differentials and of Siu's technique of "slanted vector fields" (itself derived from ideas of H. Clemens, L. Ein and C. Voisin), the following was proved:

Theorem (S. Diverio, J. Merker, E. Rousseau, 2009)

A generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $d \geq d_n := 2^{n^5}$ satisfies the GGL conjecture.

The bound was improved by (D-, 2012) to

$$d_n = \left\lfloor \frac{n^4}{3} (n \log(n \log(24n)))^n \right\rfloor = O(\exp(n^{1+\varepsilon})), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Results on the generic Green-Griffiths conjecture

By a combination of an algebraic existence theorem for jet differentials and of Siu's technique of "slanted vector fields" (itself derived from ideas of H. Clemens, L. Ein and C. Voisin), the following was proved:

Theorem (S. Diverio, J. Merker, E. Rousseau, 2009)

A generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $d \geq d_n := 2^{n^5}$ satisfies the GGL conjecture.

The bound was improved by (D-, 2012) to

$$d_n = \left\lfloor \frac{n^4}{3} (n \log(n \log(24n)))^n \right\rfloor = O(\exp(n^{1+\varepsilon})), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Theorem (S. Diverio, S. Trapani, 2009)

Additionally, a generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ of degree $d \geq 593$ is hyperbolic.

Category of directed manifolds

- **Goal.** More generally, we are interested in curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ such that $f'(\mathbb{C}) \subset V$ where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that $\forall x \in X, V_x := V \cap T_{X,x}$ linear).

Category of directed manifolds

- **Goal.** More generally, we are interested in curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ such that $f'(\mathbb{C}) \subset V$ where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that $\forall x \in X, V_x := V \cap T_{X,x}$ linear).
- **Definition.** *Category of directed manifolds :*
 - **Objects** : pairs (X, V) , X manifold/ \mathbb{C} and $V \subset T_X$
 - **Arrows** $\psi : (X, V) \rightarrow (Y, W)$ holomorphic s.t. $\psi_* V \subset W$

Category of directed manifolds

- **Goal.** More generally, we are interested in curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ such that $f'(\mathbb{C}) \subset V$ where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that $\forall x \in X, V_x := V \cap T_{X,x}$ linear).
- **Definition.** *Category of directed manifolds :*
 - **Objects** : pairs (X, V) , X manifold/ \mathbb{C} and $V \subset T_X$
 - **Arrows** $\psi : (X, V) \rightarrow (Y, W)$ holomorphic s.t. $\psi_* V \subset W$
 - “**Absolute case**” (X, T_X) , i.e. $V = T_X$
 - “**Relative case**” $(X, T_{X/S})$ where $X \rightarrow S$
 - “**Integrable case**” when $[V, V] \subset V$ (foliations)

Category of directed manifolds

- **Goal.** More generally, we are interested in curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ such that $f'(\mathbb{C}) \subset V$ where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that $\forall x \in X, V_x := V \cap T_{X,x}$ linear).
- **Definition.** *Category of directed manifolds :*
 - **Objects** : pairs (X, V) , X manifold/ \mathbb{C} and $V \subset T_X$
 - **Arrows** $\psi : (X, V) \rightarrow (Y, W)$ holomorphic s.t. $\psi_* V \subset W$
 - “**Absolute case**” (X, T_X) , i.e. $V = T_X$
 - “**Relative case**” $(X, T_{X/S})$ where $X \rightarrow S$
 - “**Integrable case**” when $[V, V] \subset V$ (foliations)
- **Functor “1-jet”** : $(X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ where :
 - $\tilde{X} = P(V)$ = bundle of projective spaces of lines in V
 - $\pi : \tilde{X} = P(V) \rightarrow X, \quad (x, [v]) \mapsto x, \quad v \in V_x$
 - $\tilde{V}_{(x, [v])} = \{ \xi \in T_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])} ; \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C}v \subset T_{X, x} \}$

Simple jet bundles

- For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ tangent to V

$$f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$$

$$f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V}) \quad (\text{projectivized 1st-jet})$$

Simple jet bundles

- For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ tangent to V

$$f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$$

$$f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V}) \quad (\text{projectivized 1st-jet})$$

- **Definition.** *Simple jet bundles :*

- $(X_k, V_k) = k$ -th iteration of functor $(X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$
- $f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ is the **projectivized k -jet of f .**

Simple jet bundles

- For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ tangent to V

$$f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$$

$$f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V}) \quad (\text{projectivized 1st-jet})$$

- **Definition.** Simple jet bundles :

- $(X_k, V_k) = k$ -th iteration of fonctor $(X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$
- $f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ is the **projectivized k -jet of f** .

- **Basic exact sequences**

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{X}/X} \rightarrow \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{rk } \tilde{V} = r = \text{rk } V$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \pi^* V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(1) \rightarrow T_{\tilde{X}/X} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{Euler})$$

Simple jet bundles

- For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ tangent to V

$$f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$$

$$f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V}) \quad (\text{projectivized 1st-jet})$$

- **Definition.** Simple jet bundles :

- $(X_k, V_k) = k$ -th iteration of fonctor $(X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$
- $f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ is the **projectivized k -jet of f** .

- **Basic exact sequences**

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{X}/X} \rightarrow \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{rk } \tilde{V} = r = \text{rk } V$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \pi^* V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(1) \rightarrow T_{\tilde{X}/X} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{Euler})$$

$$0 \rightarrow T_{X_k/X_{k-1}} \rightarrow V_k \xrightarrow{(\pi_k)^*} \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{rk } V_k = r$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \rightarrow \pi_k^* V_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) \rightarrow T_{X_k/X_{k-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{Euler})$$

Direct image formula

For $n = \dim X$ and $r = \operatorname{rk} V$, one gets a **tower of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundles**

$$\pi_{k,0} : X_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = X$$

with **$\dim X_k = n + k(r - 1)$, $\operatorname{rk} V_k = r$,**

and **tautological line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$ on $X_k = P(V_{k-1})$.**

Direct image formula

For $n = \dim X$ and $r = \operatorname{rk} V$, one gets a **tower of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundles**

$$\pi_{k,0} : X_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = X$$

with **$\dim X_k = n + k(r - 1)$, $\operatorname{rk} V_k = r$,**

and **tautological line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$ on $X_k = P(V_{k-1})$.**

Theorem

X_k is a smooth compactification of $X_k^{\operatorname{GG},\operatorname{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k = J_k^{\operatorname{GG},\operatorname{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k$,
where \mathbb{G}_k is the group of k -jets of germs of biholomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$, acting on the right by reparametrization: $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$,
and J_k^{reg} is the space of k -jets of regular curves.

Direct image formula

For $n = \dim X$ and $r = \operatorname{rk} V$, one gets a **tower of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundles**

$$\pi_{k,0} : X_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = X$$

with **$\dim X_k = n + k(r - 1)$, $\operatorname{rk} V_k = r$,**

and **tautological line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$ on $X_k = P(V_{k-1})$.**

Theorem

X_k is a smooth compactification of $X_k^{\operatorname{GG},\operatorname{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k = J_k^{\operatorname{GG},\operatorname{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k$, where \mathbb{G}_k is the group of k -jets of germs of biholomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$, acting on the right by reparametrization: $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, and J_k^{reg} is the space of k -jets of regular curves.

Direct image formula

$(\pi_{k,0})_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) = E_{k,m} V^*$ = invariant algebraic differential operators $f \mapsto P(f_{[k]})$ acting on germs of curves
 $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$.

Definition of algebraic differential operators

Let $(\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, $t \mapsto f(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ be a curve written in some local holomorphic coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) on X . It has a local Taylor expansion

$$f(t) = x + t\xi_1 + \dots + t^k\xi_k + O(t^{k+1}), \quad \xi_s = \frac{1}{s!} \nabla^s f(0)$$

for some connection ∇ on V .

Definition of algebraic differential operators

Let $(\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, $t \mapsto f(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ be a curve written in some local holomorphic coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) on X . It has a local Taylor expansion

$$f(t) = x + t\xi_1 + \dots + t^k\xi_k + O(t^{k+1}), \quad \xi_s = \frac{1}{s!} \nabla^s f(0)$$

for some connection ∇ on V .

One considers the **Green-Griffiths bundle** $E_{k,m}^{\text{GG}} V^*$ of polynomials of weighted degree m written locally in coordinate charts as

$$P(x; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = \sum a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(x) \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad \xi_s \in V,$$

also viewed as **algebraic differential operators**

$$\begin{aligned} P(f_{[k]}) &= P(f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)}) \\ &= \sum a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(f(t)) f'(t)^{\alpha_1} f''(t)^{\alpha_2} \dots f^{(k)}(t)^{\alpha_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition of algebraic differential operators [2]

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its k -jet, and $a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to be holomorphic functions on X .

Definition of algebraic differential operators [2]

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its k -jet, and $a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to be holomorphic functions on X .

The \mathbb{G}_k -action : $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, yields in particular,
 $\varphi_\lambda(t) = \lambda t \Rightarrow (f \circ \varphi_\lambda)^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k f^{(k)}(\lambda t)$, whence a \mathbb{C}^* -action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

Definition of algebraic differential operators [2]

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its k -jet, and $a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to be holomorphic functions on X .

The \mathbb{G}_k -action : $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, yields in particular,
 $\varphi_\lambda(t) = \lambda t \Rightarrow (f \circ \varphi_\lambda)^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k f^{(k)}(\lambda t)$, whence a \mathbb{C}^* -action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

$E_{k,m}^{\text{GG}}$ is precisely the set of polynomials of weighted degree m , corresponding to coefficients $a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}$ with $m = |\alpha_1| + 2|\alpha_2| + \dots + k|\alpha_k|$.

Definition of algebraic differential operators [2]

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its k -jet, and $a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to be holomorphic functions on X .

The \mathbb{G}_k -action : $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, yields in particular,
 $\varphi_\lambda(t) = \lambda t \Rightarrow (f \circ \varphi_\lambda)^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k f^{(k)}(\lambda t)$, whence a \mathbb{C}^* -action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

$E_{k,m}^{\text{GG}}$ is precisely the set of polynomials of weighted degree m , corresponding to coefficients $a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}$ with $m = |\alpha_1| + 2|\alpha_2| + \dots + k|\alpha_k|$.

$E_{k,m} V^* \subset E_{k,m}^{\text{GG}} V^*$ is the bundle of \mathbb{G}_k -"invariant" operators, i.e. such that

$$P((f \circ \varphi)_{[k]}) = \varphi'^m P(f_{[k]}) \circ \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{G}_k.$$

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X, V)

When V is nonsingular, we simply set $K_V = \det(V^*)$.

When V is singular, the canonical sheaf K_V is the rank 1 analytic sheaf defined as the integral closure of the image of the natural morphism

$$\Lambda^r T_X^* \rightarrow \Lambda^r V^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V := \text{invert. sheaf } (\Lambda^r V^*)^{**}$$

that is, if the image is $\mathcal{L}_V \otimes \mathcal{I}_V$, $\mathcal{I}_V \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, one sets

$$K_V^X = \mathcal{L}_V \otimes \overline{\mathcal{I}_V}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{I}_V} = \text{integral closure of } \mathcal{I}_V.$$

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X, V)

When V is nonsingular, we simply set $K_V = \det(V^*)$.

When V is singular, the canonical sheaf K_V is the rank 1 analytic sheaf defined as the integral closure of the image of the natural morphism

$$\Lambda^r T_X^* \rightarrow \Lambda^r V^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V := \text{invert. sheaf } (\Lambda^r V^*)^{**}$$

that is, if the image is $\mathcal{L}_V \otimes \mathcal{I}_V$, $\mathcal{I}_V \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, one sets

$$K_V^X = \mathcal{L}_V \otimes \overline{\mathcal{I}_V}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{I}_V} = \text{integral closure of } \mathcal{I}_V.$$

Sections of K_V^X can be seen as sections of \mathcal{L}_V that are **locally bounded** with respect to a smooth hermitian metric ω on T_X .

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X, V)

When V is nonsingular, we simply set $K_V = \det(V^*)$.

When V is singular, the canonical sheaf K_V is the rank 1 analytic sheaf defined as the integral closure of the image of the natural morphism

$$\Lambda^r T_X^* \rightarrow \Lambda^r V^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V := \text{invert. sheaf } (\Lambda^r V^*)^{**}$$

that is, if the image is $\mathcal{L}_V \otimes \mathcal{I}_V$, $\mathcal{I}_V \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, one sets

$$K_V^X = \mathcal{L}_V \otimes \overline{\mathcal{I}}_V, \quad \overline{\mathcal{I}}_V = \text{integral closure of } \mathcal{I}_V.$$

Sections of K_V^X can be seen as sections of \mathcal{L}_V that are **locally bounded** with respect to a smooth hermitian metric ω on T_X .

Definition

We say that (X, V) is of general type if there exist proper modifications $\mu = \hat{\mu} \circ \tilde{\mu} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $\hat{\mu}^* K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}}$ is a **big invertible sheaf** on \hat{X} , where \tilde{X} is equipped with the pull-back

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X, V) [2]

Alternatively, it is not hard to see that if $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a modification, there are for every $m > 0$ injective morphisms of rank one sheaves

$$(K_V^X)^{\otimes m} \hookrightarrow \mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_V^{\otimes m},$$

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X, V) [2]

Alternatively, it is not hard to see that if $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a modification, there are for every $m > 0$ injective morphisms of rank one sheaves

$$(K_V^X)^{\otimes m} \hookrightarrow \mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_V^{\otimes m},$$

and that the direct images $\mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m})$ form an increasing filtering family when μ is taken to be a deeper modification (pull-backs of forms that are ω bounded on X are trivially bounded w.r.t. a hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on \tilde{X}). By Noetherianity, there exists a maximal element and one can define a birationally invariant **pluricanonical sheaf sequence** of (X, V) by

$$K_V^{[m]} = \lim_{\mu} \mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m}).$$

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X, V) [2]

Alternatively, it is not hard to see that if $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a modification, there are for every $m > 0$ injective morphisms of rank one sheaves

$$(K_V^X)^{\otimes m} \hookrightarrow \mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_V^{\otimes m},$$

and that the direct images $\mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m})$ form an increasing filtering family when μ is taken to be a deeper modification (pull-backs of forms that are ω bounded on X are trivially bounded w.r.t. a hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on \tilde{X}). By Noetherianity, there exists a maximal element and one can define a birationally invariant **pluricanonical sheaf sequence** of (X, V) by

$$K_V^{[m]} = \lim_{\mu} \mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m}).$$

Then (X, V) is of general type iff $h^0(X, K_V^{[m]})$ grows as $c m^{\dim X}$, $c > 0$.

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X, V) [2]

Alternatively, it is not hard to see that if $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a modification, there are for every $m > 0$ injective morphisms of rank one sheaves

$$(K_V^X)^{\otimes m} \hookrightarrow \mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_V^{\otimes m},$$

and that the direct images $\mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m})$ form an increasing filtering family when μ is taken to be a deeper modification (pull-backs of forms that are ω bounded on X are trivially bounded w.r.t. a hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on \tilde{X}). By Noetherianity, there exists a maximal element and one can define a birationally invariant **pluricanonical sheaf sequence** of (X, V) by

$$K_V^{[m]} = \lim_{\mu} \mu_*((K_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{X}})^{\otimes m}).$$

Then (X, V) is of general type iff $h^0(X, K_V^{[m]})$ grows as $c m^{\dim X}$, $c > 0$.

It would be important to know if one has **invariance of “directed”**

Generalized GGL conjecture

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. K_V big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Generalized GGL conjecture

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. K_V big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Remark. Elementary by Ahlfors-Schwarz if $r = \operatorname{rk} V = 1$.
 $t \mapsto \log \|f'(t)\|_{V,h}$ is strictly subharmonic if $r = 1$ and (V^*, h^*) big.

Generalized GGL conjecture

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. K_V big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Remark. Elementary by Ahlfors-Schwarz if $r = \operatorname{rk} V = 1$.
 $t \mapsto \log \|f'(t)\|_{V,h}$ is strictly subharmonic if $r = 1$ and (V^*, h^*) big.

Strategy : fundamental vanishing theorem

[Green-Griffiths 1979], [Demailly 1995], [Siu-Yeung 1996]
 $\forall P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}^{\operatorname{GG}} V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$: global diff. operator on X
(A ample divisor), $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, one has $P(f_{[k]}) \equiv 0$.

Generalized GGL conjecture

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. K_V big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Remark. Elementary by Ahlfors-Schwarz if $r = \text{rk } V = 1$.
 $t \mapsto \log \|f'(t)\|_{V,h}$ is strictly subharmonic if $r = 1$ and (V^*, h^*) big.

Strategy : fundamental vanishing theorem

[Green-Griffiths 1979], [Demailly 1995], [Siu-Yeung 1996]
 $\forall P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}^{\text{GG}} V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$: global diff. operator on X
(A ample divisor), $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$, one has $P(f_{[k]}) \equiv 0$.

Theorem (D-, 2010)

Let (X, V) be of general type, i.e. s.t. K_V is a big rank 1 sheaf.
Then \exists many global sections P , $m \gg k \gg 1 \Rightarrow \exists$ alg. hypersurface $Z \subsetneq X_k$ s.t. every entire $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ satisfies $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$.

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k -jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k -jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$

Assuming that V is equipped with a hermitian metric h , one defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k V$ by taking $p = k!$ and

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f) := \left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2p/s} \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \cdots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k -jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$

Assuming that V is equipped with a hermitian metric h , one defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k V$ by taking $p = k!$ and

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f) := \left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2p/s} \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \cdots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Letting $\xi_s = \nabla^s f(0)$, this can actually be viewed as a metric h_k on $L_k := \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\text{GG}}}(1)$, with curvature form $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \mapsto$

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\xi_s|^{2p/s}}{\sum_t |\xi_t|^{2p/t}} \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta} \frac{\xi_{s\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{s\beta}}{|\xi_s|^2} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $(c_{ij\alpha\beta})$ are the coefficients of the curvature tensor Θ_{V^*, h^*} and $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}$ is the vertical Fubini-Study metric on the fibers of $X_k^{\text{GG}} \rightarrow X$.

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k -jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$

Assuming that V is equipped with a hermitian metric h , one defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k V$ by taking $p = k!$ and

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f) := \left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2p/s} \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \cdots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Letting $\xi_s = \nabla^s f(0)$, this can actually be viewed as a metric h_k on $L_k := \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\text{GG}}}(1)$, with curvature form $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \mapsto$

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\xi_s|^{2p/s}}{\sum_t |\xi_t|^{2p/t}} \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta} \frac{\xi_{s\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{s\beta}}{|\xi_s|^2} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $(c_{ij\alpha\beta})$ are the coefficients of the curvature tensor Θ_{V^*, h^*} and $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}$ is the vertical Fubini-Study metric on the fibers of $X_k^{\text{GG}} \rightarrow X$.

The expression gets simpler by using polar coordinates

$$x_s = |\xi_s|_h^{2p/s}, \quad u_s = \xi_s / |\xi_s|_h = \nabla^s f(0) / |\nabla^s f(0)|.$$

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

In such polar coordinates, one gets the formula

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, p, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi)$ is positive definite in ξ . The other terms are a weighted average of the values of the curvature tensor $\Theta_{V, h}$ on vectors u_s in the unit sphere bundle $SV \subset V$.

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

In such polar coordinates, one gets the formula

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, p, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi)$ is positive definite in ξ . The other terms are a weighted average of the values of the curvature tensor $\Theta_{V, h}$ on vectors u_s in the unit sphere bundle $SV \subset V$.

The weighted projective space can be viewed as a circle quotient of the pseudosphere $\sum |\xi_s|^{2p/s} = 1$, so we can take here $x_s \geq 0$, $\sum x_s = 1$. This is essentially a sum of the form $\sum \frac{1}{s} \gamma(u_s)$ where u_s are random points of the sphere, and so as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ this can be estimated by a “Monte-Carlo” integral

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{u \in SV} \gamma(u) du.$$

As γ is quadratic here, $\int_{u \in SV} \gamma(u) du = \frac{1}{r} \text{Tr}(\gamma)$.

Main cohomological estimate

\Rightarrow the leading term only involves the trace of Θ_{V^*, h^*} , i.e. the curvature of $(\det V^*, \det h^*)$, that can be taken > 0 if $\det V^*$ is big.

Corollary (D-, 2010)

Let (X, V) be a directed manifold, $F \rightarrow X$ a \mathbb{Q} -line bundle, (V, h) and (F, h_F) hermitian. Define

$$L_k = \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\text{GG}}}(1) \otimes \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{kr} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k}\right) F\right),$$

$$\eta = \Theta_{\det V^*, \det h^*} + \Theta_{F, h_F}.$$

Then for all $q \geq 0$ and all $m \gg k \gg 1$ such that m is sufficiently divisible, we have upper and lower bounds [$q = 0$ most useful!]

$$h^q(X_k^{\text{GG}}, \mathcal{O}(L_k^{\otimes m})) \leq \frac{m^{n+kr-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^r} \left(\int_{X(\eta, q)} (-1)^q \eta^n + \frac{C}{\log k} \right)$$

Main cohomological estimate

\Rightarrow the leading term only involves the trace of Θ_{V^*, h^*} , i.e. the curvature of $(\det V^*, \det h^*)$, that can be taken > 0 if $\det V^*$ is big.

Corollary (D-, 2010)

Let (X, V) be a directed manifold, $F \rightarrow X$ a \mathbb{Q} -line bundle, (V, h) and (F, h_F) hermitian. Define

$$L_k = \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\text{GG}}}(1) \otimes \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{kr} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k}\right) F\right),$$

$$\eta = \Theta_{\det V^*, \det h^*} + \Theta_{F, h_F}.$$

Then for all $q \geq 0$ and all $m \gg k \gg 1$ such that m is sufficiently divisible, we have upper and lower bounds [$q = 0$ most useful!]

$$h^q(X_k^{\text{GG}}, \mathcal{O}(L_k^{\otimes m})) \leq \frac{m^{n+kr-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^r} \left(\int_{X(\eta, q)} (-1)^q \eta^n + \frac{C}{\log k} \right)$$

$$h^q(X_k^{\text{GG}}, \mathcal{O}(L_k^{\otimes m})) \geq \frac{m^{n+kr-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^r} \left(\int_{X(\eta, q, q \pm 1)} (-1)^q \eta^n - \frac{C}{\log k} \right).$$

Induced directed structure on a subvariety

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k -jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

Induced directed structure on a subvariety

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k -jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

We define an induced directed structure $(Z, W) \hookrightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ by taking the linear subspace $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$ to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ taken on a suitable Zariski open set $Z' \subset Z_{\text{reg}}$ where the intersection has constant rank and is a subbundle of $T_{Z'}$.

Induced directed structure on a subvariety

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k -jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

We define an induced directed structure $(Z, W) \hookrightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ by taking the linear subspace $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$ to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ taken on a suitable Zariski open set $Z' \subset Z_{\text{reg}}$ where the intersection has constant rank and is a subbundle of $T_{Z'}$.

Alternatively, one could also take W to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ in the k -th stage $(\mathcal{X}_k, \mathcal{A}_k)$ of the “absolute Semple tower” associated with $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{A}_0) = (X, T_X)$ (so as to deal only with nonsingular ambient Semple bundles).

Induced directed structure on a subvariety

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k -jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

We define an induced directed structure $(Z, W) \hookrightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ by taking the linear subspace $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$ to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ taken on a suitable Zariski open set $Z' \subset Z_{\text{reg}}$ where the intersection has constant rank and is a subbundle of $T_{Z'}$.

Alternatively, one could also take W to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ in the k -th stage $(\mathcal{X}_k, \mathcal{A}_k)$ of the “absolute Semple tower” associated with $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{A}_0) = (X, T_X)$ (so as to deal only with nonsingular ambient Semple bundles).

This produces an **induced directed subvariety**

$$(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k).$$

It is easy to show that $\pi_{k,k-1}(Z) = X_{k-1} \Rightarrow \text{rk } W < \text{rk } V_k = \text{rk } V$.

Partial solution of GGL conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is “strongly of general type” if it is of general type and for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ that projects onto X , $X_k \not\subset D_k := P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}})$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ is of general type modulo $X_k \rightarrow X$, i.e. $K_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)|_Z$ is big for some $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.

Partial solution of GGL conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is “strongly of general type” if it is of general type and for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ that projects onto X , $X_k \not\subset D_k := P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}})$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ is of **general type modulo $X_k \rightarrow X$** , i.e. $K_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)|_Z$ is big for some $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is strongly of general type, the **Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture holds true** for (X, V) , namely there $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that every non constant holomorphic curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ satisfies $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Partial solution of GGL conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is “strongly of general type” if it is of general type and for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ that projects onto X , $X_k \not\subset D_k := P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}})$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ is of **general type modulo $X_k \rightarrow X$** , i.e. $K_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)|_Z$ is big for some $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is strongly of general type, the **Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture holds true** for (X, V) , namely there $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that every non constant holomorphic curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ satisfies $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Proof: Induction on rank V , using existence of jet differentials.

Related stability property

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X . For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \geq 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for $k = 0$, we define the **slope** of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

$$\frac{\inf \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}; \exists m \in \mathbb{Q}_+, K_W \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}(\lambda A))|_Z \text{ big on } Z \}}{\text{rank } W}.$$

Related stability property

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X . For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \geq 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for $k = 0$, we define the **slope** of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

$$\frac{\inf \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}; \exists m \in \mathbb{Q}_+, K_W \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}(\lambda A))|_Z \text{ big on } Z \}}{\text{rank } W}.$$

Notice that (X, V) is of general type iff $\mu_A(X, V) < 0$.

Related stability property

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X . For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \geq 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for $k = 0$, we define the **slope** of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

$$\frac{\inf \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}; \exists m \in \mathbb{Q}_+, K_W \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}(\lambda A))|_Z \text{ big on } Z \}}{\text{rank } W}.$$

Notice that (X, V) is of general type iff $\mu_A(X, V) < 0$.

We say that (X, V) is **A-jet-stable** (resp. **A-jet-semi-stable**) if $\mu_A(Z, W) < \mu_A(X, V)$ (resp. $\mu_A(Z, W) \leq \mu_A(X, V)$) for all $Z \subsetneq X_k$ as above.

Related stability property

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X . For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \geq 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for $k = 0$, we define the **slope** of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

$$\frac{\inf \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}; \exists m \in \mathbb{Q}_+, K_W \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}(\lambda A))|_Z \text{ big on } Z \}}{\text{rank } W}.$$

Notice that (X, V) is of general type iff $\mu_A(X, V) < 0$.

We say that (X, V) is **A-jet-stable** (resp. **A-jet-semi-stable**) if $\mu_A(Z, W) < \mu_A(X, V)$ (resp. $\mu_A(Z, W) \leq \mu_A(X, V)$) for all $Z \subsetneq X_k$ as above.

Observation. If (X, V) is of general type and A-jet-semi-stable, then (X, V) is strongly of general type.

Approach of the Kobayashi conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is “algebraically jet-hyperbolic” if for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ s.t. $X_k \not\subset D_k$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ either has $W = 0$ or is of general type modulo $X_k \rightarrow X$.

Approach of the Kobayashi conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is “algebraically jet-hyperbolic” if for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ s.t. $X_k \not\subset D_k$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ either has $W = 0$ or is of general type modulo $X_k \rightarrow X$.

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is algebraically jet-hyperbolic, then (X, V) is Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic, i.e. there are no entire curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$.

Approach of the Kobayashi conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is “**algebraically jet-hyperbolic**” if for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ s.t. $X_k \not\subset D_k$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ either has $W = 0$ or is of **general type modulo $X_k \rightarrow X$** .

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is **algebraically jet-hyperbolic**, then (X, V) is **Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic**, i.e. there are no entire curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$.

Now, the hope is that a (very) generic complete intersection $X = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_c \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+c}$ of codimension c and degrees (d_1, \dots, d_c) s.t. $\sum d_j \geq 2n + c$ yields (X, T_X) algebraically jet-hyperbolic.