

On the existence of global orbifold jet differentials

Jean-Pierre Demailly

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes & Académie des Sciences de Paris

Joint work with F. Campana, L. Darondeau and E. Rousseau

27th International conference on finite and infinite dimensional complex analysis and applications

Krasnoyarsk, Siberian Federal University


August 12 – August 16, 2019

Aim of the lecture

- Our goal is to study (nonconstant) entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ drawn in a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} . The variety X is said to be **Brody** (\Leftrightarrow **Kobayashi**) **hyperbolic** if there are no such curves.


Aim of the lecture

- Our goal is to study (nonconstant) entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ drawn in a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} . The variety X is said to be **Brody** (\Leftrightarrow **Kobayashi**) **hyperbolic** if there are no such curves.
- More generally, if $\Delta = \sum \Delta_j$ is a reduced **normal crossing divisor** in X , we want to study entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \setminus \Delta$ drawn in the complement of Δ .



Aim of the lecture


- Our goal is to study (nonconstant) entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ drawn in a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} . The variety X is said to be **Brody** (\Leftrightarrow **Kobayashi**) **hyperbolic** if there are no such curves.
- More generally, if $\Delta = \sum \Delta_j$ is a reduced **normal crossing divisor** in X , we want to study entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X \setminus \Delta$ drawn in the complement of Δ .



If there are no such curves, we say that the **log pair** (X, Δ) is Brody hyperbolic.


Aim of the lecture (continued)

- Even more generally, if $\Delta = \sum(1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j})\Delta_j \subset X$ is a **normal crossing divisor**, we want to study entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ meeting each component Δ_j of Δ with multiplicity $\geq \rho_j$.



Aim of the lecture (continued)


- Even more generally, if $\Delta = \sum(1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j})\Delta_j \subset X$ is a **normal crossing divisor**, we want to study entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ meeting each component Δ_j of Δ with multiplicity $\geq \rho_j$.



The pair (X, Δ) is called an **orbifold** (in the sense of Campana). Here $\rho_j \in]1, \infty]$, where $\rho_j = \infty$ corresponds to the logarithmic case. Usually $\rho_j \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$, but $\rho_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ will be allowed.

Aim of the lecture (continued)

- Even more generally, if $\Delta = \sum(1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j})\Delta_j \subset X$ is a **normal crossing divisor**, we want to study entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ meeting each component Δ_j of Δ with multiplicity $\geq \rho_j$.



The pair (X, Δ) is called an **orbifold** (in the sense of Campana). Here $\rho_j \in]1, \infty]$, where $\rho_j = \infty$ corresponds to the logarithmic case. Usually $\rho_j \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$, but $\rho_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ will be allowed.

- The strategy is to show that under suitable conditions, orbifold entire curves must satisfy **algebraic differential equations**.

k -jets of curves and k -jet bundles

Let X be a nonsingular n -dimensional projective variety over \mathbb{C} .

k -jets of curves and k -jet bundles

Let X be a nonsingular n -dimensional projective variety over \mathbb{C} .

Definition of k -jets

For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, a k -jet of curve $f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, 0)_k \rightarrow X$ is an equivalence class of germs of holomorphic curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow X$, written $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ in local coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) on an open subset $U \subset X$, where two germs are declared to be equivalent if they have the same Taylor expansion of order k at 0 :

$$f(t) = x + t\xi_1 + t^2\xi_2 + \dots + t^k\xi_k + O(t^{k+1}), \quad t \in D(0, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{C},$$

and $x = f(0) \in U$, $\xi_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $1 \leq s \leq k$.

k -jets of curves and k -jet bundles

Let X be a nonsingular n -dimensional projective variety over \mathbb{C} .

Definition of k -jets

For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, a k -jet of curve $f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, 0)_k \rightarrow X$ is an equivalence class of germs of holomorphic curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow X$, written $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ in local coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) on an open subset $U \subset X$, where two germs are declared to be equivalent if they have the same Taylor expansion of order k at 0 :

$$f(t) = x + t\xi_1 + t^2\xi_2 + \dots + t^k\xi_k + O(t^{k+1}), \quad t \in D(0, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{C},$$

and $x = f(0) \in U$, $\xi_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $1 \leq s \leq k$.

Notation

Let $J^k X$ be the bundle of k -jets of curves, and $\pi_k : J^k X \rightarrow X$ the natural projection, where the fiber $(J^k X)_x = \pi_k^{-1}(x)$ consists of k -jets of curves $f_{[k]}$ such that $f(0) = x$.

Algebraic differential operators

Let $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ be a germ of curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$
its k -jet at any point $t = 0$. Look at the \mathbb{C}^* -action induced by
dilations $\lambda \cdot f(t) := f(\lambda t)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, for $f_{[k]} \in J^k X$.

Algebraic differential operators

Let $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ be a germ of curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its k -jet at any point $t = 0$. Look at the \mathbb{C}^* -action induced by dilations $\lambda \cdot f(t) := f(\lambda t)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, for $f_{[k]} \in J^k X$.

Taking a (local) connection ∇ on T_X and putting $\xi_s = f^{(s)}(0) = \nabla^s f(0)$, we get a trivialization $J^k X \simeq (T_X)^{\oplus k}$ and the \mathbb{C}^* action is given by

$$(*) \quad \lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

Algebraic differential operators

Let $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ be a germ of curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its k -jet at any point $t = 0$. Look at the \mathbb{C}^* -action induced by dilations $\lambda \cdot f(t) := f(\lambda t)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, for $f_{[k]} \in J^k X$.

Taking a (local) connection ∇ on T_X and putting $\xi_s = f^{(s)}(0) = \nabla^s f(0)$, we get a trivialization $J^k X \simeq (T_X)^{\oplus k}$ and the \mathbb{C}^* action is given by

$$(*) \quad \lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

We consider the Green-Griffiths sheaf $E_{k,m}(X)$ of homogeneous polynomials of weighted degree m on $J^k X$ defined by

$$P(x; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = \sum a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(x) \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad \sum_{s=1}^k s |\alpha_s| = m.$$

Algebraic differential operators

Let $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ be a germ of curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its k -jet at any point $t = 0$. Look at the \mathbb{C}^* -action induced by dilations $\lambda \cdot f(t) := f(\lambda t)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, for $f_{[k]} \in J^k X$.

Taking a (local) connection ∇ on T_X and putting $\xi_s = f^{(s)}(0) = \nabla^s f(0)$, we get a trivialization $J^k X \simeq (T_X)^{\oplus k}$ and the \mathbb{C}^* action is given by

$$(*) \quad \lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

We consider the Green-Griffiths sheaf $E_{k,m}(X)$ of homogeneous polynomials of weighted degree m on $J^k X$ defined by

$$P(x; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = \sum a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(x) \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad \sum_{s=1}^k s |\alpha_s| = m.$$

Here, we assume the coefficients $a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(x)$ to be holomorphic in x , and view P as a differential operator $P(f) = P(f; f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$,

$$P(f)(t) = \sum a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(f(t)) f'(t)^{\alpha_1} f''(t)^{\alpha_2} \dots f^{(k)}(t)^{\alpha_k}.$$

Graded algebra of algebraic differential operators

In this way, we get a graded algebra $\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X)$ of differential operators. As sheaf of rings, in each coordinate chart $U \subset X$, it is a pure polynomial algebra isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{O}_X[f_j^{(s)}]_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq k} \quad \text{where} \quad \deg f_j^{(s)} = s.$$

Graded algebra of algebraic differential operators

In this way, we get a graded algebra $\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X)$ of differential operators. As sheaf of rings, in each coordinate chart $U \subset X$, it is a pure polynomial algebra isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{O}_X[f_j^{(s)}]_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq k} \quad \text{where} \quad \deg f_j^{(s)} = s.$$

If a change of coordinates $z \mapsto w = \psi(z)$ is performed on U , the curve $t \mapsto f(t)$ becomes $t \mapsto \psi \circ f(t)$ and we have inductively

$$(\psi \circ f)^{(s)} = (\psi' \circ f) \cdot f^{(s)} + Q_{\psi,s}(f', \dots, f^{(s-1)})$$

where $Q_{\psi,s}$ is a polynomial of weighted degree s .

Graded algebra of algebraic differential operators

In this way, we get a graded algebra $\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X)$ of differential operators. As sheaf of rings, in each coordinate chart $U \subset X$, it is a pure polynomial algebra isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{O}_X[f_j^{(s)}]_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq k} \quad \text{where} \quad \deg f_j^{(s)} = s.$$

If a change of coordinates $z \mapsto w = \psi(z)$ is performed on U , the curve $t \mapsto f(t)$ becomes $t \mapsto \psi \circ f(t)$ and we have inductively

$$(\psi \circ f)^{(s)} = (\psi' \circ f) \cdot f^{(s)} + Q_{\psi,s}(f', \dots, f^{(s-1)})$$

where $Q_{\psi,s}$ is a polynomial of weighted degree s .

By filtering by the partial degree of $P(x; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ successively in $\xi_k, \xi_{k-1}, \dots, \xi_1$, one gets a multi-filtration on $E_{k,m}(X)$ such that the graded pieces are

$$G^\bullet E_{k,m}(X) = \bigoplus_{\ell_1 + 2\ell_2 + \dots + k\ell_k = m} S^{\ell_1} T_X^* \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\ell_k} T_X^*.$$

Logarithmic jet differentials

Take a **logarithmic pair** (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum \Delta_j$ normal crossing divisor.

Logarithmic jet differentials

Take a **logarithmic pair** (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum \Delta_j$ normal crossing divisor.

Fix a point $x \in X$ which belongs exactly to p components, say $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_p$, and take coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) so that $\Delta_j = \{z_j = 0\}$.

Logarithmic jet differentials

Take a **logarithmic pair** (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum \Delta_j$ normal crossing divisor.

Fix a point $x \in X$ which belongs exactly to p components, say $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_p$, and take coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) so that $\Delta_j = \{z_j = 0\}$.

\implies log differential operators : polynomials in the derivatives

$$(\log f_j)^{(s)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p \quad \text{and} \quad f_j^{(s)}, \quad p+1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Logarithmic jet differentials

Take a **logarithmic pair** (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum \Delta_j$ normal crossing divisor.

Fix a point $x \in X$ which belongs exactly to p components, say $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_p$, and take coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) so that $\Delta_j = \{z_j = 0\}$.

\implies log differential operators : polynomials in the derivatives

$$(\log f_j)^{(s)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p \quad \text{and} \quad f_j^{(s)}, \quad p+1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Alternatively, one gets an algebra of logarithmic jet differentials, denoted $\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$, that can be expressed locally as

$$\mathcal{O}_X \left[(f_1)^{-1} f_1^{(s)}, \dots, (f_p)^{-1} f_p^{(s)}, f_{p+1}^{(s)}, \dots, f_n^{(s)} \right]_{1 \leq s \leq k}.$$

Logarithmic jet differentials

Take a **logarithmic pair** (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum \Delta_j$ normal crossing divisor.

Fix a point $x \in X$ which belongs exactly to p components, say $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_p$, and take coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) so that $\Delta_j = \{z_j = 0\}$.

\implies log differential operators : polynomials in the derivatives

$$(\log f_j)^{(s)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p \quad \text{and} \quad f_j^{(s)}, \quad p+1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Alternatively, one gets an algebra of logarithmic jet differentials, denoted $\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$, that can be expressed locally as

$$\mathcal{O}_X[(f_1)^{-1}f_1^{(s)}, \dots, (f_p)^{-1}f_p^{(s)}, f_{p+1}^{(s)}, \dots, f_n^{(s)}]_{1 \leq s \leq k}.$$

One gets a multi-filtration on $E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$ with graded pieces

$$G^\bullet E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) = \bigoplus_{\ell_1+2\ell_2+\dots+k\ell_k=m} S^{\ell_1} T_X^* \langle \Delta \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\ell_k} T_X^* \langle \Delta \rangle$$

where $T_X^* \langle \Delta \rangle$ is the logarithmic tangent bundle, i.e., the locally free sheaf generated by $\frac{dz_1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{dz_p}{z_p}, dz_{p+1}, \dots, dz_n$.

Orbifold jet differentials

Consider an orbifold (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$ a SNC divisor.

Orbifold jet differentials

Consider an orbifold (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$ a SNC divisor.

Assuming $\Delta_1 = \{z_1 = 0\}$ and f having multiplicity $q \geq \rho_1 > 1$ along Δ_1 , then $f_1^{(s)}$ still vanishes at order $\geq (q - s)_+$, thus $(f_1)^{-\beta} f_1^{(s)}$ is bounded as soon as $\beta q \leq (q - s)_+$, i.e. $\beta \leq (1 - \frac{s}{q})_+$. Thus, it is sufficient to ask that $\beta \leq (1 - \frac{s}{\rho_1})_+$.

Orbifold jet differentials

Consider an orbifold (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$ a SNC divisor.

Assuming $\Delta_1 = \{z_1 = 0\}$ and f having multiplicity $q \geq \rho_1 > 1$ along Δ_1 , then $f_1^{(s)}$ still vanishes at order $\geq (q - s)_+$, thus $(f_1)^{-\beta} f_1^{(s)}$ is bounded as soon as $\beta q \leq (q - s)_+$, i.e. $\beta \leq (1 - \frac{s}{q})_+$. Thus, it is sufficient to ask that $\beta \leq (1 - \frac{s}{\rho_1})_+$. At a point $x \in |\Delta_1| \cap \dots \cap |\Delta_p|$, the condition for a monomial of the form

$$(*) \quad f_1^{-\beta_1} \dots f_p^{-\beta_p} \prod_{s=1}^k (f^{(s)})^{\alpha_s}, \quad (f^{(s)})^{\alpha_s} = (f_1^{(s)})^{\alpha_{s,1}} \dots (f_n^{(s)})^{\alpha_{s,n}},$$

$\alpha_s \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \in \mathbb{N}$, to be bounded, is to require that

$$(**) \quad \beta_j \leq \sum_{s=1}^k \alpha_{s,j} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho_j}\right)_+, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Orbifold jet differentials

Consider an orbifold (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$ a SNC divisor.

Assuming $\Delta_1 = \{z_1 = 0\}$ and f having multiplicity $q \geq \rho_1 > 1$ along Δ_1 , then $f_1^{(s)}$ still vanishes at order $\geq (q - s)_+$, thus $(f_1)^{-\beta} f_1^{(s)}$ is bounded as soon as $\beta q \leq (q - s)_+$, i.e. $\beta \leq (1 - \frac{s}{q})_+$. Thus, it is sufficient to ask that $\beta \leq (1 - \frac{s}{\rho_1})_+$. At a point $x \in |\Delta_1| \cap \dots \cap |\Delta_p|$, the condition for a monomial of the form

$$(*) \quad f_1^{-\beta_1} \dots f_p^{-\beta_p} \prod_{s=1}^k (f^{(s)})^{\alpha_s}, \quad (f^{(s)})^{\alpha_s} = (f_1^{(s)})^{\alpha_{s,1}} \dots (f_n^{(s)})^{\alpha_{s,n}},$$

$\alpha_s \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \in \mathbb{N}$, to be bounded, is to require that

$$(**) \quad \beta_j \leq \sum_{s=1}^k \alpha_{s,j} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho_j}\right)_+, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Definition

$E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$ is taken to be the algebra generated by monomials $(*)$ of degree $\sum s|\alpha_s| = m$, satisfying partial degree inequalities $(**)$.

Orbifold jet differentials [continued]

It is important to notice that if we consider the log pair $(X, [\Delta])$ with $[\Delta] = \sum \Delta_j$, then

$\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$ is a graded subalgebra of $\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, [\Delta])$.

Orbifold jet differentials [continued]

It is important to notice that if we consider the log pair $(X, [\Delta])$ with $[\Delta] = \sum \Delta_j$, then

$\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$ is a graded subalgebra of $\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, [\Delta])$.

The subalgebra $E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$ still has a multi-filtration induced by the one on $E_{k,m}(X, [\Delta])$, and, at least for $\rho_j \in \mathbb{Q}$, we formally have

$$G^\bullet E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \subset \bigoplus_{\ell_1 + 2\ell_2 + \dots + k\ell_k = m} S^{\ell_1} T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(1)} \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\ell_k} T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(k)} \rangle,$$

Orbifold jet differentials [continued]

It is important to notice that if we consider the log pair $(X, [\Delta])$ with $[\Delta] = \sum \Delta_j$, then

$$\bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \text{ is a graded subalgebra of } \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, [\Delta]).$$

The subalgebra $E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$ still has a multi-filtration induced by the one on $E_{k,m}(X, [\Delta])$, and, at least for $\rho_j \in \mathbb{Q}$, we formally have

$$G^\bullet E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \subset \bigoplus_{\ell_1 + 2\ell_2 + \dots + k\ell_k = m} S^{\ell_1} T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(1)} \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\ell_k} T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(k)} \rangle,$$

where $T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(s)} \rangle$ is the “ s -th orbifold cotangent sheaf” generated by

$$z_j^{-(1-s/\rho_j)+} d^{(s)} z_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p, \quad d^{(s)} z_j, \quad p+1 \leq j \leq n$$

(which makes sense only after taking some Galois cover of X ramifying at sufficiently large order along Δ_j).

Projectivized jets and direct image formula

Green Griffiths bundles

Consider $X_k := J^k X / \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X)$. This defines a bundle $\pi_k : X_k \rightarrow X$ of weighted projective spaces whose fibers are the quotients of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^k \setminus \{0\}$ by the \mathbb{C}^* action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

Projectivized jets and direct image formula

Green Griffiths bundles

Consider $X_k := J^k X / \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X)$. This defines a bundle $\pi_k : X_k \rightarrow X$ of weighted projective spaces whose fibers are the quotients of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^k \setminus \{0\}$ by the \mathbb{C}^* action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

Correspondingly, there is a tautological rank 1 sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)$ [only invertible when $\text{lcm}(1, \dots, k) \mid m$], and a direct image formula

$$E_{k,m}(X) = (\pi_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)$$

Projectivized jets and direct image formula

Green Griffiths bundles

Consider $X_k := J^k X / \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X)$. This defines a bundle $\pi_k : X_k \rightarrow X$ of weighted projective spaces whose fibers are the quotients of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^k \setminus \{0\}$ by the \mathbb{C}^* action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

Correspondingly, there is a tautological rank 1 sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)$ [only invertible when $\text{lcm}(1, \dots, k) \mid m$], and a direct image formula

$$E_{k,m}(X) = (\pi_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)$$

In the **logarithmic case**, we define similarly

$$X_k \langle \Delta \rangle := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$$

Projectivized jets and direct image formula

Green Griffiths bundles

Consider $X_k := J^k X / \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X)$. This defines a bundle $\pi_k : X_k \rightarrow X$ of weighted projective spaces whose fibers are the quotients of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^k \setminus \{0\}$ by the \mathbb{C}^* action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \dots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

Correspondingly, there is a tautological rank 1 sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)$ [only invertible when $\text{lcm}(1, \dots, k) \mid m$], and a direct image formula

$$E_{k,m}(X) = (\pi_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)$$

In the **logarithmic case**, we define similarly

$$X_k \langle \Delta \rangle := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}(X, \Delta)$$

and let $\mathcal{O}_{X_k \langle \Delta \rangle}(1)$ be the corresponding tautological sheaf, so that

$$E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) = (\pi_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k \langle \Delta \rangle}(m)$$

Generalized Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture

Generalized GGL conjecture (very optimistic ?)

If (X, Δ) is an orbifold of general type, in the sense that $K_X + \Delta$ is a big \mathbb{R} -divisor, then there is a **proper algebraic subvariety** $Y \subsetneq X$ containing all **orbifold entire curves** $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ (not contained in Δ and having multiplicity $\geq \rho_j$ along Δ_j).

Generalized Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture

Generalized GGL conjecture (very optimistic ?)

If (X, Δ) is an orbifold of general type, in the sense that $K_X + \Delta$ is a big \mathbb{R} -divisor, then there is a **proper algebraic subvariety** $Y \subsetneq X$ containing all **orbifold entire curves** $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ (not contained in Δ and having multiplicity $\geq \rho_j$ along Δ_j).

One possible strategy is to show that such orbifold entire curves f must satisfy a lot of algebraic differential equations of the form $P(f; f', \dots, f^{(k)}) = 0$ for $k \gg 1$. This is based on:

Generalized Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture

Generalized GGL conjecture (very optimistic ?)

If (X, Δ) is an orbifold of general type, in the sense that $K_X + \Delta$ is a big \mathbb{R} -divisor, then there is a **proper algebraic subvariety** $Y \subsetneq X$ containing all **orbifold entire curves** $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ (not contained in Δ and having multiplicity $\geq \rho_j$ along Δ_j).

One possible strategy is to show that such orbifold entire curves f must satisfy a lot of algebraic differential equations of the form $P(f; f', \dots, f^{(k)}) = 0$ for $k \gg 1$. This is based on:

Fundamental vanishing theorem

[Green-Griffiths 1979], [Demailly 1995], [Siu-Yeung 1996], ...

Let A be an ample divisor on X . Then, for all global jet differential operators on (X, Δ) with coefficients vanishing on A , i.e.

$P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$, and for all orbifold entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$, one has $P(f_{[k]}) \equiv 0$.

Proof of the fundamental vanishing theorem

Simple case. First consider the compact case ($\Delta = 0$), and assume that f is a Brody curve, i.e. $\|f'\|_\omega$ bounded for some hermitian metric ω on X . By raising P to a power, we can assume A very ample, and view P as a \mathbb{C} valued differential operator whose coefficients vanish on a very ample divisor A .

Proof of the fundamental vanishing theorem

Simple case. First consider the compact case ($\Delta = 0$), and assume that f is a Brody curve, i.e. $\|f'\|_\omega$ bounded for some hermitian metric ω on X . By raising P to a power, we can assume A very ample, and view P as a \mathbb{C} valued differential operator whose coefficients vanish on a very ample divisor A .

The Cauchy inequalities imply that all derivatives $f^{(s)}$ are bounded in any relatively compact coordinate chart. Hence $u_A(t) = P(f_{[k]})(t)$ is bounded, and must thus be constant by Liouville's theorem.

Proof of the fundamental vanishing theorem

Simple case. First consider the compact case ($\Delta = 0$), and assume that f is a Brody curve, i.e. $\|f'\|_\omega$ bounded for some hermitian metric ω on X . By raising P to a power, we can assume A very ample, and view P as a \mathbb{C} valued differential operator whose coefficients vanish on a very ample divisor A .

The Cauchy inequalities imply that all derivatives $f^{(s)}$ are bounded in any relatively compact coordinate chart. Hence

$u_A(t) = P(f_{[k]})(t)$ is bounded, and must thus be constant by Liouville's theorem.

Since A is very ample, we can move $A \in |A|$ such that A hits $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset X$. But then u_A vanishes somewhere, and so $u_A \equiv 0$.

Proof of the fundamental vanishing theorem

Simple case. First consider the compact case ($\Delta = 0$), and assume that f is a Brody curve, i.e. $\|f'\|_\omega$ bounded for some hermitian metric ω on X . By raising P to a power, we can assume A very ample, and view P as a \mathbb{C} valued differential operator whose coefficients vanish on a very ample divisor A .

The Cauchy inequalities imply that all derivatives $f^{(s)}$ are bounded in any relatively compact coordinate chart. Hence

$u_A(t) = P(f_{[k]})(t)$ is bounded, and must thus be constant by Liouville's theorem.

Since A is very ample, we can move $A \in |A|$ such that A hits $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset X$. But then u_A vanishes somewhere, and so $u_A \equiv 0$.

Logarithmic and orbifold cases. In the orbifold case, one must use instead an “orbifold metric” ω . Removing the hypothesis f' bounded is more tricky. One possible way is to use the Ahlfors lemma and some representation theory.

Holomorphic Morse inequalities

Theorem (D, 1985, L. Bonavero 1996)

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle on a compact complex manifold. Assume L equipped with a *singular hermitian metric* $h = e^{-\varphi}$ with analytic singularities in $\Sigma \subset X$, and $\theta = \frac{i}{2\pi} \Theta_{L,h}$.

Holomorphic Morse inequalities

Theorem (D, 1985, L. Bonavero 1996)

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle on a compact complex manifold. Assume L equipped with a *singular hermitian metric* $h = e^{-\varphi}$ with analytic singularities in $\Sigma \subset X$, and $\theta = \frac{i}{2\pi} \Theta_{L,h}$. Let

$$X(\theta, q) := \{x \in X \setminus \Sigma; \theta(x) \text{ has signature } (n - q, q)\}$$

be the q -index set of the $(1, 1)$ -form θ , and

$$X(\theta, \leq q) = \bigcup_{j \leq q} X(\theta, j).$$

Holomorphic Morse inequalities

Theorem (D, 1985, L. Bonavero 1996)

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle on a compact complex manifold. Assume L equipped with a *singular hermitian metric* $h = e^{-\varphi}$ with analytic singularities in $\Sigma \subset X$, and $\theta = \frac{i}{2\pi} \Theta_{L,h}$. Let

$$X(\theta, q) := \{x \in X \setminus \Sigma; \theta(x) \text{ has signature } (n - q, q)\}$$

be the q -index set of the $(1, 1)$ -form θ , and

$$X(\theta, \leq q) = \bigcup_{j \leq q} X(\theta, j).$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^{q-j} h^j(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) \leq \frac{m^n}{n!} \int_{X(\theta, \leq q)} (-1)^q \theta^n + o(m^n),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(m\varphi) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ denotes the **multiplier ideal sheaf**

$$\mathcal{I}(m\varphi)_x = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}; \exists U \ni x \text{ s.t. } \int_U |f|^2 e^{-m\varphi} dV < +\infty\}.$$

Holomorphic Morse inequalities [continued]

Consequence of the holomorphic Morse inequalities

For $q = 1$, with the same notation as above, we get a **lower bound**

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) &\geq h^0(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) \\ &\geq h^0(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) - h^1(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) \\ &\geq \frac{m^n}{n!} \int_{X(\theta, \leq 1)} \theta^n - o(m^n). \end{aligned}$$

Holomorphic Morse inequalities [continued]

Consequence of the holomorphic Morse inequalities

For $q = 1$, with the same notation as above, we get a **lower bound**

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) &\geq h^0(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) \\ &\geq h^0(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) - h^1(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) \\ &\geq \frac{m^n}{n!} \int_{X(\theta, \leq 1)} \theta^n - o(m^n). \end{aligned}$$

here θ is a real $(1, 1)$ form of arbitrary signature on x .

Holomorphic Morse inequalities [continued]

Consequence of the holomorphic Morse inequalities

For $q = 1$, with the same notation as above, we get a **lower bound**

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) &\geq h^0(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) \\ &\geq h^0(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) - h^1(x, L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{I}(m\varphi)) \\ &\geq \frac{m^n}{n!} \int_{X(\theta, \leq 1)} \theta^n - o(m^n). \end{aligned}$$

here θ is a real $(1, 1)$ form of arbitrary signature on x .

when $\theta = \alpha - \beta$ for some explicit $(1, 1)$ -forms $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ (not necessarily closed), an easy lemma yields

$$\mathbf{1}_{X(\alpha-\beta, \leq 1)} (\alpha - \beta)^n \geq \alpha^n - n\alpha^{n-1} \wedge \beta$$

hence

$$h^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \geq \frac{m^n}{n!} \int_X (\alpha^n - n\alpha^{n-1} \wedge \beta) - o(m^n).$$

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Assume that T_X is equipped with a C^∞ connection ∇ and a hermitian metric h .

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Assume that T_X is equipped with a C^∞ connection ∇ and a hermitian metric h . One then defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k X$ by taking $b = \text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k)$ and, at each point $x = f(0)$,

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]}) := \left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2b/s} \right)^{1/b}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \dots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Assume that T_X is equipped with a C^∞ connection ∇ and a hermitian metric h . One then defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k X$ by taking $b = \text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k)$ and, at each point $x = f(0)$,

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]}) := \left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2b/s} \right)^{1/b}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \dots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Letting $\xi_s = \nabla^s f(0)$, this can be viewed as a metric h_k on $L_k := \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$, and the curvature form of L_k is obtained by computing $\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]})$ as a function of (x, ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k) .

Finsler metric on the k -jet bundles

Assume that T_X is equipped with a C^∞ connection ∇ and a hermitian metric h . One then defines a "**weighted Finsler metric**" on $J^k X$ by taking $b = \text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k)$ and, at each point $x = f(0)$,

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]}) := \left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2b/s} \right)^{1/b}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \dots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Letting $\xi_s = \nabla^s f(0)$, this can be viewed as a metric h_k on $L_k := \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$, and the curvature form of L_k is obtained by computing $\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]})$ as a function of (x, ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k) .

Modulo negligible error terms of the form $O(\varepsilon_{s+1}/\varepsilon_s)$, this gives

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\xi_s|^{2b/s}}{\sum_t |\xi_t|^{2b/t}} \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta} \frac{\xi_{s\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{s\beta}}{|\xi_s|^2} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $(c_{ij\alpha\beta})$ are the coefficients of the curvature tensor $\Theta_{T_X^*, h^*}$ and $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}$ is the **weighted Fubini-Study metric** on the fibers of $X_k \rightarrow X$.

Evaluation of Morse integrals

The above expression is simplified by using polar coordinates

$$x_s = |\xi_s|_h^{2b/s}, \quad u_s = \xi_s/|\xi_s|_h = \nabla^s f(0)/|\nabla^s f(0)|.$$

Evaluation of Morse integrals

The above expression is simplified by using polar coordinates

$$x_s = |\xi_s|_h^{2b/s}, \quad u_s = \xi_s/|\xi_s|_h = \nabla^s f(0)/|\nabla^s f(0)|.$$

In such polar coordinates, one gets the formula

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi)$ is positive definite in ξ .

Evaluation of Morse integrals

The above expression is simplified by using polar coordinates

$$x_s = |\xi_s|_h^{2b/s}, \quad u_s = \xi_s/|\xi_s|_h = \nabla^s f(0)/|\nabla^s f(0)|.$$

In such polar coordinates, one gets the formula

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi)$ is positive definite in ξ .

By holomorphic Morse inequalities, we need to evaluate an integral

$$\int_{X_k(\Theta_{L_k, h_k} \leq 1)} \Theta_{L_k, h_k}^{N_k}, \quad N_k = \dim X_k = n + (kn - 1),$$

and we have to integrate over the parameters $z \in X$, $x_s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and u_s in the unit sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(T_X, 1) \subset T_X$.

Evaluation of Morse integrals

The above expression is simplified by using polar coordinates

$$x_s = |\xi_s|_h^{2b/s}, \quad u_s = \xi_s/|\xi_s|_h = \nabla^s f(0)/|\nabla^s f(0)|.$$

In such polar coordinates, one gets the formula

$$\Theta_{L_k, h_k} = \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $\omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi)$ is positive definite in ξ .

By holomorphic Morse inequalities, we need to evaluate an integral

$$\int_{X_k(\Theta_{L_k, h_k}, \leq 1)} \Theta_{L_k, h_k}^{N_k}, \quad N_k = \dim X_k = n + (kn - 1),$$

and we have to integrate over the parameters $z \in X$, $x_s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and u_s in the unit sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(T_X, 1) \subset T_X$.

Since the weighted projective space can be viewed as a circle quotient of the pseudosphere $\sum |\xi_s|^{2b/s} = 1$, we can take here $\sum x_s = 1$, i.e. (x_s) in the $(k-1)$ -dimensional simplex Δ^{k-1} .

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

Now, the signature of Θ_{L_k, h_k} depends only on the vertical terms, i.e.

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s q(u_s), \quad q(u_s) := \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

Now, the signature of Θ_{L_k, h_k} depends only on the vertical terms, i.e.

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s q(u_s), \quad q(u_s) := \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

After averaging over $(x_s) \in \Delta^{k-1}$ and computing the rational number $\int \omega_{\text{FS},k}(\xi)^{nk-1} = \frac{1}{(k!)^n}$, what is left is to evaluate Morse integrals with respect to (u_s) of “horizontal” $(1,1)$ -forms given by sums $\sum \frac{1}{s} q(u_s)$, where u_s are “random points” on the unit sphere.

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

Now, the signature of Θ_{L_k, h_k} depends only on the vertical terms, i.e.

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s q(u_s), \quad q(u_s) := \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

After averaging over $(x_s) \in \Delta^{k-1}$ and computing the rational number $\int \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi)^{nk-1} = \frac{1}{(k!)^n}$, what is left is to evaluate Morse integrals with respect to (u_s) of “horizontal” $(1, 1)$ -forms given by sums $\sum \frac{1}{s} q(u_s)$, where u_s are “random points” on the unit sphere.

As $k \rightarrow +\infty$, this sum yields asymptotically a “Monte-Carlo” integral

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{u \in \mathbb{S}(T_X, 1)} q(u) du.$$

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

Now, the signature of Θ_{L_k, h_k} depends only on the vertical terms, i.e.

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{1}{s} x_s q(u_s), \quad q(u_s) := \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) u_{s\alpha} \bar{u}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

After averaging over $(x_s) \in \Delta^{k-1}$ and computing the rational number $\int \omega_{\text{FS}, k}(\xi)^{nk-1} = \frac{1}{(k!)^n}$, what is left is to evaluate Morse integrals with respect to (u_s) of “horizontal” $(1, 1)$ -forms given by sums $\sum \frac{1}{s} q(u_s)$, where u_s are “random points” on the unit sphere.

As $k \rightarrow +\infty$, this sum yields asymptotically a “Monte-Carlo” integral

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{u \in \mathbb{S}(T_X, 1)} q(u) du.$$

Since q is quadratic in u , we have $\int_{u \in \mathbb{S}(T_X, 1)} q(u) du = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(q)$ and

$$\text{Tr}(q) = \text{Tr}(\Theta_{T_X^*, h^*}) = \Theta_{\det T_X^*, \det h^*} = \Theta_{K_X, \det h^*}.$$

Probabilistic cohomology estimate

Theorem 1 (D-, Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly 2011)

Fix A ample line bundle on X , (T_X, h) , (A, h_A) hermitian structures on T_X , A , and $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$.

Probabilistic cohomology estimate

Theorem 1 (D-, Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly 2011)

Fix A ample line bundle on X , (T_X, h) , (A, h_A) hermitian structures on T_X , A , and $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$. Let $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X, \det h^*} - \varepsilon \omega_A$ and

$$L_k = \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) \otimes \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_X \left(-\frac{1}{kn} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k} \right) \varepsilon A \right), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+.$$

Probabilistic cohomology estimate

Theorem 1 (D-, Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly 2011)

Fix A ample line bundle on X , (T_X, h) , (A, h_A) hermitian structures on T_X , A , and $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$. Let $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X, \det h^*} - \varepsilon \omega_A$ and

$$L_k = \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) \otimes \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_X \left(-\frac{1}{kn} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right) \varepsilon A \right), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+.$$

Then for m sufficiently divisible, we have a lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m}) &= h^0 \left(X, E_{k,m}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \left(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kn} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right) A \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^n} \left(\int_{X(\eta, \leq 1)} \eta_\varepsilon^n - \frac{C}{\log k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic cohomology estimate

Theorem 1 (D-, Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly 2011)

Fix A ample line bundle on X , (T_X, h) , (A, h_A) hermitian structures on T_X , A , and $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$. Let $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X, \det h^*} - \varepsilon \omega_A$ and

$$L_k = \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) \otimes \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_X \left(-\frac{1}{kn} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right) \varepsilon A \right), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+.$$

Then for m sufficiently divisible, we have a lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m}) &= h^0 \left(X, E_{k,m}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \left(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kn} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right) A \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^n} \left(\int_{X(\eta_\varepsilon \leq 1)} \eta_\varepsilon^n - \frac{C}{\log k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

If K_X is big and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, then η_ε can be taken > 0 , so

$$h^0(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m}) \geq C_{n,k,\eta,\varepsilon} m^{n+kn-1} \text{ with } C_{n,k,\eta,\varepsilon} > 0, \text{ for } m \gg k \gg 1.$$

Probabilistic cohomology estimate

Theorem 1 (D-, Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly 2011)

Fix A ample line bundle on X , (T_X, h) , (A, h_A) hermitian structures on T_X , A , and $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$. Let $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X, \det h^*} - \varepsilon \omega_A$ and

$$L_k = \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) \otimes \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_X \left(-\frac{1}{kn} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right) \varepsilon A \right), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+.$$

Then for m sufficiently divisible, we have a lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m}) &= h^0 \left(X, E_{k,m}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \left(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kn} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right) A \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^n} \left(\int_{X(\eta_\varepsilon \leq 1)} \eta_\varepsilon^n - \frac{C}{\log k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

If K_X is big and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, then η_ε can be taken > 0 , so $h^0(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m}) \geq C_{n,k,\eta,\varepsilon} m^{n+kn-1}$ with $C_{n,k,\eta,\varepsilon} > 0$, for $m \gg k \gg 1$.

There are in fact similar upper/lower bounds for all $h^q(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m})$.

Non probabilistic cohomology estimate

The Monte-Carlo estimate can be replaced by a non probabilistic one, if one assumes an explicit lower bound for the curvature tensor

$$\Theta_{T_X^*, h^*} \geq -\gamma \otimes \text{Id},$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ is a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form on X .

Non probabilistic cohomology estimate

The Monte-Carlo estimate can be replaced by a non probabilistic one, if one assumes an explicit lower bound for the curvature tensor

$$\Theta_{T_X^*, h^*} \geq -\gamma \otimes \text{Id},$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ is a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form on X .

In case $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and $A = \mathcal{O}(1)$, one can always take $\gamma = 2\omega_A$ where $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$.

Non probabilistic cohomology estimate

The Monte-Carlo estimate can be replaced by a non probabilistic one, if one assumes an explicit lower bound for the curvature tensor

$$\Theta_{T_X^*, h^*} \geq -\gamma \otimes \text{Id},$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ is a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form on X .

In case $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and $A = \mathcal{O}(1)$, one can always take $\gamma = 2\omega_A$ where $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$.

By Morse inequalities for differences $\mathbf{1}_{X(\alpha-\beta, \leq 1)} (\alpha - \beta)^n$, one gets

Theorem 2 (D-, Acta Math. Vietnamica 2012)

Assume $k \geq n$ and $m \gg 1$. With the same notation as in Theorem 1, the dimensions $h^0(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m})$ are bounded below by

$$\frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{n!k!^n(n+kn-1)!} \int_X (\Theta_{K_X} + n\gamma)^n - c_{n,k} (\Theta_{K_X} + n\gamma)^{n-1} \wedge (\varepsilon\omega_A + n\gamma),$$

Non probabilistic cohomology estimate

The Monte-Carlo estimate can be replaced by a non probabilistic one, if one assumes an explicit lower bound for the curvature tensor

$$\Theta_{T_X^*, h^*} \geq -\gamma \otimes \text{Id},$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ is a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form on X .

In case $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and $A = \mathcal{O}(1)$, one can always take $\gamma = 2\omega_A$ where $\omega_A = \Theta_{A, h_A} > 0$.

By Morse inequalities for differences $\mathbf{1}_{X(\alpha-\beta, \leq 1)} (\alpha - \beta)^n$, one gets

Theorem 2 (D-, Acta Math. Vietnamica 2012)

Assume $k \geq n$ and $m \gg 1$. With the same notation as in Theorem 1, the dimensions $h^0(X_k, L_k^{\otimes m})$ are bounded below by

$$\frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{n!k!^n(n+kn-1)!} \int_X (\Theta_{K_X} + n\gamma)^n - c_{n,k} (\Theta_{K_X} + n\gamma)^{n-1} \wedge (\varepsilon\omega_A + n\gamma),$$

with $c_{n,k} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ explicit, $c_{n,k} \leq 4^{n-1} n! \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k}\right)^n$.

Logarithmic situation

In the case of a log pair (X, Δ) , one reproduce essentially the same calculations, by replacing the cotangent bundle T_X^* with the logarithmic cotangent bundle $T_X^*\langle\Delta\rangle$.

Logarithmic situation

In the case of a log pair (X, Δ) , one reproduce essentially the same calculations, by replacing the cotangent bundle T_X^* with the logarithmic cotangent bundle $T_X^*\langle\Delta\rangle$. This gives

Theorem 3 (probabilistic estimate)

Put $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X+\Delta, \det h^*} - \varepsilon \omega_A$.

Logarithmic situation

In the case of a log pair (X, Δ) , one reproduce essentially the same calculations, by replacing the cotangent bundle T_X^* with the logarithmic cotangent bundle $T_X^*\langle\Delta\rangle$. This gives

Theorem 3 (probabilistic estimate)

Put $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X+\Delta, \det h^*} - \varepsilon\omega_A$. For $m \gg k \gg 1$, the dimensions

$$h^0\left(X, E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X\left(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kn}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k}\right)A\right)\right)$$

are bounded below by

$$\frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^n} \left(\int_{X(\eta, \leq 1)} \eta_\varepsilon^n - \frac{C}{\log k} \right), \quad C > 0.$$

Logarithmic situation

In the case of a log pair (X, Δ) , one reproduce essentially the same calculations, by replacing the cotangent bundle T_X^* with the logarithmic cotangent bundle $T_X^*\langle\Delta\rangle$. This gives

Theorem 3 (probabilistic estimate)

Put $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X + \Delta, \det h^*} - \varepsilon \omega_A$. For $m \gg k \gg 1$, the dimensions

$$h^0(X, E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kn}(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k})A))$$

are bounded below by

$$\frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^n} \left(\int_{X(\eta, \leq 1)} \eta_\varepsilon^n - \frac{C}{\log k} \right), \quad C > 0.$$

Theorem 4 (non probabilistic estimate)

Assume $\Theta_{T_X^*\langle\Delta\rangle} \geq -\gamma \otimes \text{Id}$.

Logarithmic situation

In the case of a log pair (X, Δ) , one reproduce essentially the same calculations, by replacing the cotangent bundle T_X^* with the logarithmic cotangent bundle $T_X^*\langle\Delta\rangle$. This gives

Theorem 3 (probabilistic estimate)

Put $\eta_\varepsilon = \Theta_{K_X+\Delta, \det h^*} - \varepsilon\omega_A$. For $m \gg k \gg 1$, the dimensions

$$h^0(X, E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\frac{m\varepsilon}{kn}(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k})A))$$

are bounded below by

$$\frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^n}{n! (k!)^n} \left(\int_{X(\eta_\varepsilon \leq 1)} \eta_\varepsilon^n - \frac{C}{\log k} \right), \quad C > 0.$$

Theorem 4 (non probabilistic estimate)

Assume $\Theta_{T_X^*\langle\Delta\rangle} \geq -\gamma \otimes \text{Id}$. For $k \geq n, m \gg 1$, there are bounds

$$\frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{n!k!^n(n+kn-1)!} \int_X (\Theta_{K_X+\Delta} + n\gamma)^n - c_{n,k} (\Theta_{K_X+\Delta} + n\gamma)^{n-1} \wedge (\varepsilon\omega_A + n\gamma).$$

Orbifold situation

Consider now the orbifold case (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

Orbifold situation

Consider now the orbifold case (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

In this case, the solution is to work on the logarithmic projectivized jet bundle $X_k \langle [\Delta] \rangle$, with Finsler metrics $\Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]})$ of the form

$$\left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |f_j|^{-2(1-\frac{s}{\rho_j})+} |f_j^{(s)}(0)|^2 + \sum_{j=p+1}^n |f_j^{(s)}(0)|^2 \right)^{\frac{b/s}{h_s(f(0))}} \right)^{1/b},$$

where h_s is a hermitian metric on the s -th orbifold bundle $T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(s)} \rangle$.

Orbifold situation

Consider now the orbifold case (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

In this case, the solution is to work on the logarithmic projectivized jet bundle $X_k \langle [\Delta] \rangle$, with Finsler metrics $\Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]})$ of the form

$$\left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |f_j|^{-2(1-\frac{s}{\rho_j})} |f_j^{(s)}(0)|^2 + \sum_{j=p+1}^n |f_j^{(s)}(0)|^2 \right)^{b/s}_{h_s(f(0))} \right)^{1/b},$$

where h_s is a hermitian metric on the s -th orbifold bundle $T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(s)} \rangle$.

Theorem 5 (non probabilistic estimate [probabilistic doesn't work])

Assume $\Theta_{T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(s)} \rangle} \geq -\gamma_s \omega \otimes \text{Id}$ in the sense of Griffiths, with $\omega = \Theta_A$ (A ample), $\gamma_s \geq 0$, and let $\Theta_s = \Theta_{K_X + \Delta^{(s)}}$ for $s = 1, \dots, k$.

Orbifold situation

Consider now the orbifold case (X, Δ) , $\Delta = \sum (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

In this case, the solution is to work on the logarithmic projectivized jet bundle $X_k \langle [\Delta] \rangle$, with Finsler metrics $\Psi_{h_k}(f_{[k]})$ of the form

$$\left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \varepsilon_s \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |f_j|^{-2(1-\frac{s}{\rho_j})} |f_j^{(s)}(0)|^2 + \sum_{j=p+1}^n |f_j^{(s)}(0)|^2 \right)^{b/s}_{h_s(f(0))} \right)^{1/b},$$

where h_s is a hermitian metric on the s -th orbifold bundle $T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(s)} \rangle$.

Theorem 5 (non probabilistic estimate [probabilistic doesn't work])

Assume $\Theta_{T_X^* \langle \Delta^{(s)} \rangle} \geq -\gamma_s \omega \otimes \text{Id}$ in the sense of Griffiths, with $\omega = \Theta_A$ (A ample), $\gamma_s \geq 0$, and let $\Theta_s = \Theta_{K_X + \Delta^{(s)}}$ for $s = 1, \dots, k$. Then, for $k \geq n$ and $m \gg 1$, $h^0(X, E_{k,m}(X, \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-m\varepsilon A)) \geq$

$$\frac{m^{n+kn-1}}{n!(k!)^n(n+kn-1)!} \left[\int_X \bigwedge_{s=1}^n (\Theta_s + n\gamma_s \omega) - \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1)!^2} \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \frac{\gamma_s}{s} \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \frac{1}{s} (\Theta_s + n\gamma_s \omega) \right)^{n-1} \wedge \omega - O(\varepsilon) \right].$$

Application to projective space

Consider \mathbb{P}^n equipped with an orbifold divisor $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

Application to projective space

Consider \mathbb{P}^n equipped with an orbifold divisor $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

Lemma: lower bound on the curvature of the cotangent bundle

Put $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$, $d_j = \deg \Delta_j$ and $\gamma_0 = \max(\frac{d_j}{\rho_j}, 2)$.

Application to projective space

Consider \mathbb{P}^n equipped with an orbifold divisor $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

Lemma: lower bound on the curvature of the cotangent bundle

Put $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$, $d_j = \deg \Delta_j$ and $\gamma_0 = \max(\frac{d_j}{\rho_j}, 2)$. Then $\forall \gamma > \gamma_0$, there exists a suitable hermitian metric on $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^* \langle \Delta \rangle$ such that

$$\Theta_{T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^* \langle \Delta \rangle} + \gamma \omega_A \otimes \text{Id} > 0 \quad (\text{in the sense of Griffiths}).$$

Application to projective space

Consider \mathbb{P}^n equipped with an orbifold divisor $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

Lemma: lower bound on the curvature of the cotangent bundle

Put $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$, $d_j = \deg \Delta_j$ and $\gamma_0 = \max(\frac{d_j}{\rho_j}, 2)$. Then $\forall \gamma > \gamma_0$, there exists a suitable hermitian metric on $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^* \langle \Delta \rangle$ such that

$$\Theta_{T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^* \langle \Delta \rangle} + \gamma \omega_A \otimes \text{Id} > 0 \quad (\text{in the sense of Griffiths}).$$

Corollary: sufficient condition of existence of orbifold differentials

A sufficient condition for the existence of negatively twisted orbifold order $k = n$ jet differentials on $\mathbb{P}^n \langle \Delta \rangle$ is

$$\rho_j \geq \rho > n, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N d_j \geq c_n \max\left(\frac{d_j}{\rho_j}, 2\right) \prod_{s=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)^{-1}.$$

with $c_n = O((2n \log n)^n)$ an explicit constant.

Application to projective space

Consider \mathbb{P}^n equipped with an orbifold divisor $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j}) \Delta_j$.

Lemma: lower bound on the curvature of the cotangent bundle

Put $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$, $d_j = \deg \Delta_j$ and $\gamma_0 = \max(\frac{d_j}{\rho_j}, 2)$. Then $\forall \gamma > \gamma_0$, there exists a suitable hermitian metric on $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^* \langle \Delta \rangle$ such that

$$\Theta_{T_{\mathbb{P}^n}^* \langle \Delta \rangle} + \gamma \omega_A \otimes \text{Id} > 0 \quad (\text{in the sense of Griffiths}).$$

Corollary: sufficient condition of existence of orbifold differentials

A sufficient condition for the existence of negatively twisted orbifold order $k = n$ jet differentials on $\mathbb{P}^n \langle \Delta \rangle$ is


$$\rho_j \geq \rho > n, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N d_j \geq c_n \max\left(\frac{d_j}{\rho_j}, 2\right) \prod_{s=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)^{-1}.$$

with $c_n = O((2n \log n)^n)$ an explicit constant.

Example: $N = 1$, $\rho_1 \geq 2c_n$, $d_1 \geq 4c_n$.


Generalization: case of orbifold directed varieties

One can also consider a smooth **directed variety** (X, V) with a subbundle or subsheaf $V \subset T_X$ (e.g. a foliation), equipped **with an orbifold divisor Δ transverse to V** .



Generalization: case of orbifold directed varieties


One can also consider a smooth **directed variety** (X, V) with a subbundle or subsheaf $V \subset T_X$ (e.g. a foliation), equipped **with an orbifold divisor Δ transverse to V** .



One then looks at entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ that are **tangent to V** and satisfy the **ramification conditions specified by Δ** .

Generalization: case of orbifold directed varieties

One can also consider a smooth **directed variety** (X, V) with a subbundle or subsheaf $V \subset T_X$ (e.g. a foliation), equipped **with an orbifold divisor Δ transverse to V** .




One then looks at entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ that are **tangent to V** and satisfy the **ramification conditions specified by Δ** .

It is possible to define orbifold directed structures $V\langle\Delta^{(s)}\rangle \subset T_X\langle\Delta^{(s)}\rangle$ and corresponding jet differential bundles $E_{k,m}(X, V, \Delta)$.

Generalization: case of orbifold directed varieties

One can also consider a smooth **directed variety** (X, V) with a subbundle or subsheaf $V \subset T_X$ (e.g. a foliation), equipped **with an orbifold divisor Δ transverse to V** .



One then looks at entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ that are **tangent to V** and satisfy the **ramification conditions specified by Δ** .

It is possible to define orbifold directed structures $V\langle\Delta^{(s)}\rangle \subset T_X\langle\Delta^{(s)}\rangle$ and corresponding jet differential bundles $E_{k,m}(X, V, \Delta)$.

Theorem 6

An **existence criterion** for sections of $E_{k,m}(X, V, \Delta)$ holds as well.

Thank you for your attention!

