

# Complements:

- Closed Hilbertian operators
- Complete Riemannian manifolds

## § 1. Closed Hilbertian operators

We expose here some basic results of Von Neumann's theory of unbounded operators on Hilbert spaces. Let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  be complex Hilbert spaces. We consider a linear operator  $T$  defined on a subspace  $\text{Dom } T \subset \mathcal{H}_1$  (called the domain of  $T$ ) into  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . The operator  $T$  is said to be *densely defined* if  $\text{Dom } T$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , and *closed* if its graph

$$\text{Gr } T = \{(x, Tx) ; x \in \text{Dom } T\}$$

is closed in  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ .

Assume now that  $T$  is closed and densely defined. The adjoint  $T^*$  of  $T$  (in Von Neumann's sense) is constructed as follows:  $\text{Dom } T^*$  is the set of  $y \in \mathcal{H}_2$  such that the linear form

$$\text{Dom } T \ni x \longmapsto \langle Tx, y \rangle_2$$

is bounded in  $\mathcal{H}_1$ -norm. Since  $\text{Dom } T$  is dense, there exists for every  $y$  in  $\text{Dom } T^*$  a unique element  $T^*y \in \mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle_2 = \langle x, T^*y \rangle_1$  for all  $x \in \text{Dom } T$ . It is immediate to verify that  $\text{Gr } T^* = (\text{Gr }(-T))^\perp$  in  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ . It follows that  $T^*$  is closed and that every pair  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$  can be written

$$(u, v) = (x, -Tx) + (T^*y, y), \quad x \in \text{Dom } T, \quad y \in \text{Dom } T^*.$$

Take in particular  $u = 0$ . Then

$$x + T^*y = 0, \quad v = y - Tx = y + TT^*y, \quad \langle v, y \rangle_2 = \|y\|_2^2 + \|T^*y\|_1^2.$$

If  $v \in (\text{Dom } T^*)^\perp$  we get  $\langle v, y \rangle_2 = 0$ , thus  $y = 0$  and  $v = 0$ . Therefore  $T^*$  is densely defined and our discussion implies:

**(1.1) Theorem** [Von Neumann 1929]. *If  $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  is a closed and densely defined operator, then its adjoint  $T^*$  is also closed and densely defined and  $(T^*)^* = T$ . Furthermore, we have the relation  $\text{Ker } T^* = (\text{Im } T)^\perp$  and its dual  $(\text{Ker } T)^\perp = \overline{\text{Im } T^*}$ .  $\square$*

Consider now two closed and densely defined operators  $T, S$  :

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{H}_2 \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{H}_3$$

such that  $S \circ T = 0$ . By this, we mean that the range  $T(\text{Dom } T)$  is contained in  $\text{Ker } S \subset \text{Dom } S$ , in such a way that there is no problem for defining the composition  $S \circ T$ . The starting point of all  $L^2$  estimates is the following abstract existence theorem.

**(1.2) Theorem.** *There are orthogonal decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_2 &= (\text{Ker } S \cap \text{Ker } T^*) \oplus \overline{\text{Im } T} \oplus \overline{\text{Im } S^*}, \\ \text{Ker } S &= (\text{Ker } S \cap \text{Ker } T^*) \oplus \overline{\text{Im } T}.\end{aligned}$$

*In order that  $\text{Im } T = \text{Ker } S$ , it suffices that*

$$(1.3) \quad \|T^*x\|_1^2 + \|Sx\|_3^2 \geq C\|x\|_2^2, \quad \forall x \in \text{Dom } S \cap \text{Dom } T^*$$

*for some constant  $C > 0$ . In that case, for every  $v \in \mathcal{H}_2$  such that  $Sv = 0$ , there exists  $u \in \mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $Tu = v$  and*

$$\|u\|_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{C}\|v\|_2^2.$$

*In particular*

$$\overline{\text{Im } T} = \text{Im } T = \text{Ker } S, \quad \overline{\text{Im } S^*} = \text{Im } S^* = \text{Ker } T^*.$$

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is closed, the kernel  $\text{Ker } S$  is closed in  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . The relation  $(\text{Ker } S)^\perp = \overline{\text{Im } S^*}$  implies

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_2 = \text{Ker } S \oplus \overline{\text{Im } S^*}$$

and similarly  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \text{Ker } T^* \oplus \overline{\text{Im } T}$ . However, the assumption  $S \circ T = 0$  shows that  $\overline{\text{Im } T} \subset \text{Ker } S$ , therefore

$$(1.5) \quad \text{Ker } S = (\text{Ker } S \cap \text{Ker } T^*) \oplus \overline{\text{Im } T}.$$

The first two equalities in Th. 1.2 are then equivalent to the conjunction of (1.4) and (1.5).

Now, under assumption (1.3), we are going to show that the equation  $Tu = v$  is always solvable if  $Sv = 0$ . Let  $x \in \text{Dom } T^*$ . One can write

$$x = x' + x'' \quad \text{where } x' \in \text{Ker } S \text{ and } x'' \in (\text{Ker } S)^\perp \subset (\text{Im } T)^\perp = \text{Ker } T^*.$$

Since  $x, x'' \in \text{Dom } T^*$ , we have also  $x' \in \text{Dom } T^*$ . We get

$$\langle v, x \rangle_2 = \langle v, x' \rangle_2 + \langle v, x'' \rangle_2 = \langle v, x' \rangle_2$$

because  $v \in \text{Ker } S$  and  $x'' \in (\text{Ker } S)^\perp$ . As  $Sx' = 0$  and  $T^*x'' = 0$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality combined with (1.3) implies

$$|\langle v, x \rangle_2|^2 \leq \|v\|_2^2 \|x'\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{C}\|v\|_2^2 \|T^*x'\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{C}\|v\|_2^2 \|T^*x\|_1^2.$$

This shows that the linear form  $T_X^* \ni x \mapsto \langle x, v \rangle_2$  is continuous on  $\text{Im } T^* \subset \mathcal{H}_1$  with norm  $\leq C^{-1/2}\|v\|_2$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, this form can be extended to a continuous linear form on  $\mathcal{H}_1$  of norm  $\leq C^{-1/2}\|v\|_2$ , i.e. we can find  $u \in \mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $\|u\|_1 \leq C^{-1/2}\|v\|_2$  and

$$\langle x, v \rangle_2 = \langle T^*x, u \rangle_1, \quad \forall x \in \text{Dom } T^*.$$

This means that  $u \in \text{Dom } (T^*)^* = \text{Dom } T$  and  $v = Tu$ . We have thus shown that  $\text{Im } T = \text{Ker } S$ , in particular  $\text{Im } T$  is closed. The dual equality  $\text{Im } S^* = \text{Ker } T^*$  follows by considering the dual pair  $(S^*, T^*)$ .  $\square$

## § 2. Complete Riemannian manifolds

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$ , with metric

$$g(x) = \sum g_{jk}(x) dx_j \otimes dx_k, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq m.$$

The length of a path  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  is by definition

$$\ell(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)|_g dt = \int_a^b \left( \sum_{j,k} g_{jk}(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_j(t) \gamma'_k(t) \right)^{1/2} dt.$$

The geodesic distance of two points  $x, y \in M$  is

$$\delta(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} \ell(\gamma) \quad \text{over paths } \gamma \text{ with } \gamma(a) = x, \quad \gamma(b) = y,$$

if  $x, y$  are in the same connected component of  $M$ ,  $\delta(x, y) = +\infty$  otherwise. It is easy to check that  $\delta$  satisfies the usual axioms of distances: for the separation axiom, use the fact that if  $y$  is outside some closed coordinate ball  $\bar{B}$  of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$  and if  $g \geq c|dx|^2$  on  $\bar{B}$ , then  $\delta(x, y) \geq c^{1/2}r$ . In addition,  $\delta$  satisfies the axiom:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{for every } x, y \in M, \quad \inf_{z \in M} \max\{\delta(x, z), \delta(y, z)\} = \frac{1}{2}\delta(x, y).$$

In fact for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a path  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(a) = x$ ,  $\gamma(b) = y$ ,  $\ell(\gamma) < \delta(x, y) + \varepsilon$  and we can take  $z$  to be at mid-distance between  $x$  and  $y$  along  $\gamma$ . A metric space  $E$  with a distance  $\delta$  satisfying the additional axiom (2.1) will be called a *geodesic metric space* (Gromov calls them “length spaces”). It is then easy to see by dichotomy that any two points  $x, y \in E$  can be joined by a chain of points  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N = y$  such that  $\delta(x_j, x_{j+1}) < \varepsilon$  and  $\sum \delta(x_j, x_{j+1}) < \delta(x, y) + \varepsilon$ .

**(2.2) Lemma (Hopf-Rinow).** *Let  $(E, \delta)$  be a geodesic metric space. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- a)  $E$  is locally compact and complete;
- b) all closed geodesic balls  $\bar{B}(x_0, r)$  are compact.

*Proof.* Since any Cauchy sequence is bounded, it is immediate that b) implies a). We now check that a)  $\implies$  b). Fix  $x_0$  and define  $R$  to be the supremum of all  $r > 0$  such that  $\bar{B}(x_0, r)$  is compact. Since  $E$  is locally compact, we have  $R > 0$ . Suppose that  $R < +\infty$ . Then  $\bar{B}(x_0, r)$  is compact for every  $r < R$ . Let  $y_\nu$  be a sequence of points in  $\bar{B}(x_0, R)$ . Fix an integer  $p$ . As  $\delta(x_0, y_\nu) \leq R$ , axiom (2.1) shows that we can find points  $z_\nu \in M$  such that  $\delta(x_0, z_\nu) \leq (1 - 2^{-p})R$  and  $\delta(z_\nu, y_\nu) \leq 2^{1-p}R$ . Since  $\bar{B}(x_0, (1 - 2^{-p})R)$  is compact, there is a subsequence  $(z_{\nu(p,q)})_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to a limit point  $w_p$  with  $\delta(z_{\nu(p,q)}, w_p) \leq 2^{-q}$ . We proceed by induction on  $p$  and take  $\nu(p+1, q)$  to be a subsequence of  $\nu(p, q)$ . Then

$$\delta(y_{\nu(p,q)}, w_p) \leq \delta(y_{\nu(p,q)}, z_{\nu(p,q)}) + \delta(z_{\nu(p,q)}, w_p) \leq 2^{1-p}R + 2^{-q}.$$

Since  $(y_{\nu(p+1,q)})$  is a subsequence of  $(y_{\nu(p,q)})$ , we infer that  $\delta(w_p, w_{p+1}) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-p}R$  by letting  $q$  tend to  $+\infty$ . By the completeness hypothesis, the Cauchy sequence  $(w_p)$  converges to a limit point  $w \in M$ , and the above inequalities show that  $(y_{\nu(p,p)})$  converges

to  $w \in \overline{B}(x_0, R)$ . Therefore  $\overline{B}(x_0, R)$  is compact. Now, each point  $y \in \overline{B}(x_0, R)$  can be covered by a compact ball  $\overline{B}(y, \varepsilon_y)$ , and the compact set  $\overline{B}(x_0, R)$  admits a finite covering by concentric balls  $B(y_j, \varepsilon_{y_j}/2)$ . Set  $\varepsilon = \min \varepsilon_{y_j}$ . Every point  $z \in \overline{B}(x_0, R + \varepsilon/2)$  is at distance  $\leq \varepsilon/2$  of some point  $y \in \overline{B}(x_0, R)$ , hence at distance  $\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon_{y_j}/2$  of some point  $y_j$ , in particular  $\overline{B}(x_0, R + \varepsilon/2) \subset \bigcup \overline{B}(y_j, \varepsilon_{y_j})$  is compact. This is a contradiction, so  $R = +\infty$ .  $\square$

The following standard definitions and properties will be useful in order to deal with the completeness of the metric.

### (2.3) Definitions.

- a) A riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is said to be complete if  $(M, \delta)$  is complete as a metric space.
- b) A continuous function  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be exhaustive if for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  the sublevel set  $M_c = \{x \in M ; \psi(x) < c\}$  is relatively compact in  $M$ .
- c) A sequence  $(K_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  of compact subsets of  $M$  is said to be exhaustive if  $M = \bigcup K_\nu$  and if  $K_\nu$  is contained in the interior of  $K_{\nu+1}$  for all  $\nu$  (so that every compact subset of  $M$  is contained in some  $K_\nu$ ).

**(2.4) Lemma.** *The following properties are equivalent:*

- a)  $(M, g)$  is complete;
- b) there exists an exhaustive function  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $|d\psi|_g \leq 1$ ;
- c) there exists an exhaustive sequence  $(K_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  of compact subsets of  $M$  and functions  $\psi_\nu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\nu &= 1 \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } K_\nu, & \text{Supp } \psi_\nu &\subset K_{\nu+1}^\circ, \\ 0 &\leq \psi_\nu \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |d\psi_\nu|_g &\leq 2^{-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* a)  $\implies$  b). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $M$  is connected. Select a point  $x_0 \in M$  and set  $\psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(x_0, x)$ . Then  $\psi_0$  is a Lipschitz function with constant  $\frac{1}{2}$ , thus  $\psi_0$  is differentiable almost everywhere on  $M$  and  $|d\psi_0|_g \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We can find a smoothing  $\psi$  of  $\psi_0$  such that  $|d\psi|_g \leq 1$  and  $|\psi - \psi_0| \leq 1$ . Then  $\psi$  is an exhaustion function of  $M$ .

b)  $\implies$  c). Choose  $\psi$  as in a) and a function  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\rho = 1$  on  $] -\infty, 1.1[$ ,  $\rho = 0$  on  $[1.9, +\infty[$  and  $0 \leq \rho' \leq 2$  on  $[1, 2]$ . Then

$$K_\nu = \{x \in M ; \psi(x) \leq 2^{\nu+1}\}, \quad \psi_\nu(x) = \rho(2^{-\nu-1}\psi(x))$$

satisfy our requirements.

c)  $\implies$  b). Set  $\psi = \sum 2^\nu(1 - \psi_\nu)$ .

b)  $\implies$  a). The inequality  $|d\psi|_g \leq 1$  implies  $|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \leq \delta(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$ , so all  $\delta$ -balls must be relatively compact in  $M$ .  $\square$